Modeling the impact of a strong X-class solar flare on the planetary ion composition in Mercury's magnetosphere

A. L. E. Werner^{1*}, F. Leblanc¹, J. Y. Chaufray², R. Modolo², S. Aizawa³, L. Z. Hadid⁴, C. Baskevitch²

¹LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France

²LATMOS/IPSL, UVSQ Université Paris-Saclay, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Guyancourt, France

³IRAP, Toulouse, France

⁴Laboratoire de Physique des Plasmas (LPP), CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Saclay, Ecole polytechnique, Institut Polytechnique de Paris, 91120 Palaiseau, France

Key Points:

- A strong X-class flare can boost the photoionization frequencies of Mercury's Mg, O and He exospheres with 40 – 80%.
- The dayside magnetosphere contains two ion populations for each species which respond to the flare on different time scales.
- Depending on the flare geometry, there may be a time delay between the maximum Mg⁺, O⁺ and He⁺ ion densities in the magnetosphere.

*Current affiliation: Swedish Institute of Space Physics, Uppsala, Sweden

Corresponding author: Elisabeth Werner, elisabeth.werner@latmos.ipsl.fr

Abstract

We model the impact of an extreme solar flare on the Mg^+ , Na^+ , O^+ and He^+ ion density distribution in Mercury's magnetosphere. The Flare Irradiance Spectral Model of the solar irradiance during the X9.3-class flare on 6 September 2017 is used as input to the time-dependent Latmos Ionized Exosphere ion density model. We find that the time-evolution of the planetary ion distribution differs with respect to energy, location and species. There exist two ion energy populations on the dayside that experience different dynamical evolution. The peak ion density in the nightside plasma sheet is delayed by $\sim 7 - 8$ minutes compared to the dayside. The maximum Mg^+ density occurs ~ 4 minutes before He^+ and O^+ in the whole magnetosphere. The time delay between different species does not necessarily occur for solar flares that erupt near the apparent solar limb, where the optical depth is large.

Plain Language Summary

A solar flare is a sudden outburst on the Sun which releases radiation and energetic particles. The abrupt radiation enhancement can strongly increase the frequency by which neutral atoms in Mercury's thin atmosphere are ionized. We use a model of the flare radiation spectrum and a new ion density model to study how a strong solar flare impacts the distribution of planetary ions in Mercury's magnetosphere. We select the strongest solar flare of solar cycle 24, which occurred on 6 September 2017. We find that the time-evolution of the ion density varies depending on the planetary ion species, the location inside the magnetosphere, the ion energy and the location of the flare on the Sun with respect to Mercury. The maximum Mg^+ density occurs ~ 4 minutes before He^+ and O^+ in the whole magnetosphere. This only happens for solar flares which erupt near the center of the solar disk as seen from Mercury. There are two ion populations with different energies on the dayside, and a single ion population on the nightside. For all species, the peak ion density in Mercury's shadow occurs $\sim 7 - 8$ minutes after the corresponding peak on the dayside.

1 Introduction

Mercury has a tenuous, collision-less atmosphere (i.e. a surface-bounded exosphere) that consists of H, He, Na, K, Mg, Ca, Mn, Fe and Al (Broadfoot et al., 1974; Potter & Morgan, 1985, 1986; Bida et al., 2000; McClintock et al., 2008; Bida & Killen, 2017; Ver-vack et al., 2016). The exosphere is maintained over time by different source and loss mechanisms. Mercury's exosphere is mainly sourced from the surface regolith, diffusion of gases from Mercury's interior and surface bombardment by solar wind ions (Killen et al., 2007). The species are released from the regolith into the exosphere by a variety of ejection processes, such as thermal desorption, photon-stimulated desorption, solar wind ion sputtering and meteoroid impact vaporization (Leblanc & Johnson, 2003, 2010; Killen et al., 2007). Neutrals are then lost from the exosphere by thermal (Jeans) escape, acceleration of the atoms by the solar radiation pressure to escape velocity and photoionization.

Mercury has a small magnetosphere that is the result of the interaction between the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) and the intrinsic dipole magnetic field (Anderson et al., 2011). The magnetospheric ion population mainly consists of solar wind ions, but planetary ions may contribute to as much as 10% of the total ion pressure (Yagi et al., 2010). The planetary ions that exist in Mercury's magnetosphere are primarily sourced from photoionization of the neutral exosphere. The Fast Imaging Plasma Spectrometer (FIPS; Andrews et al., 2007) onboard the Mercury Surface, Space Environment, Geochemistry, and Ranging (MESSENGER) spacecraft has mapped the distribution of planetary ions in Mercury's magnetosphere. Na^+ -group (mass-per charge ratio $m/q = 21 - 30$ amu/e), O^+ -group ($m/q = 16 - 20$ amu/e) ions and He^+ were among the most com-

only observed ion species by FIPS inside the magnetosphere (Zurbuchen et al., 2011; Raines et al., 2013). The planetary ions were found to be particularly abundant in the central plasma sheet on the nightside and near the northern cusp on the dayside (Rainey et al., 2013).

Both Mercury’s exosphere (Burger et al., 2014; Cassidy et al., 2015, 2016; Merkel et al., 2017, 2018) and the planetary ion environment (Rainey et al., 2013; Jasinski et al., 2021) have been shown to vary as a function of true anomaly angle (TAA). Ground-based observations of the Na exosphere have shown variations with a timescale on the order of hours (Leblanc et al., 2008, 2009; Mangano et al., 2009, 2013, 2015; Orsini et al., 2018) to minutes (Masetti et al., 2017). Changes in the Na emission distribution have been attributed to variations in the solar wind IMF and solar transient events (Mangano et al., 2013, 2015; Orsini et al., 2018; Milillo et al., 2021). Jasinski et al. (2020) determined that a large meteoroid impact event was responsible behind the FIPS observation of a sudden (< 10 minute) enhancement of the Na^+ -group ion flux ($\sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at ~ 5300 km). Rainey et al. (2018) reported an enhancement of the He^+ density (up to 0.1 cm^{-3}) in the northern cusp following the transit of a CME at Mercury.

There have been a number of intense solar flare events in modern time. Noteworthy examples include the Bastille Day event on 14 July 2000 (Aulanier et al., 2000), the Halloween solar storms in 2003 (Tsurutani et al., 2005) and more recently, a set of strong X-class flares in September 2017 (Yan et al., 2018). On Earth, extreme solar flares can give rise to solar radiation storms, which can have severe biological effects and disrupt satellite operations, and radio blackouts, which affects positioning and satellite navigation (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 2011). Solar flares have also been shown to enhance X-ray emission at Jupiter (Maurellis et al., 2000), Saturn (Bhardwaj et al., 2005) and disturb Mars’s ionosphere (Mendillo et al., 2006; Fallows et al., 2015). To our knowledge, the impact of solar flares on Mercury has not been studied before. Considering Mercury’s short heliocentric distance and the unique composition of heavy species in Mercury’s exosphere, it is a particularly interesting case to consider.

