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Abstract. Mineral dust from arid areas is a major component of global aerosol and has strong interactions with climate and biogeochemistry. As part of the Chemistry-Aerosol Mediterranean Experiment (ChArMEx) to investigate atmospheric chemistry and its impacts in the Mediterranean region, an intensive field campaign was performed from mid-June to early August 2013 in the western basin including in situ balloon-borne aerosol measurements with the light optical aerosol counter (LOAC). LOAC is a counter/sizer that provides the aerosol concentrations in 19 size classes between 0.2 and 100 µm, and an indication of the nature of the particles based on dual-angle scattering measurements. A total of 27 LOAC flights were conducted mainly from Minorca Island (Balearic Islands, Spain) but also from Île du Levant off Hyères city (SE France) under 17 light dilatable balloons (meteorological sounding balloons) and 10 boundary layer pressurised balloons (quasi-Lagrangian balloons). The purpose was to document the vertical extent of the plume and the time evolution of the concentrations at constant altitude (air density) by in situ observations. LOAC measurements are in agreement with ground-based measurements (lidar, photometer), aircraft measurements (counters), and satellite measurements (CALIOP) in the case of fair spatial and temporal coincidences. LOAC has often detected three modes in the dust particle volume size distributions fitted by lognormal laws at roughly 0.2, 4 and 30 µm in modal diameter. Thanks to the high sensitivity of LOAC, particles larger than 40 µm were observed, with concentrations up to about $10^{-4}$ cm$^{-3}$. Such large particles were lifted several days before and their persistence after transport over long distances is in conflict with calculations of dust sedimentation. We did not observe any significant evolution of the size distribution during the transport from quasi-Lagrangian flights, even for the longest ones (~1 day). Finally, the presence of charged particles is inferred from the LOAC measurements and we speculate that electrical forces might counteract gravitational settling of the coarse particles.
1 Introduction

Mineral dust from arid and semi-arid areas is a major component of the global aerosol and has long been recognised to have strong interactions with climate and biogeochemistry (e.g., Buat-Ménard and Chesselet, 1979; Martin et al., 1991; Swap et al., 1992; Duce, 1995; Alpert et al., 1998;Mahowald et al., 2009, 2011; Maher et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Choobari et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016). Desert dust aerosol is of particular interest in the Mediterranean region where it is (i) frequently observed in high concentrations in the troposphere; (ii) is a major component of surface PM$_{10}$ (Pey et al., 2013; Rea et al., 2015), aerosol optical depth (Moulin et al., 1998; Gkikas et al., 2013; Nabat et al., 2013), atmospheric deposition (Pye, 1992; Vincent et al., 2016); and (iii) affecting the regional air quality (Querol et al., 2009); atmospheric thermodynamics (e.g., Alpert et al., 1998; Chaboureaux et al., 2011); radiative budget and climate (e.g., Nabat et al., 2012, 2015a, b); precipitation chemistry (Chester et al., 1996; Loïe-Pilot et al., 1986; Avila and Rodà, 2002); soil formation (Nihlén et al., 1995); and biogeochemistry of forest ecosystems (Avila and Puefuelles, 1999), oligotrophic lakes (Morales-Baquero et al., 2006; Reche et al., 2009), and marine surface waters (Guerronzi et al., 1999; Herut et al., 1999; Guieu et al., 2014).

Most studies to characterise airborne dust particles transported over long-ranges were performed with satellite remote sensing and/or surface in situ and remote-sensing instruments (counters, particle samplers, lidars, photometers, etc.). Some aircraft observations were also conducted in situ inside dust plumes, but they are expensive and scarce (e.g., Schmid et al., 2000; Dulac and Chazette, 2003; Haywood et al., 2003; Reid et al., 2003a; Formenti et al., 2008; Weinzierl et al., 2009, 2011; Chen et al., 2011; Denjean et al., 2016), and were often limited to several micrometres in terms of the particle size range covered and did not explore the same dust plume along its transport. One of the incompletely resolved issues is the evolution of the dust particle size distribution during long-range transport (Ansmann et al., 2011). It has been reported that an upward velocity counteracts gravitational settling velocities ($V_g$) of particles in air are proportional to the squared particle diameter ($V_g$ = constant). For a particle density of 2.5 g cm$^{-3}$, typical of soil dust, $V_g$ reaches 1 cm s$^{-1}$ between 11 and 12 µm and 10 cm s$^{-1}$ between 36 and 37 µm, i.e. 860 and 8600 m d$^{-1}$, respectively (Foret et al., 2006). Those giant particles are therefore expected to fall and control the dust deposition flux within the first 1000 km of transport from their source (Schütz et al., 1981). Maring et al. (2003) indicate that all Saharan dust particles larger than 12 µm in diameter are scavenged between the Canary Islands and Puerto Rico. However, there is evidence of Aeolian dust transport and sedimentation of giant dust particles in the ocean up to 10,000 km away from source regions in the tropical Atlantic (Prospero et al., 1970; Carder et al., 1986) and Pacific (e.g., Betzer et al., 1988; Middleton et al., 2001; Jeong et al., 2014).

Airborne observations also confirmed that the coarsest particles (> 20 µm in diameter) are far from completely depleted over the North Atlantic (McConnell et al., 2008; Weinzierl et al., 2011; Ryder et al., 2013). Therefore, we still need observations of dust particle property evolution over an extensive particle size range during their long-range transport; thus, there was a need for a new strategy for multiplying in situ measurements of the dust particle size distributions. This was done for the first time in this study during African dust transport events above the western Mediterranean, deploying optical particle counters both below sounding balloons that vertically crossed the dust plume and aboard drifting balloons that remained at constant altitude for quasi-Lagrangian measurements within the atmospheric dust layer.

