

## The “memory” of the Oort cloud *(Corrigendum)*

Marc Fouchard<sup>1</sup>, Arika Higuchi<sup>2</sup>, Takashi Ito<sup>3</sup>, and Lucie Maquet<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LAL-IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Univ. Lille., 1 Impasse de l’Observatoire, 59000 Lille, France  
 e-mail: marc.fouchard@univ-lille1.fr


<sup>2</sup> RISE Project Office, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-12 Hoshigaoka-cho, Mizusawa, Iwate 023-0816, Japan

<sup>3</sup> CfCA, NAOJ, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan


A&A, 620, A45 (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833435>

**Key words.** comets: general – Oort Cloud – errata, addenda

The  $q$  axes of Figs. 1 and 3 are oriented downward, whereas they should be oriented upward. The figures themselves are correct, only the orientation of the axes is wrong.



**Fig. 1.** From top to bottom panels: distribution of comets in  $(a, q)$ ,  $(a, \cos(i_E))$ ,  $(a, \omega_G)$  and  $(a, \Omega_G)$  planes at four different epochs  $t = 250$  Myr and 1, 2 and 4 Gyr. For visibility, the bin sizes are constant along any axis. However the density function is computed in number of comets per au for the perihelion distance and per  $\text{au}^{-1}$  for the semi-major axis. The data comes from the evolution of the I0 proto-Oort cloud.



**Fig. 3.** As Fig. 1 but for the D0 proto-Oort cloud.