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Abstract

Saltwater intrusion is a major concern in coastal aquifers, particularly in arid and semiarid regions, where recharge is limited and groundwater withdrawal is the main source of potable water. In Ras El Hekma, groundwater occurs in the Pleistocene aquifer as a thin lens of freshwater where groundwater quality is very sensitive to pumping stresses. High groundwater withdrawals from the Pleistocene aquifer deteriorate the groundwater quality along the coast due to upwelling of saltwater into the thin lens freshwater. The Pleistocene aquifer is poorly-constrained; the hydrological and geochemical records are sparse. Therefore, a simple analytical approach has been used in order to address local and regional groundwater management issues, for cases of data scarcity using little known and well defined parameters. The model assumes steady state flow as an initial condition in an isotropic and homogeneous medium, with a sharp interface between the freshwater and seawater wedge. The model combines the hydrogeological and geochemical characterization, adapted to the aquifer geometry, in order to provide global salt and freshwater balances to the Ras El Hekma scale site. The model shows progressive extension of the seawater intrusion zone (x-toe) upon increasing the groundwater withdrawals from 250 m$^3$/day to an order of magnitude of this amount. The x-toe distance has been extended from 1700 to 5000 meters from the tip of Ras El Hekma to inland. Such model could be applied to estimate the volume of the freshwater and seawater wedge along the coast as well as to predict the groundwater withdrawals under different pumping scenarios. Seawater intrusion, in the Ras El Hekma area, is caused by the unbalance between the pumping withdrawal rates and the natural recharge from precipitation. The model can be applied for similar hydrogeological systems, specifically, coastal aquifers located in semiarid to arid environments where limited aquifer data is available.
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1. Introduction

The need of freshwater in coastal areas is rapidly increasing due to population growth and human development. Seawater intrusion problem is a major concern in many countries around the world, including Oman, Mexico, and Tunisia (Bolster et al., 2007; Trabelsi et al., 2007). In Egypt, seawater intrusion has spread north, across the Nile Delta (Sherif et al., 2001), along the northwestern coast (Eissa et al., 2015a, Eissa et al., 2015b), and along the Gulf of Aqaba coast in the eastern Sinai Peninsula (Eissa et al., 2013). In the Ras El Hekma area, groundwater recharge is limited, and mainly comes from annual precipitation events (100 mm/year), meaning the layer of freshwater floating over the seawater is insufficient to sustain the daily pumping requirements. Ras El Hekma (Figure 1) forms a headland triangular cape that extends into the Mediterranean Sea, with a total area of about 140 km². Rainfall and groundwater are the main sources of potable water and are obtained through cisterns, drilled wells, and hand dug wells. The main fresh groundwater source is the oolitic limestone aquifer ( friable, moderate to hard, vigeous limestone), due to the elongated coastal ridges and local depressions that act as barriers for groundwater and serve as favorable sites for freshwater entrapment (Hammad, 1966).

The main goal of this research is to assess the effects of pumping withdrawal rates on the lateral extension of seawater intrusion, as well as to quantify aquifer vulnerability under various stress situations. In this research a simple flow model generated for Ras El Hekma, combines groundwater flow equations with the observed geochemical characteristics of the groundwater, in order to aid groundwater management in coastal aquifers and provide global salt and freshwater balances for the study site. A simple analytical model has been used to evaluate the seawater intrusion zone along the coast using different pumping scenarios.

Many analytical and numerical solutions, intended for single and layered homogeneous aquifers, were presented by Henry (1959), Bear and Dagan (1964), Hantush (1968), Mulem and Bear (1974), Collins and Gelhar (1971), Glover (1959), and Herzberg (1901). Analytical and numerical approaches are simple methods used to address both local and regional management issues in cases of data scarcity, where there are few known or well defined parameters (Beebe et al., 2011). Analytical models are considerably less computationally intensive and require less data and fewer input parameters (Werner et al., 2011; Strack, 1976). Furthermore, analytical models can be used for single or multiple wells (Cheng et al., 2000; Bear et al., 1999; Park, 2004), and may be able to account for areal recharge (Werner and Simmons, 2009) as well as for assessing pumping optimization parameters (Mantoglou, 2003; Parker et al., 2009).
A simplified analytical model was adopted by Felisa et al., 2013 to assess groundwater pumping and examine the impact of seawater intrusion on the vegetation capture zone with excellent representation and computational efficiency. Lu et al., 2016 and Zhou (2011), used analytical solutions for seawater-freshwater interface characterizations, to determine the lateral seawater intrusion zone, and to estimate the volume of seawater in coastal aquifers.

The analytical model is applied in an unconfined porous oolitic limestone aquifer, found in Ras El Hekma. The model uses the theories of Strack (1976) and Mantoglou (2003), where wells that are fully penetrating the unconfined aquifer are considered. In Ras El Hekma, most of well screen sections are mostly...
tapping the full saturated thickness of the oolitic limestone aquifer. The equations, represented by Montoglou (2003), provide an ideal solution to define the seawater intrusion using a potentially continuous and smooth function (the flow potential; $\phi$), across the model boundary, and has been used with the Herzberg assumption (Herzberg, 1901) to define the lateral and vertical boundary between the fresh and saline water zones (Strack, 1976; Cheng and Quazar, 1999). Subsequently, the conservative volume of fresh and saline water has been estimated using an integral method demonstrated by Press et al. (1988). The conservative volumes of fresh and saline water have been used in the mass balance equation to inversely calibrate the model output. The volume of freshwater available in the study area, under the ambiguous recharge amount and the inland movement of the seawater interface, has to be quantified under different pumping scenarios.

