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Abstract The pre-Cenozoic structural pattern of Asia has had a strong impact on the localization and propagation of the Cenozoic deformation that gave birth to the Tibetan Plateau. Northern Tibet represents a key area to decipher the structural and kinematic links between the Mesozoic and Cenozoic evolution of Tibet. Nonetheless, the Mesozoic tectonic setting of the North Tibet and the role that the Paleozoic inherited Altyn Tagh Fault (ATF) shearing zone played in controlling the regional tectonic pattern during the deposition of the Mesozoic strata remain controversial. This study is based on seismic reflections, isopach maps of the Mesozoic strata in the Qaidam Basin, and provenance analysis using detrital zircon geochronological and heavy mineral contents. Seismic reflections and isopach maps demonstrate that sustained strike-slip motion along the ATF during the Early to Late Jurassic induced transtensional basin formation. Further away from the main ATF (eastern parts of the Qilian Shan and the northern Qaidam Basin), transtension also occurred along major faults, although local transpression developed in relay zones. Rotation in the regional stress field induced compression and basin inversion during the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous. The Cenozoic sedimentary rocks in these regions display widespread growth strata and angular unconformities characteristic of compression. This is consistent with topographic changes marked by sediment source variation evidenced by detrital zircon geochronology and heavy mineral analysis. We propose that the mechanism driving the Jurassic extension/transtension in North Tibet could be related to far-field effects of subduction processes along the southern margins of the continent.

1. Introduction

Defining the northeastern boundary of the modern Tibetan Plateau, the Qilian Shan mountain belt (at >4,000 m high on average) and the Qaidam Basin (the largest topographic depression in the plateau) occupy a transition zone between the high-elevation plateau and the adjacent low-elevation Tarim and Ordos cratons to the north/northeast (Figure 1). It is acknowledged that the Cenozoic growth of the Qilian Shan and the contemporaneous development of the Qaidam Basin were related to indentation of India into Asia since approximately 65–50 Ma (Bovet et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2016; M. Jolivet et al., 2001; Meyer et al., 1998; Tapponnier et al., 2001; Yin & Harrison, 2000; Yin et al., 2002; Zhuang et al., 2011; Zuza et al., 2017). However, crustal and lithospheric structures active during pre-Himalayan orogenesis also played a significant role in the topographic and tectonic evolution of the modern Tibetan Plateau (Cheng et al., 2016; Cheng, Garzione, Jolivet, Guo, et al., 2019; Cheng, Garzione, Jolivet, Wang, et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2014; Marc Jolivet et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2016). In that respect, a better understanding of the Mesozoic structural pattern and associated kinematics in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin can provide...
significant insights into the growth mechanism of the northern region of the Tibetan Plateau during the Cenozoic. In particular, two critical questions must be addressed: (1) what was the geodynamic setting of the Qilian Shan‐Qaidam region during the Mesozoic? (2) Did the Paleozoic inherited Altyn Tagh Fault (ATF) shearing zone play a role in the regional tectonic evolution of the Qilian Shan‐Qaidam system during the Mesozoic?

Whereas it is commonly accepted that the northern margin of Tibet underwent compressional deformation during the Cretaceous (Ritts & Biffi, 2001; L. Wu et al., 2011; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017), the Jurassic tectonic setting of the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin has been debated for many years. Based on sedimentary and stratigraphic information obtained from Jurassic strata exposed along the southern flank of the Qilian Shan, Ritts and Biffi (2001) argued for a contractional regime under which the northern Qaidam Basin behaved as a foreland basin related to southward thrusting of the Qilian Shan. In contrast, based on interpretation of regional seismic profiles across the northern Qaidam Basin and on provenance analysis of Jurassic clastic series deposited in the northern Qaidam Basin and Qilian Shan region, some recent studies suggested that the Jurassic sedimentation and structural pattern in the northern Qaidam Basin resulted from regional extension or transtension (Lou et al., 2009; L. Wu et al., 2011; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017; Zuza et al., 2017). Nonetheless, the Jurassic tectonic setting of the Qaidam basin as well as the relation between the range and the basin remains controversial as few direct evidence of normal faulting along the
basin margin has been reported (L. Wu et al., 2011; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008).

Apatite and zircon fission track analysis on basement rocks and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar analyses of syntectonic sediments along the ATF have revealed a cooling event during the Jurassic, interpreted as reactivation (shearing) of the ATF (Delville et al., 2001; M. Jolivet et al., 2001; H. Li et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Sobel et al., 2001; Y. Wang et al., 2005). The potential role of the reactivation of the ATF system on the Jurassic tectonic and topographic evolution of the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin is still not understood. To a wider scale, the mechanism that controlled the Jurassic tectonics in North Tibet remains to be described (Ritts & Biffi, 2001; Sobel et al., 2001; Vincent & Allen, 1999; Y. Wang et al., 2005; Yin & Harrison, 2000; Yu et al., 2017). For instance, if shearing along the ATF led to a regional crustal extension in the Qilian Shan - Qaidam region, one would expect to see a series of Jurassic extensional features adjacent to the fault zone gradually disappearing away from the main shear zone.

The difficulty in determining the Jurassic tectonic pattern of the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin is largely related to the lack of geological information within the remote Qilian Shan. Furthermore, the limited outcrops of Jurassic deposits available in this region are strongly overprinted by the Cretaceous and Cenozoic deformation events (Bovet et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2015; Cheng et al., 2016; Jolivet et al., 2001; Meng et al., 2001; Ritts & Biffi, 2001; Ritts et al., 1999; Sobel et al., 2001; C, Wu et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2011; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017; Zuza et al., 2017). Additionally, most of the Jurassic strata are deeply buried (as deep as >10 km) beneath the surface of the Qaidam Basin (Meng et al., 2001; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008), with only a few residual Jurassic strata sporadically exposed along the ATF and within a few thrusts systems in the Qilian Shan (Figure 1). This study relies on 12 seismic profiles across the southern Qilian Shan and northern Qaidam Basin to describe the Jurassic tectonic pattern along the ATF zone, the southern Qilian Shan, and along the northern Qaidam Basin. These seismic data are complemented by two isopach maps of the Qaidam Basin that correspond to the Early to Middle Jurassic and Late Jurassic to Cretaceous time intervals. In addition, provenance analysis (detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology and heavy minerals analysis) on drill core samples from the southern Qilian Shan are used to decipher the source to sink relation between the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin during the Jurassic. Our work provides direct evidence for Early to Middle Jurassic crustal extension in the western part of the Qilian Shan. During this period, strike-slip movement along faults in the ATF shearing zone was gradually accommodated by the transpressional or transtensional tectonics eastward in the northern Qaidam Basin, allowing for eastward crustal extrusion. Although this remains to be documented in much more details, we discuss the potential mechanism that drove extensional tectonics in central Asia during the Early to Middle Jurassic. Finally, the northern Qaidam Basin was inverted by the Late Jurassic to Early Cenozoic compression that also affected the Qilian Shan.