We have developed a model to simulate the impact of a strong X-class solar flare on the ion density distribution of Mg^+ , Na^+ , O^+ and He^+ in Mercury’s magnetosphere. The solar flare event and the model are described in Section 2. We describe the key results in Section 3 and discuss their implications in Section 4. Finally, we summarize our findings in Section 5.

2 Model and Method

2.1 The X9.3-class Solar Flare on 6 September 2017

Between 4-10 September 2017 the active region (AR) 12673 on the Sun released a series of solar flares and CMEs that impacted Earth and the planet Mars. Two special issues in the Space Weather journal (Knipp, D., 2018) and the Geophysical Research Letters (Diffenbaugh, N., 2018) review the observations that were made from these events and the impact they had on the two planets.

The strongest solar flare of this period (and solar cycle 24) started at 11:53 Universal Time (UT) on 6 September 2017 and reached peak emission at 12:02 UT. The flare was detected by the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES) and ranked as the 14th most intense solar flare observed since measurements began in 1975 (Berdermann et al., 2018). Solar flares are classified by their maximum energy output, which is estimated from measurements in the wavelength range $\lambda = 0.1 - 0.8 \text{ nm}$ by GOES X-ray sensor (XRS). The 6 September flare had a peak energy output of $9.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W/m}^2$ and was therefore classified as an X9.3-class event. The strongest solar flare detected to date occurred on 4 November 2003 and was estimated to X28, which makes it at least three times stronger than the 6 September 2017 flare.

A flare of similar strength (X8.2) erupted on 10 September 2017 from the same active region and hit the planet Mars. Spacecraft observations of Mars’s upper atmosphere after the flare showed signs of heating and expansion of the upper atmosphere (Jain et al., 2018), which caused the exosphere and ion density at a given altitude to increase (Elrod et al., 2018; Thiemann et al., 2018). The photochemical escape of O was also shown to be enhanced as a result of the flare (Thiemann et al., 2018). The 6 and 10 September 2017 flares likely also affected Mercury but there were no spacecraft in orbit around Mercury that could study its effects.

2.2 The Flare Irradiance Spectral Model-Version 2

The Flare Irradiance Spectral Model-Version 2 (FISM2; Chamberlin et al., 2020) is an empirical model of the solar spectral irradiance. The solar spectral irradiance is estimated at a heliocentric distance of 1 AU in the wavelength range 0.05 to 189.95 nm with a spectral cadence of 0.1 nm. FISM2 uses data from the X-Ray Photometer System (XPS) on the Solar Radiation and Climate Experiment (SORCE) in the wavelength range 0-6 nm, the EUV Variability Experiment (EVE) on Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) between 6-105 nm and the Solar Stellar Irradiance Comparison Experiment (SOLSTICE; also on SORCE) between 115-190 nm. The FISM2 output is given in a “daily” and “flare” version. The daily output contains the daily average of the solar spectrum for any given day since 1947 until the present. The flare product consists of a modeled spectrum for every 60 s of the selected day (from 2003 until the present). The FISM2 solar irradiance spectra are available at <http://lasp.colorado.edu/lisird/data/fism>.

The FISM2 model relies on a set of proxies to represent the irradiance variability in the full wavelength range (0-190 nm) caused by the solar cycle, solar rotation and solar flares. The solar spectral irradiance variability due to solar flares is estimated using two separate proxies. Measurements from the GOES/XRS B-channel (0.1-0.8 nm) are used to model the gradual (thermal) phase of the solar flare (Priest, 1981). The time-derivative of the GOES/XRS-B measurements are used to represent the impulsive (non-thermal) phase (Neupert, 1968). Only the irradiance variation due to the solar cycle and solar rotation is accounted for in the daily product, while the flare product also accounts for the irradiance variation due to real solar flare events.

We use the FISM2 flare output on 6 September 2017 in order to estimate the time-evolution of the photoionization flux for different species during the specified flare event. The FISM2 model has been used in the past to study the 6 and 10 September 2017 X-class flares (Chamberlin et al., 2018). To calculate the Mg, Na, O and He photoionization frequencies we merge the FISM2 spectra (0-190 nm) with the solar flux model from Killen et al. (2009) between 190-1300 nm and use the theoretical photoionization cross sections from Verner et al. (1996).

2.3 The Latmos Ionized Exosphere Model

The Latmos Ionized Exosphere (LIZE) model is a test-particle model which describes the 3-D ion density distribution of photo-ions derived from Mercury’s exosphere. The model is coupled to a Monte Carlo model of the exosphere (EGM; Leblanc & Johnson, 2010; Leblanc et al., 2017) and a hybrid model of the magnetosphere (LatHyS; Modolo et al., 2016, 2018). We make a separate LIZE simulation for each ion species (Mg^+ , O^+ and He^+). For the O and He exospheres, we used the results of EGM described in Werner et al. (2022), whereas for the Mg exosphere those described in Chaufray et al. (2021a, 2021b). The EGM model of the Na exosphere has been described previously in Leblanc and Johnson (2010) and the He exosphere in Leblanc and Chaufray (2011). We find that the 6 September 2017 flare did not cause the Na surface ejection rate by photo-stimulated desorption to increase or Mercury’s surface temperature to rise (which controls the rate of thermal desorption). Surface ejection by ion sputtering or micro-meteoroid

vaporization are not affected by the solar radiation conditions. Therefore we make the assumption that the neutral Mg, O and He exosphere density does not change significantly during the flare. We use the EGM output at true anomaly angle $TAA = 180^\circ$ (i.e. at aphelion) for all species. For the simulation of the magnetosphere, we use the same set of solar wind and IMF boundary conditions as “case a” described in Aizawa et al. (2021). The LIZE model has been used previously to determine the average ion density and phasespace density distribution of Na^+ , O^+ and He^+ inside Mercury’s magnetosphere (Werner et al., 2022). The model gives a similar average density and spatial distribution as the Na^+ -group, O^+ -group and He^+ ion density observations made by MESSENGER/FIPS (Raines et al., 2013).

