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Abstract
A new kinetic model was developed based on a transition-state-theory (TST)/surface-complexation-model (SCM) coupling. It aims to describe the successive precipitation of amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) and calcite, taking account of their mutual influence: ACC precipitates according to the standard TST and creates surface complexation sites from which calcite can form and create new surface complexation sites. When the kinetics of calcite precipitation are fast enough, the consumption of dissolved matter leads to the re-dissolution of ACC. This model is first compared to batch experiments (Gebauer et al., 2008) and then applied with a reactive transport calculation code to a dynamic experiment carried out on a microfluidic device composed of a single straight channel (Beuvier et al., 2015). The results show a good match between experiments and reactive transport modeling. This suggests that the combination of simple experimental microfluidic devices and reactive transport modeling could be a promising integrated methodology to study the dynamics of geochemical reactivity at the pore scale, as a first step before application to more complex and larger systems.

1. Introduction
Understanding the mechanisms of calcium carbonate (CC) formation is a key issue because these minerals are quasi ubiquitous in the geosphere and are involved in a number of anthropogenic activities. A typical example concerns the geological storage of CO2 in deep aquifers where the injection of this acid gas is known to induce chemical reactions involving the CaCO3-H2O chemical system, and to imply issues regarding changes in hydrodynamic properties of the rock (André et al., 2010; Gaus et al., 2005 and references therein).

1.1. Calcium carbonate formation mechanisms
Calcium carbonate formation has been studied for decades (e.g., Plummer and Busenberg, 1982), and it is now well accepted that it results from a multistep process. It involves nucleation (either homogeneous or heterogeneous) and crystal growth mechanisms (Morse et al., 2007).
The understanding of the process of homogeneous nucleation is still a subject under active research. It involves a number of possible mechanisms, either successive or simultaneous. They rely on several concepts/hypotheses including the genesis of locally dense liquid phases inside the aqueous solution, which are organized (meta)stable clusters that may act as precursors to the formation of amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) nanocrystals (Cartwright et al., 2012). This ACC can be hydrated or anhydrous (Ihli et al., 2014). Its aging generates a calcium carbonate polymorph (vaterite, aragonite, calcite), depending on the physical and physicochemical conditions (Gebauer et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Blanco et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2015), e.g. temperature, pressure, chemical composition of the aqueous solution, including pH, salinity, Mg content, etc. (Blue et al., 2017; Tai and Chen, 1998; Wolthers et al., 2012). Dehydration of ACC provides another driving force (i.e. an energetic contribution) to orientate the crystallization process towards one particular polymorph (Ihli et al., 2014). The stable crystalline phase may also nucleate directly from the solution, without the genesis of cluster precursors (Nielsen et al., 2014). According to the classic nucleation theory, surface tension plays a key role in the evolution of a nucleus although literature data on surface energies of CC polymorphs are rather scattered and inconsistent (Aquilano et al., 1997; Bruno et al., 2013; Forbes et al., 2011; Manoli et al., 2002; Spanos and Koutsoukos, 1998; Sun et al., 2015). It is however possible to play with the shape of the CC precipitates by using organic molecules that modify surface tensions (Rudloff and Cölfen, 2004) and thus the direction of growth. Laws for calculating nucleation rates can be found in Espinosa-Marzal and Scherer (2010); Pokrovsky (1998); Steefel and Van Cappellen (1990); and Sun et al. (2015).

Heterogeneous nucleation is often considered as a form of surface nucleation (Schott et al., 2009). It lowers the energy demand for creating a surface from a solution as for homogeneous nucleation. Thus, the induction time for nucleation is lowered when it can take place on an existing solid surface. In order to favor this type of nucleation at the expense of the homogeneous nucleation, solutions can be seeded with mineral grains that provide surfaces on which heterogeneous nucleation can take place (Nehrke et al., 2007; Noiriel et al., 2012).

Crystal growth can result from a number of mechanisms (Spanos et al., 1998). They include epitaxial type mechanism (Wolthers et al., 2012) according to a spiral pattern or a 2D nucleation-mediated growth, depending on the magnitude of the supersaturation of the initial solution with respect to the mineral (Teng et al., 2000). The kinetics of crystal growth can be described using a combination of a surface complexation model (SCM) and the transition state theory (TST) (Pokrovsky and Schott, 2002), especially when the reaction occurs close to equilibrium. This may particularly apply when an amorphous precursor precipitates so that the system is temporarily brought from far-from-equilibrium to conditions close to equilibrium with calcite. SCMs of calcite surface have been developed (Heberling et al., 2011; Pokrovsky et al., 1999; Van Cappellen et al., 1993; Wolthers et al., 2012) and can be used in combination with adapted kinetic laws. The role of the physicochemical conditions is also of major importance (Morse et al., 2007), including the solution stoichiometry (Zhang and Nancollas, 1998), in addition to the saturation ratio (Schott et al., 2009). Crystal growth can also result from attachment (De Yoreo et al., 2015) and, in some instances, oriented attachment of nanoparticles (Zhang et al., 2014).

The different natural and lab conditions of occurrence of CC polymorphs have been studied (Morse et al., 2007) and main factors acting on the orientation of a system to evolve towards a given CC polymorph are identified: pH, magnitude of supersaturation, calcium vs. carbonate ratio, presence of
additives (like magnesium), temperature, etc. However, the underlying mechanisms are still not well understood despite the major progress made in recent years (Van Driessche et al., 2017), which highlight the role of amorphous carbonate precursors (Blue et al., 2017; Ihli et al., 2014), of the water content in the amorphous solid (Nebel et al., 2008; Raiteri and Gale, 2010; Bushuev et al., 2015; Albéric et al., 2018), or of the incorporation of Ca(OH)₂ solid structure in the composition of amorphous basic calcium carbonates at high pH (> 11) (Wang et al., 2017). There is still a matter of debate, even for the physicochemical conditions of CC polymorph occurrences, and inconsistencies can be found in the literature. For instance, Tai and Chen (1998) observed experimentally that, at 24°C, vaterite is most abundant at pH < 10.5 while aragonite is most abundant at 10.5 < pH < 11.5 and calcite is most abundant at pH > 11.5. On the contrary, in the pH range 8.5–10.5, Gebauer et al. (2008) observed experimentally that calcite is most abundant at pH < 9.5 and vaterite is most abundant at pH > 9.5.

Of course, different natural and lab conditions have not only consequences on the nature of the stable CC, but they lead to different precipitation kinetics of CC and of calcite in particular (Rodriguez-Blanco et al., 2011; Spanos and Koutsoukos, 1998; Teng et al., 2000; Nielsen et al., 2014; Carino et al., 2017).

In this context, our study is limited to pH values ranging between 8.5 and 10.5, in the Ca-Na-Cl-CO₂-H₂O system, at 25°C.

1.2. Towards application to porous media

Geological environments are mostly made of porous media through which fluids percolate. The porosity of rocks is largely due to small pores that are connected together and form complex frameworks (Schön, 2015; Wang, 2014). When the formation of CC takes place in the porosity, it can affect the rock hydrodynamic properties. As extensively discussed by Steefel et al. (2015), the description of these changes can nowadays benefit from numerous microscopic characterization methods as well as experimental microfluidic devices and numerical tools able to describe a number of mechanisms occurring at the pore scale.

