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Abstract: Although underground dinosaur tracksites inside anthropic cavities such as mines or tunnels are well-known throughout the world, footprints inside natural karstic caves remain extremely rare. The Malaval Cave (Lozère, southern France) is well-known by speleologists for the abundance and the exceptional quality of acicular and helictite aragonite speleothems. Recent palaeontological prospecting inside this cave allowed the discovery of tridactyl dinosaur tracks. Here, a detailed study of theropod footprints was for the first time conducted inside a natural karstic cave, using photogrammetric imaging technique. Tracks from the Malaval Cave are located inside the “Super-Blanches” galleries. More than 26 footprints were identified. They are Hettangian in age (Lower Jurassic) and preserved as both in situ convex hyporeliefs and ex situ concave epireliefs. Three morphotypes are distinguished, (i) “Dilophosauripus-Kayentapus” morphotype, (ii) “Eubrontes” morphotype, and (iii) “Grallatorid” morphotype. Sedimentological and mineralogical analyses of the tracksite indicate that the depositional environment varied from periodically emergent subtidal to intertidal/supratidal flat marsh. This work highlights the great interest and importance of palaeoichnological prospecting in karst caves. This is particularly true for the Causses Basin where hundreds of natural cavities were reported by speleologists in the formations yielding dinosaur traces.
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INTRODUCTION

Underground dinosaur tracksites inside anthropic cavities (e.g., clay underground quarries or coal mines, tunnels of railways) are well-known in several countries such as Australia (e.g., Cook et al., 2010) and United-States (e.g., Peterson, 1924; Parker & Balsley, 1989; Parker & Rowley, 1989; Lockley & Hunt, 1995). In Europe, such palaeoichnological sites are less common, but reported in few localities from France (e.g., the Fondamente Cave; Sciau, 2003), Italy (Belvedere et al., 2008; Petti et al., 2011), and Sweden (Ahlberg & Siverson, 1991; Gierlinski & Ahlberg, 1994). In contrast, although some outdoor tracksites were reported near the entrance of karstic caves (e.g., the Sataplia Cave, Georgia; Kralik et al., 2014), the occurrences of underground dinosaur tracksites inside natural karstic caves remain extremely rare over the world and in Europe (e.g., Bramabiau Cave in southern France; Ellenberger, 1988).

Because of the abundance and the diversity of footprints, in France, the most important Early Jurassic megatracksite is the Causses Basin (southern France; e.g., Monod, 1935; Thaler, 1962; Ellenberger, 1988; Demathieu, 1990, 1993; Demathieu & Sciau, 1999; Demathieu et al., 2002; Moreau et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2014 and references therein). In this basin, dozens of outdoor tracksites were discovered during the last decades. Otherwise, hundreds of karst caves were reported by speleologists in geological formations yielding footprints (Hettangian-Sinemurian and Bathonian). However, due to the relative difficulty
of access to the far galleries of cavities, the palaeontologists rarely had the opportunity to study potential rock surfaces bearing tracks.

In this paper, we report an underground tracksite in the northern part of the Causses Basin (Fig. 1). It was discovered during palaeontological and speleological prospecting inside the Malaval Cave. The Malaval Cave is well-known by speleologists for the abundance and the exceptional quality of acicular and helictite aragonite speleothems (e.g., Gajac & Rouire, 1949; Gajac, 1963; André, 1992, 2014). Some of them are even blue due to copper coloration (Fig. 2). In some parts of the karstic network, the whiteness of speleothems is such that a group of galleries was called the “Super-Blanches” (means “super white”). The lowermost Jurassic dinosaur tracks described in this study were recently discovered in these galleries. For the first time, we used photogrammetry to study such an unusual palaeontological site inside a karstic cavity in Europe. Here, we characterize this new tracksite and compare footprints with coeval material to determine the possible trackmakers. Then palaeoenvironments are discussed using palaeontology, sedimentology, and mineralogy.

**GEOGRAPHICAL AND GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Malaval Cave is located in the Lozère department, 9 km north-east of Florac, near the village of Les Bondons (Fig. 1). Based on palynology, the first Mesozoic deposits lying unconformably on pre-Hercynian and

---

**Fig. 1.** Location and geological context of the Causses Basin. The black footprint indicates the Malaval Cave. Modified after Grigniac and Taugourdeau-Lantz (1982).

