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Abstract

In this work, we discuss the occurrence of the dayside three-peak electron density structure in the ionosphere. We first use a set of ground-based and satellite-borne instruments to demonstrate the development of a large-amplitude electron density perturbation at the recovery phase of a moderate storm on 11 October 2008. The perturbation developed in the F2 and low topside ionospheric regions over the American sector; it was concentrated on the north from the equatorial ionization anomaly (EIA) but was clearly separated from it. At the F2 region height, the amplitude of the observed perturbation was comparable or even exceeded that of the EIA. Further analysis of the observational data together with the Coupled Thermosphere Ionosphere Plasma Expansion model simulation results showed that a particular local combination of the thermospheric wind surges provided favorable conditions for the generation of the three-peak EIA structure. We further proceed with a statistical study of occurrence of the three-peak density structure in the ionosphere in general. Based on the analysis of 7 years of the in situ data from CHAMP satellite, we found that such three-peak density structure occurs sufficiently often during geomagnetically quiet time. The third ionization peak develops in the afternoon hours in the summer hemisphere at solstice periods. Based on analysis of several quiet time events, we conclude that during geomagnetically quiet time, the prevailing summer-to-winter thermospheric circulation acts in similar manner as the storm-time enhanced thermospheric winds, playing the decisive role in generation of the third ionization peak in the daytime ionosphere.

1. Introduction

The equatorial ionization anomaly (EIA), or Appleton anomaly [Appleton, 1954], is a significant feature of the low-latitude ionosphere. The EIA is characterized by two peaks in the F region electron density, located at about 10°–15° of latitude from the magnetic equator, and by a trough over the magnetic dip equator. The EIA is primarily driven by a vertical plasma fountain generated by the north-south component of the horizontal magnetic field crossed with the horizontal east-west electric field. The resulting vertical $E \times B$ drift lifts the plasma to higher altitudes, from where the plasma diffuses downward along the geomagnetic field lines into both hemispheres due to gravitational and pressure gradient forces. The overall process is known as the "fountain effect" [e.g., Kelley, 1989]. During geomagnetic storms, the fountain effect can become a superfountain due to the daytime eastward penetration electric fields and the overall enhancement of the $E \times B$ drift force [Kelley et al., 2004; Tsurutani et al., 2004; Mannucci et al., 2005; Astafyeva, 2009a, 2009b].

It has recently been shown that the thermospheric winds play an important role in the development of the ionospheric fountain and superfountain effects [e.g., Balan et al., 2009, 2010]. The role of neutral winds is (1) to reduce (or stop) the downward diffusion of plasma along the geomagnetic field lines, (2) to raise the ionosphere to high altitudes of reduced chemical loss, and hence (3) to accumulate the plasma at altitudes near and above the ionospheric peak [Balan et al., 2010].

A new multi-instrumental era, with a large number of ground-based instruments installed, along with multiple satellite missions operating, allowed to observe new interesting features of the daytime ionospheric behavior. For instance, intense geomagnetic storms and superstorms often generate storm-enhanced density (SED) perturbations at high latitudes [e.g., Horvath and Lovell, 2010; Coster et al., 2016], which, in turn, can reach midlatitude regions. The amplitude of such SED can sometimes exceed that of the EIA [e.g., Lei et al., 2015], and to form, therefore a large third and even fourth ionization peaks poleward from the EIA. Besides the storm-time activity, the third ionization peak was shown to occur under geomagnetically quiet conditions caused by solstice-time enhanced thermospheric winds [Valladares, 2013; Maruyama et al., 2016]. It should be noted that such intensive and localized density enhancements can cause disruptions in work of radio
communication and navigation systems [e.g., Afraimovich et al., 2009; Rama Rao et al., 2009; Demyanov et al., 2012; Astafyeva et al., 2014; Kelly et al., 2014]; therefore, study of such effects has an importance for all radio-communication system users, in addition to the principal fundamental scientific interest.