Different modelling approaches have been developed since more than 20 years. The most popular is the Lattice-Boltzmann approach which allows a direct modelling from a particle-based technique (Blunt et al., 2013 and references therein). This approach suits well for reactive systems and fluid flows in complex geometries of pore spaces, fracture apertures and fracture networks (Meakin and Tartakovsky, 2009). These models were tested on microfluidic experiments of calcite precipitation and dissolution (Tartakovsky et al., 2008). More complex systems have also been investigated, including biogeochemical reactions (Yoon et al., 2015; 2012), showing the growth of biofilm and CaCO₃ biomineral formation in the transverse mixing zone, and allowing the determination of their impact on porosity (Singh et al., 2015). This transverse mixing zone is the most investigated zone since it is the most reactive with respect to mineral precipitation. It led to substantial pore blockage under all experimental conditions (Boyd et al., 2014; Yoon et al., 2015). It also appeared that the pore scale suits well to catch multicomponent transport mechanisms like Coulombic effects on transverse hydrodynamic dispersion, as studied by Rolle et al. (2013a, b) and Muniruzzaman and Rolle (2015).

These rigorous calculation methods are often compared to more traditional ones like the continuum approach. Continuum models represent a spatially and temporally averaged conceptualization of the
porous media that neglects the details of pore and solid geometry (Galindo-Rosales et al., 2012; Scheibe et al., 2015). In such an approach, the domain is assumed sufficiently homogeneous so that the fluid behavior can be modeled with averaged parameters like permeability and porosity (Noiriel et al., 2012). Tartakovsky et al. (2008) used Darcy-scale simulations for modeling calcite precipitation in a two-dimensional flow cell. These simulations showed that, despite violation of some constraints related to characteristic lengths of the investigated system, local Darcy-scale advection-dispersion equations can still capture the essential qualitative (but not quantitative) characteristics observed in the laboratory experiments. These authors compared this continuum approach to a pore-scale model by the means of the calculated effect of Peclet and Damkohler dimensionless numbers on the mixing-induced calcite precipitation (Tartakovsky et al., 2009). For low Peclet and Reynolds numbers, pore-scale models and Darcy-scale models give comparable results with errors that do not exceed 1%. However, discrepancies raise between the two approaches when the Peclet number increases. Errors larger than 50% are obtained for large Peclet numbers. In this specific case, the continuum approach tends to over-estimate the extent of the mixing-controlled reaction zone (Acharya et al., 2007; Tartakovsky et al., 2009).

1.3. Goals and approach

Given the above considerations, the present work aims at proposing a kinetic model for calcite growth after the preliminary formation of amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC). The model aims to reconcile different observations and interpretations made in the literature. It relies on bibliographic experimental data acquired on simple systems, so that complex cross-related effects are limited. The kinetic model relies on a transition state theory (TST) approach, coupled to an up-to-date surface complexation model (SCM) for calcite. The SCM is extended to ACC, the precipitation kinetics of which partly controls that of calcite. Such an imbrication of the formation mechanisms contributes to lower the energy barrier that has to be overcome in order to precipitate calcite. To our knowledge, this imbricated description of the formation of calcium carbonate solids has not been modelled within this theoretical framework so far, even though the preliminary formation of an amorphous solid is for long considered as a way to decrease the activation energy required for the precipitation of a better crystallized polymorph (Steefel and Van Cappellen, 1990).

Both batch and dynamic flow through systems are considered since the model intends to apply to issues relevant to geosciences, using popular reactive transport softwares, such as PhreeqC (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013), Phast (Parkhurst et al., 2004), but also CrunchFlow (Steefel, 2009), and possibly others.

2. Development of a kinetic model for calcite growth from ACC

The variety of mechanisms involved in the formation of CC (see section 1.1) have inevitably led to a number of models for dissolution/precipitation kinetic rates (Rodriguez-Blanco et al., 2011; Schott et al., 2009; Teng et al., 2000; Wolthers et al., 2008; Wolthers et al., 2012). In the present work, we follow the same approach as Pokrovsky and Schott (2002) and use it as a basis for new developments since it relies on the coupling between the transition state theory (TST) and a surface complexation model (SCM), allowing several physicochemical characteristics of the aqueous solution-solid systems to be taken into account. In particular, the change of reactive surface area and of surface site density is inherently accounted for during the precipitation and dissolution reactions of the different minerals.
2.1. Selection of experimental data

The works of Gebauer et al. (2008) reveal interesting constraints on the reaction kinetics. These authors continuously added a 0.01 M CaCl$_2$ aqueous solution at constant flow rate into a vessel containing a known volume of 0.01 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution. The pH was maintained constant at stipulated values by adding a 0.01 M NaOH solution when needed. As the CaCl$_2$ solution was added, the concentration of free Ca$^{2+}$ was continuously measured by means of a selective electrode. This allowed accurate measurements of the time required for ACC to precipitate at various pH values between 9 and 10 at 25°C.

In addition to these batch experiments, the dynamic experiment performed by Beuvier et al. (2015) provided consistent complementary information. These authors co-injected two aqueous solutions into a silicon/glass micro-channel especially developed to resist to extended operating conditions at high temperature and high pressure (Liu et al., 2012; Marre et al., 2010, 2012; Marre and Jensen, 2010) and to allow for direct observations. So, the products of the reactions were observed directly in the channel by applying Small-Angle and Wide-Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS/WAXS) techniques, using the ID02 synchrotron beamline at ESRF (Grenoble, France). The two injection solutions were binary aqueous solutions of 10 mmol of Na$_2$CO$_3$/kg and 10 mmol of CaCl$_2$/kg, respectively. Their co-injection led to the formation of calcite in the mixing zone when the two solutions came into contact, in the middle of the channel. Observations were made after the solutions had been injected for one hour. As discussed later on, the fact that the microfluidic device used by Beuvier et al. (2015) is a straight microchannel strongly simplifies hydrodynamic and multicomponent transport issues.

The experimental results obtained by Gebauer et al. (2008) during the continuous injection of a CaCl$_2$ solution into a Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution suggest the possibility for the following succession of mechanisms to occur (Figure 1). (i) The growth of ACC (assuming it is slower than nucleation at the relatively low super-saturation levels); (ii) the simultaneous creation of ACC surface complexation sites from which calcite starts to precipitate; (iii) the calcite growth from ACC; (iv) the creation of calcite surface complexation sites from which it can further precipitate (i.e. grow); (v) the competitive precipitation of calcite at the expense of ACC. Figure 1 also shows the expected evolution of free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration with time, as the different mechanisms of calcium carbonate formation are triggered.
2.2. Development of the kinetic model for ACC and calcite precipitation

The general equations for dissolution and precipitation rates $r_n$ (mol·s⁻¹·kg⁻¹) of a mineral $n$, in the framework of the transition state theory (TST), are the following (Lasaga et al., 1994):

$$r_n = \pm k_n S_n \left[1 - \Omega_n^{\theta_n^\eta_n}\right]$$

(1)

where by convention, $r_n$ is positive for a dissolving mineral and changes sign for a precipitating mineral phase; $k_n$ is the kinetic dissolution or precipitation rate coefficient (mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹); $S_n$ is the reactive surface area (m²·kg⁻¹), and $\Omega_n$ is the saturation ratio. The two fitting parameters $\eta$ and $\theta$ empirically describe the dependence of the reaction rate on the saturation ratio. In the present work, the reactive surface area is assumed to vary linearly with the amount of mineral: $S_n = m_n M_n S_0^n$, where $m_n$ is the current content of mineral (mol·kg⁻¹), $M_n$ is the molar mass of the mineral (g·mol⁻¹), and $S_0^n$ is the reactive surface area per unit mass (m²·g⁻¹). The mass balance equation for ACC and calcite dissolution, allowing for the saturation ratio is: $\text{CaCO}_3 = \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}$, and $\Omega_n = (a_{\text{Ca}^{2+}} \cdot a_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}}) / K_{sp,n}$, where $a_{\text{Ca}^{2+}}$ and $a_{\text{CO}_3^{2-}}$ are the activity of Ca²⁺ and CO₃²⁻, respectively; and $K_{sp,n}$ is the solubility product of mineral $n$, i.e. ACC or calcite.
$k_n$ is expressed as a combination of kinetic coefficients which describe different elementary mechanisms responsible for the kinetic limitations of dissolution and/or precipitation reactions. Its general expression can be written as follows:

$$k_n = k_{298}^{nu} \exp \left[ -\frac{E_a^{nu}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15} \right) \right] + \sum I k_{298}^{i} \exp \left[ -\frac{E_a^{i}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15} \right) \right] \prod_j a_{ij}^{nuij}$$

where $k_{298}^{nu}$ represents the intrinsic kinetic constant related to the $i^{th}$ elementary mechanism; every $a_{ij}$ represents the activity of the aqueous species $j$ that contributes to the $i^{th}$ mechanism, and the superscript $nu$ represents the order of this contribution. In the present case, it appeared necessary to extend the meaning of the $a_{ij}$ term to concentration quantities as detailed below. The superscript $nu$ represents the limiting mechanism acting in circum-neutral pH conditions. $E_a$ is the activation energy which controls the temperature dependence of the different mechanisms involved, and $R$ is the ideal gas constant. However, since the temperature considered in this study is 298.15 K, the Arrhenius terms equal unity and are consequently discarded from the following equations for the sake of clarity.

### 2.2.1. Amorphous calcium carbonate formation

Because of the poor crystallographic structure of ACC grains, the relative contribution of their surface chemistry to the kinetic control of their formation cannot be clearly distinguished from other mechanisms. The simplest approach is thus to assume that the formation of ACC grains is controlled in a first approximation by the chemistry of the aqueous solution from which they nucleate. The dissolution/precipitation kinetic law for ACC is therefore based on the TST calcite kinetic law parameterized by Marty et al. (2015) for building their database of kinetic reaction rates. However, this law must be revised for ACC since its stability, shape and specific surface area must be different from those of calcite.

Moreover, it was found necessary to modify the precipitation rate in the alkaline domain. Indeed, the experimental data of Gebauer et al. (2008) showed that the formation of ACC occurred faster at pH 10 than at pH 9. The relationship between this increase in precipitation rate and pH is not trivial. Indeed, it was found in the present work that it can be linked to the evolution of “free” carbonate and bicarbonate content in the solution. By employing the term “free”, we mean all carbon (IV) species which are not complexed with foreign elements to the calcium-carbonate system, such as sodium.

The resulting expression for the ACC precipitation kinetic coefficient adapted from Eq. (2) is composed of two distinct mechanisms acting in circum-neutral ($nu$) and alkaline ($alk$) conditions, respectively:

$$k_{prec}(ACC) = k_{298}^{nu,p} + k_{298}^{alk,p} \times m_{free c}^{alk,p}$$

In the alkaline-domain mechanism, $m_{free c}$ stands for the ion activity term in Eq. (2) and actually represents the total concentration of free inorganic carbon species. In the present case, it is calculated as follows given the aqueous speciation calculated using the Thermoddem thermochemical database (Blanc et al., 2012):

$$m_{free c} = m(\text{tot C}) - m(\text{NaHCO}_3^0) - m(\text{NaCO}_3^2)$$
The dissolution kinetic coefficient for ACC is given by Eq. (4) which is similar to the expression selected for calcite by Marty et al. (2015) at 298.15 K:

\[
k_{\text{diss}}(\text{ACC}) = k_{\text{298}}^{n_{\text{u}, d}} + \left( k_{\text{298}}^{H^+} \times a_{H^+}^{n_{H^+}} \right)
\]

The values of parameters used within the combinations of Eq. (1) with (3) and of Eq. (1) with (4) were determined/selected in the present study. They are summarized in Table 1. In particular, it can be noted that the intrinsic kinetic constant for ACC precipitation in neutral conditions (6.0·10^{-8} mol·m^{-2}·s^{-1}) is larger than the value proposed by Noiriel et al. (2012) for calcite precipitation (1.79·10^{-9} mol·m^{-2}·s^{-1}), what can be expected since ACC is poorly structured compared to calcite.

The solubility product \( K_{sp} \) determined here for ACC is 10^{-7.7}, which is slightly stabilizing compared to the value of 10^{-7.51} proposed by Gebauer et al. (2008). Higher values (e.g., 10^{-6.4}) have been proposed elsewhere in the literature (Brečević and Nielsen, 1989) and highlight the difficulty in defining one single value since homogeneous nucleation mechanisms may lead to various sizes of nuclei, with various surface energy vs. bulk energy contributions, depending on the initial solution composition (see section 1.1). Moreover, the polymorphism phenomenon (Cartwright et al., 2012) or the hydration state (Ihli et al., 2014) are other reasons that can explain significant variability in the ACC solubility product (see section 5.1). However low, our proposed value remains consistently higher than the solubility products of crystalline carbonate polymorphs such as vaterite (-7.9), aragonite (-8.31) and calcite (-8.48), according to the Thermodem database (Blanc et al., 2012).

Regarding dissolution kinetics, we used the parameters chosen for calcite by Marty et al. (2015), based on the selection of Palandri and Kharaka (2004), for both the neutral pH-independent and the acidic pH-dependent mechanisms. However, Schultz et al. (2013) performed surface measurements on high surface area calcite particles (with values comprised between 14 and 17 m² g^{-1}), and Forbes et al. (2011) performed surface area measurements on nano-calcites (with values comprised between 13.09 and 34.06 m² g^{-1}, depending on the nature of the sample and on the characterization technique). Therefore, the specific surface area of ACC is assumed to be within the average range of these experimental data and corresponds to 30 times that of calcite, with a value of 21 m² g^{-1}.

2.2.2. Calcite

According to the combined TST-SCM approach, the kinetic coefficients for dissolution, \( k_{\text{diss}}(\text{calcite}) \), and precipitation, \( k_{\text{prec}}(\text{calcite}) \), include information related to the quantity of Ca-type surface complexation sites. For dissolution, only the calcite surface is taken into account since ACC is not expected to coexist with calcite in dissolving conditions. On the contrary, both ACC and calcite surfaces are taken into account for precipitation, keeping in mind that ACC is a metastable temporary phase which is expected to re-dissolve because of the Gibbs phase rule. Following the conclusions of Pokrovsky and Schott (1999) and Schott et al. (2009), the rate-controlling surface species in neutral to alkaline solutions is \( >_{\text{calcite}} CaOH_2^{+0.5} \), and the kinetic coefficient for calcite dissolution can be written as:

\[
k_{\text{diss}}(\text{calcite}) = \left( k_{\text{298}}^{H^+} \times a_{H^+}^{n_{H^+}} \right) + k_{\text{Cal}}^{\text{surf}} \times \left[ \rho_{\text{calcite}} CaOH_2^{+0.5} \right]^{n_{\text{surf, Cal}}}
\]

\[
= m(CO_3^{2-}) + m(HCO_3^-) + m(CO_3^{2-}) + m(CaHCO_3^-) + m(CaCO_3)
\]
The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (5) represents the acid-mechanism rate-limiting contribution since it depends on the activity of the proton, \(a_{H^+}\). The second term stands for the surface contribution of calcite. The acid-mechanism term here comes from the kinetic law selected by Marty et al. (2015) because Pokrovsky and Schott (2002) did not provide the parameters required for a surface-controlled mechanism at low pH. In the calcite’s surface contribution term,

\[
\rho(\text{calcite} \, \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) = \frac{m(\text{calcite} \, \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) \times M_w}{m(\text{calcite}) \times S_{\text{calcite}} \times M}
\]

is the density of active surface complexation sites at the calcite surface (in mol·m\(^{-2}\)), where \(m(\text{calcite} \, \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5})\) is the molality of active surface complexation sites (in mol·kg\(^{-1}\)), \(M_w\) is the mass of water (in kg), \(m(\text{Calcite})\) is the current number of moles of calcite (in mol), \(S_{\text{calcite}}\) is the reactive surface area of calcite (in m\(^2\)·g\(^{-1}\)), and \(M\) is the molar mass of calcite (in g·mol\(^{-1}\)). \(k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}}\) is the kinetic constant for the precipitation mechanism related to calcite surface sites, in s\(^{-1}\). For these calculations, we chose to use the recent SCM model of Heberling et al. (2014) because it has been shown by Li et al. (2016) to successfully describe both zeta potential and calcite surface conductivity data.

