



**HAL**  
open science

## Simulating Atmospheric Chemistry and D/H during the 2018 Global Dust Storm on Mars

Frank Daerden, Lori Neary, Michael J. Wolff, R. T. Clancy, Franck Lefèvre, G. L. Villanueva, Giuliano Liuzzi, J. A. Whiteway, Loic Trompet, Justin Erwin, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Frank Daerden, Lori Neary, Michael J. Wolff, R. T. Clancy, Franck Lefèvre, et al.. Simulating Atmospheric Chemistry and D/H during the 2018 Global Dust Storm on Mars. Seventh international workshop on the Mars atmosphere: Modelling and observations, Jun 2022, Paris, France. insu-03695529

**HAL Id: insu-03695529**

**<https://hal-insu.archives-ouvertes.fr/insu-03695529>**

Submitted on 14 Jun 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# SIMULATING ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY AND D/H DURING THE 2018 GLOBAL DUST STORM ON MARS

**F. Daerden**, **L. Neary** *Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Brussels, Belgium (Frank.Daerden@aeronomie.be)*, **M. J. Wolff**, **R. T. Clancy**, *Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO, USA*, **F. Lefèvre**, *LATMOS, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Paris, France*, **G. L. Villanueva**, **G. Liuzzi**, *NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA*, **S. Aoki**, *Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Japan*, **J. A. Whiteway**, *Centre for Research in Earth and Space Science, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada*, **L. Trompet**, **J. Erwin**, **S. Viscardy**, **A. Piccialli**, **Y. Willame**, **C. Depiesse**, **I. R. Thomas**, **B. Ristic**, *Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Brussels, Belgium*, **J. P. Mason**, **M. R. Patel**, *School of Physical Sciences, The Open University, Milton Keynes, U.K.*, **G. Bellucci**, *Istituto di Astrofisica e Planetologia Spaziali, IAPS-INAF, Rome, Italy*, **J.-J. Lopez-Moreno**, *Instituto de Astrofisica de Andalucia, IAA-CSIC, Granada, Spain*, **A. C. Vandaele**, *Royal Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy, Brussels, Belgium*.

## Introduction:

The atmospheric spectrometers on the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter (TGO), NOMAD and ACS, witnessed dramatic changes in the distribution of water vapor during the 2018 Global Dust Storm (GDS) on Mars (Vandaele et al., 2019; Aoki et al., 2019; Fedorova et al. 2022). This phenomenon was simulated with the GEM-Mars General Circulation Model (GCM, Neary et al., 2020). In addition, NOMAD could also witness how semi-heavy water ascended with H<sub>2</sub>O, and the D/H ratio in water vapor remained constant to much larger altitudes than in normal conditions (Villanueva et al., 2021). This process was also simulated with the GEM-Mars GCM (Daerden et al., 2022a).

## Impact on Atmospheric Chemistry:

The GEM-Mars GCM could also demonstrate how the redistribution of water vapor affected the atmospheric chemistry. In particular, we found that ozone on Mars was destroyed in the middle atmosphere on a planet-wide scale. The UVIS channel of NOMAD allows to retrieve ozone vertical profiles (Patel et al., 2021; Khayat et al., 2021). Patel et al. (2021) described a decrease in ozone during the 2018 GDS. By applying dedicated filtering to the NOMAD ozone observations during dusty conditions, the GCM simulations could be compared in detail to the NOMAD ozone profiles taken during the GDS (Daerden et al., 2022b). We will present model-data comparisons in various ways to demonstrate that the combination of NOMAD observations and GCM simulations confirm that the middle atmospheric ozone on Mars was destroyed by up to 95% at all latitudes, including the polar maxima. In contrary, the model predicts that the near-surface ozone (and hence total column ozone) at low latitudes is increased during the GDS.

As water vapor is ascending to large altitudes and latitudes during the GDS, and as the water vapor photolysis rate coefficient increases with height, this causes a strong increase in odd hydrogen radicals

(HO<sub>x</sub>, i.e. OH and HO<sub>2</sub>) in the Martian middle and upper atmosphere. We demonstrate using the GCM that it is not the increased HO<sub>x</sub> that destroys ozone directly, but the resulting decrease in atomic oxygen rather suppresses the formation of ozone.

## Impact on Atmospheric Escape:

The model also computes the increase of atomic hydrogen in the middle and upper atmosphere as a consequence of the redistribution of water vapor. We find enhancements of almost 2 orders of magnitude during the GDS, which is consistent with enhanced hydrogen escape observed in the upper atmosphere during the GDS (e.g., Stone et al., 2020). Odd hydrogen species have only been sparsely observed in the past on Mars, and were to present day not observed by TGO instruments. Nevertheless they are key species that drive the Martian atmospheric chemistry, and form the link between middle atmospheric water vapor and upper atmospheric escape. Our model simulations are constrained by middle atmospheric water vapor and ozone observations (two end points in the photochemical cycles), and the overall good comparison supports the simulated odd hydrogen abundances. This is an important requisite to quantify the enhanced escape and understand the connection with lower atmospheric processes.

## References:

Aoki et al. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JE006109>; Daerden et al. (2022a) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE007079>; Daerden et al. (2022b), GRL, in review; Fedorova et al. (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay9522>; Khayat et al. (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE006834>; Neary et al. (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL084354>; Patel et al. (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JE006837>; Stone et al. (2020) <http://doi.org/10.1126/science.aba5229>; Vandaele et al. (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1097-3>; Villanueva et al. (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc8843>