The main aim of this work is to study the occurrence of the dayside three-peak electron density structure in the \( F_s \) region and the topside ionosphere. For this purpose, we first provide a detailed multi-instrumental analysis of the development of a large-scale perturbation near the EIA (i.e., three-peak structure) during a weak-to-moderate geomagnetic storm on 11 October 2008. We discuss possible mechanisms causing such large-scale perturbation. Further, we use the data of the in situ electron density from CHAMP to study the occurrence of the third ionization peak at middle and low latitudes during 2003–2009.

2. Data Set

Our results, both the case-study event of October 2008 and the statistical study, rely on the electron density \( N_e \) measurements from the planar Langmuir probe instrument on board CHAMP (CHAllenging Minisatellite Payload) satellite data. CHAMP flew at a near-polar, near-circular orbit with inclination of 87.3° [Reigber et al., 2002] with orbital period of about 91 min. The orbital height of CHAMP changed from 454 km in 2000 to 300 km at the end of 2009 (http://op.gfz-potsdam.de/champ/orbit/index_PRD.html). During the event of 11 October 2008, CHAMP flew at a mean altitude of 330–350 km. For our case-study analysis, we used the ascending (dayside) parts of orbits when the satellite crossed the equator at 14.3 LT.

In addition to CHAMP \( N_e \) data, for the case-study event of 11 October 2008, we used the following set of data/instruments: (a) the neutral mass density \( \rho \) as retrieved from the data of the accelerometer on board CHAMP [Doornbos et al., 2010], (b) maps of vertical total electron content (VTEC) data from dual-frequency ground-based GPS receivers [Rideout and Coster, 2006], (c) data of the \( F_s \) layer critical frequency \( f_c(F_s) \) and of the maximum height \( H_m(F_s) \) from four ground-based ionosonde stations located in North America [Reinsch and Gailek, 2011], (d) data from Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) A and B satellites. The uplooking VTEC was calculated from GPS receivers on board GRACE [e.g., Zakharenkova and Astafyeva, 2015]. We also calculate the in situ electron density by using signal measurements of the K-band ranging system (KBR) between the two GRACE satellites. The technique of the \( N_e \) retrieval from the KBR measurements was described in detail by Xiong et al. [2010]. During the October 2008 event, the GRACE satellites flew at the mean altitude of 455–460 km. We used the descending orbits (the equator crossing time is 13.1 LT), (e) data of VTEC beneath satellite radar altimeter Jason-1. The altimeter’s orbital height is 1336 km and orbital inclination is 66°. For the October 2008 storm study, we used the ascending passes with equator crossing at ~13.8 LT, (f) data of the \( F_s \) layer peak parameters \( (N_{m(F_s)} \) and \( H_{m(F_s)} \) derived from FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC constellation and GRACE radio occultation profiles.

3. Case-Study Event of 11 October 2008

The year 2008 fell into the period of the solar cycle minimum and also corresponded to the very minimum of the solar and geomagnetic activities from 2006 to 2011 [e.g., Verkhoglyadova et al., 2013].

Figures 1a and 1b show the variations of interplanetary and geophysical parameters during the day of 11 October 2008. Although the storm exhibited no classical “sudden commencement,” the magnetic cloud arrived at ~04:50 UT, and the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) \( B_z \) component turned southward from ~06:00 UT and remained that for the next 5 h; it further turned northward for ~1.5 h, and from ~13:00 UT it turned southward for the second time. This second negative \( B_z \) event lasted until ~14:30 UT, when the IMF \( B_z \) started to steadily grow up but remained below zero until ~18:00 UT (Figure 1a).

Following these changes in the interplanetary parameters, a moderate geomagnetic storm started on Earth from ~07:30 UT, when the index of geomagnetic activity \( SYM-H \) started to monotonely decrease and reached its minimum of ~64 nT by ~11:30 UT (Figure 1b). In response to this storm activity, a large positive ionospheric perturbation was observed at middle latitudes in the European region at ~12:00 UT [Zakharenkova et al., 2012].