2. Regional Geology

2.1. Qilian Shan and Northern Qaidam Basin Along the Altyn Tagh Fault

Separating the Tibetan Plateau from the Tarim craton, the lithospheric strike-slip ATF extends for over 1,600 km from the Western Kunlun Shan, crossing the Altyn Tagh Shan, to the northwestern end of the Qilian Shan (Burchfiel et al., 1989; Yin & Harrison, 2000; Figure 1). Some studies argue that the ATF could be linked eastward with NE-SW striking structures extending toward Mongolia (Darby et al., 2005; Y Yue & Liou, 1999; Yue et al., 2001). The estimates of the cumulative sinistral displacement on the fault vary between approximately 300 and approximately 500 km in general (Cheng et al., 2015; Cheng, Guo, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016; Cowgill et al., 2003; Ritts & Biffi, 2000; Yin et al., 2002; Yin & Harrison, 2000).

The Qilian Shan is bordered by the Qaidam Basin to the south, the Hexi Corridor to the northeast, and truncated by the left-lateral strike-slip ATF to the west (Figure 1). The belt consists of several subparallel NW-SE to NWW-SEE trending ranges that develop on folds, thrusts, and/or strike-slip faults accommodating the northward motion of the Tibetan Plateau (Allen et al., 2017; Meyer et al., 1998; Yin et al., 2002; Figure 1). Based on their lithological composition, the Qilian Shan has been divided into four terranes, namely, the northern Qilian Shan (NQS), the central Qilian Shan (CQS), the southern Qilian Shan (SQS), and the
North Qaidam Thrust Belt (NQTB; Bovet et al., 2009; Gehrels et al., 2003; Ritts et al., 2004). Within this region, the intermontane Suganhu Basin is bordered by the NQTB to the south, the SQS to the north, and truncated by the ATF to the west (Figure 1).

The Qaidam Basin is surrounded by the Eastern Kunlun Shan to the south, the Altyn Tagh Shan to the northwest, and the Qilian Shan to the northeast (Figure 1). Both the Qaidam Basin and the Qilian Shan underwent multiple phases of deformation since the Mesozoic and accommodated considerable crustal thickening during the Cenozoic in response to the India-Asia collision and postcollisional convergence (S. Fu et al., 2015; Meng & Fang, 2008; Meyer et al., 1998; Ritts & Biffi, 2001; Sobel et al., 2001; E. Wang et al., 2006; L. Wu et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2001; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008; Yin et al., 2002; Zhuang et al., 2011). Based on apatite and zircon fission track analysis and 40Ar/39Ar thermochronology, previous studies have revealed a series of Jurassic and Cretaceous cooling events in the Qilian Shan and along the margins of the Qaidam Basin, interpreted as tectonic deformation phases (Arnaud et al., 2003; Delville et al., 2001; Jolivet et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2016; Sobel et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2005). However, whether these Mesozoic tectonic events corresponded to extensional or contractional deformation is still debated (Ritts & Biffi, 2001; Sobel et al., 2001; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017).

### 2.2. Mesozoic to Cenozoic Stratigraphy in the Studied Area

Despite the fact that geological mapping suggests a lack of Mesozoic and Cenozoic outcrops in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin, geophysical surveys for petroleum exploration have revealed several interrange depressions in the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin that contain thick Mesozoic to Cenozoic nonmarine deposits unconformably overlying Precambrian to Triassic basement and sedimentary rocks (Cheng et al., 2017; Meng & Fang, 2008; Xia et al., 2001; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008). The ages of the Jurassic and Cretaceous strata have been determined based on paleontological analysis of outcrop and drill core samples (QBGMR, 1991; Ritts & Biffi, 2000; Ritts et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2011; Table 1). The Early to Middle Jurassic (J1-2) strata consist mainly of greyish to greenish conglomerate and greyish sandstone intercalated with dark shale and coal, corresponding to fluvial to lacustrine facies (Cheng, Guo, et al., 2015; Ritts et al., 1999; Ritts & Biffi, 2000, 2001; Wu et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2017). The Late Jurassic deposits (J3) are dominated by brownish sandstone intercalated with brownish siltstone and greyish conglomerate, generally associated to fluvial depositional environment (Cheng, Guo, et al., 2015; Ritts & Biffi, 2000, 2001; Ritts et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2017; Figures 2 and 3). The few preserved Early Cretaceous strata mainly consist of variegated conglomerate and reddish pebbly sandstone intercalated with reddish siltstone and claystone, corresponding to alluvial to fluvial lithofacies (The Middle and Late Cretaceous strata are missing.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formation</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Age (Ma) with their source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Including Dabuyun-Yanqiao formation and younger deposits</td>
<td>Q2-4</td>
<td>0.01 to present (Yin et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qigequan</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>2.5–0.01 (Fang et al., 2007; Yin et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shizigou</td>
<td>N23</td>
<td>8.1–2.5 (Fang et al., 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shangyoushashan</td>
<td>N22 (or N13a)</td>
<td>15.3–8.1 (Fang et al., 2007; Ji et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiayoushashan</td>
<td>N21 (or N12a)</td>
<td>22.0–15.3 (Fang et al., 2007; Lu &amp; Xiong, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shangganchaigou</td>
<td>N1 (or N11a)</td>
<td>35.5–22.0 (Lu &amp; Xiong, 2009; Sun et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Xianganchaigou</td>
<td>E53</td>
<td>37.8–35.5 (Pei et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Xianganchaigou</td>
<td>E33</td>
<td>43.8–37.8 (Pei et al., 2009; W. Zhang, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lulehe</td>
<td>E13 + 2</td>
<td>&gt;53.5–43.8 (Ke et al., 2013; Yang et al., 1992; W. Zhang, 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quanyagou</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>Early Cretaceous (ECS, 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hongshuigou and Caishiling</td>
<td>J3</td>
<td>Late Jurassic (QBGMR, 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dameigou and Xiaomeigou</td>
<td>J112</td>
<td>Early to Middle Jurassic (Ritts et al., 1999; Ritts &amp; Biffi, 2001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note that symbol N1 usually represents Miocene time while N2 represents Pliocene time. Given that the symbols N2-2, N1-1, and N1 for Shangyoushashan formation, Xiayoushashan formation, and Shangganchaigou formation, respectively, have been widely used in petroleum exploration and geological survey (L. Wu et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2007), we thus follow the traditional division in this study.*
Field investigation and drill core observation suggest that the Cenozoic strata are predominately composed of alluvial, fluvial, and lacustrine deposits (Cheng et al., 2018; Meng & Fang, 2008; Xia et al., 2001). The Cenozoic series within the basin is subdivided into eight lithostratigraphic units, from the oldest to the youngest (followed by symbol for each unit): (1) the Lulehe formation, E1+2l; (2) the lower Xiaganchaigou formation, E31xg; (3) the upper Xiaganchaigou formation, E32xg; (4) the Shangganchaigou formation, N1sg; (5) the Xiayoushashan formation, N21xy; (6) the Shangyoushashan formation, N22sy; (7) the Shizigou formation, N23s; and (8) the Qigequan formation (Q1q; Table 1 and Figure 2). The Lulehe formation is generally considered to be Paleocene to Early Eocene in age based on magnetostratigraphy, spore, and pollen assemblages (Cheng et al., 2018; Ji et al., 2017; Ke et al., 2013; Rieser et al., 2006a; Rieser et al., 2006b; X Wang et al., 2007; Xia et al., 2001; Yang et al., 1992; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008; Yin et al., 2002; W Zhang, 2006; Zhuang et al., 2011). However, a recent magnetostratigraphy and detrital geochronology study of the Lulehe formation near the type locality in the northern Qaidam Basin suggests an ~30–25 Ma depositional age.
age (W Wang et al., 2017), underscoring the need for further work to determine the depositional age of the Cenozoic strata in this region (Cheng et al., 2018; Cheng, Garzione, Jolivet, Wang, et al., 2019).

