

Age of the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean: Indications From High-Resolution Magnetic Data

Tao Zhang, J. Dyment, Jinyao Gao

▶ To cite this version:

Tao Zhang, J. Dyment, Jinyao Gao. Age of the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean: Indications From High-Resolution Magnetic Data. Geophysical Research Letters, 2019, 46 (23), pp.13712-13721. 10.1029/2019GL085736. insu-03066191

HAL Id: insu-03066191 https://insu.hal.science/insu-03066191

Submitted on 17 Dec 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Age of the Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean: Indications From		
2	High-Resolution Magnetic Data		
3	Tao Zhang ^{1,2} , Jérôme Dyment ³ , and Jinyao Gao ^{1,2}		
4	¹ Key Laboratory of Submarine Geosciences, State Oceanic Administration,		
5	Hangzhou 310012, China.		
6	² Second Institute of Oceanography, Ministry of Natural Resources, Hangzhou		
7	310012, China.		
8	³ Institut de physique du globe de Paris, Université de Paris, CNRS, F-75005		
9	Paris, France.		
10	Key Points:		
11	• High-resolution deep-tow and sea surface magnetic data in the ice-covered		
12	Canada Basin of the Arctic are presented.		
13	• The crustal age of the Canada Basin is 139.5-128.6 Ma (142.4-132.8 Ma), with		
14	a spreading rate of \sim 32 (38) mm/yr.		
15	• The opening of the Canada Basin was roughly contemporaneous with the		
16	closure of the ancient South Anyui Ocean.		
17			
18	Abstract: The origin and history of the Amerasia basin are long-running debates,		
19	which hinder our knowledge of the Mesozoic tectonic configurations and geodynamic		
20	processes in the Arctic. This lack of knowledge is due in part to the paucity of		
21	accurate magnetic data in the ice-covered basin. Here, we identify the crustal age of		
22	the Canada Basin, a major part of the Amerasia Basin, through high-resolution		

deep-tow and sea surface magnetic data. The best fit of the four pairs of magnetic lineations revealed by the high-resolution magnetic data is 139.5-128.6 Ma (or 142.4-132.8 Ma, depending on the geomagnetic polarity timescale). The crustal age provides crucial constraints on the evolution of the circum-Arctic tectonic features and generally supports the hypothesis that the opening of the Amerasia Basin is related to the subductions during the closure of the South Anyui Ocean.

29

30 Plain Language Summary

31 The Amerasia Basin of the Arctic Ocean is one of the last major puzzles of the plate 32 reconstructions, due to the lack of age knowledge. The identification of magnetic 33 anomalies is the routine method of acquiring the age of oceanic crust, yet floating ice 34 in the basin makes it difficult to obtain magnetic data. We collected deep-tow 35 magnetic data in the basin by lowering a magnetic sensor to a depth of ~2000 m, which provides high-resolution data and avoids floating ice. The identified magnetic 36 37 lineations indicate the Amerasia Basin opened at 139.5-128.6 Ma (or 142.4-132.8 Ma, 38 depending on the geomagnetic polarity timescale). The contemporaneous closure of 39 the ancient South Anyui Ocean (~1000 km in the south) may have provided space for 40 the opening of the Amerasia Basin. This interpretation then generally supports the 41 existing hypothesis that the opening of the Amerasian Basin is associated with the 42 subduction process in the South Anyui Ocean. Nevertheless, a more sophisticated 43 geodynamical model is still needed.

44 **1 Introduction**

45 The opening of the Amerasia Basin shaped the Mesozoic configuration of major 46 circum-Arctic geological features such as Arctic Alaska, Chukotka, and Arctic Canada. A robust tectonic model of the Amerasia Basin would yield insights into Arctic 47 48 paleogeography, paleoclimate, the driving forces of the opening of the basin, and 49 resource exploration within the dozens of circum-Arctic sedimentary basins 50 [Shephard et al., 2013]. However, the nature and age of the Amerasia Basin have been 51 debated for decades [e.g., Embry, 1990, 2000; Grantz et al., 1998, 2011; Lane, 1997; 52 Miller et al., 2006,2017]. Recently, the oceanic nature of the crust in the Canada 53 Basin, which forms the major part of the Amerasia Basin, was revealed by refraction seismic data [Chian et al., 2016]. The roughly symmetric oceanic lithosphere about a 54 55 N-S trending gravity low indicates that the Canada Basin was an E-W spreading 56 oceanic basin. Nevertheless, the age of the Canada Basin remains elusive, with an 57 inferred range of 160-72 Ma from investigations on the stratigraphy and volcanism 58 along its margins and sparse geophysical data in the basin [e.g., Alvey et al., 2008; 59 Chian et al., 2016; Døssing et al., 2013; Embry, 1990; Gaina et al., 2014; Grantz et al., 60 2011; Lane, 1997; Langseth et al., 1990; Miller et al., 2006, 2017; Taylor et al., 1981]. 61 In particular, the low-resolution airborne magnetic data in the basin with an exceptionally thick (4-11 km) sedimentary cover impede the definitive identification 62 63 of crustal age with magnetic anomalies. In this paper, we present recently sampled, 64 high-resolution, deep-tow and sea surface magnetic data in the ice-covered Canada

Basin (Figure 1). We identify the crustal age of the Canada Basin through the amplitude, shape, and pairs of the magnetic lineations. We discuss the relationships between seafloor spreading of the Canada Basin and regional unconformities along the margins of the Canada Basin. We further suggest that the opening of the Canada Basin may be kinematically and geodynamically related to the demise of the South Anyui Ocean, which partly supports the existing models [e.g., *Koulakov et al.*, 2013; *Kuzmichev*, 2009].

72 2 Geological Settings

73 Located at the center of the Arctic region, the Amerasia Basin is bounded by Arctic 74 Alaska, Chukotka, East Siberian Shelf, Lomonosov Ridge, and Arctic Canada (Figure 75 1). Numerous models have been proposed to explain the formation of the Amerasia 76 Basin [e.g., Lawver and Scotese, 1990; and references therein]. The floating ice, thick 77 sediment, and presence of the High Arctic Large Igneous Province make it difficult to 78 validate those models. Among them, the generally accepted anticlockwise rotation 79 model proposes that the Chukotka-Alaska region rotated from Arctic Canada with a 80 pole of rotation located near the Mackenzie Delta in Early Cretaceous [Carey, 1955; 81 Embry, 1990, 2000; Embry and Dixon, 1990; Grantz et al., 1998, 2011; Halgedahl 82 and Jarrard, 1987; Mickey et al., 2002]. Recent seismic [Chian et al., 2016] and 83 potential field data [Andersen et al., 2010; Gaina et al., 2011] from the Canada Basin 84 revealed that the oceanic crust is roughly symmetrical about the N-S trending relict 85 axis shown by a linear gravity low. The extent of oceanic crust and location of the

86 relict axis are broadly consistent with the depictions in the rotation model. However,

87 this model is challenged by alternative models [e.g., Chian et al., 2016; Døssing et al.,

88 2018; Hutchinson et al., 2017; Koulakov et al., 2013; Lane, 199], in part due to the

89 uncertain age of the Amerasia Basin.