At 12:50 UT, the \( SYM-H \) index increased by ~15 nT, and then decreased again when the IMF \( B_z \) turned negative for the second time, and provoked a second descent in the \( SYM-H \) index till its second minimum of ~57 nT at 17:35 UT (Figure 1b), after which the recovery phase started. Variations of the auroral
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Ne increased at low and middle latitudes (Figure 2, second line of plots). This effect intensified by 18:00–19:00 UT (Figure 2, third line of plots). We observed further and stronger increase of the electron density and VTEC in the low-latitude region, as well as at midlatitudes, especially in the north hemisphere (NH), where all three satellites indicated occurrence of a huge perturbation centered around 15°N: GRACE and CHAMP measurements reveal a third ionization peak on the north from the EIA, while in Jason-1 measurements the perturbation looks “attached” to the northern EIA crest. During this period of time, one can also notice small TIDs occurring at high latitudes in both hemispheres. The next daytime passes, at ~19:30–20:30 UT, show three-peak structure of the low- and middle-latitude regions even clearer, as the EIA crests moved down along the magnetic equator, while the third peak remained centered around 20°N (Figure 2, fourth line of plots).

Further, from ~21:00 UT, the third peak was less obvious in the satellites’ data (Figure 2, fifth line of plots). Concurrently, the TEC and Ne within the EIA seemed to increase as compared to the previous period of time. By ~23:00–24:00 UT, we only observe an increase of VTEC and Ne in the low-latitude region (Figure 2, bottom line of plots). The values exceed slightly the quiet time values; however, they are much smaller than those observed at 17:00–21:00 UT.

We would like to emphasize that the observed perturbation was simultaneously seen in data of all the three satellites. This indicates that the low-latitude perturbation was extended from the ionospheric F layer to the lower topside ionosphere. Further careful analysis of the geography of the satellite passes (Figure 2, column A of panels) implies that the perturbation was maximally developed between 70°W and 105°W. However, from only these satellite data it is difficult to get the information on spatial characteristics of the perturbation. In addition, due to the longitudinal shift of each successive satellite orbit, it is impossible to track the temporal evolution of the perturbation within a particular area. Therefore, in order to reveal the evolution of the perturbation, we involve data from VTEC maps obtained based on data from ground-based GPS receivers [Rideout and Coster, 2006]. Figures 3a–3d show the variations of the VTEC at low and middle latitudes of the northern hemisphere (from 0 to 50°N) as a function of time (in UT), calculated for the range of longitudes from 90°W (Figure 3a) to 75°W (Figure 3d). Unfortunately, data outside this region were not useful for the analysis. From Figures 3a–3d we can see, first of all, the dayside VTEC increase that occurred from ~16:30 to ~22:30 UT at low and middle latitudes. The northern crest of the EIA with the maximum VTEC up to 50 total

Figure 1. Variations of the interplanetary and geomagnetic parameters on 11 October 2008 (from OMNIWeb Plus services, http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/): (a) interplanetary electric field (IEF) Ey component (Ey red curve) and the Bz component of the interplanetary magnetic field (Bz, black curve) and (b) index of geomagnetic activity SYM-H (red curve) and the geomagnetic auroral electrojet index (AE; gray bars). All parameters are 5 min averaged, except for the period from 06:00 to 07:15 UT, when the IEF Ey and IMF Bz data had gaps and were replaced by 1 h data. Light green shaded rectangle delimits the examined period of interest (from 16:30 UT to ~23:00 UT) and red shaded rectangle the time period of the large-scale perturbation observation (from ~18:00 to 20:30 UT).

3.1. Multi-instrumental Analysis of the Three-Peak Structure on 11 October 2008

Figure 2 shows the latitudinal profiles of the in situ electron density Ne and VTEC as derived from GRACE (Figure 2, columns B and C), CHAMP (Figure 2, column D), and Jason-1 (Figure 2, column E) measurements during the period under consideration. Shortly after the beginning of the last IMF Bz negative event at 16:30 UT, the Ne increased at low and middle latitudes (Figure 2, second line of plots). This effect intensified by 18:00–19:00 UT (Figure 2, third line of plots). We observed further and stronger increase of the electron density and VTEC in the low-latitude region, as well as at midlatitudes, especially in the north hemisphere (NH), where all three satellites indicated occurrence of a huge perturbation centered around 15°N: GRACE and CHAMP measurements reveal a third ionization peak on the north from the EIA, while in Jason-1 measurements the perturbation looks “attached” to the northern EIA crest. During this period of time, one can also notice small TIDs occurring at high latitudes in both hemispheres. The next daytime passes, at ~19:30–20:30 UT, show three-peak structure of the low- and middle-latitude regions even clearer, as the EIA crests moved down along the magnetic equator, while the third peak remained centered around 20°N (Figure 2, fourth line of plots).