### Table 1 – Parameters for the kinetic dissolution and precipitation reaction rates of amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) and calcite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>ref.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>log (K_w)</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>log (K_w)</td>
<td>-8.48</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (m(^2)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>Surface area (m(^2)·g(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface site density (sites nm(^{-2}))</td>
<td>16.32</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>Surface site density (sites nm(^{-2}))</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{diss}}^{\text{nu, d}})</td>
<td>1.55E-06</td>
<td>[1] on the basis of [3]</td>
<td>(k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}})</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{diss}}^{\text{nu, p}})</td>
<td>6.0E-08</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>(k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}})</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{prec}}^{\text{ai}})</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>(k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}})</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{prec}}^{\text{ai}})</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>(k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}})</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>[1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[1]: this work; [2]: Blanc et al. (2012); [3]: Marty et al. (2015); [4]: Pokrovsky and Schott (2002); [5]: Schott et al. (2009).

\(^a\): \(K_w\) refers to the following dissolution/precipitation reaction: \(\text{CaCO}_3(s) \Leftrightarrow \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-}\), where \(\text{CaCO}_3(s)\) represents either ACC or calcite. — \(^b\): The total surface site density for calcite includes both carbonate-type and
calcium-type sites. The corresponding surface site densities on ACC are arbitrarily multiplied by a factor of 10 (see text).

As soon as the surface site density is known (see below), the total number of surface sites can be directly calculated from the quantity of calcite present in the system. On the other hand, the relative amount of the different surface species is given by the mass action laws related to each surface complexation reaction. The different parameters and surface complexation reactions related to the model of Heberling et al. (2014) are summarized in Table 2. The reactive surface area of calcite is 0.7 m² g⁻¹ (Marty et al., 2015), which compares well with the values proposed by Forbes et al. (2011) (0.3-0.44 m² g⁻¹) for bulk calcite.

Assuming that the equivalent surface species on ACC is also rate-controlling for calcite precipitation, while calcite is not expected to precipitate in acidic conditions, we obtain:

\[ k_{\text{prec}}(\text{calcite}) = k_{\text{calc}}^{\text{surf}} \times \left[ \rho(>_{\text{calcite}} \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) \right]^{n_{\text{surf, calc}}} + k_{\text{ACC}}^{\text{surf}} \]

where \( \rho(>_{\text{ACC}} \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) = \frac{m(>_{\text{ACC}} \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) \times M_w}{m(\text{ACC}) \times S_{\text{ACC}} \times M} \) is the density of active surface complexation sites at the ACC surface (all terms and units are similar to those for the active surface complexation sites at the calcite surface). It plays a role during calcite precipitation only, as long as ACC is present in the system, while \( \rho(>_{\text{calcite}} \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5}) \) is involved both in calcite dissolution and precipitation reactions.

Surface site density is also an important property for describing surface complexation reactions. A value of 4.95 sites nm⁻² (including both carbonate and calcium surface sites), which is equivalent to 8.22 µmol m⁻², has been proposed for the [104] calcite face (Pokrovsky and Schott, 2002; Zhang et al., 2014). We used the corresponding value of 0.0057 mol sites/mol of mineral, multiplied by an arbitrary factor of 10, for ACC surface site density to take account of a higher number of defects logically expected on ACC surface compared to calcite. Despite the abundant literature on the characterization of amorphous calcium carbonates we were unable to find relevant information to make a better choice (some elements of discussion are given in Appendix B).

For consistency of the surface complexation model, the capacitance used in this work is 1.24 F m⁻² as put forward by Li et al. (2016). This value is in relative accordance with the works of Heberling et al. (2014; 2011) who proposed a capacitance value of 0.45 F m⁻² according to experimental observations of the calcite surface by AFM.

**Table 2 – Parameters values for surface complexation reactions at the calcium carbonate – water interface, at 298.15 K.** The parameters \( \phi_0 \), \( \phi_\beta \) and \( K \) are the electrical potentials at the 0- and \( \beta \)-planes and the equilibrium constants of the reactions, respectively (after Heberling et al., 2014; and Li et al., 2016).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( \log K )</th>
<th>(-e\phi_0/kT)</th>
<th>(-e\phi_\beta/kT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( &gt;\text{CaOH}^{0.5} + \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons \text{CaOH}_2^{+0.5} )</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Numerical approach

3.1. Chemical modeling
With a view to applying the model proposed above to experimental conditions other than those considered in this study, or to other contexts, it was considered interesting to implement these equations in a geochemical calculation code. The complexity of the combined kinetic and sorption laws established for this study led our choice towards the PhreeqC-v3 software (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2013) because of its robustness and its flexibility, making it easy to implement such coupled processes.

3.2. Flow and Transport modeling
Modeling flow and transport in a microchannel is challenging because of the small size of the system and because only one pore is considered (i.e., the microchannel) instead of a porous medium. In practice, we opted for a grid with dimensions close to those of the experimental microchannel which is 20 mm long and 0.5 mm wide (Figure 2). Only the height was exaggerated: 10 mm instead of 0.22 mm, the actual height of the microchannel used by Beuvier et al. (2015). This high value was used to make numerical convergence of the calculations easier without modifying the results since no vertically oriented mechanisms are involved in the system. This means that the system actually comes down to a 2D simulation. The channel is discretized into 20 cells along the x-axis (1 mm-long cells), 40 cells along the y-axis (0.0125 mm-wide cells) and 1 cell along the z-axis (10 mm-high cells). The volume of each cell is thus 0.125 mm$^3$. The calculation time-step is optimized in order to respect the Courant Number, i.e. to prevent full renewal of the volume-cell during a calculation time-step.

\[
\begin{align*}
&>\text{CaOH}^{-0.5} + \text{H}^+ + \text{Cl}^- \iff >\text{CaOH}_2\text{Cl}^{0.5} & 0.45 & 1 & -1 \\
&>\text{CaOH}^{-0.5} + \text{Na}^+ \iff >\text{CaOHNa}^{0.5} & 0.56 & 0 & 1 \\
&>\text{CaOH}^{-0.5} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \iff >\text{CaOHCa}^{1.5} & 1.68 & 0 & 2 \\
&>\text{CaOH}^{-0.5} + \text{H}^+ + \text{HCO}_3^- \iff >\text{CaOH}_2\text{HCO}_3^{0.5} & 0.54 & 1 & -1 \\
&>\text{CaOH}^{-0.5} + \text{H}^+ + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \iff >\text{CaOH}_2\text{CO}_3^{-1.5} & -6.57 & 1 & -2 \\
&>\text{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \text{H}^+ \iff >\text{CO}_3\text{H}^{0.5} & -20 & 1 & 0 \\
&>\text{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \text{Na}^+ \iff >\text{CO}_3\text{Na}^{0.5} & 0.56 & 0 & 1 \\
&>\text{CO}_3^{-0.5} + \text{Ca}^{2+} \iff >\text{CO}_3\text{Ca}^{1.5} & 1.68 & 0 & 2 
\end{align*}
\]
Initially, the micro-channel is fully saturated with deionized water (i.e., numerically pure water). At $t = 0$ s, two solutions are injected: the first one contains dissolved sodium carbonate ($\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$) and the second contains dissolved calcium chloride ($\text{CaCl}_2$). The concentrations of the two solutions are 10 mmol kg$^{-1}$ as in the lab experiment of Beuvier et al. (2015). The $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ solution is injected in the left part of the micro-channel (in cells 1 to 20) while the $\text{CaCl}_2$ solution is injected in the right part (in cells 21 to 40). As shown in Figure 2, solutions are injected in the $x = 0$ plane and flow along the $x$-axis. The injection rate is determined by the imposed head gradient.