90 In the anticlockwise rotation model, the Amerasia Basin opened in two stages 91 [e.g., Grantz et al., 2011]. The age of initial rifting ranges from the Early Jurassic 92 (Hettangian) [Hubbard et al., 1987] to early Middle Jurassic (Aalenian) [Embry and 93 Dixon, 1990; Mickey et al., 2002]. The second stage or main stage of opening is no 94 older than Oxfordian-Tithonian (158-145.5), supported by the synrift sequence 95 onlapping late Oxfordian-Tithonian strata at the Northwind Ridge [Grantz et al., 96 1998]. Nevertheless, the age of seafloor spreading is still highly controversial. Grantz 97 et al.[2011] proposed that seafloor spreading was initiated in Hauterivian (~131 Ma) 98 after correlating the beds of the late synrift sequences to the widely distributed Lower 99 Cretaceous unconformity. Halgedahl and Jarrard [1987] suggested that the Alaskan 100 North Slope was still adjacent to the Arctic Islands in Valanginian based on the 101 paleomagnetic data from the North Slope Kuparuk Formation. Embry and Dixon 102 [1990] interpreted the Albian-Cenomanian unconformity in the Sverdrup Basin as the 103 breakup unconformity. Based on a petrologic study, Miller et al. [2017] suggested that 104 spreading in the Amerasia Basin may have ended at ~90 Ma.

Several interpretations of the magnetic anomaly have been proposed in theCanada Basin based on available low-resolution airborne magnetic data. However, the

107 low amplitude and limited two pairs of conjugate positive magnetic anomalies made 108 any oceanic crustal age identification uncertain and unreliable [Chian et al., 2016; 109 Gaina et al., 2014; Grantz et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 1981]. Taylor et al. [1981] 110 tentatively suggested that the crustal age of the Canada Basin ranges from the earliest 111 Late Jurassic to Valanginian (CM25-CM12, 160-136 Ma). Grantz et al. [2011] and 112 Chian et al. [2016] proposed similar identifications of chrons CM4n to CM2n (131-127.5 Ma), with a spreading rate up to 75 mm/yr. Gaina et al. [2014] identified 113 114 CM16-CM4 (137.8-126.5 Ma) according to Channell (1995) and found a spreading 115 rate of ~30 mm/yr for the younger stage of seafloor spreading in the northern part of 116 the Canada Basin.

The morphology of the rift valley offers an independent constraint on the spreading rate of the Canada Basin. Reflection seismic data indicate that the valley of the relict ridge axis has depths of 1.0-1.5 km and widths of 30-40 km [*Chian et al.*, 2016; *Grantz et al.*, 2011], which is typical for a slow spreading (< 75 mm/yr) ridge axis. The rough basement relief and relative thin crust (4-7 km) [*Chian et al.*, 2016] are also consistent with the characteristics of the slow to ultraslow spreading ridges [*Dick et al.*, 2003; *Malinverno*, 1991].



124

Figure 1. Topography of the Circum-Arctic Region. The location of SAZ is from *Shephard et al.*[2013]. The location of the survey lines, relict ridge axis, and broad magnetic highs (N1 and N2)
are shown in the inset. AHI = Axel Heiberg Island; AR = Alpha Ridge; CAI = Canadian Arctic
Islands; CB = Chukchi Borderland; EI = Ellesmere Island; FJ = Franz Josef Land; KO =
Kolyma-Omolon; LR = Lomonosov Ridge; MB = Makarov Basin; MR = Mendeleev Ridge; NAM
= North American craton; NSI = New Siberian Islands; SAZ = South Anyui suture Zone.

131 **3 Data acquisition and processing**

132 We use one deep-tow magnetic profile (consisting of three sections D1-D3) and five

- 133 sea surface magnetic profiles (S1-S5) at75°-76°N collected by Icebreaker "Xue Long"
- 134 in 2014 and 2016 to 2017, respectively (Figure 1). Most profiles are perpendicular to
- 135 the N-S trending gravity anomaly low near 142°W. In the summer of 2014, ~500 km

136 deep-tow magnetic data were sampled by a MarineMagneticsTM Overhauser 137 magnetometer with a sensitivity of 0.015 nT mounted on a titanium-alloy frame and 138 towed ~1.3 km above the seafloor at a speed of 2-3 knots (supporting information 139 Figure S1). To measure the depth of the frame, a pressure sensor Sea-BirdTM SBE was 140 mounted on the wire at 5 m above the frame. Controlled by the payoff of the winch, 141 the depth of the magnetic sensor is ~2.5 km in average and varies within a relatively 142 limited range of ~±0.5 km (Figure S1).

143 The deep-tow magnetic data are processed with the following five steps. (1) 144 Magnetic data are merged with GPS position data and sensor depth data (Figure S1). 145 (2) The International Geomagnetic Reference Field (IGRF) [Thébault et al., 2015] is 146 removed. (3) The diurnal variations are removed. (4) The data are resampled to 147 equally spaced (50 m) points. (5) A Fourier transform method is used to 148 upward-continue the data from an uneven level to a constant depth of 2 km below 149 sea surface [Guspi, 1987]. Among these steps, steps 1, 2, and 4 have little effect on 150 the characteristics of magnetic data. For step 3, we use the magnetic variations 151 recorded at the Barrow and Resolution Bay magnetic observatories. Since the 152 survey area is approximately one-fourth between the two observatories, we use a 153 weighted average of Barrow (3/4) and Resolution Bay (1/4) magnetic data for the 154 diurnal correction. During collection of the deep-tow magnetic data, the daily magnetic variation had amplitudes up to ± 100 nT (Figures S2 and 2), with a 155 standard deviation of 40.8 nT. The daily magnetic variation is smaller but 156

157 comparable to the collected magnetic data with amplitudes up to 400 nT and an 158 STD of 85.8 nT (Figure S2). We remove all data with diurnal variation exceeding 159 ± 50 nT, although the deep-tow magnetic data are probably much less affected by 160 the ionospheric noise since this noise is attenuated by the conductive sea water 161 above [Miller, 1977]. After the diurnal correction, the upward-continued magnetic 162 anomaly to sea level fits well with the sea surface magnetic anomaly along the 163 same track collected in 2016 (Figure 2), indicating that the diurnal correction 164 efficiently reduce the associated external magnetic variations. In step 5, we remove 165 the signals with wavelengths longer than 100 km or shorter than 2 km and 166 upward-continued the magnetic data to 2 km below the sea surface, to obtain the deep-tow magnetic anomaly ~2 km above the sea floor and ~7.5 km above the 167 168 igneous crust [Mosher and Hutchinson, 2019].