In our case study, we will first focus on the time period from 16:30 to 23:00 UT (shown in light green shaded rectangle in Figure 1). The formation of the third ionization peak next to the EIA was observed from ~18:00 to 20:30 UT (shaded by light red rectangle); this period will be further studied in detail.

The interplanetary electric field (IEF) index showed that this weakly moderate storm was accompanied by strong and long-term substorm activity throughout the whole main phase (Figure 1b). The two large peaks of the enhanced polar magnetic activity occurred at ~09:00–10:00 UT and at ~14:00 UT. The third peak can be seen at ~17:30 UT, i.e., at the beginning of the recovery phase.
Figure 2. Variations of ionospheric and thermospheric parameters from ~14:50 to 24:00 UT on 11 October 2008. Vertical TEC (GRACE–magenta curves (column B), Jason-1– brown curves (column E)), the in situ electron density observations (GRACE-magenta curves (column C) and CHAMP–green curves (column D)), and the thermospheric neutral mass density as measured from the CHAMP accelerometer (column F) are compared with the quiet day 9 October (thin curves). The beginning and end time of each satellite pass are written in panels in UT. The trajectories for all the satellites are shown in column A, where black thick curves indicate the position of the magnetic dip equator. GRACE crosses the equator at ~13.1 LT, Jason-1 - at 13.8 LT, and CHAMP at ~14.3 LT. Labels C1, C2, G1, and G2 indicate the same CHAMP and GRACE tracks as in Figure 3e.
Electron content unit, 1 TECU = $10^{16}$ el m$^{-2}$ can be clearly seen around 2–9°N of geographic latitude, which is in agreement with our satellite observations shown in Figure 2. The EIA was maximally developed at ~18:00–20:00 UT, which corresponds to ~12:00–13:30 LT. Further careful analysis reveals that during this time period, there occurs another VTEC enhancement of ~40–42 TECU that is, depending on longitude, centered around 15–22°N, i.e., ~2–10° of latitude on the north crest of the EIA. At 90–85°W longitudes, the VTEC decreased down to ~35 TECU between the northern EIA peak and the observed third ionization peak.

The relative position of the third ionization peak and the EIA can be better seen from the combined measurements from the ground-based GPS receivers for ~19:15 UT and those by the CHAMP and GRACE satellites during ~18:00–20:00 UT time period (Figure 3e). One can see that the perturbation was elongated along the EIA but also inclined in latitudes: on the west side, it was located farther from the EIA, while on the east side, it was closer to the EIA.

Thus, the ground-based observations confirm our satellite results on development of the low-latitude perturbation on the north from the EIA, and they also show that the perturbation was extended for at least 20° of longitude and remained at quasi-constant position for ~2–2.5 h. To obtain more information on the perturbation motion in the altitudinal domain, we analyze changes in the ionospheric $F_2$ layer peak in around the area of observation of the third ionization peak on 11 October 2008 (Figure 4).

To determine the $F_2$ layer peak parameters, the maximum height ($H_mF_2$) and the density ($N_mF_2$), we use the vertical electron density profiles from several ionosondes over the considered region, as well as electron
11 October 2008, ~19 UT

Figure 4. (a and b) Maximum electron density ($N_{mF_2}$) and ionospheric F$_2$ maximum height ($H_{mF_2}$) as derived from COSMIC profiles (circles) and ionosonde (triangles) data for the event of 11 October 2008 at ~19:00 UT. The background colors indicate the background values of the $N_{mF_2}$ and $H_{mF_2}$ parameters as deduced from the IRI model. The color scale is the same for measurements from all instruments for $N_{mF_2}$ (Figure 4a) and $H_{mF_2}$ (Figure 4b). (c–j) Variations of the $N_{mF_2}$ (Figures 4c–4f) and $H_{mF_2}$ (Figures 4g–4j) as measured by four ionosonde stations: Millstone Hill, Boulder, Dyess, and Eglin on the storm day (red squares) and on the day before (black squares). The red shaded rectangles indicate the time of observation of the third ionization peak, the same as in Figures 1 and 5.