**Fig. 2.** A) part of the “Super-Blanches” galleries showing abundant white aragonite speleothems; B) characteristic blue helictite aragonite from the Malaval Cave.
Hercynian crystalline rocks of Lozère are Rhetian-Hettangian or Hettangian in age (Briand et al., 1979, 1993; Grigniac & Taugourdeau-Lantz, 1982; Taugourdeau-Lantz, 1983). In Lozère, Hettangian deposits were divided into two informal formations (Brouder et al., 1977; Briand et al., 1979; Gèze et al., 1980): (1) the detrital Sandstones-variegated mudstones Formation and (2) the Dolomitic Formation. The first formation consists of rubefied lenticular and channelized sandstones which alternate with variegated argilitres or marls (Simon-Coïncin, 1989). Near Florac, this formation is poorly developed (a few metres thick) or missing (Gèze et al., 1980) and is largely dominated by white or ferruginous sandstones. The second formation consists of both dolomitic limestone and dolomite organized in thin or massive irregular layers alternating with green, blue or black clay beds. They are locally lignitic (Dorlhac, 1860; Gèze et al., 1980). Near Florac, the Dolomitic Formation reaches 80 to 100 metres thick. It corresponds to the beginning of the earliest Jurassic marine transgression and the installation of marginal-littoral palaeoenvironments in the Causses Basin.

The dinosaur tracks described in this study were discovered in the Dolomitic Formation. Except for trackways of vertebrates and some continental plants, fossils are extremely rare in this formation. Indeed, in Lozère, only rare bivalves (e.g., Cardinia concinna, Liostrea sp., Ostrea irregularis) and unidentified gastropods were mentioned (Brouder et al., 1977; Briand et al., 1979; Gèze et al., 1980).

The Malaval Cave contains a karstic network at least 12 km long (André, 1992). Because of the exceptional abundance of aragonite helicite speleothems, the “Super-Blanches” galleries are probably the most spectacular area of the cavity (Fig. 2). They are up to 300 m long (Fig. 3A). The tracks described in this study were found in one gallery of the “Super-Blanches” group: the “Galerie des Dalles” (Fig. 3A–B).

Figure 3C shows the stratigraphic section of the “Galerie des Dalles” and the location of the track-bearing beds. This stratigraphic column displays brown to yellow, decimetric, tabular, carbonaceous beds (Units 1, 3, 5, 7, and 8). They alternate with thin, grey and sometime lenticular clayey layers (Units 2, 4, and 6). Three trace-bearing surfaces were observed in both Unit 1 (Surface A) and Unit 3 (Surfaces B and C). It is interesting to note that Unit 3 bears desiccation cracks (Fig. 3C) and base of Unit 7 displays circular structures firstly observed by Michel Wienin and Jean-Louis Galéra in 2006 and which could be interpreted as synsedimentary deformations such as putative mud volcanoes.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The tracksite of the “Super-Blanches” galleries was discovered by Daniel André and Jean-Pierre Malafosse in 2006. They firstly observed two tridactyl tracks on the roof of the cave. In 2013, six other tracks were observed during a speleological trip organized with the Association Paléontologique des Hauts Plateaux du Languedoc (Mende). Then, in 2017, in order to find new tracks, study them and determine the geological context, a field mission was co-organized by members of the Association Malaval (Ispagnac) and those of the A.P.H.P.L.

**Petrographic and mineralogical analyses**

Petrographic and mineralogical studies were focused on the main unit yielding footprints: the Unit 3. In addition, in order to compare data with units without tracks, analyses were leaded in Units 6 and 7. Four samples were prepared for both optical microscopy on standard polished thin sections and grinded to perform mineralogical analyses. X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF), X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetry coupled with mass spectrometry (TGA-MS) and volumetric method (Bernard calcimeter) were conducted at the Génie Civil & Environnemental laboratory from Douai (IMT Lille-Douai).

**XRF-Rock chemistry.** Bulk rock analyses were performed using a Bruker S4 Pioneer spectrometer, a 4 kW wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence spectrometer equipped with a rhodium anode. Measurements were performed at 60 keV and 40 mA on powdered rock compressed tablets. The integrated standardless evaluation of the machine allows the fast and easy determination of element concentrations up to the ppm-level without performing a calibration.