Water chemistry data were used to investigate factors deteriorate the groundwater quality in Ras El Hekma Area. Furthermore, the authors provide the consequent equations and simple approach aimed to manage the seawater intrusion interface using a new methodology applicable to different environments and hydrogeological model systems.

2. Background

The investigated area falls within the north-western coastal region of Egypt, between longitudes $27^\circ 39'$ and $27^\circ 39'$ East and latitudes $31^\circ 03'$ and $31^\circ 11'$ North (Figure 1). It is surrounded by the Mediterranean Sea from the north and an elevated limestone tableland plateau from the south, where many drainage basins (wadis) are dissecting the plateau and gently slope towards the Mediterranean Sea. The study area is characterized by a semi-arid climate that is hot and dry in summer, with a mean annual precipitation of about 100 mm/year.

2.1. Geological, Geomorphological and Hydrogeological Characteristics

The surface exposure of Ras El Hekma is built up of sedimentary rocks (Figure 2a and 2b), mainly limestone of Quaternary to Tertiary period (CONOCO, 1986). The main topographic features are the tableland plateau, the pediment plain, and the coastal plain. The tableland plateau located in the south, is forming the main watershed at a maximum ground elevation of about 200 m above sea level (Hammad, 1966; Yousif and Bubenzer, 2013). The tableland plateau is dissecting by many basins draining from the south to the north direction toward the Mediterranean (Figure 1). The main water divide shown in the Figure 1, separates the drainages draining toward
Ras El Hekma area (South-North direction) than the other drainages draining East-West direction toward the Mediterranean and West-East direction to Fuka basin (Yousif et al., 2013; Yousif and Bubenzer 2013). The plateau is primarily composed of fractured limestone rocks from the Neogen age, which are dissected by a number of dendritic arteries draining north to the Mediterranean (Hammad, 1972). The pediment plain is located at the north between the elevated plateau and the coastal plain. The coastal plain consists of an irregular succession of alternating low hills sloping from the south to the north (FAO, 1970; Amin, 1961) with three main raised ridges running parallel to the coast involving flat depressions in between.

Figure 2.

a) Geological map of Ras El Hekma area (CONCO, 1986)  b) Conceptual geological cross section from North to South in Ras El Hekma Area (Hammad, 1968).
The ridges extend in a NE-SW direction, and are composed of oolitic limestone of marine origin that has been formed along the transgression shore lines of the Mediterranean (Zeuner, 1959; Butzer, 1960; El Shazly 1964; Hammad, 1966). The foreshore ridges, formed mainly of oolitic limestone, extended 7 km further to the southwest with ground surface elevation ranging from 40 to 60 meters above sea level (Figure 1). The central ridge extends 6 km to the west and is formed mainly of oolitic limestone that slopes toward the north and has a maximum elevation of 50 meters above sea level (Hammad, 1972). The southern depression extends in between the tableland plateau and the central ridges with a maximum ground elevation of 20 meters above sea level, whereas, the northern depression, is described as ancient calcareous sand dune accumulations formed along the old littoral region, possibly during the Sicilian times (El Shazly, 1964).

In the study area, groundwater occurs in two different aquifer systems that are either porous or fractured media. The Pleistocene aquifer is formed mainly of porous friable oolitic limestone, while the Miocene aquifer possesses Marmarica fractured limestone (Gemail, 2002). These aquifers are recharged mainly from local precipitation and runoff water from the surrounding elevated areas, which act as watersheds (Hammad, 1986). The porous, unconfined Pleistocene aquifer is the main focus of this study. According to the field measurements, the hydraulic water levels recorded in March 2013, ranged from 1.3 m (site 29) above sea level at the inland, to 0.5 m (site 12) above sea level close to the coast (Figure 2). Groundwater flows from inland toward the sea. The transmissivity (T) for the oolitic Pleistocene aquifer ranges from 120 to 180 m²/day (Morad et al., 2014). The petro-physical laboratory measurements for seven rock specimens (Table 1) show a wide range of hydraulic conductivities, ranging from 0.15 to 76.5 m/day. The oolitic limestone aquifer forms the main water bearing formation, with a thickness ranging between 20 to 56 m (Gemail, 2002; Hammad, 1986).

Rain and runoff water flow toward successive local depressions between the limestone ridges forming a type of ephemeral lake. The groundwater in the Ras El Hekma area occurs as a freshwater lens floating over deep saline groundwater and sloping gently in the north direction toward the Mediterranean (Soliman, 2005; Hammad; 1966).