3. Sampling and Analytical Methods

3.1. Isopach Maps of the Mesozoic Strata and Detailed Seismic Profile Interpretation

To assess the depositional pattern, temporal-spatial thickness distribution, and migration of the major depocenters in the Qaidam Basin during the Mesozoic, two isopach maps (corresponding to the Early to Middle Jurassic and Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous time intervals, respectively) were obtained from the Qinghai Oilfield Company, PetroChina (Figure 4). These maps represent a compilation of surface and subsurface

Figure 3. Images of typical sedimentary structures represented in drill core samples.
data collected from decades of drilling and a dense network of seismic lines. Although numerous wells have penetrated the basin margins, only a few wells reached the Mesozoic series close to the center of the basin due to the large thickness of the Cenozoic cover. Therefore, the estimate of Mesozoic strata thickness in the center of the basin mainly depends on the regional seismic profile interpretation. Despite lack of ties between seismic reflection data and wells, this is the best data set available so far.

In order to describe the structural pattern in the Qilian Shan, a total of 12 seismic profiles are provided (see location in Figures 1 and 4). Four of them (AA′, BB′, CC′, and DD′) are situated in the Suganhu Basin, intersecting the fault systems in the Qilian Shan (Figure 5). Four seismic profiles (EE′, FF′, GG′, and HH′) are situated along the southern flank of the Altyn Tagh Shan (western Qaidam Basin), adjacent to the ATF (Figure 6). Four seismic profiles (II′, JJ′, KK′, and LL′) are situated in the northern Qaidam Basin (Figure 7). Seismic profile GG′ is situated in the western Qaidam Basin, adjacent to the ATF. Seismic profiles were interpreted using the SMT Kingdom software. To determine the age of reflectors shown on the seismic profiles, we follow previous studies (Cheng, Fu, et al., 2016; L. Wu et al., 2014; L. Wu et al., 2011; Xia et al., 2001; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008) and assign the age of each boundary between the two formations by using the information obtained from drilling wells and regional seismic reflector correlation. The seismic data are in units of two-way travel time, and horizons in the seismic lines have been established by creating time-depth relationships and synthetic seismograms for the wells. This data processing is done by the Qinghai Oilfield Company, PetroChina. The age model is provided in the Table 1.

3.2. Detrital Zircon Geochronology

To document the source regions for the Mesozoic strata in the north-western Qilian Shan, two Jurassic samples were collected from a drilling well in the Suganhu Basin. We extracted zircon grains at the Chengxin Geology Service Co. Ltd., Langfang, China. To avoid sampling bias, zircon crystals (generally >200 grains) were mounted in epoxy resin without handpicking. Zircon crystals were then polished to obtain a smooth
internal surface. To detect internal heterogeneities and allow for selecting target areas for isotopic dating, transmitted-light, reflected-light and cathodoluminescence (CL) images were taken at the Laboratory of Earth Surface Process and Environment, Nanjing University, and Key Laboratory of Orogenic Belts and Crustal Evolution, Peking University, respectively. Samples (ST-J2, ST-J3) were dated in the Laboratory of Earth Surface Process and Environment, Nanjing University, China, using a New Wave 193 nm laser ablation system and Agilent 7700x ICP-MS. The detailed analytical protocol followed (H. Zhang et al., 2016). A complete list of U–Pb isotopic ages with errors and related raw data can be found in Table S1 in the supporting information.

3.3. Heavy Mineral Analysis

A total of 28 sandstone samples (ranging in age from Jurassic to Early Cenozoic) were collected from drilling well (Well 1) in the Qilian Shan for heavy mineral analysis (Figures 1 and 2). Heavy mineral separation and identification were carried out by the PetroChina, Qinghai Oilfield Company. The detailed heavy mineral analysis followed L. Fu et al. (2013), L. Li et al. (2015), and Z. Li et al. (2004). Authigenic and opaque minerals were excluded from the analyses. Detailed mineralogical data from the samples are given in Table S1.

Figure 5. Seismic profiles in the Suganbu Basin. The locations of these profiles are shown in Figure 1c. (a, c, e, and g) Interpreted profiles. (b, d, f, and h) Uninterpreted profiles.
4. Results

4.1. Isopach Maps of the Mesozoic Strata in the Qaidam Basin

4.1.1. Early to Middle Jurassic Basin

The extent of the Early to Middle Jurassic Qaidam Basin was much smaller than its present size (Figure 4a), and can be divided into two subbasins (subbasin A and subbasin B in Figure 4a). Both subbasins are generally characterized by a NW-SE orientation of the isopachs.