The Chemistry-Aerosol Mediterranean Experiment (ChArMEx; http://charmex.lsce.ipsl.fr) is an international research initiative to investigate atmospheric chemistry in the Mediterranean region and its impacts on air quality, marine biogeochemistry, and the regional climate. Within the project, a large regional field campaign was performed from mid-June to early August 2013 with intensive airborne measurements including in situ balloon-borne aerosol (Mallet et al., 2016) and ozone (Gheusi et al., 2016) measurements. The observations were conducted during the dry season over the western and central Mediterranean basins. During the first special observation period (SOP) entitled Aerosol Direct Radiative Forcing on the Mediterranean Climate (ChArMEx/ADRIMED SOP-1A) from mid-June to early July, the focus was on aerosol-radiation measurements and their modelling (Mallet et al., 2016). During the second SOP entitled Secondary Aerosol Formation in the Mediterranean (ChArMEx/SAFMED SOP-1B) from mid-July to early August, the focus was on atmospheric chemistry (Zannoni et al., 2017).

The present paper focuses on balloon-borne measurements conducted over the western Mediterranean during desert dust episodes encountered during this summer campaign with the new light optical aerosol counter (LOAC), an optical particle...
counter/sizer. Renard et al. (2016a, b) present the LOAC instrument and preliminary results from some flights analysed here with more details. In the following, we first briefly summarise the instrument principle and performances and we describe the different sounding and drifting balloon flights performed in summer 2013 (Sect. 2). Results on the particle size-segregated dust concentration are then presented, first in terms of vertical distribution (Sect. 3) and secondly in terms of temporal evolution at constant altitudes (Sect. 4). We then discuss dust particle sedimentation aspects (Sect. 5) and speculations about electrically charged dust particles (Sect. 6), and finally conclude (Sect. 7).

2 Experimental strategy

2.1 Balloons-borne instruments

This study is based on the LOAC instrument (Fig. 1), a light optical particle counter described and characterized by Renard et al. (2016a). Briefly, the instrument provides aerosol particle concentration measurements within 19 size classes in the 0.2–100 µm diameter size range, and an estimate of the typology of aerosols based on dual-angle measurements. LOAC can be carried by all kinds of balloons (Renard et al., 2016b). The gondola weight, including the instrument, batteries (alkaline or lithium), and telemetry system, is about 1.0 kg, for an electric consumption of 3 W. Aerosols are sucked in by a small pump in order to pass through a red laser diode beam. In general, the light scattered by the particles depends on both the size and refractive index of the particles. To separate these two parameters, LOAC uses an original concept described in Renard et al. (2016a). Measurements are performed at two scattering angles: the first one is close to forward scattering at around 12°, where the light scattered (diffracted) by non-spherical particles is controlled by the size of the particles (Lurton et al., 2014); the second one is around 60°, where the scattered light is strongly dependent on the refractive index of the particles (e.g. Weiss-Wrana, 1983; Renard et al., 2010; Francis et al., 2011). The 12° channel is used to retrieve the size distribution independently of the nature of the particles, and the combination of the 12 and 60° channels is used to derive the “LOAC speciation index” that informs on the typology or dominant nature of aerosol particles in each size range, based on a laboratory calibration conducted with particles of well-known nature. Figure 2 presents the reference “speciation zones” obtained in laboratory and an example of a LOAC speciation index obtained during ambient air measurements inside a Saharan dust plume on 18 June above Minorca (Spain) at an altitude of 3.1 km.

As described by Renard et al. (2016a), the measurement uncertainty in the total aerosol concentration is ±20 % for concentration values greater than 1 particle per cm$^3$ (for a 10 min integration time). In contrast, the uncertainty is up to about 60 % for concentration values smaller than $10^{-2}$ particles cm$^{-3}$ for a 10 s integration time. In addition, the uncertainty in size calibration is ±0.025 µm for particles smaller than 0.6 µm, 5 % for particles in the 0.7–2 µm range, and 10 % for particles larger than 2 µm. Following coincidences, the measurement accuracy for submicron particles could be reduced in a strongly turbid case when the concentration of particles larger than 3 µm exceeds a few particles cm$^{-3}$.

During the ChArMEx summer 2013 campaign, the LOAC gondolas were carried by two types of balloons: the light dilatable balloon (LDB), a meteorological sounding balloon of about 1 kg, and the boundary layer pressurised balloon (BLPB), a drifting balloon of about 2.5 m in diameter. Pictures of the respective gondolas can be found in Renard et al. (2016b).

The LDB allows many flights from various places, and the gondola may generally be retrieved after landing (if not at sea). Measurements were conducted during the ascending phase of the balloon at a speed of 3–6 m s$^{-1}$. The inlet...
that collects aerosols was oriented towards the sky. The low flow rate (~1.7 L min⁻¹) of the sampling pump yields sub-isokinetic sampling conditions that could tend to over-sample large particles (Renard et al., 2016a). The highest altitude reached by LOAC was 37 km, although in this study we will only consider the tropospheric part below 8 km in altitude (see Chane Ming et al., 2016, for an analysis of upper troposphere and stratosphere observations). The LOAC measurements, integrated every 10 s, are sent to ground in real time by the on-board telemetry. To increase the measurement accuracy during the LDB ascent, the 10 s concentration values are averaged over a 1 min period, which provides a vertical resolution of about 300 m.