density profiles derived from GPS radio occultation experiment on board FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC and GRACE missions. As a reference behavior of the F$_2$ peak parameters we consider the model-derived data provided by the International Reference Ionosphere (IRI) model, which is the international standard for specification of the main ionospheric parameters [e.g., Bilitza et al., 2014]. Maps on Figures 4a and 4b represent the IRI-2016 model-derived $N_{mF_2}$ and $H_{mF_2}$ values as a quiet time reference calculated for 19:00 UT on 10 October 2008. Symbols on the map show the real observations of the F$_2$ peak parameters derived from ionosondes (triangles) at 18:45–19:00 UT and radio occultation profiles (circles), accumulated during 18:20–19:30 UT, for the disturbed day of 11 October 2008. We can note that the measured F$_2$ peak parameters agree well with
the reference map but demonstrate clearly the storm-time changes, which are quite different from the quiet background map. Above 35°N the F<sub>2</sub> layer peak has relatively small uplift with decrease of the peak density. At the same time, below 35°N toward the equator the F<sub>2</sub> layer peak is uplifted more significantly with simultaneous increase of the peak electron density. For the region close to the occurrence of the third peak (near 15°N–25°N), we have only six radio occultation profiles, which show precisely the strong increase of the F<sub>2</sub> peak density together with the layer uplift.

The ionosonde data are shown in Figures 4c–4j. The most southern and the closest to the perturbation stations Dyess and Eglin show dramatic changes in the ionospheric F<sub>2</sub> layer parameters starting from 16:30 UT, when the negative IMF B<sub>z</sub> intensified. At Dyess and Eglin stations, the N<sub>mF</sub> increased from ~0.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>−3</sup> to ~1 × 10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>−3</sup> during 3.5 h (Figures 4e and 4f), while at Boulder and Millstone Hill stations, located farther north-westward and north-eastward from the large perturbation, this effect was much less pronounced, as the N<sub>mF</sub> remained almost unchangeable (Figures 4c and 4d). At the same time, from 16:30 UT the maximum F<sub>2</sub> height increases sharply from 180 to 240 km at Eglin and from 180 to 260 km at Dyess ionosonde stations. By 19:30–20:00 UT, the H<sub>mF</sub> further increased up to 280 km at Eglin and Boulder and reached 300 km at Dyess. From 20:30 UT, these storm-time enhancements started to decrease (Figures 4g–4j). These observations indicate a significant local ionospheric density increase with concurrent ionospheric uplift close to the area of the third ionization peak. At Millstone Hill ionosonde station, on the northeast from the third ionization peak, the storm-time value did not exceed the quiet-time level.

Unfortunately, data of vertical plasma drifts from these ionosonde stations were not available for this day. Likewise, no data from Millstone Hill, Arecibo, and Jicamarca radars were available. This made it impossible to obtain more details about the vertical plasma displacements that could lead to the formation of the observed third ionization peak.

### 3.2. Possible Mechanisms Leading to the Development of the Third Ionization Peak on 11 October 2008

It is known that the low-latitude ionospheric behavior is largely determined by $E \times B$ drifts, which is the principal driver of the EIA. In turn, the $E \times B$ drifts can be affected by prompt penetration of magnetospheric electric fields [e.g., Kelley et al., 1979; Tsurutani et al., 2004], as well as by longer-lived dynamo electric fields resulting from the disturbance neutral winds [Blanc and Richmond, 1980]. In addition to the drifts due to electric fields, traveling atmospheric disturbances (TADs) and storm-time alterations in the global thermospheric circulation causing neutral composition changes have a large impact on the low-latitude ionosphere [Buonsanto, 1999; Fuller-Rowell et al., 1994; Lu et al., 2008; Balan et al., 2010].