The initial head gradient is defined to be linear in the $x$-direction, ranging from 20 mm at $x = 0$ to 0 mm at $x = 20$ mm. To ensure consistency with the experiments described in Beuvier et al. (2015), the pore flow velocity is $U = 5$ mm s$^{-1}$ and the porosity in the micro-channel is 1. Consequently, the resulting hydraulic conductivity given by the Darcy law is equal to 5 mm s$^{-1}$. The longitudinal dispersivity (along the $x$-axis) is set to 1 mm whereas it is considered as nil in the two other directions. The effective diffusion coefficient is set to $D = 10^{-9}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ for all dissolved species. This value was selected because sensitivity tests showed that, with lower values, no mixing of the injected solutions occurs along the $y$-axis: the two solutions were flowing in parallel along the channel without any interaction. In addition, tests for multicomponent diffusion have been performed too (see appendix A), showing no major effect on the behavior of the system. Consequently, we chose...
the unique value of $10^5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ although we are aware that this value could be optimized using specific quantitative experiments in order to constrain the numerical model.

With the above information, one can calculate the Reynolds number ($Re = \rho UL/\eta$) and the Peclet number ($Pe = UL/D$), where $L$ is a characteristic length (m), $\rho$ is fluid density (kg m$^{-3}$), and $\eta$ is the fluid dynamic viscosity (kg m$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$). In this example, $Re = 2.5$ which is characteristic of a laminar flow, and $Pe = 2500$.

The simulations are performed according to a continuum approach using the reactive transport code PHAST (PHREEQC And HST3D) (Parkhurst et al., 2004), which calculates multicomponent, reactive solute transport in three-dimensional saturated groundwater systems. The flow and transport calculations are based on a modified version of HST3D that is restricted to constant fluid density and constant temperature (Parkhurst et al., 2004). Except the chemical considerations, different reasons conditioned the choice for this code for transport calculations. First, the microchannel is considered as a homogeneous medium in which a steady state flow is assumed. The flow is laminar ($Re = 2.5$). The Peclet Number ($Pe = 2500$) is probably a little bit high for such an approach as referred to the work of Tartakovsky et al. (2009). But according to the estimation of these authors, the excess formation of carbonate should not exceed 20-30% which is considered as acceptable in a first approximation of flow and transport calculations (see discussion in section 5.5).

4. Results

4.1. Batch experiments

The coupled TST-SCM kinetic model and its related parameters were established based on the experimental work of Gebauer et al. (2008). Their experimental data and our model results for the same conditions are compared in Figure 3. They are given in terms of the number of moles of Ca$^{2+}$ in the aqueous solution (25 g of water) as a function of time during the continuous addition of the feed CaCl$_2$ solution.

The shape of the concentration drop is determined by the energetic (thermodynamic) term of the kinetic law (eq. (1)) and, more specifically, by the exponents $\theta$ and $\eta$ which were found to equal 0.5 and 1, respectively. On the other hand, the time at which the “massive” precipitation of ACC occurs is conditioned by the amount of “free” carbonate present in the solution (eq. (3)). The same set of parameters can be used to successfully describe the experimental measurements of Gebauer et al. (2008) over the investigated range of pH values (from 9 to 10).

The results reported by Gebauer et al. (2008) suggest that the induction time for nucleation decreases with increasing pH in the pH range [9-10]. However, it also depends on the initial supersaturation level of the solution with respect to CC and on the composition of the solution (Nielsen et al., 2014; Pokrovsky, 1998). Increasing (by a factor of 10) the rate of addition of the CaCl$_2$ solution into the Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution at pH 9.25 leads to higher supersaturation levels. As a consequence, the successive formation of ACC and calcite occurs within 9,000 s (150 min), the latter crystal precipitating at the expanse of the former (Figure 4). These results are in a qualitative agreement with the experimental observations reported above.
Figure 3 – Evolution of Ca$^{2+}$ aqueous species content with time, at various pH values, for the experimental conditions investigated by Gebauer et al. (2008): 0.01 M Na$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solution + NaOH for pH adjustment, and Cl content = twice the total Ca content. The solid lines represent the experimental measurements; the short dashed lines are the simulation results obtained in this study.

Now, using the same experimental solutions as in Beuvier et al. (2015) and mixing them in a batch system leads to the results shown in Figure 5. With an initial composition of the mixture as $m$(Ca) = $m$(C) = 5 mmol kg$^{-1}$ and $m$(Cl) = $m$(Na) = 10 mmol kg$^{-1}$, the calculations show that ACC precipitates within a few hundred seconds (about 300 s) and rapidly re-dissolves in favor of calcite. The system stabilizes within 600 s (10 min). Because of diffusion limitations during the simultaneous injection of CaCl$_2$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$ solutions in the microchannel, the precipitation mechanisms are expected to be slower in the dynamic flowing device.
Figure 4 – Calculated amount of precipitated calcium carbonate over time, in the experimental conditions investigated by Gebauer et al. [2008] (dashed lines) and with a 10-fold higher addition rate of CaCl$_2$ solution (full lines). In the first case, only ACC forms within the time interval. In the second case, ACC first precipitates and then re-dissolves as calcite precipitates in turn. The initial Na$_2$CO$_3$ content of the batch solution is 0.01 mol·kg$^{-1}$. The pH is held constantly equal to 9.25 by NaOH addition. The CaCl$_2$ content in the injection solution is 0.01 mol·kg$^{-1}$.

The various experimental conditions investigated here cover a relatively wide range of conditions encountered in the literature. They led to different values for the saturation ratio (IAP/K)$^{1/2}$ from which the massive precipitation of ACC started. These are summarized in Table 3 and have been defined at the point of maximum Ca$^{2+}$ content that can be observed in Figure 3. In the Gebauer et al. (2008) experiments, this “induction” time for massive ACC precipitation increased from 50 to 87 minutes with decreasing pH (from 10.0 to 9.0). The corresponding saturation ratio for ACC decreased from 1.89 to 1.60 (from 4.60 to 3.89 for calcite) while the Ca$^{2+}$/CO$_3^{2-}$ molal concentration ratio increased from 0.013 to 0.377. Further decreasing the pH of the solution, down to 8.5, increased the induction time up to 170 minutes, decreased the saturation ratio triggering the massive ACC precipitation, and increased the Ca$^{2+}$/CO$_3^{2-}$ concentration ratio to 2.77. On the contrary, increasing the pH to 10.5 slightly decreased the induction time (48 minutes) compared to pH 10 for which the induction time was 50 minutes. Saturation ratios for ACC and calcite reached values of 2.01 and 4.90, respectively, while the Ca$^{2+}$/CO$_3^{2-}$ ratio did not exceed 0.008. In short, the highest supersaturation ratio was reached for the highest pH value (in the range investigated), but at the lowest Ca concentration (see Figure 3) at constant C concentration, according to the experimental setup.
Figure 5 – Calculated amount of precipitated calcium carbonate over time, for a 1:1 mixture of initial solutions with the same composition as the injection solutions investigated by Beuvier et al. (2015). ACC is very ephemeral and calcite forms within a few hundred seconds.