**XRD-Rock mineralogy.** Hand ground powders were analysed using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer system using Co-Kα radiation equipped with a fast LynxEye linear detector. The diffractometer was operated at 35 kV and 40 mA. Scans were run from 5° to 80°2θ, with a step interval of 0.02°2θ and a goniometer speed of 2 s per step. The identification of minerals was performed using Bruker-AXS’s DIFFRACplus TOPAS software and the ICDD (International Centre for Diffraction Data) Powder Diffraction File 2015 database. Mineral quantification was made by Rietveld refinement (Rietveld, 1969) with the DIFFRACplus TOPAS software, version 4.2 (Bruker-AXS). The Rietveld method consists in minimizing the difference between an experimental diffractogram and a diffractogram calculated for a given starting model. Crystal structure data were taken from the ICDD PDF and Bruker Structure Database. Rietveld refined parameters used in this study are described in Trincal et al. (2014). Mineral content standard deviations were obtained by the multiplication of the standard deviation given by Topas software by the GOF (goodness of fit) in order to have a faithful approximation (Taylor & Hinczak, 2003; Trincal et al., 2014).

**Carbonate quantification.** To confirm the Rietveld data which are often discussed, two independent methods were used to quantify the carbonates in our samples. Bernard calcimetry is a volumetric standardized method (Afnor, NF P94-048) conventionally used on sediments and in civil engineering (e.g., Lamas et al., 2005). Five analyses of pure lime were used for calibration and three analyses were performed for each sample. The precision of the measurements is classically better than 5% (e.g., Jaquet et al., 1971). The second method was thermogravimetry coupled...
with mass spectrometry (TGA-MS) (e.g., Kulp et al., 1951). Analyses were conducted using a Netzsch STA 449 F3 Jupiter thermal analyser coupled with a Netzsch QMS 403 D Aëlos quadrupole mass spectrometer. This configuration allows measurement of gas and mass changes of a powdered sample under the effect of temperature. Setup was configured for a temperature increase of 3°C/minute from 40 to 1000°C under an argon stream. Sample mass variation measurement was quantitative during analyses, while gas estimation was qualitative.

**Trace fossil analysis**

The material consists of tridactyl footprints, comprising traces of digits II, III and IV, directly measured on the accessible roof of the cave and fallen isolated blocks (Figs. 4–7). The descriptive terminology and biometric parameters used here are inspired from Leonardi (1987) and Marty (2008). We used the following standard abbreviations: length of the trace, “L”; width of the trace, “W”; lengths of the digits, “LII”, “LIII”, “LIV”; divarication angle between digit II and digit IV, “II–IV” (Fig. 4). We also used “D”
which corresponds to the length of the free part of digit III sensu Demathieu et al. (2002). This is not to be confused with D for Depth. None of the specimens, included those from isolated blocks were collected.

In order to produce an orthoimage of the largest track-bearing surface (Fig. 5A), we used Agisoft PhotoScan Professional to align and combine multi digital photographs (Panasonic Lumix DMC-FT1). The same software was used to produce photogrammetric 3D textured meshes. The 3D renderings were created using the MeshLab 1.3.2 software (Fig. 5B). Because of no ideal conditions (reduce field of view due to low ceilings), photogrammetry was very useful to have a better representation of the entire track-bearing surfaces and to reveal traces undetected during the first field investigations. Data of the 3D models are available online on the open access database of https://figshare.com.
Fig. 6. Isolated blocks bearing tracks from the "Galerie des Dalles". A–B, A16'; C–D, D1 and D2; E–F, B1 and B2.

Fig. 7. Illustration of some dinosaur traces from the Hettangian tracksite of the Malaval Cave. A) A9; B) A16; C) A16'; D) A15; E) A3; F) A7. Concave epirelief, C. Convex hyporelief, A, B, D, E, F. All scale bars, 25 cm.
RESULTS

Petrography and mineralogy

Petrographic observations of Unit 3 revealed that dinosaur tracks are preserved in dolomudstone with millimetre-thick cryptalgal laminites microfacies (Fig. 8A and C). The microbial laminae are parallel to the bedding planes and wavy to planar. The cryptalgal laminites exhibit non-porous fabric and a mudstone texture with rare detrital particles and an absence of bioclasts. Unit 7 is a bioturbated dolomudstone highly perturbed (Fig. 8B and D).