3. Methods:

3.1. Laboratory and Field Work:

Groundwater samples were collected in March 2013. Representative groundwater samples were collected from different well sites along the Pleistocene aquifer. The groundwater salinity, temperature, and pH were measured in-situ, and chemical analyses for the major ions (Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺, K⁺, Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻) were carried out at the
Egyptian Desert Research Center, Water Central Laboratory, using the chromatography (IC – Dionex DX-120) (Table 1). Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured with a AD 410 Adwa model conductivity meter. The pH was measured with a AD 11Adwa model pH meter. Carbonate and bicarbonate (\(\text{HCO}_3^- , \text{CO}_3^{2-}\)), were determined by titration using sulfuric acid (Rainwater and Thatcher, 1960, Fishman and Friedman, 1985). For quality assurance the analytical precision for major ions measurements, obtained from ionic balance error (IBE), was computed in terms of milli-equivalent per liter. The IBE percent is computed by \(\sum (\text{cations} - \text{anions})/\sum (\text{cations} + \text{anions})\), where; the observed IBE value for each sample was within a limit of ±5 % (Domenico and Schwartz 1990). A set of standard solutions was measured for every 10 samples and if the standard was not verified, then the standards were measured again until verification was met and the samples were re-analyzed.

The permeability for six rock samples, collected from the oolitic limestone aquifer outcrops at the elevated ridges, were determined using the falling head permeability method (Head, 1982; Table 1) at the petro-physical laboratory in the Egyptian Petroleum Research Institute (EPRI).

Ground surface elevations were surveyed for six wells located in the main watershed of Ras El Hekma area (sites 12, 14, 23, 25, 26 and 29), using a LEICA TCRA1103 PLUS ROBOTIC 3 global positioning system (GPS) with a laser reflector total station. These ground-surface elevations were used with depth to water measurements to draw water level contours, to determine groundwater flow direction in the Ras El Hekma area and to calibrate the flow model.

3.2. Theoretical Framework and Governing Equations

As shown in (Figure 3), the analytical solution of Strack (1976) for solving two dimensional interfaces was used to predict the extent of seawater intrusion in the coastal unconfined aquifer. Groundwater flows towards the sea, assuming steady state flow and neglecting the vertical flow rate under the Dupuit-Forchheimer assumption (Dupuit, 1863, Forchheimer, 1901, Naji et al., 1998; Mantoglous, 2003; Wenner at al., 2012; Lu et al., 2012; Felisa et al., 2013). This article assumes the hydraulic conductivity (k) is independent of the x, y and z components, which is the case for flow through a single aquifer in an isotropic and homogeneous medium. There were no accurate records available regarding the total number and the regional distribution of groundwater wells as well as the exact daily groundwater withdrawals in Ras El Hekma area. Therefore, a uniform global distributions of pumping rates (Q) applied over the entire aquifer region has been assumed. \(\text{h}_f\) is the pizometric head surface of freshwater, whereas \(\text{h}_{f1}, \text{h}_{f2}, \ldots, \text{h}_{fn}\) representing the successive declining freshwater pizometric head values (\(\text{h}_f\)) using different pumping scenarios (\(Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n\)). Freshwater depth from the free
The surface in zone 2 is denoted by “b”. The freshwater depth from the sea level to the interface is represented by $\zeta$.

The $V_{fw}$ and $V_{sw}$ are the volume of freshwater and seawater, respectively.

Groundwater flow in porous media is described and well defined by Darcy’s law, where water velocity is a function of hydraulic conductivity and the hydraulic head gradient. Combining Darcy’s law, the partial differential equation, and the continuity equation, results in the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( k \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right) + Nc + N - Q = 0$$

(Equation 1)

Figure 3. Model Parameters and aquifer dimensional.

where, $k$ is the hydraulic conductivity, $h_f$ is the freshwater depth above datum, $\xi$ is the freshwater thickness from sea level to the datum in zone 2, $d$ is the thickness from sea level to the datum in zone 1, $N$ is the infiltration recharge from annual precipitation and $N_c$ is the incoming subsurface recharge from the southern portion of the study area, $Q$ is the pumping flow rate, and $b$ is the total freshwater thickness (Figure 3). In coastal aquifers, changing hydraulic heads in z direction could be considered negligible (Strack, 1976), so;

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_h \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k_h \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + Nc + N - Q = 0$$

Zone 1

(Equation 2)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k_b \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k_b \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right) + Nc + N - Q = 0$$

Zone 2

(Equation 3)
3.2.1 The Potential Flow ($\phi$) Equation

In the homogenous and isotropic aquifers of constant thickness, the potential flow $\phi(x, y)$ is continuous and a smooth function across the boundary between zone 1 and zone 2 indicated in Figure 3 (Strack, 1976; Mantoglous, 2003).