Figure 1a shows that the large third ionization peak occurred during small-amplitude variations of the IMF B<sub>z</sub> component. Correspondingly, the interplanetary electric field $E<sub>}\parallel$, calculated using the MHD approximation from the IMF B<sub>z</sub> and the V<sub>∥</sub> components of the solar wind speed as $-B_\parallel \times V_\parallel$ (http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov), oscillated between −4 and 2 mV/m. These values are much lower than those during intense geomagnetic storms [e.g., Kelley et al., 2003; Fejer et al, 2007]. Variations of the $E \times B$ drifts derived from ground-based magnetometers at Jicamarca and Piura magnetometer stations also show rather small variations of the $E \times B$ drift (Figure 5). These observations lead us to conclusion that the electric field variations were not the principal driver for the three-peak structure to occur.

In absence of direct measurements of the meridional thermospheric winds, we further analyze variations of the neutral mass density $\rho$ along the CHAMP satellite passes at the height of ~340 km (Figure 2, column F). The first increase in the neutral density started shortly after the beginning of the storm at ~06:00 UT (not shown), so by ~16:00 UT the storm-time value of the neutral mass density ~2.5–3 times exceeded the quiet time level at low latitudes. From 16:30 UT, with the new increase of the substorm activity, a new increase of the neutral density occurred at high latitudes and was especially pronounced in the NH during this moment of time. We also note the occurrence of the traveling atmospheric disturbances (TADs) at high latitudes of the NH, which is, most likely, due to the storm-time energy input in the polar region; the perturbations further propagated equatorward and increase the neutral density at low latitudes, reaching the maximum of $6.5 \times 10^{-12}$ kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 20:00–21:00 UT. From Figure 2, we conclude on enhanced thermospheric circulation during the considered period of time, especially in the NH, which most likely played a role in the generation of the observed third ionization peak.
In order to support our observational results and to obtain more information about the plasma redistribution within the area of the third peak, here we add results from the Coupled Thermosphere Ionosphere Plasmasphere Electrodynamics (CTIPe) model [Millward et al., 2001; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2002; Codrescu et al., 2012]. CTIPe is a global, three-dimensional, time-dependent, nonlinear code that consists of four distinct components that run concurrently and are fully coupled. Included are a global thermosphere, a high-latitude ionosphere, middle- and low-latitude ionosphere/plasmasphere, and an electrodynamical calculation of the global dynamo electric field [Codrescu et al., 2012]. The input parameters for the model are (1) fixed time-dependent hemisphere power (GW) and hemispheric power index, (2) ionospheric electric fields from Weimer electrodynamics model for solar wind parameters (density, solar wind velocity magnitude, and clock angle), and (3) $F_{10.7}$ radio flux. The model output contains information on global distribution and numerical characteristics for neutrals (wind vector; temperature; the density of the three major species O, O$_2$, and N$_2$; and the mean molecular mass) and for ions and electrons (H$^+$, O$^+$, electron density and temperature, height, and electron density of the ionospheric F$_2$ peak).

Figure 6 shows the global distribution of the electron density $N_e$ (in color) and of the neutral winds $V_n$ (by arrows) at the height of 250 km for the time period from 17:30 UT to 20:00 UT. Although the simulation results are not identical to our observational results, the CTIPe model reproduces the occurrence of the three-peak EIA structure in the American and eastern Pacific longitudinal sectors during this period of time. The localized perturbation can be clearly seen at low latitudes in the NH of the American sector, separated from the EIA crests. As in our observations, the perturbation is localized around +10°N, and it is extended for ~30° of longitude. The CTIPe simulations of the thermospheric wind circulation indicate that the third ionization peak was, most likely, formed by the convergence of the winds around this region. On the north of the peak, the winds are equatorward directed, while on the south from it, the winds are poleward from the magnetic equator region.