For the purpose of this study, we have implemented the capability to use time-dependent input conditions with the LIZE model. We make repeated test-particle injections in the whole simulation volume with a test-particle weight that depends on the nominal 3-D ion production rate and the time-dependent photoionization frequency calculated with the FISM2 model. We use a 4-D grid (r, ϕ, θ, E) where r is the distance from the planet, θ is the co-latitude, ϕ is the longitude and E is the kinetic energy. The grid is centered on the planet and the simulation volume is bounded between $r = 1.0 - 3.5$ Mercury radii (R_M), $\theta = 0 - \pi$ rad and $\phi = 0 - 2\pi$ rad. The grid is divided into 65 exponentially distributed cells along r ($\Delta r = 5 - 600$ km), 40 cells along θ ($\Delta \theta = 0.08$ rad) and 60 cells along ϕ ($\Delta \phi = 0.1$ rad). The energy range is $E = 1 - 10^5$ eV and the energy resolution is described by the formula $(E_i - E_{i-1})/E_i = 0.1$ where E_i is the i th energy step. All test-particles inside the simulation are synchronously advanced in space after every time step ($dt = 0.01$ s). Every 60 s we inject 50 test-particles with zero initial velocity from random positions within each cell on the grid that has a non-zero ion production rate (as defined in the corresponding EGM simulation). The output consists of “snapshots” of the 3-D ion density distribution. Before triggering the solar flare we initialize the simulation volume with 30 minutes of test-particle injections with weights which correspond to the nominal photoionization frequency (for each species) in order to have a steady state situation of the magnetospheric environment. After this time, the deviation between snapshots taken 60 s apart is less than 10%.

3 Results

3.1 Time-evolution of the Mg, Na, O and He photoionization frequency

Figure 1a shows the integrated solar spectral irradiance during the first 30 minutes of the 6 September 2017 flare event. To make this particular plot we have used the wavelength range 0-190 nm as opposed to the whole wavelength range (0-1300 nm), to more clearly show the peaks of the impulsive ($t = 3$ min) and gradual ($t = 6 - 7$ min) phases of the flare. The flare emission that occurs during the impulsive phase is believed to be due to non-thermal acceleration of high speed electrons and protons inside magnetic loops in the solar atmosphere, while the gradual phase is dominated by thermal radiation or bremsstrahlung from the hot gas nested inside the magnetic loops (Dennis & Schwartz, 1989). Figure 1b shows the solar spectral irradiance at two discrete wavelengths: $\lambda = 12$ nm and $\lambda = 180$ nm. The spectral irradiance at $\lambda = 12$ nm is dominated by the gradual phase while the relatively cool, impulsive phase typically dominates at longer wavelengths. Figure 1c shows the time evolution of the photoionization frequency for He, O, Mg and Na normalized to their values before the start of the flare.

Na has the highest nominal photoionization frequency of the four species ($5.0 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$), but the solar flare has a negligible effect on Na (see the inset plot in Figure 1c). The Mg photoionization frequency is an order of magnitude smaller compared to Na ($4.5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$) but increases with up to 87% as a result of the flare. The He and O photoionization frequencies have a similar time-evolution during the flare (see Figure 1c) but have different magnitude (He: $7.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}$; O: $3.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$). The He den-

Figure 1. The (a) integrated solar irradiance during the first 30 minutes of the 6 September 2017 flare in the wavelength interval $\lambda = 0 - 190$ nm calculated using the FISM2 model, (b) the spectral solar irradiance at the wavelengths $\lambda = 12$ nm and $\lambda = 80$ nm and (c) the normalized photoionization frequency for Na, He, O and Mg.

sity from the EGM, which is used as input to the LIZE model, is much higher and have a larger scale height compared to the O density (Werner et al., 2022). The Mg photoionization frequency is highest after 3 minutes, while the maximum He and O photoionization frequencies occurs 7 minutes after the start of the flare. This implies that the impulsive flare phase is most effective in raising the Mg photoionization frequency while the gradual phase is more important for He and O. The different time-evolution of the photoionization frequency for each species and their distribution in the exosphere have the potential to create large differences between their ion counterparts in the magnetosphere.

3.2 Time-evolution of the ion density separated by energy

3.2.1 The ion energy spectrum before the flare

Figure 2a–c show the average He^+ , O^+ and Mg^+ ion density in the latitude range $\pm 30^\circ$ centered on the geometric equatorial plane. We study the evolution of the He^+ , O^+ and Mg^+ ion density as a function of time and energy (Figure 2d–l) inside three different regions in the magnetosphere (black boxes in 2a–2c). The energy spectra in Figure 2d–l shows the ion density separated per energy bin and has the unit $\text{cm}^{-3} \cdot dE^{-1}$, where the energy bin width dE is given by $dE = 0.1E_i$ and $E_0 = 1$ eV. The first region (i.e. Region A) is located near the surface (Altitude: 0–500 km) on the dayside (Local time: 10:30–12:00 h). Region B is located at higher altitudes (Altitude: 100–1100 km) near the dawn terminator (Local time: 05:00–06:30 h), and Region C is located near midnight in the nightside plasma sheet (Altitude: 700–1500 km; Local time: 23:00–01:00 h). Figure 2d–l show the ion energy distributions (energy spectra) for He^+ , O^+ and Mg^+ in Region A–C as a function of time.

Figure 2. The (a) He^+ ($t = 8$ min), (b) O^+ ($t = 8$ min) and (c) Mg^+ ($t = 5$ min) ion density in the equatorial plane (average over latitude range $\pm 30^\circ$) and (d - l) the time-evolution of the energy spectra in Region A - C separated by species. In Figure a - c, X_{MSO} points toward the Sun and Y_{MSO} points toward dusk. The solid white hyperbolas in panels a - c show the location of the magnetopause boundary, which has been calculated and corrected for the solar wind ram pressure of our simulation ($P_{\text{ram}} = 8$ nPa) following the scheme described in Winslow et al. (2013). The black boxes show the location of Region A - C. The dashed white lines in Figure d - l highlights the time when the photoionization frequency for each species reaches its maximum value. The solid white curves identifies the time when the ion density is highest in each energy channel.