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( k \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) + Nc + N - Q = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (Equation 4)

Zone # 1  \hspace{1cm} \phi = \frac{1}{2} [ h_f^2 - (1+\delta) d ]  \hspace{1cm} h_y = \sqrt{2\phi + (1 + \delta)d^2} \hspace{0.5cm} \phi \geq \left( \frac{\gamma(y+1)}{2} \right) d^2 \hspace{1cm} (Equation 5)

In cases the density of seawater equals 1.025 m$^3$/kg and the density of freshwater equals 1.000 m$^3$/kg

$$\delta = \frac{\rho_{sea} - \rho_f}{\rho_f} = 0.025.$$  

$$\zeta = d  \hspace{2cm} b = h_f \hspace{2cm} z = 0$$

Zone # 2  \hspace{1cm} \phi = \frac{(1+\delta)}{2\delta} [ (h_f - d)^2 ]  \hspace{1cm} h_y = \sqrt{2\delta \phi + (1 + \delta)d^2} \hspace{1.5cm} 0 > \phi \leq \left( \frac{\gamma(y+1)}{2} \right) d^2 \hspace{1cm} (Equation 6)

$$b = h_y - d + \zeta \hspace{2cm} z = d - \zeta \hspace{2cm} \zeta = \frac{2\phi}{\delta(1+\delta)}$$

3.2.2 Vertical Seawater Intrusion Assessment

The model assumes a sharp interface between the freshwater and seawater wedge. The sharp interface approach, based on the Ghyben-Herzberg approximation (Herzberg, 1901), is more suitable for modeling responses of freshwater zones in aquifers where saltwater can move in and out simply (Essaid, 1999).

3.2.3 Delineation of Lateral Seawater-Freshwater Interface

The lateral seawater intrusion from the coast is a function of aquifer thickness and the freshwater-seawater relative densities ($\delta$). At the boundary separating zone 1 from zone 2, where, $h_y = (1+\delta)d$, $\zeta = d$ (Mantoglous, 2003).

$$\phi_{(toe)} = \left( \frac{\delta(\delta+1)}{2} \right) d^2 \hspace{1cm} (Equation 7)$$

3.2.4 Estimate Volume of Fresh Lens
The seawater interface could be determined analytically using aforementioned equations, allowing the volume of freshwater \( V_{(fw)} \) to be defined by the double integral method defined by Press et al., 1988. The freshwater lens floating over the deep saline layer extends in zone # 1 and zone #2. In zone # 1 the thicknesses of freshwater equal \( h_f \) while in zone # 2 the thickness of freshwater equal \( b \) (Figure 3). Surfer program (2015) of Golden Software Inc. was used in order to estimate the upper and lower surfaces of fresh and saline water, and consequently estimate the integrated volume of freshwater lens \( V_{(fw)} \).

\[
V_{(fw)} = \int_{y_{\text{min}}}^{y_{\text{max}}} \int_{x_{\text{min}}}^{x_{\text{max}}} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \tag{Equation 8}
\]

In Surfer, volume grids can be computed by integration over \( x \) (the columns) and over \( y \) (the rows) to get the final volume of freshwater lens using the known values of \( h_f \) and \( b \) for each grid. Where, \( x_{\text{min}}, y_{\text{min}} \) and \( y_{\text{max}}, y_{\text{max}} \) refer to the model boundary corner coordinates.

### 3.2.5 Conservative Mass Balance Equation

In order to estimate how solutes enter the aquifer through seawater intrusion, at different groundwater withdrawal rates, the exaggerated volume of saline and freshwater should be determined first according to the previous section. Hereafter, the conservative mass balance for a specific ion(s) could be determined through determining the volume of the intruded saline water wedge into the aquifer and the volume of the freshwater lens floating over the deep saline water in 3D, as follows:

\[
C_{s_{(\text{Model})}} = \frac{V_{(fw)} \cdot C_{(fw)} + V_{(sw)} \cdot C_{(sw)}}{V_{(fw)} + V_{(sw)}} \tag{Ergil, 2001} \tag{Equation 9}
\]

Where, \( V_{(fw)} \) is the conservative volume of freshwater (m\(^3\)), \( C_{(fw)} \) is the concentration of specific ion(s) in freshwater (mg/l), \( V_{(sw)} \) is the volume of seawater (mg/l) and \( C_{(sw)} \) is the concentration of specific ion(s) in the seawater (mg/l). The \( C_{s_{(\text{Field})}} \) could be determined from the global average concentration of specific ion(s) in groundwater collected at specific times.

### 3.2.6 Consequent Equations and Running Model

First, equations 1, 2 and 3 have been used to run the model using the available head and hydrogeological parameters data in a steady state condition. These equations can be solved using a numerical MODFLOW or the iteration option available in the Excel spread sheet solvers (Olsthoorn, 1985; Ousey, 1986; Mahmud, 1996;
Olsthoorn, 1998; Karahan and Ayvaz, 2005), assuming homogenous and isotropic porous media in an unconfined aquifer. Equation 4 has been used to estimate the equivalent potential flow (ϕ) using the equivalent hydraulic head in steady state condition and well known values of k, N and Q. Then, equations 5, 6 and 7 were used to determine the interface which separating Zone 1 and Zone 2. Consequently, the spatial distribution values of b, z and ζ could be well determined and the volumes of freshwater lens using equation 8. The model has been calibrated in steady state using the measured and calculated groundwater levels. Additionally, equations 9 was used to estimate the modeled (Cv) concentrations of major ions to be correlated with the filed groundwater chemistry and used for model calibration. To investigate the vulnerability of seawater intrusion along the coast, diverse groundwater pumping scenarios have been simulated, using different values of pumping withdrawals (Q).