XRD Rietveld quantifications (Table 1A) indicate that dolomite is the main component of the surfaces bearing traces (Unit 3). According to analysed samples, they are composed by 81 to 87 wt% of dolomite, 5 to 8 wt% of calcite, 3 to 6 wt% of quartz and less than 6 wt% of clays (illite and kaolinite; Fig. 9 and Table 1). Except the calcite decrease compensated by a dolomite increase, the mineralogy of Unit 7 is close to those of Unit 3. Due to the difficulty to well used Rietveld refinement method on sedimentary rocks (e.g., Trincal et al., 2014), carbonaceous quantifications (dolomite plus calcite) were confirmed using both Bernard calcimetry and TGA-MS independent methods on Units 3, 6, and 7 (Table 1B). Clayey rock from Unit 6 shows according to Rietveld refinement method, 51 wt% of illitic clays, 25wt% of dolomite and 16 wt% of quartz while calcite and kaolinite are both less than 4 %wt (Table 1A). However, the large 10Å XRD peak (Fig. 9) suggests a mix between two illitic populations or a randomly mixed-layer phase. The first assumption was used for Rietveld refinement. Carbonaceous analyse with independent methods supports the Rietveld quantification on this Unit 6 argilaceous rock (Table 1B), results which are coherent with XRF data (Supplemental File 1).

Organic matter was identified by XRF in all samples, but not quantified (Supplemental File 1). However, the pyrolysis of the organic matter generates a peak of CO₂ between 100 and 500°C (e.g., Pietro et al., 2004). TGA-MS spectrums performed on Malaval cave samples indicate a small loss of mass in this temperatures range, without CO₂ release (Supplemental File 2). This loss of mass probably corresponds to clay dehydration. TGA-MS results suggest very low organic matter content in these rocks, probably less than 1 wt%.

The track-bearing surfaces

Twenty in situ convex hyporeliefs are distributed over the ceiling of the “Galerie des Dalles”. According to the preservation scale proposed by Belvedere & Farlow (2006), which includes four grades from 0 to 3, footprints from Malaval show preservation grades 0 to 2. One track is preserved on “Surface A” whereas nineteen tracks are preserved as the assemblage composed of “Surface B” and “Surface C” (Fig. 5C). In addition, three putative footprints were distinguished on “Surface A” but these are not convincing. Six ex situ tracks preserved as concave epireliefs were discovered onto three isolated blocks found on the floor of the cave (Fig. 6). Although the “Super-Blanches” galleries are characterized by the abundance of aragonite speleothems, they are absent in the parts of the gallery yielding footprints. Because of karstic weathering, preservation of the tracks from the “Surface B” and “Surface C” are not of consistent quality. Best preserved impressions show well distinguished pads and claws (Fig. 10). Some traces appear to be undertracks rather than “true tracks”. Most of the footprints are oriented toward the northwest. Among the complete traces, three morphotypes can be distinguished (Fig. 10; Table 2).

“Grallatorid” morphotype. The first morphotype is represented by 3 isolated ichnites. Tracks are small

Table 1. A) Proportions of minerals given in Malaval samples by Topas software Rietveld refinement from XRD analyses. GOF: goodness of fit in percent. Rwp: weighted residual percent. The variances given in this table correspond to the standard deviation given by Topas software multiplied by the GOF. B) Carbonates (calcite and dolomite) estimations obtained by Rietveld refinement (a), Bernard calcimetry (b) and TGA-MS (c).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Calcite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>Kaolinite</th>
<th>Illite</th>
<th>GOF</th>
<th>Rwp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 7</td>
<td>91.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 6</td>
<td>24.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>16 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.9</td>
<td>51.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>7.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3, S.C.</td>
<td>86.28 ± 0.9</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.37 ± 1.5</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.71 ± 0.8</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3, S.B.</td>
<td>81.17 ± 0.9</td>
<td>7.67 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.89 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.82 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>7.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit 7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85 to 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>31 to 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3, S.C.</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85 to 92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit 3, S.B.</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83 to 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moreau et al. (2018) reported on the ichnological assemblage from the Malaval Cave, France. They identified three morphotypes of ichnites: "Grallatorid" morphotype, "Dilophosauripus-Kayentapus" morphotype, and "Eubrontes" morphotype. The "Grallatorid" morphotype consists of 12 isolated footprints and represents the most abundant traces in the ichnological assemblage from Malaval. One partial trackway composed of two consecutive tridactyl II–IV tracks (A2–A3; Fig. 5C) displays a 109 cm pace length. Tracks are as long as wide or larger than long (L/W = 0.8–1.1), 16–29 cm long and 18–26 cm wide. The divarication angle between II and IV is large, 65° in average (II–IV = 43–85°). Impressions of digits are moderately elongated. Those of digit III is longer than those of digits II and IV. Length of II and IV are quite close. Imprints of pads are often weakly marked whereas traces of claws are well-visible.