Subbasin A is located in the northern Qaidam Basin, occupying an irregular ellipsoid-shaped area with an approximately 280-km major axis and a 120-km minor axis. The maximum subsidence point (~1,800 m) is located in the junction area between the ATF shearing zone and the NQTB (Figure 4a), while the main...
Depocenter zone (800–1,700 m) lies along the northwest trending basin axis with its thickest portion located 60–70 km south of the present-day northern Qaidam Basin margin (Figure 4a). In addition, several smaller depocenters are scattered in subbasin A.
Subbasin B is located in the eastern Qaidam Basin, forming an irregular polygonal area. Several subdepocenters are scattered, whereas the main depocenter zone is still located in the center of the subbasin (approximately 50 km away from the present-day northern margin of the Qaidam Basin; Figure 4b).

In addition to these two major sedimentation zones, Early to Middle Jurassic strata are sporadically exposed within the Altyg Tagh Shan (Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2015; QBGMR, 1991; Sobel et al., 2001; Figure 4a). However, due to strong postdepositional deformation, the thickness of the deposits as well as their initial geometry are poorly constrained and need to be further documented (Figure 4a).

### 4.1.2. Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous Basin

The most noticeable change from Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous time is the appearance of a subbasin C in the southwestern Qaidam Basin adjacent to the ATF shearing zone. Subbasin C is still characterized by a NW-SE orientation of the isopachs. Despite scattered smaller depocenters, the main depocenter zone (over 500 m) is located along the ATF (Figure 4b). The Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous strata generally thicken toward the fault zone to the northwest and thin southeastward. Simultaneously, subbasin A becomes smaller. The remainder of the isopachs of subbasin A also trend NW-SE, with the depocenter zone (approximately 800 m) located ~5 km south of the present-day northern Qaidam Basin margin (Figure 4b).

To the east, subbasin B expands in an E-W orientation toward the center of the Qaidam Basin and becomes deeper. The subbasin is still mainly characterized by a NW-SE orientation of the isopachs, although in the southwestern portion of the subbasin B local isopachs are NEE-SWW orientated.

### 4.2. Seismic Profiles

#### 4.2.1. Seismic Profiles in the Suganhu Basin, Across the SW Qilian Shan

Seismic profiles AA’ (Figures 5a and 5b) and BB’ (Figures 5c and 5d) are SW-NE trending, extending from the front of the NQTB to the southern flank of the SQS (Figure 1c). On both seismic profiles, basement faults exert a major control on the distribution of the Jurassic strata. The Jurassic strata thicken toward fault F3 on section AA’ (Figures 5a and 5b) and thicken toward fault F5 on section BB’ (Figures 5c and 5d). Previous studies inferred that Jurassic strata might be present along fault F4 (M. Zhang, 2012), although these are difficult to identify on our seismic profiles due to the presence of noise (Figures 5a and 5d). The Cretaceous sequence is missing and the Cenozoic Lulehe formation deposits unconformably overlie the Jurassic series (Figure 5). The Cenozoic strata are cut by a series of basement faults (including F1, F2, F3, and F4) forming block-like structures. In the hanging wall of fault F1, F2, F3, and F4, Cenozoic deposits thin toward the faults and form growth structures indicative of compressive deformation (Figures 5a and 5d). Both faults F3 and F5 dip southwest with a relative high dip angle (60°–80°). A possible cause of faultward thickening of the Jurassic strata could be: the Jurassic strata were tilted NE side up due to the regional deformation; meanwhile or subsequently, the Jurassic strata were truncated by a steep-NE vergent thrust faults to the SW, these Jurassic strata were finally covered beneath an angular unconformity at the base of the Paleogene Lulehe formation strata. On the other hand, another possibility is that both steep F3 and F5 were tear faults which were active during the Jurassic. The shearing or strike-slip motions along the F3 and F5 led to a transtensional/extensional setting in the western part of Qilian Shan, which resulted in the thickening of the Jurassic strata toward the faults. The shearing or strike-slip motions along the F3 and F5 could be attributed to the faulting along the ATF, which largely transferred the sinistral displacement along the ATF into the Qilian Shan region (Cheng, Garzione, Jolivet, Guo, et al., 2019; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016).

Seismic profiles CC’ (Figures 5e and 5f) and DD’ (Figures 5g and 5h) are NW-SE trending, extending from the ATF shearing zone toward the center of the Suganhu Basin (Figure 1c). Previous studies inferred that Jurassic strata might occur in this region (M. Zhang, 2012), but they are difficult to identify on seismic profile CC’ due to the presence of noise (Figures 5e and 5f). On seismic profile DD’, parallel to CC’ at a distance of about 20 km, the Jurassic strata are well identified, thickening toward the southeast dipping high-angle (60°–80°) basement faults (F6 and F7), again confirming the occurrence of Jurassic transtensional/extensional structures in that region. The Cretaceous series are missing, and the Jurassic normal faults were inverted during the deposition of the Lulehe formation, leading to the formation of growth structures (Figures 5g and 5h). The interpreted fault pattern is shown in Figure 1c. We suggest that all those...
faults (F1 to F9) are linked to the ATF shearing zone to the north and might undergo sinistral or dextral strike slip motions in addition to dip slip motions.

### 4.2.2. Seismic Profiles in the Western Qaidam Basin (Along the ATF)

Seismic profiles EE′ and FF′ are situated in the southern flank of Altyn Tagh Shan, adjacent to the junction area between the ATF zone and the NQTB (Figures 1c and 4). Seismic profile EE′ (Figures 6a and 6b) trends SW-NE, extending from the Qaidam Basin toward the ATF. Seismic profile FF′ (Figures 6c and 6d) trends NW-SE, extending from the NQTB toward the ATF. On section EE′, the Early to Middle Jurassic strata can be identified in two areas thickening toward the basement faults (F10, F11, and F12). These faults dip northeast with a dip angle of 60°–80°, rooting into the ATF. We thus interpret these faults as normal faults indicating an extensional/transtensional regime during the Jurassic. Similar syndepositional structures can also be observed on section FF′ where the Early to Middle Jurassic strata thicken toward the southwest dipping basement faults (F11 and F15). The Cretaceous deposits are again missing on both sections and the Cenozoic series unconformably overlie the Early to Middle Jurassic strata (Figures 6a–6d). The Early Cenozoic strata are dissected by several basement faults and taper toward the faults, forming growth strata in the hanging wall of those faults (F12, F13, and F14). Similarly to the Suganhu Basin, the preexisting Jurassic extensional/transtensional faults (F10, F11, F12, and F15) have been inverted during Cenozoic contractional deformation. In addition, an unconformity between the Miocene Xiayoushashan formation and the post-Xiayoushashan formation can be identified, likely suggesting a significant tectonic deformation and/or regional erosion during the Late Neogene (Figures 6a–6d). These faults, especially F11 and F15, are probably linked to the ATF system. Thus, we interpret that these faults may have undergone sinistral or dextral strike slip motions during the Cenozoic or even as early as the Mesozoic.