Table 3 – Calculated saturation ratios (IAP/K)\(^{1/2}\) with respect to ACC and calcite, \(\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) molal concentration ratio and induction time corresponding to the triggering of massive ACC precipitation, in the different experimental conditions investigated in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical conditions</th>
<th>Gebauer et al. experiments</th>
<th>CaCl(_2)×10 (^a)</th>
<th>Beuvier et al. exp (^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5(^c)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>8.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.5(^c)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IAP/K(_{\text{ACC}}))(^{1/2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IAP/K(_{\text{Calcite}}))(^{1/2})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{CO}_3^{2-})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\): corresponds to the Gebauer et al. (2008) experiment at pH 9.25, but with a CaCl\(_2\) injection rate 10 times greater than in the actual experiment. — \(^b\): corresponds to the hypothetical instantaneous perfect mixing of the two solutions injected in the microfluidic device by Beuvier et al. (2015). — \(^c\): these pH values were not considered in Gebauer et al. (2008); these conditions are extrapolated in the present study.

When the rate of CaCl\(_2\) addition to the Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solution was increased by a factor of 10, at pH 9.25, the induction time was significantly reduced since it dropped down to 24 minutes. On the other hand, the corresponding saturation ratios of ACC and calcite, and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\) ratio were significantly increased, with values of 2.89, 7.04 and 0.536, respectively.

Lastly, the perfect mixture of the CaCl\(_2\) and Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) solutions used by Beuvier et al. (2015) leads to a very short induction time, with a maximum ACC precipitation rate reached after around 5-6 minutes. The latter is rapidly cancelled by the very fast formation of calcite. Indeed, the initial conditions...
correspond to saturation ratios as high as 8.81 for ACC and 21.47 for calcite. In addition, the Ca\(^2+\)/CO\(_3^{2-}\) ratio is 1.39, a value that corresponds to the most favorable conditions for fast precipitation kinetics, with a pH of at least 10.2 according to Nehrke et al. (2007), with all other parameters being constant. In the present case however, the triggering of the massive ACC precipitation (i.e., with the highest kinetic rate) occurs at pH 10.68, after 216 seconds (almost 4 minutes). On the other hand, as the pH is not constrained, its value decreases with time from 10.69 down to 9.94, once calcite has formed and replaced ACC.

4.2. Reactive transport simulations
The two solutions are both injected in parallel at the same injection rate into the micro-channel. Using conservative ions (Cl\(^-\) and Na\(^+\)) as tracers, Figure 6 shows that the volume of the channel is renewed after about 8 seconds, which is consistent with the injection rate and the length of the channel. The first chloride ions are detected at the output of the channel after about 1.5 seconds, according to the dispersion process along the x-axis. Because of the selected diffusion coefficient, some mixing occurs between the two injected solutions in the center (y = 0.025 mm) of the micro-channel.

Due to the concentration gradients between the two solutions, a mixing zone forms at the interface of the two solutions. It looks like a prism, with the top of the prism close to the inlet, while it enlarges towards the outlet of the micro-channel. In this prism, a concentration gradient stands between the maximum value (defined by the concentration of one of the injected solutions) and a nil value (defined by the concentration of the other injected solution). Figure 7 (right side) shows that significant pH variations extend over the whole width of the channel after 60 seconds. This apparently different behavior compared to the other solutes has to be linked to the log dimension of this parameter.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
*Figure 6 – Variation of Cl concentration (left column) and Na concentration (right column) at 3 (up), 6 (middle) and 60 (bottom) seconds along the x-axis. Cl and Na concentrations in mol·kg\(^{-1}\). X direction, total length = 2 cm. Y direction, total width = 0.05 cm.*
The prism zone, in the center of the channel, is the most reactive. The mixing of the two solutions triggers the precipitation of both amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) and calcite. The initial concentrations of both solid phases in the kinetic block were set to $10^{-10}$ mol kg$^{-1}$ (see discussion about this parameter in section 5.3). After 60 seconds, the concentrations have multiplied by 4 for ACC and by 16 for calcite (Figure 8). This increase is mainly located in the center of the channel (prism zone). In the basic part of the channel (corresponding to the side of the channel where the Na$_2$CO$_3$ solution is injected), the increase in the solid phase concentration is lower. First, it is located close to the outlet of the column (beyond 5 mm) and second, the increasing factors are 3 and 2 for ACC and calcite, respectively. In the neutral part (corresponding to the channel side where CaCl$_2$ solution is injected), the increase factor is lower than 1.5. This result confirms the role of pH in the precipitation process (Genovese et al., 2016).

A quantitative interpretation of the results shows that calcite is the main mineral precipitated in the microchannel. The amounts of calcite deposits can be summed for the entire volume of the microchannel: a total amount of $7.05 \times 10^{-5}$ moles of calcite is obtained after one hour. During this time period, according to the injection flow rate (25 mm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to a flow velocity of 5 mm s$^{-1}$), about $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ moles are injected into the micro-channel, meaning that about 17% of the injected calcium (in moles) precipitated as calcite. The final content of ACC, which results from successive precipitation and dissolution events, is negligible (many orders of magnitude lower than calcite). The total number of moles of calcite can be used to determine the volume of calcite in the microchannel. For a molar volume of 36.9 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for calcite (Thermoddem data, Blanc et al., 2012), about 2.5% of the channel volume is occupied by this mineral after one hour of injection. When looking at the calcite content profile (Figure 8), it appears that most of the precipitation occurred in the first 5 millimeters of the channel, with a maximum content of approximately 23 moles of calcite per kg of water within the first millimeter, after one hour of injection.
Given the 0.1 mm wide lateral distribution of this accumulation (Figure 9), the volume of calcite at the entrance to the channel can be estimated at 4.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ (i.e. 1.15} \times 10^{-5} \text{ moles) in a pore volume of 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^3. In other words, a little less than 10% of the first millimeter of the channel is occupied by calcite, mostly concentrated in the center part of it. Comparison of these simulations with the experiment is further discussed below. On the other hand, Figure 9 also highlights the asymmetric precipitation of each solid phase. Calcite is centered with respect to the channel whereas ACC clearly precipitates in the basic side of the channel.
Figure 9 – Variation of calcite (left picture) and amorphous calcium carbonate (right picture) along the channel width at time = 60 seconds (first line), 900 seconds (second line), 1800 seconds (third line) and 3600 seconds (fourth line) for three positions (x = 5, 10 and 15 mm).
5. Discussion

5.1. About the chemical processes

The numerical modeling results (Figure 3) show that, contrary to the findings of Gebauer et al. (2008), it is not necessary to assume that precursor structured clusters are formed to explain the discrepancy between dosed (total) Ca and free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in the solutions. The classic geochemical approach that considers detailed aqueous speciation suffices to describe Gebauer et al.’s experimental data before nucleation/formation of ACC. This result is fully consistent with the conclusions of the recent works of Carino et al. (2017) and Henzler et al. (2018), which consider that the linear increase of the free Ca\(^{2+}\) with the injection of the CaCl\(_2\) solution is not consistent with a system dominated by large, oligomeric clusters.

Detailed description of the aqueous speciation also provides key information on the conditions for calcium carbonate crystallization (Genovese et al., 2016). Figure 3 shows different Ca\(^{2+}\) concentrations in “equilibrium” with ACC according to pH, represented by the plateaus measured after ACC formation. The experimental data (Gebauer et al., 2008) are correctly reproduced which demonstrates that this can be explained by the pH effect on aqueous speciation and its consequences on the solubility of ACC. This comprehensive numerical simulation thus demonstrates that there is no need to define two different polymorphs, as done by Gebauer et al. (2008), to describe their experimental data. Nevertheless, issues remain when considering only one ACC. This assumption is indeed limiting for current opinions, which suggest that polymorphism can be a route to initiate polymorphism. Indeed, Cartwright et al. (2012) suggest that polymorphism of ACC is due, in particular, to pH conditions in the case of additive-free formation conditions.