The second morphotype consists of 12 isolated footprints and represents the most abundant traces in the ichnological assemblage from Malaval. One partial trackway composed of two consecutive tridactyl II–IV tracks (A2–A3; Fig. 5C) displays a 109 cm pace length. Tracks are as long as wide or larger than long (L/W = 0.8–1.1), 16–29 cm long and 18–26 cm wide. The divarication angle between II and IV is large, 65° in average (II–IV = 43–85°). Impressions of digits are moderately elongated. Those of digit III is longer than those of digits II and IV. Length of II and IV are quite close. Imprints of pads are often weakly marked whereas traces of claws are well-visible.

The third morphotype consists of 2 isolated and larger ichnites. Tracks are longer than wide (L/W = 1.3), 29–30 cm long and up to 23 cm wide. The divarication angle between II and IV is quite large (II–IV = 47°) and D is short. The position of the digito-metatarsal pad of digit IV is more proximal than digit II. The best preserved footprint displays large impressions of digits and well-marked pads.

**DISCUSSION**

**Comparison with other Early Jurassic tracks and probable trackmakers**

In European dinosaur tracksites, *Grallator* is an ichnogenus commonly reported from the Hettangian deposits of Italy (e.g., Avanzini et al., 2006), France
II–IV and pads well distinguished. It is noteworthy impressions of digits, moderate divarication angle up to 30 cm long and longer than wide track, large and Massachusetts; Hitchcock, 1858), respectively: and the Harford and Deerfiled Basins (Connecticut and southern part of the Causses Basin (Demathieu et al., 1993; Demathieu et al., 2002; Sciau, 1992): small track (i.e., 14 cm long) longer than wide, well distinguished and thin traces of digits, digito-metatarsal pad of digit IV more proximal than of digit II. Although they are abundant in the middle of digit II–IV, probably depending of the nature and the hydration of substratum. In France, with the exception of the Causses Basin, few tracks from the Hettangian of Dordogne were ascribed to Dilophosauripus (Welles, 1971) is debatable according to some authors (see Lucas et al., 2006), this ichnogenus was reported from Hettangian-Sinemurian deposits of numerous localities in France (e.g., Demathieu & Sciau, 1992; Demathieu, 1993; Demathieu et al., 2002; Sciau, 2003; Demathieu & Gand, 2003; Gand et al., 2007). “Dilophosauripus-Kayentapus” morphotype from Malaval shares many similarities with Dilophosauripus williamsi described by Demathieu et al. (2002) from the Hettangian-Sinemurian deposits of the Causses Basin: medium-size footprint (i.e., 22 cm in average), values of the ratio L/W close to 1, large divarication angle between II and IV, and impressions of II and IV quite similar in length. However, D. williamsi described by Demathieu et al. (2002) shows smaller values of divarication angle II–IV (i.e., 54° in average) than the “Dilophosauripus-Kayentapus” morphotype from the Malaval Cave. Sciau (2003) and Moreau et al. (2012a, 2012b, 2014) mentioned up to 98° values in Dilophosauripus from Aveyron and Lozère. It demonstrates the broad variability of the divarication angle II–IV, probably depending of the nature and the hydration of substratum. In France, with the exception of the Causses Basin, few tracks from the Hettangian of Dordogne were ascribed to Dilophosauripus (Gand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Track number</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>LII</th>
<th>LIII</th>
<th>LIV</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>II–IV</th>
<th>Morphotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Morphotype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Morphotype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Morphotype 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Morphotype 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Morphotype 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
deposits from Europe, although Kayentapus al., 2006; Lockley et al., 2011). In the Lower Jurassic to be the valid ichnotaxon (see references in Lucas et al., 2006; Lockley et al., 2011). In the Lower Jurassic deposits from Europe, although Kayentapus was not previously reported from France, this ichnogenus was reported from Hungary (Gierliński, 1996), Italy (Avanzini et al., 2006) and Poland (Gierliński & Niedźwiedzki, 2005).