169 Along the deep-tow magnetic survey in 2014, most tracks (> 60%) were covered 170 by floating ice. Nevertheless, in the areas with light ice-conditions, we collected ~110 171 km of sea surface magnetic data with a Cesium magnetometer towed 450 m behind 172 the R/V "Xue Long". In 2016 and 2017, the ice conditions were rather light (~20% ice 173 coverage), which allowed us to collect ~1400 km of sea surface magnetic data. The 174 associated International Geomagnetic Reference Field model [Thébault et al., 2015] 175 and the diurnal variations are also removed from the sea surface magnetic data (Figure S2). For comparison, we also include the airborne magnetic anomaly data of 176 177 Taylor et al. [1981] in Figure 2.

178 **4 Data presentation**

179 Two paired coherent broad magnetic highs are observed by the deep-tow, sea surface, 180 and airborne magnetic data (Figure 2). Here, we name them normal 1 (N1) and 181 normal 2 (N2) anomalies for the broad magnetic high close to and away from the 182 relict ridge axis, respectively. On the western flank, N1 with peak-to-trough 183 amplitudes of 150-200 nT straddles 50-80 km in the sea surface magnetic data. N2 on 184 the western flank has larger amplitudes (up to 300 nT) and broader widths (90-120 185 km) than N1. The amplitudes of N1 and N2 are comparable to the magnetic signals 186 observed at other slow to ultraslow spreading ridges [e.g., Gee and Kent, 2007]. The 187 two paired broad magnetic highs are roughly symmetrical with respect to the fossil 188 axis, suggesting that these magnetic anomalies may reflect geomagnetic reversals and 189 seafloor spreading in the Canada Basin. In addition, the power spectrum analysis of 190 the deep-tow magnetic data and surface magnetic data suggests that the magnetic 191 source layer is situated~10 km below the sea surface and then resides within the 192 igneous crust (Figure S3). Furthermore, the magnetic lineations are independent of the 193 gravity anomalies (Figure S4), which further implies that the magnetic lineations are 194 not associated with variations in the lithospheric structure. Therefore, the paired 195 magnetic lineations (N1 and N2) reflect spatial variations in crustal magnetization 196 associated with the record of magnetic field reversals within the oceanic crust. 197 Two pairs of magnetic anomalies are not sufficient for a unique, unambiguous

198 correlation with the geomagnetic polarity time scale (GPTS). In addition to N1 and

199 N2, some previously undetected, spatially coherent, low amplitudes and 200 short-wavelength magnetic anomalies are also observed in the high-resolution 201 deep-tow magnetic data and to a lesser extent in the sea surface magnetic data (Figure 202 2). Such small magnetic anomalies could be related either to short geomagnetic 203 polarity intervals, excursions (i.e. aborted reversals) or paleointensity variations, 204 which would all be recorded in a similar way on both side of the (now fossil) ridge 205 axis, or by crustal tectonic processes, short-period external magnetic field fluctuations, 206 and/or artifacts during the data acquisition, which may have a different distribution. 207 Among them, two short-wavelength low-amplitude magnetic anomalies could be 208 ascribed to the geomagnetic variations, more likely to field reversals, considering 209 their repeatability and consistency between profiles and their presence on both flanks 210 of the relict ridge. Near the center of the broad magnetic low intervening N1 and N2 211 on the western flank, a narrow magnetic high with an amplitude of ~200 nT is 212 observed on the deep-tow magnetic profile (Figure 2). The amplitude of this anomaly 213 decreases to ~50-100 nT on the sea surface magnetic profiles. On the conjugate 214 eastern flank, a similar magnetic high is also present along three of the five sea 215 surface profiles. Thus, this magnetic high may be ascribed to a short normal polarity 216 interval in a relatively long period dominated by reversed polarities and is termed 217 small normal anomaly 1 (SN1). Besides, a magnetic low with an amplitude of ~30 nT is observed near the center of N1 on the deep-tow magnetic anomaly on the eastern 218 219 flank (Figure 2c). This magnetic low is also observed on almost all sea surface

220 magnetic profiles on the eastern flank of the ridge axis, except on profileS5. The 221 consistency between profiles on the eastern flank suggests that this magnetic low is 222 associated with one or a series of short reversed polarity intervals (termed SR1) in a 223 relatively long period dominated by normal polarity.

224 Encouraged by the consistency of the magnetic anomalies between profiles and 225 on conjugate flanks, we stack all the sea surface data and the upward-continued 226 deep-tow data to the sea surface in an attempt to enhance the signal/noise ratio and 227 better characterize the magnetic anomalies (Figure 2f). We also stack the airborne 228 magnetic data by correlating N1 and N2 between these profiles (Figure 2f). Both 229 stacked data show that N2 has higher amplitude than N1 on both flanks of the fossil 230 ridge. We then identify the crustal age by fitting the observed anomaly and stacked 231 anomaly with synthetic magnetic anomalies computed from GPTSs.



233 Figure2. Deep-tow and sea surface magnetic anomalies in the Canada Basin. (a) Deep-tow, sea

234 surface, and airborne magnetic anomalies along their tracks. The background is based on the 235 satellite-derived free air anomaly data [Sandwell et al. 2014]. The continental, transitional, and 236 oceanic crust identified from sonobuoy data [Chian et al., 2016] are shown in black, gray, and 237 white squares, respectively. The relict ridge axis is marked with a dashed line. (b-e) Deep-tow, sea 238 surface, and airborne magnetic anomalies at different latitudes. The upward continued deep-tow 239 data to sea surface and to 2000 m below sea surface are shown in light blue and blue, respectively. 240 The sea surface and airborne magnetic data are shown in red and black, respectively. The data 241 associated with diurnal variation > 50 nT are marked with gray boxes. The profiles S2-S5 and 242 profile S1 are collected in 2016 and 2017, respectively. No reduction to the pole is necessary, as 243 the data are collected at high latitude. (f) Stacked sea surface (red), stacked airborne (black), and 244 best-fitting synthetic (blue) magnetic anomalies. The consistent magnetic anomalies between 245 profiles are linked with dashed lines. The magnetic bodies in MHTC12 [Malinverno et al., 2012] 246 that produce the synthetic magnetic anomaly at the depth of basement are also shown. For more 247 information on the correlation between the stacked magnetic anomaly and synthetic magnetic 248 anomalies, see the text and Figures S5-S6.