The NW-SE trending seismic profile GG′ is situated in the northwestern part of the SW Qaidam Basin, extending from the Qaidam Basin to the ATF (Figures 1 and 4c). In this section, the Late Jurassic strata thicken toward the ATF. Previous stratigraphy, sedimentology, and low-temperature thermochronology studies revealed that the Altyn Tagh Range had not been largely exhumated during the Early to Middle Jurassic, indicating limited topography features (topography load) in the Altyn Tagh Range (Marc Jolivet et al., 1999; Meng et al., 2001; Ritts & Biffi, 2000). These studies further attribute the thickening of the Jurassic strata toward the ATF to the sinistral shearing or strike-slip faulting along the ATF. Although profile GG′ fails to show the ATF, we, therefore, interpreted the northward thickening of the Early to Middle Jurassic strata as growth strata that demonstrate the syndepositional faulting along the ATF and indicate an extensional/transtensional tectonic setting. The Cenozoic strata taper toward the ATF forming growth strata (Figures 6e and 6f), indicative of regional compression. Four unconformities (between the Late Jurassic strata and the underlying basement rocks, between the Lulehe formation and the Late Jurassic strata, between the Xiayoushashan formation and Shangyoushashan formation, and between the Shangyoushashan formation and post-Shangyoushashan formation strata) can be identified (Figures 6e and 6f), indicating several episodes of tectonic deformation and associated erosion.

The NE-SW oriented seismic profile HH′ is parallel to the ATF and runs from the western Qaidam Basin to the joint area between the ATF system and the NQTB (Figures 1c and 4). The Early to Middle Jurassic strata thicken toward the high-angle (60°–80°) faults (e.g., F16) again presumably illustrating crustal transtension/extension during the Jurassic (Figures 6g and 6h). Later, these Early to Middle Jurassic extensional faults were inverted during the Cenozoic tectonic phase and created a series of growth strata that taper toward the faults (F16). The Cretaceous strata are still missing in this region. The Early to Middle Jurassic strata unconformably underlie the Cenozoic strata and unconformably overlie the basement rocks (Figures 6g and 6h).

### 4.2.3. Seismic Profiles in the Northern Qaidam Basin, Along the Southern Flank of the Qilian Shan

Seismic profile II′ is oriented NE-SW and situated in the western part of the northern Qaidam Basin, running from the basin to the NQTB (Figures 1c and 4) and intersecting the fault systems that affect the northern Qaidam Basin. The tectonic pattern of this section is characterized by several steeply NE dipping (50°–70°) faults (F18, F19, F20, and F21) defining a step-like structure. The Cretaceous strata are missing whereas the Early to Middle Jurassic strata are only preserved in the hanging wall and footwall of fault F18. The Early to Middle Jurassic series in the hanging wall thickens toward the faults (F18), whereas the overlapping Cenozoic series in the hanging wall gently thins toward the faults (Figures 7a and 7b). Again,
these growth structures may suggest that the Early to Middle Jurassic transtensional/extensional faults were inverted during the Cenozoic contraction. Two unconformities (the Early to Middle Jurassic strata/the underlying basement rocks; the Early to Middle Jurassic strata/overlying Cenozoic series), corresponding to tectonic deformation and/or surface erosion are visible once again (Figures 7a and 7b).

Two short seismic profiles, section JJ′ and KK′, are situated in the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin. Seismic profile JJ′ (Figures 7c and 7d) is trending NW-SE, parallel to the NQTB, while seismic profile KK′ is trending NE-SW (Figures 7e and 7f), extending from the Qaidam Basin toward the NQTB (Figures 1c and 4). On both sections, Early to Middle Jurassic, Late Jurassic, Early Cretaceous, and Cenozoic strata can be identified. The Early to Middle Jurassic deposits gently thicken toward the NE and SW dipping faults (F22, F23, F24, and F25), potentially indicating normal components on those faults. Yet the Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous strata taper toward these same faults, suggesting tectonic inversion as early as Late Jurassic (Figures 6c–6f). In addition, the Early Cenozoic Lulehe, Lower Xiaganchaigou, upper Xaaganchaigou, Shangganchaigou, Xiayoushashan, and Shangyoushashan formations are missing. Growth strata are well developed in the Late Neogene Shizigou formation, indicating Late Cenozoic compression (Figures 6c–6f). Similarly to the other profiles, four unconformities (Early to Middle Jurassic strata/underlying basement rocks, Early Cretaceous strata/Late Jurassic strata, Shizigou formation/Early Cretaceous strata, and Qigequan formation/Shizigou formation) can be observed (Figures 6c–6f).

Seismic profile LL′ is a long NW-SE trending section, running from the southern flank of the Altyn Tagh Shan (western part of the northern Qaidam Basin) to the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin (Figures 1c and 4). Both the Mesozoic and the overlying Cenozoic deposits are cut by a series of basement faults. The Early and Middle Jurassic strata are developed throughout the section, while the Late Jurassic and the Cretaceous strata only developed in the eastern part of this section (Figure 7g). In particular, the Early to Middle Jurassic strata generally thicken toward the NW dipping high-angle (50°–70°) faults in the western part of the section (see F26–F28 in Figure 7g), indicating a transtension/extension setting. However, in the eastern part of the section, the Early to Middle Jurassic strata either thicken or thin toward the high-angle faults (see F33, F36, and F39 in Figure 7g and see F34 and F35 in Figure 7g). We thus interpret the Early to Middle Jurassic basin located in the western part of this section as a pull-apart basin or a transtension zone related to the strike-slip faulting along the ATF shearing zone. On the other hand, the Early to Middle Jurassic basin located in the eastern part of this section was a transpressional or transtensional zone probably controlled by eastward crustal motion along the ATF toward the Qilian Shan/northern Qaidam Basin (Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016; Figure 10b). The Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous as well as the overlying Cenozoic strata thin toward the various faults forming growth structures (see F39 in Figure 7g), again suggesting fault inversion occurring as early as Late Jurassic and persisting during the Late Mesozoic–Cenozoic.