Among European dinosaur tracksites, Eubrontes is an ichnogenus which was reported from the Hettangian deposits of Italy (e.g., Avanzini et al., 2006), France (e.g., Demathieu et al., 2002 and references therein; Moreau et al., 2012a, 2014) and Poland (e.g., Gierliński et al., 2004). In the Hettangian deposits of France, Eubrontes (total length longer than 25 cm sensu Olsen et al., 1998) is represented by E. giganteus (Hitchcock, 1845) and E. veillonensis (de Lapparent & Montenet, 1967), described based on material from Connecticut, USA (Lull, 1953) and Vendée, respectively. Both ichnospecies seem to show many morphological similarities. However, E. veillonensis (total length, 34 cm in average) is smaller than E. giganteus (Gand et al., 2007). Although “Eubrontes” morphotype from Malaval share some similarities with E. giganteus described from the Hettangian of the Causses Basin (e.g., large digit impressions; value of L/W = 1.24 in average; short free part of digit III), they are smaller (i.e., up to 51 cm in length among E. giganteus from Aveyron; Demathieu & Sciau, 1999). We may notice that small-sized Eubrontes (i.e., 27–33 cm long) were reported in the northern part of the Causses Basin and the Rodez Strait (Moreau et al., 2012a, 2014). Although they can show similar length, E. giganteus differs with G. minusculus by a larger divarication angle (i.e., higher than to 40°) and a smaller L/W value (i.e., 1.24 and 1.58 in E. giganteus and G. minusculus respectively; Demathieu et al., 2002). Except the Causses Basin and the Vendée, Eubrontes was also reported from other French tracksites dispersed in areas such as Dordogne (Gand et al., 2007), Lot (Lange-Badré & Lafon, 2000) and Var (Ellenberger, 1965).

The palahengal formula inferred for the trackmakers of Grallator and Eubrontes (3, 4 and 5 pads for toes traces II, III and IV) allow these ichnogenera to be linked to theropod dinosaurs (Demathieu et al., 2002). However, because tracks with high value of divarication angle and L/W ratio close to 1 are often linked with ornithopods, the likely trackmaker of Dilophosaaurus is debatable. Based on values of (L-D)/D lower than 2.75, Demathieu et al. (2002) demonstrated that Dilophosaursaurus is more probably related to theropods. Dilophosaursaurus differs from ornithopod footprints by the presence of sharp claw impressions (Thulborn, 1990). Worldwide, bone remains of Early Jurassic theropods were ascribed to Coelophysidea and Ceratosaurusia (i.e., Weishampel et al., 2004 and references therein; Smith et al., 2007; Xing et al., 2013). In Europe, their body fossils are known from the Hettangian deposits of England, France and Luxembourg (Larsonneur & Lapparent, 1966; Carrano & Sampson, 2004; Delsate & Ezcurra, 2014). However, in France, they remain extremely rare. Unknown from the Hettangian of the Causses Basin, French Hettangian theropods bones are only represented by Lophostropheus airelensis from the Moon-Airel Formation at Normandie (northwestern France; Larsonneur & Lapparent, 1966; Cony & Galton, 1993; Ezcurra & Cony, 2007).

**Palaeoenvironmental reconstruction**

Near Florac, the rare bivalves and gastropods, as well as the rare ammonites (e.g., Psiloceras planorbis) reported in the Hettangian Dolomitic Formation attest of local marine inputs (Brun & Marcelin, 1934; Brouder et al., 1977; Briand et al., 1979; Géze et al., 1980). However, in the same formation, near Mende, lignite beds yielding plant remains indicate the presence of local conifer-dominated forest (Thévenard, 1993). The most abundant remains are cuticles ascribed to leafy axes and cones of conifers (e.g., Págiophyllum peregrinum, Brachyphyllum paparelii; Thévenard, 1993), more rarely to leaves of Ginkgoales (e. Bremiphyllum caussensense Thévenard, 1992). The co-occurrence of marine and terrestrial fossils in the Dolomitic Formation attests to marginal-littoral environments.