249 **5** Identification of magnetic anomalies

250 Based on the onshore and along-margin geological evidences, the age of the Canada 251 Basin is not older than the Late Jurassic (~160 Ma) and not younger than the Late 252 Cretaceous (~72 Ma) [e.g., Embry, 1990; Gaina et al., 2014; Grantz et al., 2011; 253 Miller et al., 2006, 2017; Taylor et al., 1981]. Since the deep-tow magnetic anomalies 254 are rather strong (up to 400 nT) and well-marked, we do not expect that they formed 255 during the so-called "Jurassic quiet zone" (>157 Ma) characterized by numerous 256 polarity reversals and a weak geomagnetic intensity, or during the Cretaceous quiet 257 zone (~120.6 (124)-83 Ma) characterized by a constant (or very dominant) normal polarity [*Granot et al.*, 2012]. Neither the relatively long polarity intervals of chrons
C32n-C33n nor the intervening excursions or intensity variations (the "tiny wiggles"
depicted by *Bouligand et al.* [2006]) produce the observed magnetic features. We
therefore restrict our investigations and compare the observed and stacked anomalies
with synthetic anomalies generated using the M-Series GPTS between the Jurassic
quiet zone and the Cretaceous quiet zone.

264 The positions of the continent-ocean boundaries on both flanks of the relict ridge 265 axis are derived from the sonobuoy data (Figure 1) [Chian et al., 2016]. The location 266 of the relict ridge axis is indicated by the ~15 mGal N-S trending gravity low 267 at~142°W. We select the upper boundary of layer 2 (igneous basement) from the 268 sonobuoy data as the upper limit of the magnetic source [Chian et al., 2018; Mosher 269 and Hutchinson, 2019]. To produce sea surface anomalies with amplitudes up to 300 270 nT at ~9.5 km above the magnetic sources, the thickness and magnetization of the 271 magnetic sources are assumed to be 1 km and 5 A/m, respectively. The mean 272 paleolatitude (72°N) of the magnetized bodies is based on the paleolatitude 273 (~68°-76°N) of the Alaskan North Slope between 120 Ma and 150 Ma [Seton et al., 274 2012]. Since there are still controversies about the age of CM0r, we adopt two GPTSs, 275 MHTC12 [Malinverno et al., 2012] for which the age of CM0r is ~120.6 Ma, and 276 GTS2012 [Ogg, 2012] for which it is ~125 Ma, to compute synthetic magnetic anomalies (Figures S5 and S6). 277

278

We adopt both the cross-correlation [DeMets et al., 2010] and visual inspection

methods to determine the best-fitting polarity reversal sequences (Figures S5 and S6).
Based on the least-square fitting criteria, the cross-correlation method quantitatively
compares the amplitude and shape of the part of the stacked magnetic anomalies
between the two broad magnetic highs (N1 and N2) with synthetic data (Figure S5).
In visual inspection method, we fit N1, N2, SN1, and SR1 of the observed data with
the synthetic magnetic anomalies.

Both methods give similar results: The synthetic data produced by the CM7r-CM16n (CM17n?) sequences for both GPTSs are the best fit of the stacked data (Figures 2f and S6). A series of normal polarity intervals of CM9n-11n and the long CM16n produce N1 and N2, respectively. The CM13n and negative polarity intervals between CM9 and CM11 are associated with the low-amplitude magnetic high (SN1) intervening N1 and N2 and the low-amplitude magnetic low (SR1) near the center of N1, respectively.

292 Therefore, the crustal age of the Canada Basin could be 139.5-128.6 Ma 293 (142.4-132.8 Ma) according to the MHTC12 (GTS2012), and seafloor spreading 294 occurred between Berriasian and Early Hauterivian (Figure S6). Near 75°N, the 295 associated full spreading rate was~32 (38) mm/year at the beginning of spreading and 296 slowed down to ~30 (30) mm/year in the last ~3 Ma before the cessation. Seafloor 297 spreading is slightly asymmetrical, with rates 5% faster on the western flank. Since 298 the distance between the lineations N2 on both flank is slightly larger in the north than 299 in the south (Figure 2a), the average spreading rates at the northern (~76.5°N) and

300 southern (~74°N) limits of N2 are inferred to be 34.5 (39.0) and 28.3 (31.9) mm/year, 301 respectively. The slow spreading rate is consistent with the presence of the ~1.5 302 km-deep rift valley and the 4-6 km thin crust in the Canada Basin. Since there are no 303 robust constraints such as fracture zones on the spreading direction, we calculate the 304 spreading rate assuming an orthogonal spreading. Recently, opening models of the Amerasia Basin involving a strike-slip component (oblique spreading or 305 306 transtensional deformation) have been proposed based on northeast-treading structural 307 fabrics [Døssing et al., 2018; Hutchinson et al., 2017]. The highly oblique spreading 308 (up to $\sim 50^{\circ}$) requires a spreading rate ~ 1.5 times faster than that estimated from the 309 magnetic lineations. In this case, the spreading rate we estimated corresponds to the 310 effective spreading rate termed by Dick et al. [2003] and still matches the deep rift 311 valley and thin crust in the Amerasia Basin. Among numerous proposed crustal ages 312 from magnetic data, our result agrees better with the crustal age of 137.8-126.5 Ma 313 proposed by Gaina et al. [2014]. As the seismic reflection data show that the synrift 314 sequences overlap late Oxfordian-Tithonian (~158-145.5 Ma) marine shelf or shelf 315 basin deposits in three piston cores on the Northwind Ridge [Grantz et al., 1998, 316 2011], we further infer that the main stage of opening of the Canada Basin may had 317 been fulfilled by rifting from late Oxfordian-Tithonian to Berriasian and the 318 consequent seafloor spreading until early Hauterivian.

319 6 Discussion

320

Three main regional unconformities (late Callovian-early Oxfordian, late

321 Hauterivian, and mid-Aptian) were interpreted as the breakup unconformity and were 322 used to date the initial seafloor spreading of the Canada Basin by various authors 323 [Embry and Dixon, 1990; Grantz et al., 2011; Grantz and May, 1982; Hubbard et al., 324 1987]. However, the seafloor spreading during Berriasian-early Hauterivian of the 325 Canada Basin suggests that the relationships between those regional unconformities along the margins and the initiation of seafloor spreading in the Amerasia Basin 326 327 cannot be steadily associated. The thinned continental crust and transitional crust in 328 the Canada Basin could be as wide as 300 km [Chian et al., 2016]. During the 329 formation of such wide margins, sequential active faulting may have migrated toward 330 the future oceanic crust [Brune et al., 2014]. Therefore, further evidences, such as the 331 reflection seismic data from the Canada Basin to the areas of the regional 332 unconformities, are needed to address the relationship between the regional 333 unconformities and the breakup event. Even so, part of the rifted margins of the 334 Canada Basin were already subaquatic at the time of the breakup [Grantz et al., 2011], 335 which may further obscure the identification of the breakup unconformity [Franke, 336 2013].