The BLPB, after its ascending phase, follows a near-Lagrangian trajectory, remaining in the same air mass during its trajectory in the lower atmosphere (Ethé et al., 2002; Gheusi et al., 2016; Doerenbecher et al., 2016). Its float altitude was prescribed before the flight (in the 400–3500 m range) by adjusting the balloon density with the appropriate mixture of air and helium. The altitude was chosen to fly within dust layers, based on a LDB flight and/or aerosol lidar measurements performed just before the launch. The horizontal speed of a drifting balloon relative to ambient air is supposedly close to zero and the LOAC sampling inlet was oriented horizontally, so that the particle sampling efficiency should be close to 100 %. The integration time was chosen between 1 and 20 min, due to the low telemetry rate for the downlink through the Iridium satellite communication system. The duration of the flights varied from several hours to more than one day. Also, LOAC was sometimes temporarily shut down after a session of measurements to save on-board energy. For safety reasons, the authorised flight area was restricted to the sea (including islands).

The concentration uncertainties are depending on the integration time. The higher the integration time, the more accurate the measured concentrations; this is a strong constraint for the detection of the largest particles in low concentration. Typically, for a concentration lower than 10⁻⁴ particles cm⁻³, the uncertainties can be as high as 200 % during a LDB flight, but down to 25 % for the BLPB flights with an integration time of 20 min.

2.2 Other measurements

The dust events were identified by near-real-time (NRT) model and remote-sensing products collected operationally by the ChArMEx operation centre web server (http://choc.sedoo.fr) where different quick-looks were made available. The main NRT remote-sensing aerosol products were provided by 4-hourly observations from MSG/SEVIRI. The aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 550 nm (AOD550) product is based on Thieuleux et al. (2005).

In addition, we operated a calibrated ground-based CIMEl AERONET sun photometer that provided AOD at seven wavelengths from 340 to 1020 nm during daytime at the nearby station of Cap d’en Font on Minorca Island (39.826°N, 4.208°E; http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov), where a Water vapour and Aerosol Lidar (WALI) with polarisation measurements was also in continuous operation (Chazette et al., 2016). The WALI provides the vertical extent, the time evolution, and an estimate of the nature of the particles. The balloon launch site and the lidar and photometer station were distant by about 10 km.

The LOAC aerosol number concentration in the 0.2–100 µm range was converted to aerosol extinction using the Mie scattering theory, assuming spherical dust particles, to be compared to the lidar extinction data at 350 nm. The refractive index was set to 1.53 − i0.0025, which corresponds to the mean value determined by Denjean et al. (2016) for the Saharan dust plume events documented during the summer 2013 ChArMEx campaign. This approach suffers from four approximations: (i) all counted particles are assumed to be mineral dust; (ii) the contribution of the smallest particles is unknown, leading to some underestimation of the calculated aerosol extinctions; (iii) the grains are considered as spherical while they are not; and (iv) the refractive index of the grains is not always well-known. In fact, the grains are irregular in shape and their refractive index can vary, depending on their composition and their origin, which potentially increases the uncertainty in our calculation of the aerosol extinction. Also, extinction calculations are highly sensitive to the size of the particles: the uncertainty in the LOAC particle size determination can produce a 50 % uncertainty in the derived extinctions. The error bars on the LOAC-derived extinctions are calculated considering both concentration and size uncertainties. Weinzierl et al. (2009) report that accounting for the non-sphericity of dust particles might yield a small reduction of up to 5 % in extinction computations based on the dust particle size distribution.

We also used the 532 nm aerosol extinction data in the troposphere obtained by the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarisation (CALIOP on board the CALIPSO satellite) instrument, version 4.10 level-2, (e.g. Winker et al., 2009). The data have a horizontal resolution of 5 km and a vertical resolution of 60 m. Aerosol extinction values have a detection threshold of about 0.01 km⁻¹. The nature of aerosol particles and cloud droplets retrieved in CALIOP observations is given by the CALIOP vertical feature mask algorithm (Omar et al., 2009). To perform the comparison with CALIOP aerosol extinction data, the LOAC aerosol extinctions are calculated at 532 nm from the measured size distribution using the mineral dust refractive index as presented above.

Finally, we use the aerosol measurements obtained during an ATR-42 aircraft flight close to Minorca. The instrumentation installed on board the aircraft is described in detail in Denjean et al. (2016). The aerosol size distribution was determined from an optical particle counter GRIMM 1.129 (nominal size range 0.25–32 µm), an ultra-high sensitivity aerosol spectrometer (UHSAS; 0.04–1 µm), and a forward-
Table 1. List of the 17 LOAC flights under light dilatable balloons (LDB) flown during African dust plume events of the ChArMEx summer 2013 campaign.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time (UTC)</th>
<th>Altitude range (km)</th>
<th>Launch site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 June</td>
<td>22:12</td>
<td>0.9–06.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 June</td>
<td>10:37</td>
<td>2.0–12.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 June</td>
<td>21:17</td>
<td>0.2–10.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>10:02</td>
<td>0.1–11.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>18:29</td>
<td>0.9–33.3</td>
<td>Cap d’en Font, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 June</td>
<td>16:35</td>
<td>0.2–35.4</td>
<td>Minorca Island, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 June</td>
<td>21:19</td>
<td>0.4–21.5</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>10:15</td>
<td>0.8–30.7</td>
<td>(39.88° N, 4.25° E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>13:50</td>
<td>0.3–20.7</td>
<td>4.25° E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 June</td>
<td>05:38</td>
<td>0.6–36.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29–30 June</td>
<td>23:31</td>
<td>0.2–35.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 June</td>
<td>14:03</td>
<td>0.1–26.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 July</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td>0.7–32.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–28 July</td>
<td>23:13</td>
<td>0.3–33.5</td>
<td>Ile du Levant, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 July</td>
<td>15:31</td>
<td>0.3–33.3</td>
<td>4.25° E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 August</td>
<td>11:04</td>
<td>0.3–21.7</td>
<td>(43.02° N, 6.46° E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 August</td>
<td>15:32</td>
<td>0.2–32.2</td>
<td>6.46° E</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

