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A B S T R A C T

Given the relative scarcity of stable isotope data on dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the Amazon Basin, we hypothesized that the variability in DOC sources may be underestimated in such major river basins. To explore the links between the mainstem and tributaries and the floodplain, particular efforts were made during five distinct cruises at different stages of the hydrograph between October 2008 and January 2011, to document the spatial and temporal variation of DOC concentrations and $\delta^{13}C$-DOC in the central Amazon River system (Brazil). Based on more than 200 data, the spatial and temporal variability of $\delta^{13}C$-DOC values was found to be larger than previously reported in the same area. Although a small range of variation was observed throughout the hydrological cycle in the upper reach of the studied section (−29.2 to −29.5‰ in the Rio Negro and −28.7 to −29.0‰ in the Rio Solimões), a much larger one (−28.0 to −34.6‰) was found in the lower reach of the river, as the proportion of open lakes increased downstream in the floodplains. The low variability in the upper reaches suggests constant and homogeneous DOC sources from upland soils and flooded forest, while lower $\delta^{13}C$-DOC values recorded in the lower reach mainstem at high and falling waters can be attributed to a greater export of plankton-derived $^{13}C$-depleted DOC from flooded lakes. Noteworthy are the higher $\delta^{13}C$-DOC values measured in the Rio Madeira and the associated flooded lakes (−26.5 to −28.8‰), which may reflect the imprint from upland headwaters and a weaker density of flooded forest in the watershed. The higher $\delta^{13}C$-DOC values observed in the lower reach during low waters are still not fully understood.
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1. Introduction

Our understanding of the driving forces of carbon fluxes in the Amazon River needs to be improved to better explain CO₂ outgassing pathways (Abril et al., 2014; Richey et al., 2002). Stable isotope data are powerful tools to constrain the sources of respired carbon from upland soils, flooded forest C₃ plants, macrophyte C₄ plants, and phytoplankton, but the majority of δ¹³C data were measured on either dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) or particulate organic carbon (POC) (Ellis et al., 2012; Mayorga et al., 2005; Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013; Mortillaro et al., 2011; Quay et al., 1992). In existing data, δ¹³C-DIC values are generally slightly lower than δ¹³C-POC values, and natural variation seemed limited (Auffdenkampe et al., 2007; Ellis et al., 2012; Hedges et al., 1994; Mayorga et al., 2005). Limited natural variations in δ¹³C-DIC compared to δ¹³C-POC may be consistent with a limited contribution of in situ aquatic primary production and the advanced degradation status of the river-transported dissolved organic matter pool (Hedges et al., 1994; Quay et al., 1992), which is also generally more recent in origin (Marwick et al., 2015; Mayorga et al., 2005). The aim of this study was to test if the variability in natural DOC sources is underestimated due to the relative scarcity of DOC stable isotope data for the middle Amazon Basin reach, with the risk to incorrectly identify the carbon flux sources at the level of water–mass exchanges in the floodplain or to underestimate specific (production/transformation) mechanisms. Thus, particular efforts were made to document the spatial and temporal variation of DOC concentrations and δ¹³C-DOC in the central Amazon River, to explore the links between the mainstem, tributaries, and the floodplain. We used a detailed spatial and temporal sampling strategy to describe how DOC from various potential sources might be transported in the river in relation with the flood pulse. We also take advantage of a river section located along a floodplain gradient to document potential contrasts between upland soils, C₃ and C₄ wetland sources, and phytoplankton.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Field campaign and sampling

Floating meadows principally consisting of C₄ macrophytes were found to increase δ¹³C-DOC values by ~1.5% in their vicinity, but this impact was no longer noticeable at distances of ~10 m from the plant rafts. This rather modest δ¹³C-enrichment suggests rapid decomposition and/or dilution of this wetland-derived DOC.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
levels equivalent to the 2008 LW period (Fig. 2). Concerning the data used in this study (DOC concentrations and $\delta^{13}$C-DOC values), the values measured in October 2009 were in good agreement with those found in October 2008 (see Fig. 3), and are different from those found during the FW period in August–September 2010. We sampled the major tributaries (Solimões, Negro, Madeira, and Tapajós), and six stations in the Amazon mainstem during the four seasons (Fig. 2), whereas the Manacapuru, Urubu and Trombetas Rivers were sampled only occasionally (Table 1). In each floodplain lake, as a function of the water level, we sampled 3 to 6 stations. Dense phytoplankton blooms are known to occur in some of these floodplain lakes (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013); we therefore investigated whether diurnal variations in DOC and $\delta^{13}$C-DOC occur by sampling during 24 h at a frequency of 1 to 4 hours. 24-h sampling was performed in Lake Janauaca during HW and FW, and in Lake Canaçari during FW. In addition, we performed sampling along three vertical profiles in the floodplain lakes during HW conditions to document potential effects of water column stratification. Finally, during the RW cruise, a set of specific samples was taken for DOC, DIC, $\delta^{13}$C-DOC and $\delta^{13}$C-DIC analysis. The sampling took place in the southern part of Lake Canaçari (Fig. 1) from the open water area into a decaying and sulphide-rich plant raft known as “capim”. During this period, the water depth under the plant raft was about 5 m, as in the open lake area. These floating meadows are mainly composed of C₄ aquatic macrophytes with $\delta^{13}$C values around $-13\%_o$, but to a lesser extent of C₃ plants that have $\delta^{13}$C values ranging from $-28$ to $-35\%_o$ (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013; Mortillaro et al., 2011).