The crustal age of the Canada Basin also provides further kinematic and geodynamic implications for the Mesozoic circum-Arctic region. Between Late Jurassic and Early Cretaceous, the Arctic Alaska and Chukotka blocks experienced intense tectonic activity, including collision between the Alaska- Chukotka and the Kolyma-Omolon blocks to the south, the associated closure of the South Anyui Ocean

342	(SAO), and the closure of the Angayucham Ocean (Figure 3). The subductions that
343	consumed the SAO have been postulated as the source of driving force for the
344	opening of the Amerasia Basin based on tomography images [e.g., Gaina et al., 2014;
345	Koulakov et al., 2013] and the range of South Anyui suture zone [Kuzmichev, 2009].
346	Our results further show that the opening of the Canada Basin was roughly
347	contemporaneous with the closure of the SAO and the associated subductions. At the
348	beginning of the main rifting stage of the Canada Basin (~158 Ma), the closure of
349	SAO was initiated by southward and northward subductions (Figure 3a), as indicated
350	by the ages of the Oloy Arc (~160-140 Ma) in the south and the Nutesyn Arc
351	(~160-150 Ma) in the north, respectively [Amato et al., 2015; Layer et al., 2001;
352	Shephard et al., 2013]. The final stage of the closure of the SAO was fulfilled by the
353	collision between the Chukotka and Kolyma-Omolon blocks. Although the collision
354	mainly occurred between 119 and 106 Ma [Amato et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2009;
355	Sokolov et al., 2002, 2009], it may started as early as 130–124 Ma [Layer et al., 2001;
356	Toro et al., 2003], which also coincide with the cessation of the seafloor spreading in
357	the Canada Basin at 128.6 Ma. This temporal and spatial consistency lead us to
358	suggest that the closure of the SAO provided space for the opening of the Canada
359	Basin, and the collision between the Chukotka and Kolyma-Omolon blocks at the
360	final stage of the SAO closure terminated the seafloor spreading of the Canada Basin.
361	This inference then generally supports the idea that the opening of the Canada Basin
362	is associated with the subduction process in the SAO [Koulakov et al., 2013;

363 Kuzmichev, 2009]. Nevertheless, the clockwise rotation of the "Arctida" plate requires 364 an overall higher opening rate in the southern part of the Canada Basin [Koulakov et 365 al., 2013]. As "a common back-arc basin" proposed by Kuzmichev [2009], the Canada 366 Basin was also too far away (more than 1,000 km) from the subduction zones in the 367 SAO, since a back-arc spreading center is usually limited to a distance of 200-300 km from a trench [e.g., Toksöz & Hsui, 1978]. Further information on the geometry, 368 369 extent, and polarity reversals of the subductions in the SAO may help to refine a more 370 comprehensive geodynamic model to address these issues.



371

Figure3. Plate reconstructions of the circum-Arctic Region between 156 Ma and 129 Ma. The
shapes of the geological features are based on *Müller et al.* [2016]. (a) Rifting created the

374	Amerasia Basin. The South Anyui Ocean was then subducting to the south and north. East of the
375	SAO, the Angayucham Ocean (AO) and associated Koyukuk Arc (initiated at ~160-145 Ma) are
376	believed to be the eastern extensions or the counterparts of the SAO and Nutesyn Arc [Amato,
377	2004, 2015; Churkin et al., 1981; Nokleberg et al., 2000], respectively. (b) Initial seafloor
378	spreading in the Amerasia Basin. (c) Seafloor spreading cessation in the Amerasia Basin. The
379	position of the future Alpha Ridge is marked by a red line. Since the position and geometry of the
380	Chukchi Borderland in Mesozoic remain controversial [Grantz et al., 1998; Hutchinson et al.,
381	2017; Miller et al., 2006], we only place the CBL in (c) according to its present configuration. The
382	rifting direction in strike-slip models is shown in dashed arrow along the eastern boundary of the
383	Northwind Ridge [Døssing et al., 2018; Hutchinson et al., 2017]. Note the northeast-trending
384	strike slip is subparallel to the subduction zones in the SAO, which may require a new explanation
385	about the dynamic relationship between them. The inferred thinned continental crust, transitional
386	crust, and oceanic crust in the Canada Basin are marked with brown, green, and blue, respectively.
387	CBL = Chukchi Borderland; CV = Central Verkhoyansk; GL = Greenland; KB = Kara Block;
388	NAS = North Alaska Slope; NSS = Northern Siberia Shelf; SAO =South Anyui Ocean; SV =
389	Svalbard.

391 Acknowledgments

The authors thank the captain Quan Shen and all the crews of the R/V "Xue Long".
We benefit from discussion with Min Ding, Weiwei Ding, and Zhaocai Wu. We are
grateful to Carmen Gaina and an anonymous reviewer, whose thorough reviews have

395	greatly improved the manuscript. This work is supported by the National Natural
396	Science Foundation of China (grant 41576065), the Scientific Research Fund of the
397	Second Institute of Oceanography, SOA (grant QNYC201503), and the Chinese Polar
398	Environment Comprehensive Investigation and Assessment Programs (grant
399	CHINARE03-03). There is no financial conflict of interest for any author. The
400	magnetic data is available at https://github.com/yangchunguo/ DataCiteRepository.
401	References
402	Alvey, A., C. Gaina, N. Kusznir, and T. Torsvik (2008), Integrated crustal thickness mapping and

- 403 plate reconstructions for the high Arctic, *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 274(3-4),
 404 310-321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.036
- 405 Amato, J. M., J. Toro, V. V. Akinin, B. A. Hampton, A. S. Salnikov, and M. I. Tuchkova (2015),
- 406 Tectonic evolution of the Mesozoic South Anyui suture zone, eastern Russia: A critical
- 407 component of paleogeographic reconstructions of the Arctic region, *Geosphere*, 11(5),
- 408 1530-1564. https://doi.org/10.1130/GES01165.1
- 409 Amato, J. M., J. Toro, T. E. Moore, A. J. Sussman, and A. B. Weil (2004), Origin of the Bering Sea
- 410 salient, in Orogenic curvature: Integrating paleomagnetic and structural analyses, In A. J.
- 411 Sussman, Arlo, B, Weil (Eds), Orogenic curvature: Integrating paleomagnetic and structural
- 412 *analyses* (Vol. 383, pp. 131-144), Geological Society of America.
 413 https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2383-3(2004)383[131:ootbss]2.0.co;2
- 414 Andersen, O. B., Knudsen, P., & Berry, P. A. (2010). The DNSC08GRA global marine gravity
- 415 field from double retracked satellite altimetry. Journal of Geodesy, 84(3), 191–199.