Before the flare ($t = 0$), the energy spectra in Region A-B exhibits two distinctive peaks (Population 1 and 2). Population 1 consists of low-energy ions ($E = 0 - 100$ eV) while Population 2 contains much hotter ions ($E > 10$ keV). The low energy of the ions in Population 1 indicate that they have recently been photo-ionized and were likely created inside or near Region A-B. On the contrary, Population 2 must either contain ions which have been created elsewhere and/or have experienced a different dynamical evolution compared to the ions in Population 1 (see Section 4 for an in-depth discussion). For He^+ in Region A, Population 1 has a maximum at $E = 20$ eV and Population 2 at $E = 20$ keV. The energy spectra for O^+ and Mg^+ in Region A (see Figure 2e-2f) also consists of two ion populations. Population 1 (2) has a mean energy of $E = 40$ eV ($E = 10$ keV) for O^+ and $E = 80$ eV ($E = 8$ keV) for Mg^+ . The density of the Population 1 and 2 He^+ ions in Region A are quite similar, with Population 1 being just $\sim 40\%$ more abundant than Population 2. However, for O^+ and Mg^+ Population 1 completely dominates the energy spectrum and Population 2 only accounts for $\sim 10\%$ of the total ion density. The mean energy of the two ion populations are generally lower in Region B: Population 1 (2) has a mean energy of $E = 10$ eV ($E = 5$ keV) for He^+ , $E = 30$ eV ($E = 8$ keV) for O^+ and $E = 30$ eV ($E = 4$ keV) for Mg^+ . Region C appears to be populated by a single ion population with a relatively high average energy of $E = 5$ keV for He^+ , $E = 1$ keV for O^+ and $E = 2$ keV for Mg^+ .

3.2.2 Time-evolution of the ion energy spectrum

The difference between the dashed line and the solid curves in Figure 2d - 2l illustrates the time delay between the maximum photoionization frequency and the maximum ion density in each energy channel. The time delay for Population 1 in Region A is $\Delta t = 1-2$ minutes for all modeled species. The time delay for Population 2 is longer, approximately $\Delta t = 14-15$ minutes. Similar values are found in Region B. Inside region C the maximum ion density occurs at $t = 14 - 15$ minutes for He^+ , O^+ and at $t = 11$ minutes for Mg^+ . If we compare the dashed and the solid curves in Figure 2j - l we find that the time delay is $\Delta t = 7 - 8$ minutes irrespective of the species.

Population 1 typically dominates the total ion density in both Region A and B during the entire simulation for all modeled species. However, the He^+ Population 1 ($E = 0-100$ eV) in Region A varies between being twice as dense as Population 2 ($E > 10$ keV) at $t = 8$ minutes, to only 20% more abundant compared to Population 2 at $t = 22$ minutes. In effect, this causes the average He^+ density to decay more slowly in Region A. The average He^+ density is elevated by $\sim 25\%$ compared to the background value for almost 10 minutes shortly after the main peak ($t = 8$ min). This is not the case for O^+ and Mg^+ , that do not possess such a large population of high-energy ions in this region.

4 Discussion

The photoionization frequency for different neutral species reach their maximum value at different times during a flare. This depends on the photoionization energy threshold and in particular on the wavelength-dependence of the photoionization cross-section for each species. This may cause the impulsive or the gradual flare phase to be the most effective in raising the overall photoionization frequency. The time-evolution of the Mg (and Na) photoionization frequency exhibit a strong correlation with the impulsive phase of the 6 September 2017 flare (see Figure 1c) while the He and O photoionization frequencies reach their maximum values during the gradual flare phase. This result implies that a spacecraft (which carries a plasma mass spectrometer) in orbit around Mercury during a strong X-class flare event will first detect an increase of the Mg^+ density followed by He^+ and O^+ several minutes later, regardless of where the spacecraft is located inside the magnetosphere. Calculations show that most species that have been observed

in Mercury’s exosphere (Bida et al., 2000; Bida & Killen, 2017; Broadfoot et al., 1974; McClintock et al., 2008; Potter & Morgan, 1985, 1986; Vervack et al., 2016) or are expected based on observations of Mercury’s surface composition (Evans et al., 2012, 2015; Nittler et al., 2011; Peplowski et al., 2012, 2015) are most affected by the impulsive phase of the 6 September 2017 flare (i.e. H, C, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Fe). The strength of the impulsive and gradual phase vary on an event-to-event basis. The impulsive flare phase tends to be the dominant phase for small flares, while strong flares like the 6 September 2017 flare often exhibit a relatively strong gradual phase which can last for over an hour (Dennis & Schwartz, 1989).

At most, there are 2.4×10^{26} (He^+ : 43% increase), 4.5×10^{25} (O^+ : 38% increase) 5×10^{23} (Mg^+ : 49% increase) additional He^+ , O^+ and Mg^+ ions being produced respectively in and outside Mercury’s magnetosphere. The maximum He^+ , O^+ and Mg^+ ion production during the flare is equal to barely 0.1% of the plasma mass density of the Na^+ ion population however, and therefore does not cause any significant mass loading of Mercury’s magnetosphere.

Analysis of test-particle trajectories for Population 2 ions reveal that they experience a different dynamical evolution compared to Population 1. Population 2 largely consists of ions which have become quasi-trapped in the closed field line region near Mercury’s magnetic equator. Figure 3 shows an example Mg^+ test-particle trajectory from the LIZE model which is typical to Population 2. The Mg^+ test-particle is ejected in the southern hemisphere and travels toward the dayside equatorial region (see Figure 3a-d and f). As the test-particle moves into the dayside hemisphere it approaches the magnetopause (see Figure 3e), and encounters the strong electric field near the magnetosheath (see the red part of the trajectory in Figure 3a-d and g). This causes the ion energy to increase from a few hundred eV to > 10 keV (see Figure 3h) and the test-particle starts to drift around the planet toward the nightside, where it eventually impacts the planet. The small size of Mercury’s magnetosphere prevents the formation of a steady ion drift belt. Low-mass ions like He^+ can make 1-2 complete orbits before impacting the planet or escaping, while heavier ions like Mg^+ are typically not able to pass the dayside magnetosphere because of their large gyro radii.

The test-particle trajectory in Figure 3 seems to suggest that the Population 2 ions in Region A does not belong to the Type 0 or Type 1 ion populations described in Glass et al. (2021), but could be part of Type 3. Glass et al. (2021) identified different types of Na^+ test-particle trajectories which could be responsible for the population of > 1 keV Na^+ ions observed in Mercury’s northern magnetospheric cusp by FIPS (Raines et al., 2014). Type 0 ions pass through the magnetosheath before crossing the northern cusp, while Type 1 ions move directly into the northern cusp without passing through or coming near the magnetosheath boundary. Any ion which exceeded a distance of $2 R_M$ from the planet before passing through the cusp was categorized as Type 2, based on the relatively coarse grid resolution of the simulation beyond $2 R_M$. Type 3 consists of Na^+ ions which comes close to the magnetopause but do not cross into the magnetosheath before passing through the northern cusp. The Mg^+ ion in Figure 3 is energized to > 10 keV before its closest approach to the magnetopause (see Figure 3e and h). It is possible that Type 3 ions are rare at high latitudes simply because they are easily (quasi-)trapped in the closed field line region near the equator and therefore remain at mid-latitudes.