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Groundwater chemistry

The chemical characteristics of the groundwater are listed in Table 2. The groundwater in the oolitic Pleistocene aquifer in the Ras El Hekma area had an electrical conductivity ranging from 2830 μS/cm (site 16) to 21340 μS/cm (site 19). The groundwater with the highest salinity is located close to the coast. On the other hand, groundwater of low salinity occurs at the boundary between the Miocene and Pleistocene aquifers and close to the watersheds table land plateau, which act as the main sources of subsurface groundwater recharge in the area (Figure 2). The groundwater salinity depends mainly on: the distance from the shoreline, the topography, structure, and the groundwater flow direction from the recharge area towards the sea. It is clear, that low groundwater salinities are indicated in groundwater sites located in between the table land plateau and the southern elevated ridges. Such ridges act as natural barriers for groundwater and surface water entrapments, which enhancing subsurface groundwater recharge. Additionally, well sites 14-17, 20, 22-26 are intersected by major faults, oriented west-east and northeast-southwest, which facilitate the hydraulic connection between groundwater and recharge comes from the annual precipitation. The abnormal high groundwater salinity recorded inland at well sites 18 and 19 close to the watershed are mainly attributed to the upwelling of the underneath Miocene saline groundwater due to over pumping. The cumulative probability curves for the normal distribution major ions in Figure 4a, reveal that, chloride shows a direct relationship with sodium, magnesium and sulfate, and high major ions concentrations distinguish coastal groundwater. Additionally, processes controlling water chemistry were assessed using the Gibbs diagram (Gibbs, 1970), where, groundwater was
plotted in between the two end members; rain water, represents the main recharge source for groundwater and seawater represents the source for groundwater salinization (Figure 4b). Inference that can be made from this plot includes that groundwater has been affected largely by seawater mixing and partially by the water-rock interaction. Groundwater samples that fall close to the rain water samples are located at the upstream of different wadis dissecting the tableland plateau. All of the groundwater samples in the study area fall under the subdivision of alkaline metals that exceed the alkaline earths, and strong anions that exceed weak acid anions (Chadh, 1999). All groundwater samples have a Cl-Na water type, due to mixing with seawater, and/or leaching of the limestone rock matrix of marine origin.
4.2. Groundwater Flow Model

The Ras El Hekma headland has the exact shape of a triangular-based prism, where the right-angle apex located north towards the sea and a wide base located south towards the inland. The analytical solutions, and the previously mentioned equations, were applied to the aquifer whose geometry resembles the oolitic friable limestone aquifer at the Ras El Hekma area. The prism has the same geometric dimensional sides as the study area (10 km, 22.5 km, and 25 km) and the depth of the prism (20 m) has the same scale of average aquifer bed thickness (Figure 5a, b). In arid and semi-arid regions the groundwater recharge is uncertain and considered one of the most difficult fluxes to define (Wheater et al., 2010). The water budget analyses for the Northwestern coastal zone in Egypt showed the average groundwater recharge reaches about 15.5% of the total annual precipitation (Sewidan, 1980). However, the estimated mean annual groundwater recharge in the Northwestern coastal, based on long-term records of meteorological data (1934-1993) showed the recharge value for the Pleistocene aquifer is 9.5% from the total annual precipitation (Gad, 2009). Here in this research an arbitrary value of 10% has been used to simulate the recharge amount from annual the precipitation. The main recharge sources for the Pleistocene aquifer in Ras El Hekma comes from the southern watershed mountains regions located outside the model boundary and the in-situ annual precipitation. The watershed table land plateau located at the highest elevation of the aquifer, receives an annual rainfall amount of 100 mm/year (Nc) (Morad et al., 2014) distributed over the watershed area (A) of about 45 km². Thus, the total annual recharge volume is Vc = (Nc x A) = 45 x 10⁶ m³/year, assuming ten percent of the total annual precipitation reaches the groundwater table. Therefore, the lateral recharge per width (B = 20 km) of the southern recharge boundary received by the
The aquifer is represented by $q = Vc/B = 0.6164 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$. This recharge is mainly distributed over the southern base of the prism across line Ac, based on the groundwater flow level map. The additional surface recharge is also assumed to be 10% of the total annual in-situ precipitation ($N = 100 \text{ mm/year}$) uniformly distributed over the surficial aquifer layer. The aquifer is assumed to be homogenous, with a hydraulic conductivity ($k$) of 22.6 m/day, according to the mean average laboratory petro-physical measurements for six rock samples representing the aquifer matrix (Table 1 and 3). Total pumping was initially assumed to be 250 m$^3$/day according to collected average daily pumping data during the field trip conducted in March 2013. Pumping rates were distributed over the entire region of the aquifer, with different pumping scenarios exceeding 12 times of the initial pumping rate.