- 416 https://doi.org/10.1007/7s00190-009-0355-9
- 417 Bouligand, C., J. Dyment, Y. Gallet, and G. Hulot (2006), Geomagnetic field variations between
- 418 chrons 33r and 19r (83-41 Ma) from sea-surface magnetic anomaly profiles, *Earth and*
- 419 Planetary Science Letters, 250(3-4), 541-560. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.06.051
- 420 Brune, S., C. Heine, M. Pérez-Gussinyé, and S. V. Sobolev (2014), Rift migration explains
- 421 continental margin asymmetry and crustal hyper-extension, Nature Communications, 5,
- 422 4014.https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5014
- 423 Carey, S. W. (1955), The orocline concept in geotectonics-Part I, Papers and proceedings of the
- 424 Royal Society of Tasmania, 80: 255-288.
- 425 Channell, J. E. T. (1995). Recalibration of the geomagnetic polarity timescale. Reviews of
- 426 Geophysics, 33(S1), 161–168. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/95RG00404
- 427 Chian, D., H. R. Jackson, D. R. Hutchinson, J. W. Shimeld, G. N. Oakey, N. Lebedeva-Ivanova, Q.
- 428 Li, R. W. Saltus, and D. C. Mosher (2016), Distribution of crustal types in Canada basin,
- 429 Arctic Ocean, *Tectonophysics*, 691, 8-30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2016.01.038
- 430 Churkin, M., and J. H. Trexler (1981), Continental Plates and Accreted Oceanic Terranes in the
- 431 Arctic, In A. E. M. Nairn, M. Churkin, F. G. Stehli (Eds.), *The Arctic Ocean* (pp. 1-20). US,
- 432 Boston, MA: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-1248-3_1
- 433 DeMets, C., R. G. Gordon, and D. F. Argus (2010), Geologically current plate motions,
- 434
 Geophysical
 Journal
 International,
 181(1),
 1-80.

 435
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2009.04491.x
- 436 Dick, H. J., J. Lin, and H. Schouten (2003), An ultraslow-spreading class of ocean ridge, *Nature*,

438

426(6965), 405. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02128

439 formation in the High Arctic based on satellite gravity data. Paper presented at the 440 International Conference on Arctic Margins (ICAM VIII) Abstracts, Stockholm, Sweden. 441 Døssing, A.,C. Gaina, J. M. Brozena (2017), Building and breaking a large igneous province: An 442 example from the High Arctic. Geophysical Research Letters, 443 44(12), 6011- 6019. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072420 444 Døssing, A., H. R. Jackson, J. Matzka, I. Einarsson, T. M. Rasmussen, A. V. Olesen, and J. 445 Brozena (2013), On the origin of the Amerasia Basin and the High Arctic Large Igneous 446 Province-results of new aeromagnetic data, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 363, 447 219-230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2012.12.013 448 Embry, A. (2000), Counterclockwise rotation of the Arctic Alaska Plate: best available model or 449 untenable hypothesis for the opening of the Amerasia Basin, Polarforschung, 68, 247-255. 450 Embry, A. F. (1990), Geological and geophysical evidence in support of the hypothesis of 451 anticlockwise rotation of northern Alaska, Marine Geology, 93, 317-329. https://doi.org/ 452 10.1016/0025-3227(90)90090-7 453 Embry, A. F., and J. Dixon (1990), The breakup unconformity of the Amerasia Basin, Arctic 454 Ocean: Evidence from Arctic Canada, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 102(11), 455 1526-1534. https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1990)102<1526:TBUOTA>2.3.CO;2 456 Franke, D. (2013). Rifting, lithosphere breakup and volcanism: Comparison of magma-poor and 457 volcanic rifted margins. Marine Petroleum Geology, 63-87. and 43.

Døssing, A., Gaina, C., Andersen, O. B., & Jackson, R. (2018). New details on Cretaceous ocean

458 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2012.11.003

- 459 Gaina, C., Medvedev, S., Torsvik, T. H., Koulakov, I., & Werner, S. C. (2014). 4D Arctic: A
- 460 glimpse into the structure and evolution of the Arctic in the light of new geophysical maps,
- 461 plate tectonics and tomographic models. Surveys in Geophysics, 35(5), 1095–1122. https://
- 462 doi.org/10.1007/s10712-013-9254-y
- 463 Gaina, C., Werner, S. C., Saltus, R., & Maus, S. (2011). Circum-Arctic mapping project: New
- 464 magnetic and gravity anomaly maps of the Arctic. In A. M. Spencer, A. F. Embry, D. L.
- 465 Gautier, A. V. Stoupakova, & K. Sørensen (Eds.), Arctic Petroleum Geology (Vol. 35, pp.

466 39–48). London: Geological Society of London. https://doi.org/10.1144/M35.3

- 467 Gee, J. S., and D. V. Kent (2007), Source of oceanic magnetic anomalies and the geomagnetic
- 468 polarity time scale, In M. Kono (Ed), Treatise on Geophysics: Geomagnetism(Vol. 5, pp.

469 455-507).Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-044452748-6/00097-3

- 470 Granot, R., Dyment, J., & Gallet, Y. (2012). Geomagnetic field variability during the Cretaceous
- 471 Normal Superchron. Nature Geoscience, 5(3), 220–223. https://doi.org/10.1038/NGEO1404
- 472 Grantz, A., D. Clark, R. Phillips, S. Srivastava, C. Blome, L. Gray, H. Haga, B. Mamet, D.
- 473 McIntyre, and D. McNeil (1998), Phanerozoic stratigraphy of Northwind Ridge, magnetic
- 474 anomalies in the Canada basin, and the geometry and timing of rifting in the Amerasia basin,
- 475 Arctic Ocean, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 110(6), 801-820.
- 476 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1998)110<0801:psonrm>2.3.co;2
- 477 Grantz, A., P. E. Hart, V. A. Childers, A. M. Spencer, A. F. Embry, D. L. Gautier, A. V. Stoupakova,
- 478 and K. Sørensen (2011), Geology and tectonic development of the Amerasia and Canada