The magnetopause is located farther away from the surface at the dawn terminator compared to the subsolar point due to solar wind aberration. This implies that the solar wind convective electric field have less influence over the ions in Region B compared to Region A, which leads to overall lower ion energies in this region. Region C is located in Mercury’s shadow, where there is no local ion production and ions can only be transported here from elsewhere in the magnetosphere. This explains the lack of a low-energy ion population in Region C and the time delay between the peak ion density in Region

Figure 3. Example test-particle trajectory of a Mg^+ ion from the LIZE model in the (a,c) MSO XY-plane and the (b,d) XZ-plane. Also shown is the magnetic field component B_z (a,b) and the total electric field E_{tot} (c,d) from the LathyS simulation. (e) shows the distance of the Mg^+ ion from the planet, (f) the time-evolution of B_z experienced by the Mg^+ ion, (f) the total electric field and (h) the ion energy. The part of the test-particle trajectory highlighted in red indicates a short time period when the ion energy increases from ~ 100 eV to >10 keV. The white dashed curves in (a-d) show the approximate location of the magnetopause and bow shock calculated from Winslow et al. (2013).

A and Region C. The ions in Region C are mainly sourced by magnetospheric convection from the dayside and the quasi-trapped ion drift belt.

The two peaks in the solar irradiance from the 6 September flare are relatively pronounced compared to the X8.2-class flare on the 10 September. This is caused by the difference in the optical thickness of the flare emission during the impulsive and gradual phase. The 6 September flare occurred when the active region was located near the center of the solar disk (S09W34) as seen from Earth, while the 10 September flare occurred when the active region was located near the solar limb (S08W88). The emission during the gradual phase of the flare is optically thick and more easily absorbed by the Sun's atmosphere than the impulsive emission which is optically thin. Because the optical path between an observer and the apparent solar limb is longer compared to the center of the solar disk, the intensity of the gradual flare phase emission may change considerably depending on the location of the flare source region. This means that for species like He^+ and O^+ the time of the peak photoionization frequency will also change. The Mg^+ photoionization frequency is mainly controlled by the impulsive flare phase and is therefore less sensitive to the location of the flare source region. It should be noted that the FISM2 flare model is based on GOES observations made at Earth, and will not reflect the true flare radiation profile at Mercury if the planet is located far away from the Sun-Earth line. The 6 September 2017 flare, for instance, erupted closer to the apparent center of the solar disk as seen from Mercury and may have caused the gradual phase flare emission to be even stronger than suggested here.

5 Conclusions

We have used a test-particle model of the planetary ion density distribution in Mercury's magnetosphere which accepts time-dependent input conditions. We use this time-dependent capability to model the impact of a real flare event (the X9.3-class flare on 6 September 2017) on different planetary ion species. We find the following:

- The photoionization frequency of Na was not significantly affected, while the photoionization frequencies of Mg, O and He were increased with up to 40 – 80%.
- The maximum He and O photoionization frequencies are delayed by ~ 4 minutes after the maximum Mg photoionization frequency. This is because the photoionization process for these species are mostly affected by the emission released during the gradual flare phase. Consequently, the photoionization frequency of Mg displays a relatively quick decay after the main peak compared to O and He.
- In the dayside magnetosphere, the low-energy ion population experiences a quicker evolution than the high-energy ions. At low altitudes on the dayside, ~ 20 keV energy ions take up to 14 minutes to show a flare enhancement. This comes to show that the planetary ion population experiences different dynamical evolution which have different characteristic timescales.
- In the nightside plasma sheet, there is no local ion production and ions can only be transported here from elsewhere in the magnetosphere. For this reason there is no low-energy ion population in this region. There is a time delay between the maximum ion density on the dayside and the maximum ion density in the nightside of $\sim 7 - 8$ minutes for all species.

This study shows that predicting the response of Mercury's magnetosphere to a strong solar flare is an intricate problem. What a mass spectrum analyzer on a spacecraft inside Mercury's magnetosphere will measure depends on a number of factors: the species, the location of the flare on the solar disk, the location of the spacecraft and the energy range of the instrument.

Acknowledgments

A.L.E.W., F.L., J.-Y.C. and R.M acknowledges the support by ANR of the TEMPETE project (grant ANR-17-CE31-0016). S.A., F.L., J.-Y.C., R.M and A.L.E.W. would like to acknowledge the support of CNES for the BepiColombo mission. The FISM2 model output for 6 September 2017 was obtained from the LASP Interactive Solar Irradiance Datacenter (https://lasp.colorado.edu/lisird/data/fism_flare_hr/). The LatHyS, EGM and LIZE simulation simulation results are publicly available through the IMPEX web-interface (<http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/>). To view the EGM and LIZE files, first select a LatHyS file (e.g. Data tree>Mercury>Simulations>LatHyS_Merc_02.05.20), then any LatHyS, EGM or LIZE file. The files can also be downloaded directly from: http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/Hybrid/Merc_02.05.20/Magw_02.05.20_t00600.nc (LatHyS magnetic field), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/Hybrid/Merc_02.05.20/Elw_02.05.20_t00600.nc (LatHyS electric field), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/EGM/Mercury_05.10.21A/EGM_Mercury_170.190_00000278.10072021.nc (EGM He), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/EGM/Mercury_05.10.21B/EGM_Mercury_049.049_00800000.10072021.nc (EGM O), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/EGM/Mercury_05.10.21C/EGM_Mercury_180.180_23609999.10072021.nc (EGM Mg), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/LIZE/Merc_02.05.20A/LIZE_tdep_flare_He_LatHyS_020520_EGM_170.190_00000278.t00.nc (LIZE He⁺), http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/LIZE/Merc_02.05.20B/LIZE_tdep_flare_O_LatHyS_020520_EGM_049.049_00800000.t00.nc (LIZE O⁺) and http://impex.latmos.ipsl.fr/LIZE/Merc_02.05.20C/LIZE_tdep_flare_Mg_LatHyS_020520_EGM_180.180_23609999.t00.nc (LIZE Mg⁺). A.L.E.W., F.L., J.-Y.C. and R.M thanks the IPSL data center CICLAD for providing access to their computing resources.