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5)

**Figure 5.** a) Boundary and initial conditions for the flow model of Ras El Hekma Area b) Model Parameters, boundaries and aquifer dimensional.
4.2.1. Boundary Conditions

The model was set up to simulate the seawater intrusion in coastal aquifers for both groundwater flow and solute transport processes simultaneously, requiring boundary conditions for these two processes. The base of the model was assumed to be a no-flow boundary, represented by the consolidated marly limestone bedrock at the base of the aquifers (Soliman, 2005). For the flow model, a constant head boundary was set to sea level at the coast (groundwater head = zero), representing the mean seawater level at the shoreline of the Mediterranean Sea. The oolitic aquifer is primarily recharged by in-situ precipitation and from subsurface inflow originating from the watershed table land plateau along the southern boundary of the groundwater flow model area (Hammad, 1972). The southern boundary was simulated using the previously estimated amount of the ambient subsurface recharge comes from the table land plateau.

4.2.2. Initial Conditions and Pumping Simulation

The model parameters, including aquifer hydraulic characteristics (Table 1), pumping data, boundary conditions, sources and sinks, were used as model inputs. Field measurements of the groundwater hydraulic head, from March 2013, were used to generate the initial groundwater flow in steady state conditions. The steady state flow model was used as initial conditions for transient simulations using different amount of pumping stresses. Models were generated using fuzzy and global distributions of total pumping and recharge rates in the entire region. The steady state flow model was used as initial conditions for transient simulations using different amount of pumping stresses. Models were generated using fuzzy and global distributions of total pumping and recharge rates in the entire region. At the southern boundary, subsurface lateral groundwater recharge can be calculated using known values of k, N and Q to solve for $\phi$ (Equation 4). The flow potential ($\phi$) values were interpolated over the entire modeled region, and the equivalent hf values were found for each model scenario. The induced model pumping data, ranging from 250 m$^3$/day to twelve times this amount, was used as inputs to estimate the lateral distance of seawater intrusion inside the aquifer ($x_{(tue)}$ in meter) under different pumping rates. Infiltration recharge was assumed to be ten percent of the total annual recharge coming from the precipitation allocated to the study area.

4.2.3. Model Calibration

The model has been calibrated until the measured head observations from the field had a general agreement with the head flow in steady state. The calibrated steady state model was used as the initial condition, followed by
different model simulation scenarios using pumping rates ranged from 250 to 3000 m$^3$/day. In order to enhance the flow model simulations, the groundwater salinity besides the historical water level records were previously used for calibrating the solute transport flow model in coastal aquifers (Eissa et al., 2016 and Eragil 2001). The conservative ratios of saline to freshwater have been used in the mass balance equation (Equation 9) to inversely calibrate the model output results using water level observations and historical records of groundwater salinity and major ion concentrations (Table 4 and Figure 6a to 6f). The major ion constituents (Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, K$^+$, CO$_3^{2-}$, HCO$_3^-$, SO$_4^{2-}$, and Cl$^-$), and the salinity of the Mediterranean and rain water samples collected during the fieldwork in March 2013 are indicated in Table 2. The salinity and major ion concentrations in the sea water ($C_{(sw)}$) and the rainwater ($C_{(fw)}$), as well as the estimated volume of freshwater ($V_{(fw)}$) and seawater ($V_{(sw)}$) from the model, were used in the mass balance equation to estimate the modeled values ($C_s\ (\text{model})$) for salinity and different major ions for each pumping scenario. The $C_s\ (\text{Field})$ could be determined from the global average concentration of specific ion (s) in the groundwater samples collected in March 2013 and August 2011.
4.2.4. Seawater Intrusion and Pumping Scenarios

The vertical cross-section of the oolitic unconfined Pleistocene aquifer in the Ras El Hekma area possesses two distinct zones (Figure 3a and 3b). Equation 7 was used to determine the separating boundary between zone 1 and 2 and estimates the extension of \( x_{\text{toe}} \) under different pumping stresses according to the interpolated \( \phi_{\text{toe}} \) value. The \( \phi_{\text{toe}} \) value is a function of aquifer thickness and the relative density of freshwater and seawater (\( \delta \)), and was estimated to be 5.13. The contour line \( \phi_{\text{toe}} \) separates the boundary zone 1 from zone 2 and determines the lateral distance of seawater intrusion from the coast \( (x_{\text{toe}}) \). Figure 7, shows the progressive extension of the lateral seawater intrusion \( (x_{\text{toe}}) \) under increasing global pumping abstraction rates. The \( x_{\text{toe}} \) has been estimated to be at 2700 meters from the coastal line at total groundwater pumping rates of 250 m\(^3\)/day, and this distance increased to up to 5500 meters when pumping rate is increased to 12 times this rate (3000 m\(^3\)/day). The induced pumping model iterates until the seawater and freshwater dynamic interface reaches equilibrium and there is no further movement upon iterations. The hydraulic head falls down as a result of increasing the groundwater withdrawals and consequently allows increased seawater intrusion inland (Figure 7).
4.2.5. Groundwater Withdrawal Management