- 479 Basins, Arctic Ocean, In A. M. Spencer, A. F. Embry, D. L. Gautier, A. V. Stoupakova, K.
- 480 Sørensen(Eds.), Arctic Petroleum Geology (Vol. 35, pp.771-799), London: Geological Society
- 481 of London. https://doi.org/10.1144/M35.50
- 482 Grantz, A., and S. D. May (1982), Rifting History and Structural Development of the Continental
- 483 Margin North of Alaska, In J. S. Watkins, C. L. Drake (Eds.), *Studies in Continental Margin*
- 484 *Geology* (Vol. 34, pp. 77-100), Tulsa,OK:American Association of Petroleum Geologists.
- 485 https://doi.org/10.1306/M34430C4
- 486 Guspi, F. (1987), Frequency-domain reduction of potential field measurements to a horizontal
- 487 plane, *Geoexploration*, 24(2), 87-98.https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7142(87)90083-4
- 488 Halgedahl, S., and R. Jarrard (1987), Paleomagnetism of the Kuparuk River Formation from
- 489 oriented drill core: Evidence for rotation of the Arctic Alaska plate, In: I. L. Tailleur, P.
- 490 Weimer (Eds.) Alaskan North Slope Geology (Vol.2, pp. 581-617). Bakersfield, CA: Pacific
- 491 Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists.
- 492 Hubbard, R. J., S. P. Edrich, and R. P. Rattey (1987), Geologic evolution and hydrocarbon habitat
- 493 of the 'Arctic Alaska microplate', *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 4(1), 2-34.
 494 https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8172(87)90019-5
- 495 Hutchinson, D. R., H. R. Jackson, D. W. Houseknecht, Q. Li, J. W. Shimeld, D. C. Mosher, D.
- 496 Chian, R. W. Saltus, and G. N. Oakey (2017), Significance of Northeast Trending Features
- 497 in Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean, Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 18(11), 4156-4178.
- 498 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GC007099
- 499 Koulakov, I. Y., Gaina, C., Dobretsov, N., Vasilevsky, A., & Bushenkova, N. (2013). Plate

- 500 reconstructions in the Arctic region based on joint analysis of gravity, magnetic, and seismic
- anomalies. Russian Geology and Geophysics, 54(8), 859–873. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.
 rgg.2013.07.007
- 503 Kuzmichev, A. B. (2009). Where does the South Anyui suture go in the New Siberian islands and
- 504 Laptev Sea?: Implications for the Amerasia basin origin. Tectonophysics, 463(1), 86–108.
- 505 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2008.09.017
- 506 Lane, L. S. (1997), Canada Basin, Arctic Ocean: evidence against a rotational origin, Tectonics,
- 507 16(3), 363-387. https://doi.org/10.1029/97tc00432
- 508 Langseth, M. (1990), Geothermal observations in the Arctic region, In A. Grantz, G. L. Johnson, J.
- 509 F. Sweeney, (Eds), *The Arctic Ocean Region* (pp. 133–151). Boulder, CO: Geological Society
- 510 of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/DNAG-GNA-L.133
- 511 Lawver, L., and C. Scotese (1990), A review of tectonic models for the evolution of the Canada
- 512 Basin, In A. Grantz, G. L. Johnson, J. F. Sweeney, (Eds), The Arctic Ocean Region (pp.
- 513 593-618). Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America.
- 514 https://doi.org/10.1130/DNAG-GNA-L.593
- 515 Layer, P. W., R. Newberry, K. Fujita, L. Parfenov, V. Trunilina, and A. Bakharev (2001), Tectonic
- 516 setting of the plutonic belts of Yakutia, northeast Russia, based on 40Ar/39Ar geochronology
- 517 and trace element geochemistry, Geology, 29(2), 167-170.
- 518 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029<0167:tsotpb>2.0.co;2
- 519 Malinverno, A. (1991), Inverse square-root dependence of mid-ocean-ridge flank roughness on
- 520 spreading rate, *Nature*, 352(6330), 58. https://doi.org/10.1038/352058a0

521	Malinverno, A., J. Hildebrandt, M. Tominaga, and J. E. Channell (2012), M - sequence
522	geomagnetic polarity time scale (MHTC12) that steadies global spreading rates and
523	incorporates astrochronology constraints, Journal of Geophysical Research, 117(B6).
524	https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JB009260
525	Mickey, M. B., A. Bymes, and H. Haga (2002), Biostratigraphic evidence for the prerift position
526	of the North Slope, Alaska, and Arctic Islands, Canada, and Sinemurian incipient rifting of
527	the Canada Basin, In E. L.Miller, A.Grantz, S. L.Klemperer (Eds), Tectonic Evolution of the
528	Bering-Chukchi Sea-ArcticMargin and Adjacent Landmasses (Vol. 60, pp. 67-76). Boulder,
529	CO: Geological Society of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2360-4.67
530	Miller, E., S. Katkov, A. Strickland, J. Toro, V. Akinin, and T. Dumitru (2009), Geochronology and
531	thermochronology of Cretaceous plutons and metamorphic country rocks, Anyui-Chukotka
532	fold belt, North East Arctic Russia, In: D.B.Stone, K.Fujita, P.L.Layer, E.L.Miller, A.V.
533	Prokopiev, J. Toro(Eds), Geology, Geophysics and Tectonics of Northeastern Russia: a Tribute
534	to Leonid Parfenov(Vol. 4, pp. 223-241). Copernicus, Göttingen: European Geosciences
535	Union. https://doi.org/10.5194/smsps-4-157-2009
536	Miller, E. L., K. E. Meisling, V. V. Akinin, K. Brumley, B. J. Coakley, E. S. Gottlieb, C. W.
537	Hoiland, T. M. O'Brien, A. Soboleva, and J. Toro (2017), Circum-Arctic Lithosphere
538	Evolution (CALE) Transect C: displacement of the Arctic Alaska-Chukotka microplate
539	towards the Pacific during opening of the Amerasia Basin of the Arctic, In V. Pease, B.
540	Coakle (Eds), Circum-Arctic Lithosphere Evolution (Vol. 460, pp. 57-120), London:
541	Geological Society, London. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP460.9

- 542 Miller, E. L., J. Toro, G. Gehrels, J. M. Amato, A. Prokopiev, M. I. Tuchkova, V. V. Akinin, T. A.
- 543 Dumitru, T. E. Moore, and M. P. Cecile (2006), New insights into Arctic paleogeography and
- 544 tectonics from U Pb detrital zircon geochronology, Tectonics, 25, TC3013.
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005TC001830
- 546 Miller, S. P. (1977), The validity of the geological interpretations of marine magnetic anomalies,
- 547 Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society, 50(1), 1-21.
 548 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246x.1977.tb01320.x
- 549 Mosher, D. C., and D. R. Hutchinson (2019), Canada Basin, In A. Piskarev, V. Poselov, V,
- 550 Kaminsky (Eds.), Geologic Structures of the Arctic Basin (pp. 295-325), Springer.
 551 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77742-9 10
- 552 Müller, R. D., M. Seton, S. Zahirovic, S. E. Williams, K. J. Matthews, N. M. Wright, G. E.
- 553 Shephard, K. T. Maloney, N. Barnett-Moore, and M. Hosseinpour (2016), Ocean basin
- 554 evolution and global-scale plate reorganization events since Pangea breakup, *Annual Review*
- 555 of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 44, 107-138.
- 556 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-earth-060115-012211
- Nokleberg, W. J. (2000), Phanerozoic tectonic evolution of the Circum-North Pacific, US
 Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey Open File Report, 98-754.
 https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1626
- 560 Ogg, J. (2012), Geomagnetic polarity time scale, In F. M. Gradstein, J. G. Ogg, M. D. Schmitz, G.
- 561 M. Ogg (Eds), The Geologic Time Scale (pp. 85-113). Elsevier.
- 562 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-59425-9.00005-6