References

- Aizawa, S., Griton, L. S., Fatemi, S., Exner, W., Deca, J., Pantellini, F., ... Usui, H. (2021). Cross-comparison of global simulation models applied to Mercury's dayside magnetosphere. *Planetary and Space Science*, 198. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2021.105176
- Anderson, B. J., Johnson, C. L., Korth, H., Purucker, M. E., Winslow, R. M., Slavin, J. A., ... Zurbuchen, T. H. (2011). The Global Magnetic Field of Mercury from MESSENGER Orbital Observations. *Science*, 333(6051), 1859. doi: 10.1126/science.1211001
- Andrews, G. B., Zurbuchen, T. H., Mauk, B. H., Malcom, H., Fisk, L. A., Gloeckler, G., ... Raines, J. M. (2007). The Energetic Particle and Plasma Spectrometer Instrument on the MESSENGER Spacecraft. *Space Science Reviews*, 131(1-4), 523-556. doi: 10.1007/s11214-007-9272-5
- Aulanier, G., DeLuca, E. E., Antiochos, S. K., McMullen, R. A., & Golub, L. (2000). The Topology and Evolution of the Bastille Day Flare. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 540(2), 1126-1142. doi: 10.1086/309376
- Berdermann, J., Kriegel, M., Banyś, D., Heymann, F., Hoque, M. M., Wilken, V., ... Jakowski, N. (2018). Ionospheric Response to the X9.3 Flare on 6 September 2017 and Its Implication for Navigation Services Over Europe. *Space Weather*, 16(10), 1604-1615. doi: 10.1029/2018SW001933
- Bhardwaj, A., Elsner, R. F., Waite, J., J. Hunter, Gladstone, G. R., Cravens, T. E., & Ford, P. G. (2005). Chandra Observation of an X-Ray Flare at Saturn: Evidence of Direct Solar Control on Saturn's Disk X-Ray Emissions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 624(2), L121-L124. doi: 10.1086/430521
- Bida, T. A., & Killen, R. M. (2017). Observations of the minor species Al and Fe in Mercury's exosphere. *Icarus*, 289, 227-238. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.10.019
- Bida, T. A., Killen, R. M., & Morgan, T. H. (2000). Discovery of calcium in Mercury's atmosphere. *Nature*, 404(6774), 159-161. doi: 10.1038/35004521
- Broadfoot, A. L., Kumar, S., Belton, M. J. S., & McElroy, M. B. (1974). Mercury's Atmosphere from Mariner 10: Preliminary Results. *Science*, 185(4146), 166-169. doi: 10.1126/science.185.4146.166
- Burger, M. H., Killen, R. M., McClintock, W. E., Merkel, A. W., Vervack, R. J., Cassidy, T. A., & Sarantos, M. (2014). Seasonal variations in Mercury's day-side calcium exosphere. *Icarus*, 238, 51-58. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.04.049
- Cassidy, T. A., McClintock, W. E., Killen, R. M., Sarantos, M., Merkel, A. W., Vervack, R. J., & Burger, M. H. (2016). A cold-pole enhancement in Mercury's sodium exosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43(21), 11,121-11,128. doi: 10.1002/2016GL071071
- Cassidy, T. A., Merkel, A. W., Burger, M. H., Sarantos, M., Killen, R. M., McClintock, W. E., & Vervack, R. J. (2015). Mercury's seasonal sodium exosphere: MESSENGER orbital observations. *Icarus*, 248, 547-559. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2014.10.037
- Chamberlin, P. C., Eparvier, F. G., Knoer, V., Leise, H., Pankratz, A., Snow, M., ... Woods, T. N. (2020). The Flare Irradiance Spectral Model-Version 2 (FISM2). *Space Weather*, 18(12). doi: 10.1029/2020SW002588
- Chamberlin, P. C., Woods, T. N., Didkovsky, L., Eparvier, F. G., Jones, A. R., Machol, J. L., ... Woodraska, D. L. (2018). Solar Ultraviolet Irradiance Observations of the Solar Flares During the Intense September 2017 Storm Period. *Space Weather*, 16(10), 1470-1487. doi: 10.1029/2018SW001866
- Chaufray, J.-Y., Leblanc, F., Werner, A. L. E., Modolo, R., & Aizawa, S. (2021a). Seasonal variations of Mg and Ca in the exosphere of Mercury. In *Agu fall meeting abstracts 2021*.
- Chaufray, J.-Y., Leblanc, F., Werner, E., Modolo, R., & Aizawa, S. (2021b). Seasonal variations of Mg and Ca in the exosphere of Mercury. *Icarus, Under review*.

- Dennis, B. R., & Schwartz, R. A. (1989). Solar Flares - the Impulsive Phase. *Solar Physics*, 121(1-2), 75-94. doi: 10.1007/BF00161688
- Diffenbaugh, N. (Ed.). (2018). *Impact of the Sept. 10, 2017, solar event on Mars [Special issue]. Geophysical Research Letters. [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1002/\(ISSN\)1944-8007.MARS-SOLAR1](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1002/(ISSN)1944-8007.MARS-SOLAR1)*.
- Elrod, M. K., Curry, S. M., Thiemann, E. M. B., & Jain, S. K. (2018). September 2017 Solar Flare Event: Rapid Heating of the Martian Neutral Upper Atmosphere From the X-Class Flare as Observed by MAVEN. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(17), 8803-8810. doi: 10.1029/2018GL077729
- Evans, L. G., Peplowski, P. N., McCubbin, F. M., McCoy, T. J., Nittler, L. R., Zolotov, M. Y., ... Solomon, S. C. (2015). Chlorine on the surface of Mercury: MESSENGER gamma-ray measurements and implications for the planet's formation and evolution. *Icarus*, 257, 417-427. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2015.04.039
- Evans, L. G., Peplowski, P. N., Rhodes, E. A., Lawrence, D. J., McCoy, T. J., Nittler, L. R., ... Goldsten, J. O. (2012). Major-element abundances on the surface of Mercury: Results from the MESSENGER Gamma-Ray Spectrometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, 117. doi: 10.1029/2012JE004178
- Fallows, K., Withers, P., & Gonzalez, G. (2015). Response of the Mars ionosphere to solar flares: Analysis of MGS radio occultation data. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 120(11), 9805-9825. doi: 10.1002/2015JA021108
- Glass, A. N., Raines, J. M., Jia, X., Tensihev, V., Shou, Y., Aizawa, S., & A., S. J. (2021). A 3D MHD-Particle Tracing Model of Na+ Energization on Mercury's Dayside. *Planetary and Space Science*, 126. doi: 10.1029/2021JA029587
- Jain, S. K., Deighan, J., Schneider, N. M., Stewart, A. I. F., Evans, J. S., Thiemann, E. M. B., ... Jakosky, B. M. (2018). Martian Thermospheric Response to an X8.2 Solar Flare on 10 September 2017 as Seen by MAVEN/IUVS. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(15), 7312-7319. doi: 10.1029/2018GL077731
- Jasinski, J. M., Cassidy, T. A., Raines, J. M., Milillo, A., Regoli, L. H., Dewey, R., ... Murphy, N. (2021). Photoionization Loss of Mercury's Sodium Exosphere: Seasonal Observations by MESSENGER and the THEMIS Telescope. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48(8). doi: 10.1029/2021GL092980
- Jasinski, J. M., Regoli, L. H., Cassidy, T. A., Dewey, R. M., Raines, J. M., Slavin, J. A., ... Murphy, N. (2020). A transient enhancement of Mercury's exosphere at extremely high altitudes inferred from pickup ions. *Nature Communications*, 11. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-18220-2
- Killen, R., Cremonese, G., Lammer, H., Orsini, S., Potter, A. E., Sprague, A. L., ... Mura, A. (2007). Processes that Promote and Deplete the Exosphere of Mercury. *Space Science Reviews*, 132(2-4), 433-509. doi: 10.1007/s11214-007-9232-0
- Killen, R., Shemansky, D., & Mouawad, N. (2009). Expected Emission from Mercury's Exospheric Species, and their Ultraviolet-Visible Signatures. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement*, 181(2), 351-359. doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/181/2/351
- Knipp, D. (Ed.). (2018). *Space Weather Events of 4-10 September 2017 [Special issue]. Space Weather. [https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1002/\(ISSN\)1542-7390.SW-SEPT2017](https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/toc/10.1002/(ISSN)1542-7390.SW-SEPT2017)*.
- Leblanc, F., & Chaufray, J. Y. (2011). Mercury and Moon He exospheres: Analysis and modeling. *Icarus*, 216(2), 551-559. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2011.09.028
- Leblanc, F., Doressoundiram, A., Schneider, N., Mangano, V., López Ariste, A., Lemen, C., ... Cremonese, G. (2008). High latitude peaks in Mercury's sodium exosphere: Spectral signature using THEMIS solar telescope. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(18). doi: 10.1029/2008GL035322
- Leblanc, F., Doressoundiram, A., Schneider, N., Massetti, S., Wedlund, M., López Ariste, A., ... Cremonese, G. (2009). Short-term variations of Mercury's Na