All water samples were taken from a 25-m vessel board with a modified Niskin bottle sampler or manually from a smaller boat (Abril et al., 2014).

Samples for $\delta^{13}$C-DIC were collected using 120-mL glasses serum bottles sealed with a rubber stopper and poisoned with 0.3 mL of HgCl₂ at 20 g L$^{-1}$ to avoid any microbial respiration during storage. Vials were carefully sealed, taking care that no air remained in contact with the samples, and stored in the dark to prevent photo-oxidation. In the case of the capim transect, additional
samples of surface water were sampled manually with a funnel, then filtered through a GF/F mini-filter tipped on syringes, poisoned with one drop of a saturated HgCl₂ solution and stored in headspace-free 7-mL Exetainer® vials.

2.2. DOC and δ¹³C-DOC analysis

DOC and δ¹³C-DOC values (2009 to 2011) were obtained by a wet oxidation method (Albéric, 2011) using a commercially available liquid chromatography (LC)
Table 1
Mainstem and tributaries 2008–2011. DOC average concentrations (mg L⁻¹) and δ¹³C-DOC mean values (%ε versus VPDB).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Water color</th>
<th>Cond./pH (TSS: μS cm⁻¹/mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>DOC (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (%ε)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amazon mainstem</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encontro to Óbidos</td>
<td>White/57/6.7/39</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-28.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White/70/6.8/146</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-29.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White/52/6.3/22</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>-29.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White/53/6.8/36</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.3</td>
<td>-29.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-29.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tributaries</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solimões</td>
<td>White/75/6.8/25–184</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-28.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td>White/65/6.8/24–353</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-27.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negro</td>
<td>Black/10/4.9/8</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-29.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manacapuru</td>
<td>Black/12/5.3/6</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-29.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urubu</td>
<td>Clear/15/5.9/8</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.9</td>
<td>-29.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trombetas</td>
<td>Clear/14/5.3/4</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-30.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapajós</td>
<td>Clear/18/6.6/4</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-30.5 ± 2.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LW: low water; RW: rising water; HW: high water; FW: falling water; Cond.: conductivity at 25 °C; TSS: total suspended solid, seasonal ranges instead of average values are indicated for white waters.

interface (LC-Isolink, Thermo Scientific) coupled with a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Delta V, Thermo Scientific). Briefly, for the 1–10 mg L⁻¹ DOC range, 100 μL of He purged water sample were injected in-line via the LC-interface. Mixing of the MilliQ water mobile phase with reactants (H₃PO₄ 1.5 M; Na₂S₂O₈ 0.4 M) is performed via the LC-Isolink interface and DOC is oxidized into CO₂ around 100 °C and then transferred by the carrier gas (He) to the ion source of the IRMS. The analysis in triplicates took ~10 min; the repeatability and accuracy were estimated to be within the range of 0.1 and 0.3%ε, respectively (Albéric, 2011).

The samples taken in October 2008 were analyzed using a customized wet oxidation analyzer (Thermo HiperTOC) coupled with a Thermo Delta +XL IRMS (Bouillon et al., 2006).

2.3. DIC and δ¹³C-DIC analysis

We calculated DIC from pCO₂, total alkalinity (TA), and temperature measurements using the carbonic acid dissociation constants of Millero (1979) and the CO₂ solubility from Weiss (1974), as implemented in the CO2SYS program. pCO₂ was measured continuously with an equilibrator connected to an infrared gas analyzer (Abril et al., 2014). TA was analyzed by automated electrode- titration on 50-mL filtered samples with 0.1 NHCl as titrant. The equivalence point was determined with a Gran method from pH between 4 and 3. The precision based on replicate analyses was better than ± 5 μM (Abril et al., 2015).