In the “Super-Blanches” galleries, the cryptagal lamineites are characteristic of the intertidal and supratidal zones of a tidal flat showing microbial mats (e.g., Alsharhan & Kendall, 2003; Hamon, 2004; Matsysk, 2016). The lack of bioclasts and coarse sediment suggest limited storm-generated transport. All the elements may reflect a relative distance between the track-bearing surfaces and the subtidal zones. The non-porous fabric of the cryptagal lamineites shows that the depositional environment was regularly flooded. However, the desiccation cracks and the dinosaur imprints indicate that sediments were deposited in an environment which was emergent periodically. Otherwise, the bioturbated dolomudstone observed in the beds without tracks suggest shallow subtidal zone, deposited in protected area of strong tidal currents (e.g., Hamon, 2004; Matsysk, 2016). Therefore both dolomudstones facies suggest that depositional environments varied from sub-tidal to intertidal/supratidal areas in a large and flat marsh. This hypothesis is also supported by evidence of putative mud volcanoes which are common in tidal environments (i.e., Eisma, 1998). The absence of invertebrate skeletal fossils suggest restricted life conditions, probably related to increase salinity, but still suitable for pervasive bioturbation (Matsysk, 2016). At Mende, 15 km NNW of the Malaval Cave, the Dolomitic Formation yielded halite pseudomorphs, suggesting local evaporitic conditions (Moreau, 2011).

Two categories of dolomite are commonly distinguished: primary dolomite precipitating directly from aqueous solution mostly at or near to 20–35°C without CaCO₃ dissolution; and secondary dolomite replacing the mineral calcite (Banerjee, 2016 and references therein). In the Causses Basin, the Hettangian dolomite was tentatively interpreted as both primary dolomite (at least partly; Alabouvette...
et al., 1988; Gauthier & Disnar, 1984; Defaut et al., 1990) and early diagenetic dolomite, suggesting multi-
origins (Hamon, 2004). The palaeoenvironmental
hypothesis of a tidal flat marsh can be supported by
the following arguments: penecontemporary dolomite
is identified in current environments such as shallow
lagoons, subtidal and evaporitic intertidal to supratidal
mud flats with strong microbial mat influence (e.g.,
sabkhas; Skinner, 1963; Illing et al., 1965; Shinn
et al., 1965; Irion & Müller, 1968; Bontognali et al.,
2010); laboratory experiments demonstrated that
microbial activity facilitate and increase the formation
of dolomite (Bontognali et al., 2010, 2014; Banerjee,
2016 and references therein); and dolomite is formed
where tidal flooding and storm sediments are followed
by many days of subaerial exposure (Shinn et al., 1965).

In present and fossil tidal flats, clayey component
commonly displays illite-dominated assemblage
coupled with other diverse clay minerals such as
smectite, kaolinite, and chlorite (e.g., Suttill et al.,
1982; Marty, 2008). For example, in the Late Jurassic
from Switzerland (Marty, 2008), laminates intervals
yielding dinosaur footprints are characterized by
illite-dominated clay assemblage (55-70%) followed by
illite/smectite mixed-layers (30-45%) and locally by
some kaolinite. Although kaolinite as well as smectite
can be illitised during diagenesis (Lanson et al.,
2009; Chamley, 2013) it is interesting to notice that
low kaolinite/illite ratio (e.g., Unit 6) might indicate
that immature palaeosols can be a source for the clay
minerals (Marty, 2008). Provenance of clays cannot
be unequivocally established and remains debatable.
However, it is noteworthy that Hamon & Merzeraud
(2008) reported illite-dominated pedogenic horizons
in peritidal facies from the Dolomite Formation of the
south of the Causses Basin.

CONCLUSION

The combination of speleological prospecting and
photogrammetric investigation allow twenty six tracks
to be discovered and documented. They are mainly
preserved as in situ convex hyporeliefs onto the ceiling
of the “Galerie des Dalles”. The Hettangian fossil traces
from the Malaval Cave are all tridactyl footprints
ascribed to three morphotypes, “Dilophosaaurus-
Kayentapus” morphotype, “Eubrontes” morphotype
and “Grallatorid” morphotype, which are related to
theropods. Dinosaur tracks are preserved in
dolomudstone with millimetre-thick cryptalgal
laminates microfacies. Palaeoentology, sedimentology
and mineralogy indicate that the depositional
environment varied from subtidal to intertidal/
supratidal flat marsh which was emergent periodically.
This new tracksite definitively highlights the great
interest and importance of palaeoichnological
investigation in karst caves.
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