scattering spectrometer probe FSSP-300 (0.28–20 µm). The UHSAS and FSSP are wing-mounted instruments, whereas the GRIMM installed inside the cabin received ambient air collected through the AVIRAD isokinetic inlet and tubing with a cut-off diameter of 12 µm (Denjean et al., 2016).

2.3 Conditions of measurements

Table 1 and 2 provide the conditions of measurements for LDB and BLPB flights performed during the ChArMEx campaign, respectively. Seventeen LDB flights and 10 BLPB flights were successfully performed during desert dust transport events, most of them launched from Minorca, the easternmost Balearic Island, Spain (latitude 39.88° N, longitude 4.25° E) from 15 June to 2 July, and a few from Ile du Levant, off Hyères city near the coast of south-eastern France (latitude 43.02° N, longitude 6.46° E) from 27 July to 4 August (Fig. 3). The confirmation of the occurrence of mineral dust plumes was possible from the LOAC-derived dominant typology of aerosol particles with the LOAC speciation index falling inside the “mineral zone” (Renard et al., 2016a, b).

In the case of a Saharan dust event, the measurement strategy was to perform two LDB flights per day, and two simultaneous BLPB flights drifting at different altitudes within the dust plume (twin flights). The flight altitudes were chosen following real-time indications from the nearby lidar. This strategy was conducted during a relatively long dust event from 15 to 19 June, with nine LDB flights and three twin BLPB flights on 15, 16, and 19 June. In terms of altitude, the twin flights were performed on 16 June at the lower edge and in the middle of the dust layer, on 17 June well inside the maximum concentration of the plume, and on 19 June at levels of minimum and maximum concentrations in the plume. The MODIS satellite observations indicate that the mean AOD was about 0.25 during this period. The dust started to appear over the Alboran Sea on 12 June. The daily average AOD derived from MSG/SEVIRI over the western Mediterranean basin from 15 June to 18 is mapped in Fig. 4. It shows the arrival of the plume from the south-west with a low AOD over Minorca on 15 June, its extension to the north and north-east on 16 and 17 June with a maximum extent of the plume over the basin on 17 June, its reinforcement along a north-south axis on 18 June with the largest AOD values around the Balearic Islands. On 19 June (not shown) Minorca was on the western edge of the plume that had shifted eastward.
Table 2. List of the 10 LOAC flights aboard drifting boundary layer pressurised balloons (BLPBs) flown during African dust plume events of the ChArMEx summer 2013 campaign. All balloons were launched from Minorca Island (Spain; 39.865° N, 4.254° E) except B82 that was launched from the Ile du Levant (France; 43.022° N, 6.460° E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Balloon no.</th>
<th>Date (2013)</th>
<th>Time slot of LOAC data (UTC)</th>
<th>Drift altitude</th>
<th>Latitude, longitude at end of flight</th>
<th>Flight length</th>
<th>Ceiling duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>start</td>
<td>end</td>
<td>(km)</td>
<td>(km)</td>
<td>(km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B74</td>
<td>16 June</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>21:28</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>42.892° N, 05.229° E</td>
<td>361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B70</td>
<td>16 June</td>
<td>09:51</td>
<td>23:01</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>40.182° N, 06.128° E</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B75</td>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>09:31</td>
<td>16:23</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>42.815° N, 03.811° E</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B72</td>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>17:11</td>
<td>18:59</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>43.179° N, 04.800° E</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B77</td>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>10:25</td>
<td>16:54</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>43.042° N, 04.833° E</td>
<td>369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B71</td>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>10:29</td>
<td>15:58</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>43.041° N, 05.151° E</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B73</td>
<td>28 June</td>
<td>05:25</td>
<td>16:42</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>37.523° N, 08.830° E</td>
<td>512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B76</td>
<td>02–3 July</td>
<td>13:04</td>
<td>09:14</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>37.880° N, 12.109° E</td>
<td>717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B82</td>
<td>03 August</td>
<td>06:12</td>
<td>08:12</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>43.077° N, 06.662° E</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Lidar-derived time-height cross sections of the aerosol extinction (a) and volume depolarisation ratio (VDR) (b) at Minorca from 15 June, 22:00 to 17 June, 24:00 UT. The red arrows indicate the time of the 5 LDB launches. A high depolarisation ratio indicates desert dust. Courtesy of Patrick Chazette and Julien Totems, after Chazette et al. (2016).
Figure 5 shows time series of products from the WALI from late 15 June to the end of 17 June. The high extinction areas below 2.5 km until 13:00 16 June, are not or are weekly depolarising. Chazette et al. (2016; see their Fig. 7) could infer from those data that the dominant aerosol was of marine nature around 500 m in altitude within the atmospheric boundary layer, dust above 2.5 km, and pollution-related in between during the night of 15 to 16 June.