564	gravity model	from CryoSat-2 and	Jason-1 reveals b	ouried tectonic	structure, Science,
565	346(6205), 65-	57. https://doi.org/10.1	126/science.125821	3	
566	Seton, M., R. Mülle	r, S. Zahirovic, C. Gai	na, T. Torsvik, G. S	hephard, A. Tals	sma, M. Gurnis, M.
567	Turner, and S.	Maus (2012), Global	continental and oce	ean basin recons	tructions since 200
568	Ma,	Earth-Science	Reviews,	113(3-4),	212-270.
569	https://doi.org/1	0.1016/j.earscirev.201	2.03.002		
570	Shephard, G. E., R.	D. Müller, and M. Se	eton (2013), The tec	ctonic evolution	of the Arctic since
571	Pangea breaku	o: Integrating constrai	nts from surface ge	eology and geop	hysics with mantle
572	structure,	Earth-Science	Reviews,	124,	148-183.
573	https://doi.org/1	0.1016/j.earscirev.201	3.05.012		
574	Sokolov, S., G. Y.	Bondarenko, P. Layer	, and I. Kravchenk	co-Berezhnoy (2	009), South Anyui
575	suture: tectono	-stratigraphy, deforma	tions, and principal	l tectonic events	s, Stephan Mueller

Sandwell, D. T., R. D. Müller, W. H. Smith, E. Garcia, and R. Francis (2014), New global marine

563

576 Special Publication Series, 4, 201-221. https://doi.org/10.5194/smsps-4-201-2009

- 577 Sokolov, S. D., G. Y. Bondarenko, O. L. Morozov, V. A. Shekhovtsov, S. P. Glotov, A. V. Ganelin,
- 578 and I. R. Kravchenko-Berezhnoy (2002), South Anyui suture, northeast Arctic Russia: facts
- 579 and problems, In E. L. Miller, A. Grantz, S. L. Klemperer (Eds.) Tectonic Evolution of the
- 580 Bering Shelf-Chukchi Sea-Artic Margin and Adjacent Landmasses (Vol. 360, pp. 209-224),
- 581 Geological society of America. https://doi.org/10.1130/0-8137-2360-4.209
- 582 Taylor, P., L. Kovacs, P. Vogt, and G. Johnson (1981), Detailed aeromagnetic investigation of the
- 583 Arctic Basin: 2, Journal of Geophysical Research, 86(B7), 6323-6333.

- 584 https://doi.org/10.1029/JB086iB07p06323
- 585 Thébault, E., C. C. Finlay, P. Alken, C. D. Beggan, E. Canet, A. Chulliat, B. Langlais, V. Lesur, F.
- 586 J. Lowes, and C. Manoj (2015), Evaluation of candidate geomagnetic field models for
- 587 IGRF-12, Earth, Planets and Space, 67(1), 112. https://doi.org/10.5047/eps.2010.11.005
- 588 Toksöz, M. N., & Hsui, A. T. (1978). Numerical studies of back-arc convection and the formation
- 589 of marginal basins. Tectonophysics, 50(2-3), 177–196.
 590 https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(78)90134-8
- 591 Toro, J., Amato, J. M., & Natal'in, B. (2003). Cretaceous deformation, Chegitun River area,
- 592 Chukotka Peninsula, Russia: Implications for the tectonic evolution of the Bering Strait 593 region. Tectonics, 22(3), 1021. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001TC001333



597 Figure S1. Raw deep-tow magnetic data (a) and depth of the sensor (b). The near E-W trending
598 deep-tow magnetic profile consists of three sections (D1-D3). Section D1 is along ~76.07°N and
599 is located ~40 km north of the other sections.



Figure S2. Comparisons between the raw magnetic data (solid lines) and the diurnal effects (dashed lines). The STD of the diurnal variation for the sea surface surveys in 2016 and 2017 is 11.3 nT and 14.3 nT, respectively. The diurnal variations with amplitudes > 50 nT are covered with gray boxes.





Figure S3. Power spectral densities computed from the sea surface (blue) and deep-tow upward continued to sea surface data (red). The blue shaded area represents variance. The gray shaded area indicates the signals with wavelengths between 20 km and 300 km, which is most likely due to crustal sources.



- 613 Figure S4. Free air anomaly (FAA) along the tracks of magnetic data. The FAA data (black lines)
- 614 are from Sandwell et al. [2014]. Note that the two broad magnetic highs (N1 and N2) are not
- 615 correlated with the FAA.
- 616



618 Figure S5. Estimation procedure of the best fit sequences. (a-b) Contours of the least-squares 619 misfit of the anomaly between the characteristic stacked data and synthetic data based on

620 MHTC12 (a) and GTS2012 (b). The cross-correlation method compares the stacked and synthetic 621 data based on least-squares fitting criteria [DeMets et al., 2010]. In each comparison, the 622 amplitude scale of the synthetic data is adjusted to match the peak-to-trough amplitude of N1 and 623 N2. The contours are normalized by the misfit of the best-fitting least-squares model. (c-d) The 624 contours around the best-fitting model in the dashed frames of (a-b). (e-f) Comparison of the 625 stacked data and best-fitting data based on MHTC12 (e) and GTS2012 (f). (g-i) Comparison of the 626 stacked data and other candidates of the best-fitting models based on MHTC12 (g) and GTS2012 627 (h and i). The spreading rates (SR) are also shown.



630 Figure S6. Identification of the crustal age in the Canada Basin. (a-b) Comparison between the

631	stacked magnetic anomalies (black lines) and the best-fitting synthetic magnetic anomalies. The
632	synthetic magnetic anomalies produced by the magnetic bodies in MHTC12 and GTS2012 are
633	shown in red and blue, respectively. Stacked airborne magnetic anomaly is also shown (dashed
634	line). (c-d) The best-fitting magnetic sequences in MHTC12 (c) and GTS2012 (d).