The δ¹³C-DIC was measured following the procedure of Gillikin and Bouillon (2007). The measurements were performed using an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (Micromass Isoprime), equipped with a manual gas injection port. To correct for the partitioning of CO₂ between the headspace and the water phase in the samples, and to calculate the δ¹³C of the total DIC, the isotopic fractionation of CO₂ at the water–air interface as a function of the lab temperature of Miyajima et al. (1995) was applied.

In the case of the capim transect additional samples, DIC and δ¹³C-DIC values were obtained by the same method as above, for DOC and δ¹³C-DIC, but by manual injection, pushing 10 μL of the Exetainer content through the injector and without heating the LC-Isolink interface reactor (Brandes, 2009). δ¹³C-DIC data obtained with this second method were discarded because of obvious isotope exchanges during sampling in some of the small volume vials. Hence, only the δ¹³C-DIC values acquired by the first method (120 mL samples) were retained in this study.

3. Results

3.1. Amazon mainstem and tributaries

DOC concentrations in the Amazon mainstem averaged 3.9 ± 0.9 mg L⁻¹ (Table 1). Higher concentrations were found in the Rio Negro and Rio Manacapuru black waters (6.7 ± 0.9 and 5.4 ± 0.7 mg L⁻¹, respectively). The lowest concentrations were found in samples from Rio Solimões and Rio Madeira (3.0 ± 0.6 and 2.5 ± 0.9 mg L⁻¹, respectively) and the clear waters of the Tapajós (3.0 ± 1.0 mg L⁻¹). Concentrations in the mainstem were the highest in the lower reach and during FW (Table 1; Fig. 3a).

The average δ¹³C-DIC values (~29.2 ± 0.6%ε, Table 1) did not vary significantly in the mainstem and tributaries between Manaus and Óbidos-Santareém irrespective of the type of water, except for the Rio Madeira that showed higher δ¹³C-DIC values (~27.8 ± 0.7%ε) (Table 1 and Fig. 3b). A different pattern is noticed when looking at seasonal
variability: upstream of the confluence of the Rio Solimões and the Rio Negro (Encontro das Aguas), the δ13C-DOC values of both rivers were also relatively constant throughout the hydrological cycle (Fig. 3b). The mean δ13C-DOC values were −28.9 and −29.3 ± 0.1‰ for the Rio Solimões and the Rio Negro waters, respectively (Table 1). In contrast, in the most downstream part of the studied river section, a large seasonal variability was observed, with a range of up to 2% at Obidos (Table 1 and Fig. 3b). In the Amazon mainstem, an opposite trend occurred upstream and downstream of Itacoatiara (Fig. 3b). Upstream of Itacoatiara, δ13C-DOC values higher than the mean value for each station were measured during FW; they were similar to those measured in the Rio Madeira. In contrast, downstream of Itacoatiara, during the same FW period, lower δ13C-DOC values were found as well as during HW. These low δ13C-DOC values coincided during FW with higher DOC concentrations (Fig. 3a). In addition, the Madeira waters were characterized by δ13C-DOC values higher than any values reported for other stations at every period. The largest variation was observed in the Rio Tapajós clear waters between −34.6‰ during HW and −28.0‰ during LW.

3.2. Floodplain lakes

The results for the floodplain lakes are summarized in Fig. 4 and Table 2. Within each lake, 3 to 8 different sites were sampled, leading to a larger spatial variability in DOC and δ13C-DOC when compared to riverine sites. In contrast, diurnal and vertical profile variabilities were found to be small, with δ13C-DOC ranging from 0.2 to 0.7‰ (Table 2). DOC concentrations were generally higher than in the main channels. While the highest concentration was found during RW in the upper reach lake (Cabaliana), small seasonal variations are reported in the other lakes surrounded by large flooded forests (Janauaca and Mirutuba). In contrast, higher concentrations were measured during FW in the lakes the least connected to the flooded forest (Canaçari and Curuai). Concerning δ13C-DOC, three principal observations can be made: (1) despite the wider range in δ13C-DOC, the average value found in floodplain lakes (with the exception of Lago Mirutuba) was similar to the mean value measured for mainstems, i.e. −29.2 ± 0.3‰; (2) during all seasons, the δ13C-DOC values were higher in Lago Mirutuba compared to the values for the other lakes. With an average value of −27.8 ± 0.4‰, δ13C-DOC values in Lago Mirutuba resemble the one measured in the Rio Madeira. (3) Maximal seasonal variability was found at the more downstream locations, i.e. for the Várzea of Curuai, where the highest δ13C-DOC values were found during LW and the lowest values during HW, which is similar to what was observed in the Tapajós and to a lesser extent in the main channel at Obidos (Figs. 3b and 4b).