Five LDB flights were also conducted from Minorca Island during the 28 June–2 July period. Figure 6 shows maps of the daytime mean AOD over the western Mediterranean on 29 June and 2 July. On 27–29 June, the Minorca region was impacted by turbid air masses arriving from the north-west (Chazette et al., 2016). Ancellet et al. (2016) identified long-range transport of forest fire smoke from different areas in North America (Canada and Colorado) and of African dust back from the western tropical Atlantic. Their FLEX-PART model simulations (Stohl et al., 2002) indicate that over Minorca, Canadian smoke aerosols dominated below 3 km on 28 June late afternoon, when dust dominated above 4 km and Colorado smoke aerosols were abundant above 5 km. Satellite-derived AOD shows that starting on 29 June, a new dust plume from north-western Africa with high AOD emerged from the Atlantic and Mediterranean coasts of Morocco. The plume extended a bit to the north and further east over the sea during the following days but remained confined to the southernmost part of the basin, with moderate AODs and some dust over Minorca on 2 July (AOD at 550 nm up to 0.22; Chazette et al., 2016). A BLPB flight was conducted on 2 July; the mean MODIS AOD was of 0.15 during this period. Lidar data indicate that dust dominated between about 2 and 4.8 km in altitude (Chazette et al., 2016). The aerosol in lower layers could not be typified.

Two other LDB flights were conducted from the Ile du Levant during a dust event on 27–28 July. Twin BLPB flights were also performed in the upper edge of the plume. Finally, two LDB flights and one BLPB flight were conducted during a last dust event on 3–4 August. For those two events, daytime mean MSG-SEVIRI-derived AOD at 550 nm are up to about 0.30–0.35 off Ile du Levant (Fig. 6).

From all those drifting balloon flights, it is possible to study the vertical extent of dust plumes and the temporal evolution of the dust particle size-segregated concentration at a given altitude during transport. In particular, LOAC data can be used to determine the concentration of large particles dominating the mass of desert dust transported and their deposition flux (e.g. Arimoto et al., 1985; Dulac et al., 1987, 1992a, b; Foret et al., 2006).

3 Vertical profiles and particle size distributions of the observed dust plumes

The main desert dust event observed during the ChArMEx/ADRMEx campaign lasted five days from 15 to 19 June as presented above (Fig. 4). Figure 7 presents the vertical distribution of the 19 size class number concentrations, from the nine LDB flights performed during that period. It shows that the dust plume was heterogeneously distributed in the free troposphere allowing for several local concentration maxima along the vertical and extended up to 7 km on the evening of 18 June. For comparison, Fig. 8 presents measurements during a dust-free flight from Aire-sur-l’Adour, France (43.706° N, −0.251° E) on 14 August 2014, with no significant local concentration enhancement and the absence of large particles.

All these flights, including a BLPB flight on the morning of the 19 June morning when LOAC performed measurements during the balloon ascent, were conducted concurrently to the nearby aerosol lidar measurements (Chazette et al., 2016). The time of the first five LDB flights are presented on the WALI time-height cross sections on 16–17 June with arrows marking (Fig. 5). Figure 9 presents the tropospheric vertical profiles of the LOAC and WALI aerosol extinction observed during the 15–19 June dust event over Minorca. Taking into account the uncertainties associated with the different instruments, the overall aerosol extinction values can be regarded as in the same order of magnitude, and even often in good agreement. LOAC and WALI have captured similar vertical structures around half the time. The remaining discrepancies could be due to inaccurate size determination by LOAC, and to the distance between different observations of inhomogeneous dust plumes.
Three other dust events were documented with the LOAC instrument as illustrated by vertical profiles in Fig. 10. The 28 June to 2 July event was not intense in terms of aerosol concentration increase, while the 27–28 July and 3–4 August events were stronger. Similarly to the mid-June event, the dust plumes extended up to an altitude of 6 km and were inhomogeneous in the vertical.

Good spatial and temporal coincidences occurred between LOAC measurements and CALIOP remote-sensing measurements for two events: on 29–30 June above Minorca (Spain) and on 3 August above Ile du Levant (France). The LOAC measurements on 29–30 June were between 23:45 and 01:50 UTC, while the CALIOP measurements were at 01:56 UTC on 30 June. The LOAC measurements on 3 August were between 11:15 and 12:15 UTC, while the CALIOP...
measurements were at 12:49 UTC. W ALI extinctions are also available for the 29 June at around 22:30 UTC.

Figure 11 presents the comparison between LOAC, CALIOP, and W ALI aerosol extinctions. During the 29–30 June night, the three instruments show that the plume extended from the ground to an altitude of 2.5 km. Although the general trend is in good agreement for the three instruments, local discrepancies are present in the vertical extinction profiles, possibly due to the temporal and spatial variability in the plume. LOAC seems to indicate a mixture of mineral dust and carbonaceous particles, whereas CALIOP reports polluted continental or smoke particles (but the identification by CALIOP is difficult due to the weakness of the signal). On 30 June at mid-day, the plume had almost disappeared and the LOAC aerosol extinction values are below the detection threshold of CALIOP.

During the 3 August dust event, LOAC observations reveal that the plume extended from 2 to 6.5 km. CALIOP captured all the dust plume in very good agreement with LOAC, and the two instruments identified the same nature of mineral dust particles. Another LOAC profile was obtained in the morning of 3 August at about 06:30 UTC, during a BLPB ascent up to its float altitude at 3 km (in blue in Fig. 11b). The two LOAC measurements are in very good agreement in the 2–3 km altitude range. Below 2 km, the two flight measurements show that the detected typologies are dominated by carbonaceous particles (likely anthropogenic aerosols). The strong temporal variability in particle concentrations below 2.2 km is therefore not related to the dust plume.