4.3. Zircon U-Pb Geochronology Results

Samples ST-J2 and ST-J3 are Jurassic sediments obtained from drill cores in the Suganhu Basin (Figure 1). The zircon crystals in sample ST-J2 show euhedral shapes, with an average size ranging between 50 and 200 μm. Most of these crystals (~90%) display distinct oscillatory zoning on CL images (Figure 8d), pointing to a predominantly magmatic origin (Corfu et al., 2003; Hanchar & Rudnick, 1995). The Th/U ratios vary from 0.12 to 1.68 (Figure 8c), again indicative of the magmatic origin of the zircons (Hoskin & Ireland, 2000). Among the 110 analyzed zircons, 101 U-Pb ages with discordance degree <10% were obtained (Figure 8b). The U-Pb ages range from 2,587 to 237 Ma with a predominant single peak at approximately 445 Ma, a secondary peak at approximately 808 Ma, and a few Neoarchean to Paleoproterozoic ages distributed between 2,587 and 1,876 Ma (Figure 8b). The zircon grains in sample ST-J3 are large (80–280 μm) with euhedral to abraded shapes. The large majority of crystals (>95%) display distinct oscillatory zoning in CL (Figure 8e), suggesting predominance of magmatic zircons (Corfu et al., 2003; Hanchar & Rudnick, 1995). The Th/U ratios vary from 0.32 to 1.57 (Figure 8c), again confirming a magmatic source (Hoskin & Ireland, 2000). Among the 110 analyzed crystals, 102 acceptably concordant ages were obtained (Figure 8a). The U-Pb ages vary from 2,482 to 261 Ma, with a significant unimodal age peak at approximately 445 Ma, a minor age peak at approximately 797 Ma, and a number of Paleoproterozoic to Mesoproterozoic ages spread between 2,484 and 1,514 Ma (Figure 8g).
4.4. Heavy Mineral Assemblages

In order to compare heavy mineral assemblages, volume percent of each heavy mineral species was calculated for each sample and zircon-tourmaline-rutile (ZTR) index were used (Figure 9; Hubert, 1962). The heavy mineral suites of all the Jurassic and Early Cenozoic samples mainly consists of hornblende, garnet, and zircon associated with few sphene, termolite, tourmaline, and zoisite. Despite enrichment of
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Figure 8. (a and b) The U–Pb concordia diagrams for zircon grains of the Late Jurassic sandstone sample and Early to Middle Jurassic sandstone samples, respectively. (c) U–Pb age of analyzed zircons versus Th/U ratios. (d and e) The representative CL images of zircons from the two sandstone samples, respectively. (f and i) The relative probability plot of granitoid pluton ages in the southern Qaidam Basin (SQS) and the North Qaidam Thrust Belt (NQTB), respectively. The U–Pb age data set is mainly from Cheng et al. (2017). (g and h) The zircon U–Pb age cumulative probability distribution for the Late Jurassic sandstone and the Early to Middle Jurassic sample. The ages, in Ma, are indicated on the horizontal axis. (j) Multidimensional scaling map uses the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) statistic for detrital zircon U–Pb data sets (Vermeesch, 2013), showing the distinct signature of northern Qaidam terrane and southern Qilian Shan source regions. Axes are in dimensionless “K–S units” (0 < KS < 1), showing “distance” between samples. Principal component analysis is used. PC1 and PC2 refer to the two principal components. Solid lines and dashed lines connect samples with their “closest” and “second closest” neighbors, respectively. Note that zircon age distributions from both the Late Jurassic (ST-J3) and Early to Middle Jurassic sample (ST-J2) are statistically similar with those of granitoid plutons from the SQS, different from with those of granitoid plutons from the NQTB.

4.4. Heavy Mineral Assemblages

In order to compare heavy mineral assemblages, volume percent of each heavy mineral species was calculated for each sample and zircon-tourmaline-rutile (ZTR) index were used (Figure 9; Hubert, 1962). The heavy mineral suites of all the Jurassic and Early Cenozoic samples mainly consist of hornblende, garnet, and zircon associated with few sphene, termolite, tourmaline, and zoisite. Despite enrichment of
unstable minerals (over 70% of total on average), each sample carries distinctive heavy mineral signatures (Figure 9).

In the samples from the lower part of the Early to Middle Jurassic succession (sample ST28 to sample ST18), the mean proportion of hornblende, garnet, sphene, termolite, and zoisite is 62%, 6%, 6%, 5%, and 2%, respectively. The total proportion of stable zircon and tourmaline largely fluctuates between 4% and 35%, while the ZTR index largely fluctuates with its mean value around 0.22 (Figure 9).

In the samples from the upper part of the Early to Middle Jurassic succession (sample ST17 to sample ST10), the total proportion of the zircon and tourmaline becomes slightly more constant, varying between 6% and 21%. The proportion of garnet generally increases upsection while the proportion of hornblende decreases in parallel. The proportions of sphene, termolite, zoisite, and staurolite are negligible in most samples. The amplitude of variation of the ZTR index curve becomes stable, with an average value of 0.14 (Figure 9).

From the Late Jurassic to the first Early Cenozoic samples (sample ST10 to sample ST7), the proportion of hornblende gradually increases (from 52% in sample ST10 to 80% in sample ST7), while the total proportion of zircon and tourmaline decreases (from 21% in sample ST10 to 1.1% in sample ST7). Since then, the proportion of hornblende slightly decreases and varies between 70% and 81%, while the total proportion of zircon and tourmaline increases and varies between 5% and 13%. In all the Cenozoic samples, the proportion of garnet is relatively stable with an average value of 10%, while the sphene, termolite, zoisite, and staurolite are still negligible in most samples, with proportions fluctuating between 0% and 4%. The ZTR index during this time interval continuously decreases with an average value of 0.07 (Figure 9).

5. Discussion

5.1. Provenance of the Jurassic Deposits in the Qilian Shan

The SQS and NQTB are the two potential source regions likely to provide zircons to the Suganhu Basin during the Jurassic (Bovet et al., 2009; Meng & Fang, 2008; Yin, Dang, Wang, et al., 2008). We first compiled the zircon U-Pb ages available from basement rocks of these two regions (Figures 8f and 8i). We then compare the zircon U-Pb ages distribution of the Jurassic samples with basement data from the SQS and the NQTB in order to reveal the source variation from the Early Jurassic to Late Jurassic. In order to understand the similarities/differences between the zircon U-Pb distribution of individual samples and the zircon U-Pb pattern of the basement of the SQS and the NQTB, we constructed a multidimensional scaling (MDS) map (Figure 8j). This map was developed to create a spatial visualization and quantitatively determine the misfit between age distributions using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test as the dissimilarity measure (Vermeech, 2013).