3.3. Capim transect Lago Canaçari

The concentrations of DOC approximately doubled when going from open waters into the plant raft, while δ13C-DOC values increased from −30‰ to −28.5‰ (Fig. 5a). The trend line in the δ13C-DOC versus 1/DOC plot (insert Fig. 5a) points to a value around −27‰ for the added carbon, clearly not corresponding to a C4 plant source.

Along the same transect, the concentrations of DIC increased by a factor of 10 (from 2 to 20 mg L−1), while the δ13C-DIC values increased from −13.3‰ (pH 6.5) to −10.7‰ (pH 6.3) (Fig. 5b). δ13C-DIC values measured in the mainstem during this period (detailed results not shown) typically ranged around −13.8 ± 0.1‰ (pH 6.9), which is consistent with previous data from this region (Quay et al., 1992).

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with previous δ13C-DOC and δ13C-POC data in the Amazon River basin

Since the work of Cai et al. (1988), it has been well recognized (Aufdenkampe et al., 2007; Hedges et al., 2000; Mayorga et al., 2005; Quay et al., 1992) that POC in the upper reaches of the Amazon River has less negative δ13C values than in the central and lower reaches. The mechanism behind that general down-river trend has been proposed to be the smaller degree of isotope fractionation during photosynthesis by the C3 plants at the lower pCO2 found in altitude. Our average δ13C-DOC values (−29.3 ± 0.6‰) for the lower Amazon were in the same range as those reported in previous studies (Mayorga et al., 2005; Pérez et al., 2011; Quay et al., 1992). In particular, the less negative values we report for the Rio Madeira agree well with the high-water stage value (−28.0‰) given by Hedges et al. (1994) in the same predominantly upland-draining river (Quay et al., 1992). The small variability of δ13C-DOC values we measured during the hydrological cycle for the Rio Negro and the Rio Solimões at the entry of the studied river section suggests relatively constant and homogeneous DOC sources from upland soils and flooded forests, in agreement with the degraded pattern of the dissolved organic matter which has been reported in the lowland Amazon reach (Hedges et al., 1994, 2000).

The seasonal variability for δ13C values of organic C- species are less documented. The data from our study show a clear seasonal trend, with lower δ13C-DOC values during HW and FW downstream of Itacoatiara. A similar trend was observed, in this area, with lower δ13C-POC values during the HW period than during other periods (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013). Moreover, in the lowland main channel, Quay et al. (1992) found lower δ13C-POC values (for the fine POC fraction) during the FW period, yet did not observe any clear seasonal variation for δ13C-DOC. These lower δ13C-POC values were interpreted as an increased contribution of C3 sources from tributaries draining lowland regions and from floodplain soils and vegetation.

In contrast, we report higher δ13C-DOC values in the Madeira River, the main channel upstream of Itacoatiara during FW and at the lower reach stations during LW (Figs. 3b and 4b). While the persistence of the upland effect due to a weaker density of flooded forest compared to the Solimões Basin may explain the higher δ13C-DOC values found in the Madeira River and the associated lake (Lago Mirutuba), the question arises whether a larger influence of C4 grasses can explain the higher δ13C-DOC values we observed in the lower reach during LW. Although decaying matter in these massive plant rafts was found to increase
Fig. 4. DOC (a) and $\delta^{13}$C-DOC (b) values for CARBAMA cruises (October 2008 to January 2011) from upstream to downstream floodplain lakes.
$^{13}$C-DOC values locally by 1.5%, reaching −28.5% (Fig. 5a), the raise appears poorly linked to C₄ plants, since $^{13}$C-DOC values, in microcosm experiments in which C₄ plants sorted out from these mats were incubated, reached −24% to −17%, depending on the amount of macrophyte biomasses used (Mortillaro et al., 2016). In contrast, the impact of decaying plant material in floating meadows on DIC concentrations was found to be very strong, with an almost 10-fold increase in DIC concentration, while $^{13}$C-DIC values raised to −10.7% (Fig. 5b), a value clearly higher than that in open lake and mainstem waters at pH 6.3 (Quay et al., 1992), which may likely correspond to the respiration input of C₄ plant carbon. We therefore hypothesize that most of the organic matter produced by these macrophyte mats is decayed in situ, and that its effect on the DOC pool in lake waters is relatively small. This observation agrees with previous results showing the small contribution of C₄ macrophytes to particulate matter and food-web in the lake and mainstem waters despite their high biomasses in the ecosystems (Moreira-Turcq et al., 2013; Mortillaro et al., 2011, 2016; Quay et al., 1992).