- exosphere observed with very high spectral resolution. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(7). doi: 10.1029/2009GL038089
- Leblanc, F., & Johnson, R. E. (2003). Mercury’s sodium exosphere. *Icarus*, 164(2), 261-281. doi: 10.1016/S0019-1035(03)00147-7
- Leblanc, F., & Johnson, R. E. (2010). Mercury exosphere I. Global circulation model of its sodium component. *Icarus*, 209(2), 280-300. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2010.04.020
- Leblanc, F., Oza, A. V., Leclercq, L., Schmidt, C., Cassidy, T., Modolo, R., ... Johnson, R. E. (2017). On the orbital variability of Ganymede’s atmosphere. *Icarus*, 293, 185-198. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2017.04.025
- Mangano, V., Leblanc, F., Barbieri, C., Massetti, S., Milillo, A., Cremonese, G., & Grava, C. (2009). Detection of a southern peak in Mercury’s sodium exosphere with the TNG in 2005. *Icarus*, 201(2), 424-431. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2009.01.016
- Mangano, V., Massetti, S., Milillo, A., Mura, A., Orsini, S., & Leblanc, F. (2013). Dynamical evolution of sodium anisotropies in the exosphere of Mercury. *Planetary and Space Science*, 82, 1-10. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2013.03.002
- Mangano, V., Massetti, S., Milillo, A., Plainaki, C., Orsini, S., Rispoli, R., & Leblanc, F. (2015). THEMIS Na exosphere observations of Mercury and their correlation with in-situ magnetic field measurements by MESSENGER. *Planetary and Space Science*, 115, 102-109. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2015.04.001
- Massetti, S., Mangano, V., Milillo, A., Mura, A., Orsini, S., & Plainaki, C. (2017). Short-term observations of double-peaked Na emission from Mercury’s exosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 44(7), 2970-2977. doi: 10.1002/2017GL073090
- Maurellis, A. N., Cravens, T. E., Gladstone, G. R., Waite, J. H., & Acton, L. W. (2000). Jovian X-ray emission from solar X-ray scattering. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(9), 1339-1342. doi: 10.1029/1999GL010723
- McClintock, W. E., Bradley, E. T., Vervack, R. J., Killen, R. M., Sprague, A. L., Izenberg, N. R., & Solomon, S. C. (2008). Mercury’s Exosphere: Observations During MESSENGER’s First Mercury Flyby. *Science*, 321(5885), 92. doi: 10.1126/science.1159467
- Mendillo, M., Withers, P., Hinson, D., Rishbeth, H., & Reinisch, B. (2006). Effects of Solar Flares on the Ionosphere of Mars. *Science*, 311(5764), 1135-1138. doi: 10.1126/science.1122099
- Merkel, A. W., Cassidy, T. A., Vervack, R. J., McClintock, W. E., Sarantos, M., Burger, M. H., & Killen, R. M. (2017). Seasonal variations of Mercury’s magnesium dayside exosphere from MESSENGER observations. *Icarus*, 281, 46-54. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2016.08.032
- Merkel, A. W., Vervack, R. J., Killen, R. M., Cassidy, T. A., McClintock, W. E., Nittler, L. R., & Burger, M. H. (2018). Evidence Connecting Mercury’s Magnesium Exosphere to Its Magnesium-Rich Surface Terrane. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(14), 6790-6797. doi: 10.1029/2018GL078407
- Milillo, A., Mangano, V., Massetti, S., Mura, A., Plainaki, C., Alberti, T., ... Vortelli, N. (2021). Exospheric Na distributions along the Mercury orbit with the THEMIS telescope. *Icarus*, 355. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2020.114179
- Modolo, R., Hess, S., Génot, V., Leclercq, L., Leblanc, F., Chaufray, J. Y., ... Holmström, M. (2018). The LatHyS database for planetary plasma environment investigations: Overview and a case study of data/model comparisons. *Planetary and Space Science*, 150, 13-21. doi: 10.1016/j.pss.2017.02.015
- Modolo, R., Hess, S., Mancini, M., Leblanc, F., Chaufray, J.-Y., Brain, D., ... Mazelle, C. (2016). Mars-solar wind interaction: LatHyS, an improved parallel 3-D multispecies hybrid model. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 121(7), 6378-6399. doi: 10.1002/2015JA022324
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2011). *NOAA Space Weather*