The zircon U-Pb ages from granitic basement of the SQS region can be generally divided into four populations with a major peak at approximately 470 Ma and three additional populations at approximately 2,710–2,260, approximately 1,870–1,130, and approximately 1,030–740 Ma, respectively (Figure 8f). Differing from the SQS, the zircon U-Pb age spectrum of the NQTB basement is characterized by a noticeable decrease in Archean-Paleoproterozoic ages (from over 25% in the SQS to less than 10% in the NQTB) and a significant increase in the Late Paleozoic-Mesozoic ages (secondary peak age at ~240 Ma; from less than 1% in the SQS to around 15% in the NQTB). In addition, Early Paleozoic granitoid plutons are widely spread in the NQTB, with a peak zircon U-Pb age at 444 Ma, whereas some Neoproterozoic plutons are also present with zircon U-Pb ages ranging between ~1,080 and ~740 Ma (Figure 8i).

As displayed in Figure 8j, zircon age distributions of both the Early to Middle Jurassic (ST-J2) and Late Jurassic (ST-J3) samples from the Suganhu Basin are statistically different from those of the granitic
basement in the SQS. However, they are similar to that of basement intrusions in the NQTB, indicating that the NQTB served as a dominant source of detrital material for the Suganhu Basin during the Jurassic. This suggests that the Late Paleozoic–Mesozoic plutons were not exhumed in the NQTB in the Jurassic. Given that different vintages of plutons are usually exhumed in sequence, if few Early Paleozoic, Mesoproterozoic, and Paleoproterozoic basements in the SQS were exhumed during the Jurassic, the SQS could also be the source of detrital materials for the Suganhu Basin. On the other hand, the significant increase in the proportion of hornblende (from 52% in sample ST10 to 80% in sample ST7), the noticeable decrease of the total proportion of zircon and tourmaline (from 21% in sample ST10 to 1.1% in sample ST7), and the decrease in the ZTR index (from 0.19 on average in the Jurassic samples to 0.07 on average in the Early Cenozoic Lulehe formation samples) indicate modification of the local paleogeography and drainage system in this region (Figure 9). This conclusion is consistent with the obvious regional unconformity between the Jurassic and Paleogene strata in the Suganhu Basin shown on seismic sections (Figure 5). Thus, we infer that a significant tectonic deformation phase occurred during the Cretaceous. This event modified the local paleogeography and drainage system in the Qilian Shan–north Qaidam region.

Figure 10. Scenarios for the tectonic inversion in the Qilian Shan and the northern Qaidam Basin from the Jurassic to Paleogene. See Figure 1b for the location. The information of Late Jurassic to Early Cretaceous extension in the Hexi Corridor is based on the work of S Chen et al. (2014). Note that the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin was characterized by transpressional and transtensional tectonics during the Mesozoic. Since the Early Cenozoic, it was completely inverted under a N-S or NE-SW oriented compression.
5.2. Tectonic Inversion in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin: From Early-Middle Jurassic Extension to Late Jurassic–Cenozoic Contraction

The seismic profiles described above display direct evidence of Jurassic extension in the western part of the Qilian Shan (Suganhu Basin; Figure 5). In particular, Early to Middle Jurassic normal faulting can be identified in the regions adjacent to the ATF shearing zone, including the western part of both the Qilian Shan (Figure 5) and the northern Qaidam Basin (Figures 6a–6d), as well as in the western Qaidam Basin (Figures 6e–6h). However, in regions away from the ATF (e.g., the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin; Figures 7c–7g), the Early to Middle Jurassic sedimentation is controlled by both extensional and contractional tectonics depending on the precise location (Figure 7). This kinematic pattern suggests that the extensional/transtensional basins that developed nearby the ATF during the Early to Middle Jurassic were pull-apart basins or extensional/transtensional relay zones that related to sinistral strike-slip movement along the ATF shearing zone. This deformation was mainly accommodated by extension in the northern Qaidam Basin and the Qilian Shan, as the tectonic lineaments branching on the ATF were active as normal faults (Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016). Locally, the strike-slip component associated with the largest faults likely induced transpression in a similar fashion as the relay zones in the ATF corridor. This inference is not only in good agreement with the extensional setting model based on field investigation, seismic profile interpretation, and provenance analysis (L. Wu et al., 2011; Yin, Dang, Zhang, et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2017) but also explains the presence of localized contractional tectonics in the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin (Ritts & Bifì, 2001).

The Late Jurassic strata along the ATF shearing zone (Figures 5, 6e, and 6f) thicken toward to the faults (Figures 6a–6d), while the Late Jurassic strata that are distributed far away from the ATF shearing zone (eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin) are characterized by a relatively stable thickness or even tapering toward the faults (Figures 7g and 7h). We thus infer that the continued strike-slip motion along the ATF during the Late Jurassic maintained extension within and nearby ATF zone. However, regions distant from the ATF (from the ATF toward the Qilian Shan/northern Qaidam Basin) were instead evolving in a transpressional or contractional setting.

The growth strata that initiated during the Cretaceous in the eastern part of the northern Qaidam Basin are well-developed and record the Early Cretaceous transition to contractional deformation in this region (Figures 7c–7g). In contrast, as shown on the isopach map and seismic profiles (Figures 4b and 6c–6f), the Cretaceous strata distributed in the western Qaidam Basin thicken toward the ATF shearing zone, which would be either attributed to the extension or transtension along the ATF shearing zone or the exhumation of the Altyn Tagh Range that formed as a topographic load and caused the local flexural subsidence in the western Qaidam basin.

Since the Cenozoic, both the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin have experienced several stages of contractional deformation. This inference is evidenced by the variation of heavy mineral compositions as described above (Figure 9), as well as by the Early Cenozoic initiation of growth strata and angular unconformities displayed on the seismic profiles (Figures 5–7). The main reverse faults in the Qilian Shan and northern Qaidam Basin also present a nonnegligible strike component accommodating the eastward crustal extrusion of the Qaidam Basin-Northern Tibet region (Allen et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2019; Cheng, Guo, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016).