Actually, one can qualify the notion of polymorphism according to the definition of an amorphous state. A solid is amorphous (or glassy) if the long-range order of the atomic positions is absent (Zallen, 1998). Such solids thus look like frozen liquids. Thermodynamic studies on silicate glasses, but also on pharmaceutical products, showed that such solids could exhibit an infinity of configurations, depending on the speed of cooling and of the related glass transition temperature (Richet and Bottinga, 1984; Debenedetti and Stillinger, 2001; Graeser et al., 2010). Given the numerous processes that can take place in aqueous systems, this suggests that, as a general rule, amorphous solids that precipitate from aqueous solutions may exhibit a distribution of configurations rather than one or two well-defined configurations. The range of configurations may vary depending on the conditions like temperature, pH, supersaturation, etc. Consequently, this may contribute to explain the difficulty to realize reproducible experiments and obtain identical experimental data from one laboratory to another. As an illustration, the experiments of Carino et al. (2017) only differ from those of Gebauer et al. (2008) by the rate of injection of the solutions into the reactor: 30 µL·min\(^{-1}\) vs. 10 µL·min\(^{-1}\), respectively. However, the solubility of the ACC measured by Carino et al. (2017) corresponds to 1.0·10\(^{-4}\) mol Ca\(^{2+}\)·kg\(^{-1}\) at pH 9.25, while it corresponds to 5.5·10\(^{-5}\) mol Ca\(^{2+}\)·kg\(^{-1}\) in the experiments of Gebauer et al. (2008) at the same pH. The resulting solubility products are 10\(^{-7.52}\) and 10\(^{-7.70}\), respectively. In Carino et al. (2017), ACC massive formation occurs at ca. 45-50 minutes, while it occurs at 72 minutes in Gebauer et al. (2008) because of the different injection rate. The faster the formation, the less stable is the amorphous solid, as in the case of the temperature effect on the glass transition rate: the higher the cooling rate, the higher are the glass transition temperature and the destabilizing configurational properties (Richet and Bottinga, 1984).
Therefore, depending on the experimental conditions, some of the parameters included in the combined SCM-TST kinetic law may vary (see appendix B).

5.2. About the kinetic rate for calcite precipitation

When the duration of the Gebauer et al. (2008) experiment is extended to 260 minutes (15,600 s) the calcium content starts to decrease again beyond the plateau recorded experimentally until 9,000 s (Figure 10), and stabilizes again. As illustrated in Figure 1, this additional decrease is due to calcite precipitation since the formation of this mineral, which is more stable than ACC, tends to equilibrate with lower concentrations of calcium (and carbon). A faster kinetic rate for calcite precipitation would have led to an earlier decrease in Ca$^{2+}$ content which is not shown by the experimental recordings reported in Figure 3. On the other hand, we have no specific information to constrain the lower limit of the calcite precipitation kinetic rate (see appendix B). Observation of the experimental results obtained in Beuvier et al. (2015) suggests that the volume occupied by calcite after 1 h of injection is rather small compared to the size of the pore channel. Because the device has been dried, calcite is spread on the channel walls (both on the silicon and glass sides) and the coverage of the surfaces by calcite is roughly estimated at around 30% (by image processing of pictures taken by optical microscopy (Beuvier et al., 2015), see appendix C). According to the average dimensions of a calcite grain as estimated in Beuvier et al. (2015), namely a parallelepiped with dimensions of 1.9, 3.7 and 4.3 µm (equivalent to a cube of 3.3 µm per side), and those of the micro-channel (470 µm wide on average, and 220 µm high), the estimated volume occupied by calcite after one hour of injection is about 1.5%. This rough estimate assumes the distribution of calcite is homogeneous along the whole micro-channel, as suggested by image processing (see appendix C). Nevertheless, it allows a comparison of the orders of magnitude of the volume percentage occupied by calcite estimated from the experiments and estimated by numerical modeling. The latter is around 2.5% (see previous section), which is very satisfactory and indicates that the kinetics of calcite precipitation are not underestimated.
Figure 10 – Evolution of Ca$^{2+}$ aqueous species and total Ca content with time, at pH 9.25, for extended durations beyond experimental monitoring (beyond 9000 s).

5.3. About the kinetic rate for ACC and calcite nucleation

Technically, an initial ACC and calcite content must be defined in the PhreeqC-v3 input file to initialize the composition of the reactive surfaces and the related kinetic law for calcite dissolution/precipitation. This means that an equivalent quantity of “seeds” for the two minerals is initially present in the simulated system. A sensitivity analysis on the value of the initial quantity of seeds (Figure 11) shows that the optimal initial content is $10^{-10}$ moles of calcite and ACC (heavy dashed lines). Indeed this quantity does not artificially increase the precipitation rates compared to an initial content of $10^{-11}$ moles (full lines), but it helps calculations to converge faster. A initial value of $10^{-9}$ moles of calcite and ACC further stabilizes calculations. However, since both the reactive surface areas and the number of surface complexation sites are assumed proportional to the amount of minerals (see section 2.2), this value artificially accelerates the precipitation of both calcite and ACC (dotted lines). These calculation results are obtained whatever the pH in the range 9.00 - 10.50 (results for initial amounts of $10^{-9}$ moles are shown for pH 9.0, 9.25 and 10.5 only).
5.4. About the chronology of events

According to the hypothesis of perfect mixing of the CaCl₂ and Na₂CO₃ aqueous solutions used in Beuvier et al. (2015), the successive formation of ACC and calcite can occur within several minutes (see section 4.1 and Figure 5). On the other hand, the mixing rate or the molecular diffusion between the two solutions in the micro-channel is fast since the concentration gradient prism is in place 6 seconds after the beginning of injection (Figure 6 and Figure 7). Therefore, the limiting rate process during the co-injection of the two solutions in the microchannel should be chemical.

On the other hand, the rapid formation of calcite after the nucleation of ACC occurred in the batch exercise (Figure 5) and the results of the reactive transport simulations (Figure 8 and Figure 9) indicate that after 15 minutes (900 s), calcite is by far the most abundant mineral in the center part of the micro-channel. This suggests that the time interval during which the relative abundance of calcite and ACC is comparable is very short, meaning that the observation of ACC can be missed and interpreted as the direct nucleation and growth of calcite. So, Beuvier et al. (2015) tried to track the changes in SAXS data but failed to observe ACC.

This succession of precipitation/dissolution events can also give an interpretation of the evolution of the reactive surface area often observed in porous media (Noiriel et al., 2009, 2012). First, the reactive surface area is related to the amount of each solid (see Eq. (1), section 2.2). Despite this relationship is assumed linear for each solid, the fact that their relative abundance changes with time makes the evolution of the overall reactive surface area nonlinear with respect of the mass of precipitated CaCO₃ (regardless the crystal structure), each solid being characterized by a different specific surface area. In addition, the surface site density being different on each solid, the number of reactive sites varies with the surface area, and thus with the mass of each solid. Here again, this results in an evolution of the surface reactivity of the system that cannot be described otherwise.
5.5. About flow and transport modeling

The choice of the PHAST software to model flow and transport in a microchannel is worth discussing. Indeed, HST3D equations implemented in PHAST are based on Darcy-type flow equations (Parkhurst et al., 2004) while a code able to solve Navier-Stokes’ or Poiseuille’s equations would be more appropriate (see section 1.2).