Vertical distributions of the $N_e$ and $V_n$ parameters confirm our conclusions on the role of the thermospheric winds in the formation of the third ionization peak. Figure 7 shows the development of the third ionization peak at 18:00 UT (Figure 7a) to 19:00 UT (Figure 7b) on the north from the EIA along 255–275°E longitudes. One can also see that the third peak amplitude is comparable with the density within the EIA crests, as in our observations, whereas the amplitudes of the EIA crests are not always equal.

4. Occurrence of the Three-Peak Density Structure: Statistical Results From CHAMP

Above we showed in detail the development of the third peak in the electron density during the recovery phase of a weak geomagnetic storm on 11 October 2008. However, it should be noted that recent advances in modeling show that such dayside three-peak structure can occur during the December solstice time under geomagnetically quiet conditions [Maruyama et al., 2016]. The modeling results by using a new
ionosphere-plasmasphere (IP) model for December 2012 case by Maruyama et al. [2016] were supported by observational data from COSMIC occultation data. Before that, Valladares [2013] noticed the occurrence of such “tropical ionization anomaly” over southern hemisphere by using the Low-Latitude Ionospheric Sensor Network (LISN) of ground-based GPS receivers. Therefore, following our first observations, and also considering the rising interest to this topic, here we proceed with analysis of a large data set of the in situ electron density data from CHAMP satellite. For this purpose, we analyze the $N_e$ data for 7 years of observations from 2003 to 2009. As shown in Figure 8a, the orbital height of CHAMP satellite changed from ~415 to ~320 km during these years. Consequently, in 2003–2004 CHAMP sounded higher-altitude atmosphere than in 2008–2009, which might influence the final results of the study of the occurrence of the three-peak EIA during these years, as the EIA is known to be the $F_2$ layer feature. Also, due to the CHAMP orbit precession, the satellite sounds different local sectors during a year. However, analyzing the data set of 7 years eliminates this dependence.

Figures 8b–8f summarize the results from our statistical analysis of the CHAMP data for the period from 2003 to 2009. First of all, one can notice that very few three-peak structure events were detected in 2003–2004 and 2006, while many events were found in 2005 and 2008–2009 (Figure 8b). This dependence is, most likely, caused by the changes in the orbital height of CHAMP during the years of observations, as mentioned above (Figure 8a). Thus, in 2003–2004, the CHAMP satellite flew at 380–420 km; then it descended to ~350 km.

Figure 6. Examples of the three-peak electron density structure as modeled by the CTIPe model from 17:30 UT to 20:00 UT (with time step of 30 min) on 11 October 2008. The electron density ($N_e$) is shown in color; thermospheric winds ($V_n$) are shown in arrows. The altitude is taken constant $H = 250$ km. The color and arrow scales are shown in each plot.
during 2005. At the beginning of 2006, the CHAMP orbital altitude was raised by ~20–25 km. This maneuver, apparently, had a consequence on observations of the three-peak EIA structure by the satellite, as in 2006 we observed less events than in 2005. In 2007–2009 CHAMP gradually descended down to 320 km, and many three-peak EIA events were detected during this time.

Statistical distributions in Figures 8c and 8d demonstrate that the three-peak electron density structure mostly occurs during quiet geomagnetic conditions and in the afternoon hours. The monthly dependence (Figure 8e) shows that the third ionization peak predominantly develops at solstice, while much fewer events were detected in spring/autumn time. From Figure 8e it follows that the three-peak EIA is the summer hemisphere feature, which is in agreement with simulation results by Maruyama et al. [2016]. Our results from Figure 8e are also in line with the main explanation of occurrence of the third ionization peak by prevailing neutral meridional winds flowing from summer to winter hemisphere and lifting the plasma along magnetic field lines to higher altitudes where the recombination is slower [Maruyama et al., 2016]. Indeed, the general wind patterns from empirical horizontal wind models HWM07 and HWM14 show the presence of strong equatorward winds throughout the whole summer hemisphere at solstice during the daytime [Drob et al., 2008, 2015]. The strongest meridional equatorward winds occur in the local afternoon hours,