These Jurassic to Cretaceous deformation events in the Qilian Shan and northern Qaidam Basin as well as along the ATF shearing zone are chronologically consistent with the coeval tectonic cooling events evidenced by previous fission track and $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar thermochronology studies (Arnaud et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2003; Delville et al., 2001; M. Jolivet et al., 2001; Li et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2013; Qi et al., 2016; Sobel et al., 2001; Y. Wang et al., 2005). As shown in the isopach map, a majority of Jurassic strata are currently distributed in the northern Qaidam basin, especially in the eastern part of the basin, with their strike parallel to the Qilian Shan (Figure 4). However, previous studies have revealed that the Qaidam basin might have experienced crustal deformation since India-Asian collision initiated at the Early Cenozoic, characterized by the eastward crustal extrusion in the southern Qilian Shan and northern Qaidam basin (Cheng et al., 2017; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2015; Cheng, Jolivet, et al., 2016). We thus suggest that the Jurassic strata, currently in the northern Qaidam basin, have been overprinted by
the Cenozoic eastward crustal extrusion and should be much closer to the Altyn Tagh Fault during the Jurassic. The faulting along the ATF and the transpressional/transtensional tectonics that related to the ATF drove the subsidence of the Jurassic Qaidam basin.

### 5.3. Potential Mechanism Driving the Early Jurassic Intracontinental Extension/Transtension in North Tibet

It is widely acknowledged that North Tibet has evolved into intracontinental geodynamic setting since the final closure of the Paleo-Tethys ocean during the Late Paleozoic-Early Mesozoic (Hendrix, 2000; Hendrix et al., 1992; Jolivet, 2015; Roger et al., 2010; Vincent & Allen, 1999) and that this region was subsequently affected by the collision between the Lhasa and the Qiangtang terranes during latest Jurassic to earliest Cretaceous time (Coward et al., 1988; Harris et al., 1988; Kidd et al., 1988). Geologists generally attribute the Mesozoic extension in North Tibet to the far-field effects of Gondwana-derived block collisions along the southern edge of Asia at that time (Vincent & Allen, 1999; L. Wu et al., 2011). However, no real attempt to describe the associated continental-scale stress field has been made and similar mechanisms are put forward to explain contemporaneous or near-contemporaneous compression.

In order to explain extension, recent studies proposed that the North Tibet region, including the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin, was in a postcollisional setting following the orogenic event induced by the closure of the Palaeo-Tethys Ocean and the docking of the Qiangtang block (Yu et al., 2017). Although postcollisional granites in the Eastern Kunlun Shan and eastern Qaidam Basin have been reported (X Chen et al., 2012; X Chen et al., 2015) together with some Middle Jurassic age detrital zircons in the northern Qilian Shan (Cheng, Garzione, Jolivet, Wang, et al., 2019), no Early to Middle Jurassic plutonic nor volcanic rocks have yet been found in the areas north of the Eastern Kunlun Shan, indicating a lack of Jurassic magmatism in the Qilian Shan-Qaidam Basin region (Cheng et al., 2017; Yin & Harrison, 2000). Therefore, we should be cautious about making the inference that postcollisional extension, which is concerned with the subduction and closure of the Palaeo-Tethys Ocean along the Kunlun-Anyemaqen suture zone in the Eastern Kunlun Shan to the south, could sustain to the Early to Middle Jurassic time and dictate the Mesozoic tectonics in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin to the north.

In the Songpan-Ganzi terrane, south of the Kunlun range, the Late Permian to Middle Triassic molasses, corresponding to sedimentation in the eastern branch of the closing Paleo-Tethys ocean are strongly deformed. However, they are unconformably covered by slightly folded Late Triassic deposits suggesting that the oceanic closure and collision between Qiangtang and Kunlun terranes had nearly ended by that time (Calassou, 1994; Roger et al., 2010). The docking and the final amalgamation of the Qiangtang block to the Eurasian continent resulted in very limited deformation and the generated stress would not trigger the Mesozoic tectonism in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin. To the north, the scissors-like Permian to Cretaceous closure of the Mongol-Okhotsk ocean, located several thousands of kilometers to the north, produce only limited deformation in Siberia and Mongolia.

In spite of alteration by the subsequent Early Cenozoic tectonic deformation, field observation (Cheng, Guo, et al., 2015; Ritts & Biffi, 2000, 2001; Edward R. Sobel et al., 2001) and subsurface data (including the seismic reflections and isopach map) clearly show that the Early to Middle Jurassic strata are largely distributed along the Paleozoic inherited faults, especially the ATF shearing zone (Figures 1 and 6). Similar stratigraphic distribution of Early to Middle Jurassic series can also be observed along the Talas Fergana Fault (Morin et al., 2018; Sobel, 1999), in the Kazakh and Turan platform (Thomas et al., 1999), in the pre-Caspian Basin further to the west (Moseley & Tsimmer, 2000) and even in the Hexi Corridor, northeast of the Tibetan Plateau (S. Chen et al., 2014). Following the model proposed by Morin et al. (2018), we propose that, in the absence of major collisional event during Early to Middle Jurassic period, the Early to Middle Jurassic strike-slip motion along the ATF and the associated regional extension may have responded to far-field effects of subduction processes along the southern edges of Eurasia. The associated stress-field reactivated the major tectonic structures inherited from the Paleozoic (Figure 10).
6. Conclusions

A better understanding of the Mesozoic tectonism in the Qilian Shan and Qaidam Basin provides significant insights into the growth mechanism of the northern portion of the Tibetan Plateau in the Cenozoic. The geological, sedimentological, and geochronological data presented in this work document the following conclusions:

1. The Northern Qaidam Thrust Belt served as the major source of clastic materials for the Suganhu Basin during the Jurassic before regional tectonic deformation initiating during the Cretaceous strongly modified the local paleogeography and drainage system in the Qilian Shan.

2. The sustained strike-slip motion along the ATF during the entire Mesozoic is responsible for the appearance of synextensional/syntranstensional deposits in basins adjacent to the ATF shearing zone. Regions away from ATF shearing zone evolved in a generally transpressionally setting, locally giving way to a contractual setting within fault relay zones or bending.

3. Both the Qilian Shan and the Qaidam Basin have been completely inverted under a N-S or NE-SW oriented compression since the Early Cenozoic.

4. The mechanism driving the extension/transtension in North Tibet during the Jurassic could be related to far-field effects of subduction processes along the southern margins of the continent.
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