To better manage groundwater pumping, the thickness of the fresh groundwater layer floating over the deep saline layer has to be well determined over the modeled domain using different pumping scenarios. The lateral and vertical boundaries, between fresh and saline water, were precisely determined in the previous section. Equation (8) was used to estimate the outlines of the lower and upper surface for fresh and saline water, assuming a sharp interface approximation in the homogeneous porous media. The lower elevation of the freshwater lens in zone 1 is the elevation of the baseline of the oolitic aquifer, while the upper elevation equals
In zone 2 the lower elevation of freshwater is the interface boundary between freshwater and saline water, which equals \( h(f) - b \) where, \( b = h(f) - d + \zeta \) and the lower elevation of saline water is the baseline of the bottom of the aquifer (Figure 3). The estimated model output for the upper and lower surface elevations were interpolated using the kriging method and converted into volume grid by means of Equation 8 with the aid of Surfer V.12 (2015; Golden Software Inc.). The estimated volume grid is multiplied by the average porosity (0.333%) of the oolitic limestone aquifer (Table 1) to evaluate the accurate volume of saturated freshwater and saltwater in zone 1 and zone 2 using different pumping scenarios. The modeled results show groundwater levels change as a result of groundwater extraction, and result in increased lateral movement of saline water inland. This indicates that the aquifer cannot sustain these large pumping rates under limited lateral groundwater recharge and scarce precipitation (Figure 8). The results of the model simulation indicate that, there will be nominal changes in the groundwater levels (Figures 7b) and the increase in the seawater intrusion will mostly be significant under increased pumping rates. It should be noted that the total volume of the groundwater in the aquifer changes, from one scenario to the another, based on the pumping withdrawal rates, assuming constant saturated thickness of the aquifer \( (d = 20 \text{ m}) \).

The model results clearly show that increased groundwater salinity along the coastal strip of the Ras El Hekma area is mainly due to seawater intrusion. Observed groundwater well sites with relatively high groundwater salinities and high major ion concentrations are located in the coastal strip of zone 2. The model \( (C_s) \) groundwater salinity and major ion values at these sites for the initial condition and pumping rate of 250 m\(^3\)/day adequately match the field observations for salinity as well as the historical records for the major ions present (Figure 6). The modeled salinity and the major ions do not well match the field observations for groundwater pumping rates ranging between 1250 and 3000 m\(^3\)/day. This indicates the actual pumping for groundwater from the oolitic unconfined aquifer ranges between 250 m\(^3\)/day and 1250 m\(^3\)/day. In addition, groundwater located inland, located close to the watershed area in zone 1 (sites: 14, 16, 17, 20, 22-25 exclusive and 30), show low groundwater salinity and a high level of agreement with the modeled results. However, a few of the groundwater well sites \( 18, 19 \) and 29 are located in zone 1, have high salinity, and show poor agreement with the calculated model salinity. This discrepancy is mainly attributed to vertical upwelling of unknown deep saline water, possibly groundwater from the Miocene aquifer, caused by over pumping that is not accounted for in the model simulations. The calibrated model results show that seawater invades the Ras El Hekma coastal line by about 1700 to 5000 meters \( (X_{(toe)}) \) at the northeastern side of the Ras El Hekma area, which is more vulnerable to the seawater intrusion problem. This is mainly due to the morphological shape and its remoteness from the ambient subsurface recharge.
Figure 8. Model results showing inland regression of seawater with increasing pumping stresses (Initial condition, 250, 1250, 2500 and 3000 m$^3$/day). The pumping and surface recharge comes from precipitation distributed uniformly over the entire region.

source. The total volume of fresh groundwater and seawater is estimated and indicated in Table 4, Figures 9 and 10. For groundwater management, the global groundwater salinity was estimated under different pumping scenarios using the specific ambient groundwater recharge rate. The modeled groundwater salinity increases from 6100 ppm to 8000 ppm when pumping rates are increased from 250 to 1250 m$^3$/day. The calculated global groundwater salinity has violently increased from 8000 ppm to 14000 ppm upon increasing the pumping rate from 1250 to 3000 m$^3$/day. (Figure 10).
Figure 9. Model of estimated freshwater ($V_{fw}$) and seawater ($V_{sw}$) volumes in the o-olitic unconfined aquifer under different pumping scenarios.

Figure 10. Global aquifer salinity estimates under different daily pumping stresses (Initial condition, 250, 1250, 2500 and 3000 m$^3$/day).

5. Conclusion
The groundwater in the oolitic aquifer in Ras El Hekma area has a clear Cl-Na water type indicating the final stages of groundwater evolution and possible seawater mixing. The hydraulic head distribution measured in the field and the geochemical constituents of the groundwater samples were used to determine the main recharge source of the study area, and to determine the coastal zone that is most severely impacted by seawater intrusion. Geochemical data was used to evaluate the relative degree of seawater mixing based on the concentration of four major ionic constituents (Na\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Cl\(^-\) and SO\(_4\)^{2-}\) in groundwater. It was found that there were three groundwater types present; the low saline zone, the relatively saline groundwater that is greatly affected by seawater lateral intrusion, and the high groundwater salinity zone caused by upwelling of unknown deep saline water and/or direct dissolutions of evaporite salts.