The ATR-42 aircraft flight was conducted close to Minorca (50 km apart) during dusty conditions in the morning of 16 June, at the same time of two LOAC balloon flights (LDB and BLPB). The aircraft probed the dust layer in the 2.5–4 km altitude range. Figure 12 presents the comparison of the size distributions measured by the two LOACs and the three aircraft counters at the maximum concentration level of the dust plume (2.5–4 km; see Figs. 5 and 7). The integration from 2.5 to 4 km of the LDB LOAC signal provides a better signal to noise ratio and a better sensitivity to the less numerous large particles (>15µm) that are hardly detected with short integration times. Globally, all the instruments are in good agreement for the submicron particles and for the coarse mode at 2–3 µm; the small discrepancies can be due to the difference in the respective measurement locations and to the different measurement methods of the various instruments, although they were all calibrated. The FSSP shows larger concentrations for particles larger than 2 µm in diameter than other instruments (Fig. 12). Reid et al. (2003b) discuss that the FSSP measurement principle tends to produce some oversizing of coarse particles and also shows particle concentrations as high as twice those measured by a passive cavity aerosol spectrometer probe (PCASP) in their overlapping particle diameter range (1.5–3 µm). This could explain such a shift in our data set. It is worth noting that the two LOACs, the FSSP, and the GRIMM (despite the 12 µm cut-off of its sampling inlet) all report particle concentration values larger than $10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$ around 20 µm in diameter. Both LOAC flights have detected similar concentrations of particles in the channels larger than 22 µm in diameter. Although the GRIMM counter on board the ATR42 aircraft could sense particles up to 32 µm, it did not report such larger grains, most probably because of the difficulty to collect and carry them up to the instrument inside the aircraft cabin.

In Fig. 13, the LOAC-derived size distributions were converted to volume concentrations assuming spherical particles, using the mean volume diameter of each size class (Renard et al., 2016a), and integrated over the whole vertical. The LOAC volume size distribution is compared to that derived from the AERONET remote-sensing photometer during the 15–30 June 2013 dust events. On average, the AERONET and LOAC data are in good agreement regarding both the overall amplitude of the concentrations and the position and concentration of the coarse mode at about 3 µm in radius. The better agreement is on the morning of the 16 June; the discrepancies for the other dates could be due to the local variability in the plume content since the LOAC and AERONET measurements are not conducted at the same time. Nevertheless, strong discrepancies sometimes occur for the smallest sizes (below 0.4 µm in radius) and for the largest sizes (above 10 µm in radius). The small-radius discrepancies could be due to local variability in the dust content, like on the 27 June when AERONET retrieves a concentration.
Figure 9. Comparison between LOAC and WALI extinctions at 350 nm. All the WALI profiles obtained between −30 and +30 min of the given times are plotted. The LOAC error bars consider the uncertainty in the LOAC measurements and on the counting-extinction conversion; the WALI error bars are calculated from the individual measurement scatter.
increase centred on 0.25 µm in radius, and to respective uncertainties of both methods. On the other end of the particle size range, AERONET retrieval is not very sensitive to the particles larger than 7 µm in radius and the largest size class considered in the algorithm (15 µm in radius) is limited to particles smaller than about 19.7 µm in radius (Dubovik and King, 2000; Hashimoto et al., 2012). Thus, LOAC could have detected large particles that were not retrievable from AERONET observations.

Since the concentration of these large particles is low and subject to large uncertainties, the analysis of this mode from measurements during LDB flights is limited. Long duration measurements performed at constant altitude using the LOAC instrument on BLPB gondola with much longer integration time are better adapted to evaluate the concentration of these large particles (with an accuracy as good as 25 %) and to discuss this third giant-size mode.

4 Temporal evolution of the dust aerosol concentration and particle size distribution at constant altitudes

Figure 14 presents results from the BLPB flights performed inside dust plumes. In particular, the 27–28 June and the 2–3 July BLPB flights were the longest ones, with durations of about 1 day. Day–night transitions were thus encountered, leading to a decrease in float altitude during the night of more than 100 m due to the cooling of the balloon gas and associated loss in buoyancy, so that the night-time and daytime measurements were not conducted in exactly the same air mass.

The slow speed ascent of the 19 June and 3 August BLPBs allowed us to obtain two additional fine-resolution vertical profiles in the lower troposphere. The LOAC aerosol concentration values obtained during the BLPB ascent on 19 June are in good agreement with the LDB ascent measurements conducted at the same time (Fig. 9, lower right panel). In
particular, LOAC has well captured the vertical variation in the dust plume concentrations, with a local minimum at an altitude of 2 km.

During most of the flights, particles larger than 40 µm in diameter (last LOAC channel) were detected. The concentration of these particles depends mainly on the intensity of the event, but the highest concentration was detected in the free troposphere on 19 June, with about $10^{-4}$ particles cm$^{-3}$ at an altitude of 3.3 km. It can be noticed that concentrations of $10^{-3}$ particles cm$^{-3}$ were detected at ground at the same date, as shown in Fig. 7.

The dust particle volume size distributions were computed by integrating data over more than a minute at a constant altitude. The mean diameter of the last channel was assumed to be 50 µm, although the size range is 40–100 µm, because the concentrations strongly decrease with size and most of the particles thus have a diameter close to the lower limit of the size class. Those volume distributions were then fitted with a 3-mode lognormal model using a least-square procedure. The three fitted volume modal diameters ($D_m$) have been found at about 0.2, 4, and 30 µm as illustrated in Fig. 15. Note, however, that only the decreasing part of the first (small) mode is captured by LOAC and the corresponding modal diameter could therefore be misestimated. There is also some uncertainty in the third (large) mode related to the assumed upper limit of the measurement size range and to the possible under-sampling of the upper tail of the size distribution; thus this mode value may be a lower limit.