4.2. New insights from variations during the runoff cycle

The data in this work point to a contrasting seasonality of $^{13}$C-DOC values in the main Amazon channel between the reaches upstream and downstream of the confluence with the Rio Madeira (from upstream to downstream of Itacoatiara). Apart the specific impact of the Rio Madeira waters, the upstream reach is characterized by values clustering around the whole lowland Amazon average value (i.e. −29%), while the downstream reach is characterized by lower $^{13}$C-DOC values during HW and FW and, to a lesser extent by higher values during LW. The lower $^{13}$C-DOC values during FW coincided with an increase in DOC concentrations in the main channel (Fig. 3b). Fig. 6 illustrates the upstream versus downstream trend between DOC concentrations and $^{13}$C-DOC during the FW period. We hypothesize higher planktonic influence from flooded lakes downstream of Itacoatiara during HW and FW. More $^{13}$C-depleted values found in Curaú water during HW (Fig. 3b) support our hypothesis although not much variation was observed in Lago Canaçari. As indicated by Archer (2005), significant differences in floodplain characteristics occur at the confluence of the Rio Madeira with the Rio Amazonas. Upstream of the Rio Madeira mouth, there are relatively few lakes in the floodplain, while downstream of the Madeira, the area is characterized by large meanders and secondary channels with incomplete levees resulting in inundation of large floodplain lakes. The channel-floodplain geomorphology and valley width differences on either side of Itacoatiara are shown in Fig. 7a, based on the

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locations</th>
<th>Cond./pH/TSS (µS cm⁻¹/[mg L⁻¹])</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>DOC (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>$^{13}$C (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Várzea Cabaliana</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75/6.9/10</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−29.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120/7.0/14</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>−29.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74/6.5/4</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−29.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69/6.7/6</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>−29.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Várzea Janaucá</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52/7.1/15</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−29.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60/6.6/75</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−28.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41/6.2/6</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−29.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60/6.7/6</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−29.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily variation⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth profile (0.5–10 m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lago Miratuba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56/6.8/65</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−27.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52/7/28</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−28.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47/6.3/26</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−28.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61/7.6/29</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>−27.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lago Canaçari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44/7.9/23</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−28.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/6.2/19</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.4</td>
<td>−29.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46/6.4/11</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>−29.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53/3/11</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>−29.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily variation⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Várzea Curuai</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42/8.1/51</td>
<td>LW</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>−27.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59/6.4/22</td>
<td>RW</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>−28.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45/6.5/15</td>
<td>HW</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>−30.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57/9.5/60</td>
<td>FW</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>−29.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth profile (0.5–2 m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

α Sampled every hour at a depth of 0.5 m at a single location.
β Sampled every 4 h at a depth of 0.5 m at a single location.
γ Profile in the northern part of the várzea.
5. Conclusions

Three reaches in the lowland Amazon mainstem between the Rio Negro confluence and the Tapajós mouth could be distinguished with regard to δ13C-DOC variations: (1) upstream of the Rio Negro and the Rio Solimões confluence, almost no seasonal variation was observed around the regional average of −29.2 ± 0.6‰; (2) upstream of Itacoatiara (Rio Madeira mouth), higher δ13C-DOC values were observed during the falling water period, but the origin of this 13C-enrichment remains to be determined unambiguously; and (3) downstream the Rio Madeira mouth, larger meanders secondary channels and lakes in the floodplain may result in an increased plankton contribution to DOC, leading to a seasonal pattern with lower δ13C-DOC values during high and falling water periods, and higher values during low waters. Our results are based, on the one hand, on 4 to 5 discrete sampling dates in the mainstem along the hydrological cycle, and, on the other hand, on hourly sampling in lakes that have not suggested significant daily variations. To go further would demand a different sampling strategy, coupling phytoplankton dynamic and δ13C studies at a recording rate allowing a better follow of the river regime.
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Fig. 7. a: schematic illustration of along-stream pattern of channel-floodplain geomorphology and valley width according to Mertes et al. (1996)and Dunne et al. (1998); b: δ13C-DOC values in the mainstem and tributaries between Manacapuru and Santarém at low water and falling water, highlighting the divergence of values downstream of Itacaiunas (data from this study, Fig. 3b).