- 580 *Scales*. [https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/images/](https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/images/NOAA_scales.pdf)
581 [NOAA_scales.pdf](https://www.swpc.noaa.gov/sites/default/files/images/NOAA_scales.pdf). (Last updated on 7 April 2011. Accessed on 6 September
582 2021)
- 583 Neupert, W. M. (1968). Comparison of Solar X-Ray Line Emission with Mi-
584 crowave Emission during Flares. *Astrophysical Journal*, 153, L59. doi:
585 10.1086/180220
- 586 Nittler, L. R., Starr, R. D., Weider, S. Z., McCoy, T. J., Boynton, W. V., Ebel,
587 D. S., ... Sprague, A. L. (2011). The Major-Element Composition of Mer-
588 cury's Surface from MESSENGER X-ray Spectrometry. *Science*, 333(6051),
589 1847. doi: 10.1126/science.1211567
- 590 Orsini, S., Mangano, V., Milillo, A., Plainaki, C., Mura, A., Raines, J. M., ... Aron-
591 ica, A. (2018). Mercury sodium exospheric emission as a proxy for solar
592 perturbations transit. *Scientific Reports*, 8. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-19163-x
- 593 Peplowski, P. N., Lawrence, D. J., Evans, L. G., Klima, R. L., Blewett, D. T., Gold-
594 sten, J. O., ... Weider, S. Z. (2015). Constraints on the abundance of car-
595 bon in near-surface materials on Mercury: Results from the MESSENGER
596 Gamma-Ray Spectrometer. *Planetary and Space Science*, 108, 98-107. doi:
597 10.1016/j.pss.2015.01.008
- 598 Peplowski, P. N., Lawrence, D. J., Rhodes, E. A., Sprague, A. L., McCoy, T. J.,
599 Denevi, B. W., ... Weider, S. Z. (2012). Variations in the abundances of
600 potassium and thorium on the surface of Mercury: Results from the MESSEN-
601 GER Gamma-Ray Spectrometer. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*,
602 117. doi: 10.1029/2012JE004141
- 603 Potter, A. E., & Morgan, T. H. (1985). Discovery of Sodium in the Atmosphere of
604 Mercury. *Science*, 229(4714), 651-653. doi: 10.1126/science.229.4714.651
- 605 Potter, A. E., & Morgan, T. H. (1986). Potassium in the atmosphere of Mercury.
606 *Icarus*, 67(2), 336-340. doi: 10.1016/0019-1035(86)90113-2
- 607 Priest, E. R. (1981). *Solar flare magnetohydrodynamics*. New York: Gordon and
608 Breach.
- 609 Raines, J. M., Gershman, D. J., Slavin, J. A., Zurbuchen, T. H., Korth, H., Ander-
610 son, B. J., & Solomon, S. C. (2014). Structure and dynamics of Mercury's
611 magnetospheric cusp: MESSENGER measurements of protons and planetary
612 ions. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, 119(8), 6587-6602. doi:
613 10.1002/2014JA020120
- 614 Raines, J. M., Gershman, D. J., Zurbuchen, T. H., Sarantos, M., Slavin, J. A.,
615 Gilbert, J. A., ... Solomon, S. C. (2013). Distribution and compositional vari-
616 ations of plasma ions in Mercury's space environment: The first three Mercury
617 years of MESSENGER observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*
618 *Physics*, 118(4), 1604-1619. doi: 10.1029/2012JA018073
- 619 Raines, J. M., Wallace, K. L., Sarantos, M., Jasinski, J. M., Tracy, P. J., Dewey,
620 R. M., ... Slavin, J. A. (2018). First In-Situ Observations of Exospheric Re-
621 sponse to CME Impact at Mercury. In *Mercury: Current and future science of*
622 *the innermost planet* (Vol. 2047).
- 623 Thiemann, E. M. B., Andersson, L., Lillis, R., Withers, P., Xu, S., Elrod, M., ...
624 Deighan, J. (2018). The Mars Topside Ionosphere Response to the X8.2 Solar
625 Flare of 10 September 2017. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45(16), 8005-8013.
626 doi: 10.1029/2018GL077730
- 627 Tsurutani, B. T., Judge, D. L., Guarnieri, F. L., Gangopadhyay, P., Jones, A. R.,
628 Nuttall, J., ... Viereck, R. (2005). The October 28, 2003 extreme EUV solar
629 flare and resultant extreme ionospheric effects: Comparison to other Halloween
630 events and the Bastille Day event. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(3). doi:
631 10.1029/2004GL021475
- 632 Verner, D. A., Ferland, G. J., Korista, K. T., & Yakovlev, D. G. (1996). Atomic
633 Data for Astrophysics. II. New Analytic FITS for Photoionization Cross
634 Sections of Atoms and Ions. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 465, 487. doi:

10.1086/177435

- Vervack, R. J., Killen, R. M., McClintock, W. E., Merkel, A. W., Burger, M. H., Cassidy, T. A., & Sarantos, M. (2016). New discoveries from MESSENGER and insights into Mercury's exosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *43*(22), 11,545-11,551. doi: 10.1002/2016GL071284
- Werner, A. L. E., Aizawa, S., Leblanc, F., Chaufray, J.-. Y., Modolo, R., Raines, J. M., ... Schmidt, C. (2022). Ion density and phase space density distribution of planetary ions Na^+ , O^+ and He^+ in Mercury's magnetosphere. *Icarus*, *372*. doi: 10.1016/j.icarus.2021.114734
- Winslow, R. M., Anderson, B. J., Johnson, C. L., Slavin, J. A., Korth, H., Purucker, M. E., ... Solomon, S. C. (2013). Mercury's magnetopause and bow shock from MESSENGER Magnetometer observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *118*(5), 2213-2227. doi: 10.1002/jgra.50237
- Yagi, M., Seki, K., Matsumoto, Y., Delcourt, D. C., & Leblanc, F. (2010). Formation of a sodium ring in Mercury's magnetosphere. *Journal of Geophysical Research (Space Physics)*, *115*(A10). doi: 10.1029/2009JA015226
- Yan, X. L., Wang, J. C., Pan, G. M., Kong, D. F., Xue, Z. K., Yang, L. H., ... Feng, X. S. (2018). Successive X-class Flares and Coronal Mass Ejections Driven by Shearing Motion and Sunspot Rotation in Active Region NOAA 12673. *The Astrophysical Journal*, *856*(1), 79. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aab153
- Zurbuchen, T. H., Raines, J. M., Slavin, J. A., Gershman, D. J., Gilbert, J. A., Gloeckler, G., ... Solomon, S. C. (2011). MESSENGER Observations of the Spatial Distribution of Planetary Ions Near Mercury. *Science*, *333*(6051), 1862. doi: 10.1126/science.1211302

Figure 1.

Figure 3.

Figure 2.

He+

Equatorial plane

Region A

Region B

Region B

O+

Mg+