Figure 16 shows the evolution of $D_m$ for the three modes fitted from the three pairs of BLBP LOAC data obtained during the 15–19 June dust event. BLBP flights lasted between 6 and 11 h. No significant temporal trend can be pointed out for $D_m$, meaning that the size distribution remains almost constant over hours. Thus, it seems that no significant sedimentation has been detected during the flights at quasi-constant altitude even for the very coarse mode at about 30 µm in diameter.

Table 3 gives the values of $D_m$ for the three modes at float altitude for the six BLBP flights in dust layers during the 16–19 June event; the average values are 0.26, 3.7, and 30.4 µm, respectively. Values for the three modes are very comparable from one balloon to the other with a small variability of about 15 %, likely not significant given the uncertainties of the fitting. The flights inside the other dust events confirm the presence of large particles in a giant mode at about 30 µm in diameter. Nevertheless, some variations in aerosol concentrations often occurred. They are due to changes in the balloon altitude during the day–night transition for the 27–28 June and the 2–3 July flights, to a non-constant altitude for the 28 June flight, and to a slow ascent during the 3 July flight. These variations in concentration are thus probably related to vertical variations in the dust plume layer. Indeed, lidar profiles from Minorca show a strong vertical structuration of aerosol layers (Chazette et al., 2016) that could be associated with significant differences in aerosol composition, concentration, and size distribution. Hamonou et al. (1999) first documented the multi-layered African dust transport over the Mediterranean basin with variable source regions of mineral dust particles found in different layers of the plume.

The presence of the third very coarse mode with $D_m$ of the order of 30 µm may be related to the existence of such a course a mode in desert dust aerosols: a value of the same order ($D_m = 42.3$ µm) is assumed in the model of background desert dust aerosol of Jaenicke (1987). Observations of a very coarse mode are also reported by Weinzierl et al. (2009): particles larger than 20 µm were detected in 9 out of 10 cases of 49 pure dust layers observed in altitude over southern Morocco with wing-mounted airborne optical particle counters. In 20 % of the cases, particle sizes equal to or larger than 40 and up to 80 µm were detected (with a detection limit of $10^{-2}$ cm$^{-3}$). They report an average volume median diam-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (2013)</th>
<th>Altitude (km)</th>
<th>Average volume median diameter ($D_m$, µm) ± standard deviation (number of measurements)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16 June</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.07 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 June</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.02 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 June</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.01 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.01 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Average volume median diameter ($D_m$) of the three fitted aerosol particle modes and respective standard deviation along BLBP flights at float altitude within dust layers, for the six BLBPs launched from Minorca during the 16–19 June 2013 dust event. The time evolution for the three pairs of BLBP flights during this period is shown in Fig. 16. The average and standard deviation in the bottom line are obtained by averaging the 6 above values.
Parameter of the coarse mode of 15.5 ± 10.9 µm near dust source region and a maximum value larger than 60 µm in a case of strong convection. Weinzierl et al. (2011) also report that particles more than 20 µm in diameter (but < 30 µm) are still found at concentrations > 10^{-2} cm^{-3} in 1/3 of 24 dust transport cases documented over the eastern tropical North Atlantic. The better sensitivity of LOAC may explain why we report more systematically a coarse mode of dust particles above 20 µm in diameter. However, the persistence of such large particles, lifted several days ago, and their transport above the Mediterranean basin is not well understood given their large theoretical settling velocity.

5 Discussion related to dust sedimentation

According to the Stoke’s approximation that equates the effective weight of spherical particles and the viscous resistance of the fluid through which it moves (Stokes, 1851), the gravitational settling velocity $V_g$ of dust particles is proportional to the square of their diameter. Assuming a classical density value of 2.5 g cm$^{-3}$ for spherical dust particles (Dulac et al., 1989; Zender et al., 2003; Linke et al., 2006), $V_g$ is thus about 0.0076, 0.19, 0.76, 3.0, 6.8, and 19 cm s$^{-1}$ for particles of 1, 5, 10, 20, 30, and 50 µm in diameter, respectively, implying a downward transport ranging from about 6.6 to 16 400 m d$^{-1}$. Particles larger than 12.3 µm have a sedimentation velocity larger than 1000 m d$^{-1}$. This is supposed to yield a quick segregation and a rapid evolution of the dust particle size distribution in the first days (and even hours) of transport after lifting from the dust source region (e.g. Schütz et al., 1981; see also Fig. 1 in Foret et al., 2006). Dust-loaded air masses transported northward from Africa above the marine atmospheric boundary layer in the western Mediterranean are known to be associated with warm fronts and to experience a significant upward synoptic movement (Prodi and Fea, 1979; Reiff et al., 1986). Dulac et al. (1992a, b) report that during a typical summer dust episode the turbid air mass ascending velocity was on average of the order of 1.5 to 1.8 cm s$^{-1}$ for 4 days, i.e. was more than compensating the average deposition velocity of the bimodal dust particle size distribution observed in Corsica during this event, with two modes at 2 and 13 µm. This is not enough, however, to explain the relatively constant dust particle size distribution observed during BLPB flights: accounting for an...
average upward air mass vertical velocity of 1.5 cm s$^{-1}$ that would counteract gravitation, a 4 km thick dust layer should anyway lose by sedimentation all particles larger than 30 µm in about 1 day.