However, the geometry of the microfluidic device being a straight channel, the porosity being set to 1 and the Reynolds number being about 2.5, the flow can thus be considered as laminar, with no tortuosity and no dispersion perpendicular to the longitudinal direction. PHAST outputs for calculations performed with no diffusion are consistent with the expected behavior observed for a laminar flow: water moves in the micro-channel according to a “piston effect” mechanism (same flow velocity across the entire channel width), and the solutes are transported in the flow direction, at the flow velocity. To further evaluate the appropriateness of using PHAST, we performed some simple additional calculations using the Navier-Stokes equation. We simulated flow rate in a microchannel with dimensions similar to those used in the simulations made with PHAST. The partial differential equations (PDE) were resolved using COMSOL Multiphysics®. The simulation results indicate that the flow rate at the center of the micro-channel is equal to 6.5 mm s\(^{-1}\). Within a distance of 0.10 mm away from the center, the flow rate decreases slowly down to a value of 5.5 mm s\(^{-1}\). Thereafter, the decrease in the flow rate is more marked, reaching a value close to zero at the wall of the micro-channel. These simulation results thus indicate that the flow velocity is quasi constant over approximatively 50% of the width of the microchannel. As the majority of the chemical reactions occur in the center of the channel (where the two solutions mix together), the simple calculations presented above suggest that our main hypothesis of homogeneous flow rate in the micro-channel, imposed by the use of the Darcy-like and mass transfer equations implemented in PHAST, has a reasonably limited impact on the reactive transport modeling results.

On the other hand, the results obtained for diffusion simulations (Appendix A) show that the microfluidic experimental device made of a single straight channel, as considered in the present study, cannot clearly bring multicomponent diffusion effects to light. This suggests that microfluidic devices with more complex structures, and thus non-zero tortuosity, must be used to catch multicomponent transport mechanisms like Coulombic effects on transverse hydrodynamic dispersion, as studied by Rolle et al. (2013a, b) and Muniruzzaman and Rolle (2015). Conversely, these results mean that simply-structured microfluidic devices are well suited for studying chemical processes without the disturbance of complex transport-related phenomena.

According to Sugano (2008), cited by Noiriel et al. (2012), a diffusion boundary layer of c.a. 10-30 µm can form next to mineral grains with sizes of about or greater than 250 µm in radius, or media under stagnant conditions. Such a diffusion layer can affect the overall dissolution/precipitation reaction rate by diffusion control. These conditions are not met in the present work since the experimental data we use correspond to stirred batch experiments or to flow through experiments in a straight micro-channel, both leading to homogeneous nucleation of grain minerals that do not exceed a few µm in size. In particular, the size of the crystallites precipitated during the flow-through experiment of Beuvier et al. (2015) is not larger than 3-4 µm.

Furthermore, our calculation results suggest an accumulation of calcite close to the injection side in the center part of the channel (see Figure 8 and section 4.2), with approximately 16% (i.e. 1.15-10\(^{-5}\)
moles) of the total calcite content ($7.05 \times 10^{-5}$ moles) within the first millimeter of the channel. The optical microscopy images taken during the experiments of Beuvier et al. (2015) (see appendix C) show an overall more homogenous distribution of calcite crystals along the longitudinal axis of the channel. However, careful observation of the image of the end of the T-junction (where the two aqueous solutions meet each other, see Fig. 2-C-a in the appendix C) clearly reveals a darker zone several calcite grains in diameter, in the center of the channel, which suggests a local accumulation of calcite grains. Despite the fact that these images were taken after the micro-channel had been dried out, and no quantification is possible here, it seems that the main features of the behavior of the system are described by the numerical modeling. However, additional mechanisms may have come into play and could explain the more homogeneous distribution of calcite particles along the channel. One of these mechanisms could be the heterogeneous nucleation of calcium carbonates on the silicon and silicate surfaces in contact with the supersaturated solution of the mixing region (Li et al., 2014; Lioliou et al., 2007). Another mechanism that is well known in desalination technologies and geothermal energy production wells is related to the transport and adhesion of particles (Cooper et al., 2001; Gudipaty et al., 2011; Reed, 1989; Sefrioui et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2013). It is not considered in the reactive transport code used in this study. Implementing these mechanisms in the modeling would extend the region in the flow direction where calcium carbonates can precipitate. In particular, according to the kinetic law proposed in the present work, the transport of particles would allow the ACC grains to be carried along while growing. When large enough or when close to the channel walls where the flow velocity is lower, the grains could slow down, adhere to the walls, keep on growing and finally lead to calcite precipitation with a more homogeneous distribution.

6. Conclusions
The new kinetic model, based on a transition-state-theory/surface-complexation-model coupling, is able to describe the successive precipitation of amorphous calcium carbonate and calcite, taking account of their mutual influence. This model is calibrated on literature data acquired on batch experiments and then applied in a dynamic context. A reactive transport simulation has been carried out to reproduce literature data obtained from a dynamic experiment on a microfluidic device. The main outcomes of this simulation can be summarized as follows:

- the setup of a mixture/diffusion prism in the center of the microchannel,
- the major formation of calcite (compared to ACC) after 1 h of injection,
- the volume fraction of the microchannel, of a few percent, occupied by calcite after 1 h of injection,
- the localization of the main mineral precipitations in the center part of the microchannel,
- the limited cross-related multicomponent transport mechanisms that could alter information relative to the chemical processes.

The reaction path towards a crystalline CC polymorph as described with the combined kinetic law proposed here is consistent with the fact that it is a pH- and supersaturation-dependent process (supersaturation being the distance to thermodynamic equilibrium for a precipitation reaction), and with the favorable thermodynamic pathway that lowers the energy barrier for calcite precipitation. It is conceptually in accordance with, for instance, an ACC-to-calcite-via-vaterite crystallization mechanism which has been observed by Rodriguez-Blanco et al. (2011, 2017) at pH > 11 and initial CaCl$_2$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$ concentrations of 1 M. Such conditions were beyond those explored in the present
work, both in terms of supersaturation, pH and ionic strength. Moreover, the kinetics of the dissolution/precipitation mechanism of vaterite would have been required to be introduced in the model presented here. The consideration of aragonite would also be an interesting prospect for improving the model, extending its application, and increase the understanding of the carbonate system.

Moreover, the composition of the aqueous solutions injected into the system resulted in pH values ranging between 7 and 11. The proposed combined kinetic law for calcium carbonate dissolution/precipitation applies with a relative confidence in the pH range comprised between 9 and 10.5, in dilute solutions. It was not possible to propose a completely predictive model covering the whole range of pH values, supersaturation conditions, and chemical compositions (including, for instance, inhibitors). Further work should therefore be carried out in order to apply the present numerical modeling approach, coupled with dynamic experiments on microfluidic devices, to CO₂ geological storage activities in deep aquifers. In particular, the kinetic law proposed here should be extended to lower pH values and higher salinities (above that of seawater), and should consider the role of magnesium (Blue et al., 2017), provided relevant experimental data are acquired both in batch and dynamic systems. Indeed, the role of impurities or of inhibitors (Albéric et al., 2018) can be implemented in the model in a quite easy way by characterizing the relevant competitive surface complexation reactions.

The combination of experimental microfluidic devices and reactive transport modeling is now well recognized as a promising integrated and comprehensive methodology to study mass transfers within reactive hydrogeochemical systems at the pore scale. The present study highlights the fact that simple geometrical configurations can provide interesting information focused on the dynamics of chemical processes relevant to identify key steps in the successive transformations of CaCO₃(s) polymorphs. The coupled approach developed in this study requires to be improved and the priority for further study would be to incorporate the transport and adhesion of particles, and/or heterogeneous nucleation on the pore walls into the model. Meanwhile, additional experiments, both on static batch and dynamic flow-through microfluidic devices, would be necessary to acquire more quantitative data in order to cover physico-chemical conditions representative of geological and industrial contexts.
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