The coastal aquifer in Ras El Hekma represents a typical example of a seawater intrusion problem in an arid environment. In this paper, this problem is investigated using an analytical model using steady state flow as initial conditions. The model assumed a global distribution of pumping rate, where the groundwater recharge came from the annual precipitation, and it was run under different pumping scenarios. The formulas presented by Strack (1976) and Mantoglou (2003) were used and all equations were solved analytically to determine the flow potential ($\phi$), as a continuous and smooth function across the model boundary in zones 1 and 2. The model shows increased lateral seawater intrusion ($x_{\text{toe}}$) under increasing pumping abstraction rates. The measured $x_{\text{toe}}$ distance from the tip of triangle was estimated by 1700 meters at a pumping rate of 250 m\(^3\)/day, and up to 5500 meters at a rate of 3000 m\(^3\)/day. The modeled $C_s$ groundwater salinity and major ion concentrations at these sites adequately matched the field observations under initial conditions and a pumping rate of 250 m\(^3\)/day (Figure 9). However, the modeled salinity and major ions showed poor agreement with field observations for groundwater pumping rates ranging between 1250 and 3000 m\(^3\)/day. This indicates the actual pumping for groundwater from the oolitic unconfined aquifer ranges between 250 m\(^3\)/day and 1250 m\(^3\)/day. The total volume of the groundwater in the aquifer changes from one scenario to the other, based on the pumping withdrawal rates when a constant saturated thickness of the aquifer ($d = 20$ m) is assumed.

The computational model was adopted to evaluate the changes in the volume of fresh and saline water under different pumping rates. The freshwater and saline water volume ratios, as well as groundwater ionic constituents, have been used in the conservative mass balance equation to better estimate the extent of the salinization zone caused by seawater intrusion along the coastal line.

The model was used to determine: 1) the volume of fresh and saline water under different pumping rates; 2) the lateral ($x_{\text{toe}}$) and vertical boundary between the fresh and saline water zones; 3) The average
global groundwater salinity of the aquifer under different annual pumping rates; and 4) whether the model can be extended to estimate the ambient and subsurface recharge coming from the mountainous recharge area. The latter was explored specifically for systems possessing well defined aquifer parameters, including records of historical salinity data, and under a variety of pumping regimes. The simplified computational methodology allowed for both excellent representation of natural hydrogeological systems, and increased the computational efficiency for groundwater modeling. Moreover, the current approach can assist in deciding appropriate management strategies and for strategy optimization in order to avoid coastal aquifer salinization.

6. Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Campus France of the French Institute in Cairo, Egypt. The Authors gratefully acknowledge the Science & Technology Development Fund (STDF) in Egypt for supportive funding. Authors would like to thanks the Editors of the journal as well as the reviewers who have generously given up valuable time to review the manuscript.

7. References


Herzberg, B., 1901, Die Wasserversorgung einiger Nordseebader, J. Gasbeleuchtung und Wasserversorgung. 44, 815-819, 842-844.


Graphical Abstract
Table 1. Hydraulic and petro-physical characteristics for rock samples collected from the Oolitic Pleistocene aquifer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Porosity (%)</th>
<th>Grain density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Bulk density (g/cm³)</th>
<th>Hydraulic conductivity (m/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O-1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>76.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-2</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>49.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-3</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-4</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-5</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-6</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>22.6 (m/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Well type</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>TD</td>
<td>W.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Hand Dug</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Drilled</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Drilled</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Drilled</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Model input data and hydraulic parameters for the Pleistocene aquifer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Hydraulic Conductivity</td>
<td>22.6 m/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Porosity</td>
<td>30 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer Thicknesses</td>
<td>20 meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual precipitation</td>
<td>100 mm/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltration</td>
<td>10% of total precipitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Pumping</td>
<td>250 m³/day x (1, 5, 10 and 12 times)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (Fresh/Saline) water</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Groundwater chemistry and estimated conservative ions (Cs) for the Pleistocene groundwater in different times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salinity and Major Ion Constrains</th>
<th>Average of major ions dissolved in groundwater for different time period</th>
<th>Water salinity and conservative ion concentrations for different model scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>(Cs Field)</td>
<td>(Cs Model)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cs_Field_2013)</td>
<td>(Pump = 250 m³/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Cs_Field_2011)</td>
<td>(Pump = 5x250 m³/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Initial Condition)</td>
<td>(Pump = 10x250 m³/day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(mg/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>39748</td>
<td>6221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>317</td>
<td>6748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6615</td>
<td>7551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6846</td>
<td>10638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13773</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>22780</td>
<td>3416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3443</td>
<td>4184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>5967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3416</td>
<td>7778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄</td>
<td>2670</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>767</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>941</td>
<td>706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>407</td>
<td>916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>305</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>267</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>544</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>283</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₃</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Highlights

1. Groundwater Salinity (mg/l) is lower upstream and higher near to the coast
2. Seawater intrusion caused by the unbalance between withdrawal and recharge rates
3. Hydrochemistry is controlled by mixing with seawater and water-rock interaction
4. The invasion zone ($X_{\text{in}}$) is estimated by 5500 m at a pumping rate of 3000 m$^3$/d
5. The flow potential ($\phi$) provides simple approach for seawater intrusion management
6. Seawater intrusion deteriorates the groundwater quality due to extensive pumping.