According to Slinn (1983; Eq. 160), the flux-mean deposition velocity ($\langle V_d \rangle$) of a lognormal distribution of particles of modal diameter $D_m$ and geometric standard deviation $\sigma_g$ can be derived from $\langle V_d \rangle = V_d(D_m)\sigma_g^{2 \ln(\sigma_g)}$. Using this formula, we can derive that the three fitted dust particle size modes shown in Fig. 15 have a respective gravitational settling velocity of about 0.0011, 0.50, and 8.1 cm s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a negligible downward transport by sedimentation of about 1 m d$^{-1}$ for the finest mode, but to 430 m d$^{-1}$ for the intermediate mode, and as much as 7000 m d$^{-1}$ for the largest mode. Figure 14 does not show any significant systematic evolution of the concentration in the different modes. New particles sedimenting from turbid layers above the bal-

---

**Figure 13.** Volume size distribution retrieved from AERONET (https://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/) and LOAC data on Minorca during the June 2013 plume events.
loon might compensate for the sedimentation of particles from the intermediate coarse mode with \( D_m \) of about 4 \( \mu m \) during our 1 day or less balloon flight times. However, we should definitely observe a significant decrease in the concentration and median size of the very coarse mode with \( D_m \approx 30 \mu m \). Figure 16 does not show any evidence of a decrease in the very coarse mode median diameter.

6 **Indirect detection of possible charged particles**

Laboratory tests have shown that the LOAC photodiode and electronics are sensitive to electromagnetic fields, as those generated by radio telemetry, by strong atmospheric electric activity (e.g. during thunderstorms), and even by an electrical bay. In these cases, the electronic noise and the electronic offset both increase. The offset also increases with increasing ambient temperature.
Figure 14. Each pair of graphs represents the time series of flight altitude (top) and LOAC-derived aerosol concentration for the 19 size classes (bottom), for BLPB flights from Minorca towards the French coast. Colour coding is as in Fig. 7. Day–night transitions are indicated by dashed lines when appropriate.

Figure 15. (a) Example of particle volume size distribution within the desert dust plume from the BLPB flight of 19 June 2013 at an altitude of 3.3 km, from one measurement at 12:30 UT. The black diamonds are the LOAC measurements (with 1σ error bars), the coloured curves represent the lognormal functions for each of the observed modes, and the black curve represents the overall fit (sum of the 3 modes). The geometric mean diameters (Dm) of the 3 modes are of 0.27, 4.6, and 34 µm, with respective geometric standard deviations (σ) of 1.79, 2.14, and 1.35. (b) The 41 fitted size distributions when the third mode was detected, retrieved from all measurements during the 19 June BLPB flight at float altitude.
LOAC performs measurements of the electronic noise and offset every 15 min when the light source is switched off (Renard et al., 2016a). During a typical LDB flight, the LOAC electronic offset slightly decreased with altitude due to the decreasing temperature encountered during the balloon ascent. In contrast, an offset increase coincident with the increase in dust particle concentration was detected for five flights when crossing a dust plume, as shown in Fig. 17. Such an offset increase was never observed outside the plumes.

Laboratory tests have shown that indeed the LOAC electronics is very sensitive to electromagnetic fields, with an increase in the offset. These offset increases may be related to the presence of local strong electromagnetic fields inside the plume, although it is not possible to retrieve their strength with such kinds of measurements. It is known that the aerosol generation from both mineral dust powders (e.g., Johnston et al., 1987; Forsyth et al., 1998) and arid soils (e.g., Ette, 1971; Farrell et al., 2004; Sow et al., 2011) produces charged particles, and that electrical charges in sandstorms perturb telecommunication transmissions (e.g., Li et al., 2010 and references therein). The presence of an electric field in dust aerosol layers was indeed proposed by Ulanowski et al. (2007) to explain the alignment of non-spherical particles and polarisation effects in a dust plume over the Canary Islands. Nicoll et al. (2011) also report charged particles within Saharan dust layers with two balloon soundings performed above the Cape Verde islands.

We suggest that electric forces within the dust layers could contribute to maintaining coarse particles in levitation that would otherwise be expected to sediment down. Future balloon campaigns with LOAC measurement in parallel with an adequate instrument accurately retrieving the atmospheric electric field could consolidate these previous studies. This appears to be an important perspective to consider since the local electric field in a dust plume might be at least partly...
Figure 17. (a) Profiles of the LOAC electronic offset in the case of crossing a strong dust plume (16 June, 17 June mid-day and evening, 28 July, and 4 August) and in the case of a weak dust plume just close to ground on 28 June. (b) Profiles of number concentrations of dust particles larger than 0.7 µm for the same flights.

ChArMEx was a unique experiment involving a large set of ground-based and airborne instruments. Since 2014, regular LDBs with LOAC are launched twice a month from Aire-sur-l’Adour (south-west of France; 43.71° N, 0.25° W) to monitor the aerosol content from the troposphere to the stratosphere. Dust events were already occasionally detected; they will be used to document other dust events than those of summer 2013 with the same instrument, and to confirm the presence of both the large particles and the charged particles thanks to new developments of the instrumental payload.

Data availability. ChArMEx LOAC balloon and other measurement data are available on the ChArMEx database (http://mistrals.sedoo.fr/ChArMEx/). Finally, CALIOP data have been retrieved through the ICARE Data and Services Center (http://www.icare.univ-lille1.fr). The doi reference for the data is https://doi.org/10.6096/MISTRALS-ChArMEx.1017 (Renard, 2013).
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