Figure 7. Altitudinal distribution of the $N_e$ (in color) and the neutral winds ($V_n$, white arrows) as obtained from the CTIPe model simulations at (a) 18:00 UT along longitudes 255–265°E and at (b) 19:00 UT along longitudes 265–275°E, where the three-peak structure was most visible. The arrow scale is the same for all plots; the color scale differs for different plots. The black triangles indicate the latitude of the magnetic dip equator. The red arrows on the top plots show the three peaks, and horizontal dashed lines show the altitude of 250 km, for which the results are shown in Figure 6.
which can explain the local time dependence in Figure 8d. Finally, our statistical results show that the three-peak density structure is more often observed in the American-Pacific regions than at other longitudes (Figure 8f). Comparison of Figures 8e and 8f leads us to conclusion that during NH summer months, the third ionization peak occurs mostly in the American-Pacific region, while during the south hemisphere (SH) summer, it is often observed in the Atlantic-African sector, and in the Asian region, the events occur almost equally in the NH and SH (Figure 8e).

Figure 9 demonstrates the several examples of three-peak events in the Ne in situ measurements by CHAMP during geomagnetically quiet conditions. One can see that during some days several events can be detected by consequent satellite tracks (Figures 9a–9c), while during others (Figure 9d)—only one event is detected and the neighbor satellite track showed the conventional two-peak EIA (blue curve in Figure 9d). In some cases, the third ionization peak can have larger amplitude than the EIA peaks (Figure 9a), and this structure is very similar to that modeled by Maruyama et al. [2016] for December 2012.

It is important to note that modeling the quiet time three-peak density structures is still quite challenging. Maruyama et al. [2016] have used the new advanced IP model to successfully reproduce the occurrence of three-peak density structure during December 2012 solstice conditions. We have run the CTIPe model to see whether it is capable of recreating several quiet-time three-peak EIA events from our database. We have found that the CTIPe model failed to do it, and some examples can be seen in Figure 9. First of all, this can be due to different ways of simulation of the neutral winds in these two models. It is known that the IP model by Maruyama et al. [2016] uses the empirical HWM93 model to obtain the thermospheric wind input for their model, while in the CTIPe model the thermospheric code simulates the neutral atmosphere parameters by numerically solving the nonlinear primitive equations of momentum, energy, and continuity...
Figure 9. Examples of the three-peak electron density structures observed by CHAMP during geomagnetically quiet conditions. CHAMP satellite trajectories are shown on the leftmost plots, the corresponding $N_e$ measurements are shown in blue and magenta lines in the second and fourth columns of plots, and the corresponding UT of the equatorial crossings are shown in colored labels. For comparison, we show in dashed black lines the CTIPe simulation results for the electron density $N_e$ along the real satellite trajectory but at the height of 250 km (around the $F_2$ layer). For the same time periods and geographical position, we show latitudinal profiles of the meridional neutral winds $V_n$ as derived from HWM93 and HWM07 empirical models (gray and thin black lines, respectively) and from the CTIPe model (dashed black lines) in the third and fifth columns. Positive values of $V_n$ signify the northward direction. The dates, the LT of observations, and the $Dst$ during the events are indicated at the top of each line of the plots.
5. Conclusions

By using the in situ electron density and the VTEC data from GRACE, CHAMP, and Jason-1 satellites that quasi-simultaneously passed in the same 13:00–14:00 LT local region, we observe the development of a large-scale ionospheric perturbation at the recovery phase of the weak geomagnetic storm on 11 October 2008. The perturbation was concentrated around +10–20°N, i.e., on the north from the equatorial ionization anomaly, and was elongated for at least ~25°–30° of longitude. Simultaneous satellite measurements showed that the observed third ionization peak was extended from the ionospheric $F_2$ layer up to the low topside ionosphere. The third peak’s amplitude was comparable or even exceeded that of the EIA crests, especially at the orbital altitude of the CHAMP satellite. The plasma gradients at the poleward boundary of the observed perturbation reached ~1.3 TECU/d, e.g., of latitude (Figure 2), which is comparable with superstorm values [e.g., Astafyeva et al., 2008] and can have a significant space weather impact. The CTIPe model simulations partly reproduced the occurrence of the three-peak $N_e$ structure in the American and Pacific longitudinal sectors from 17:30 UT to 19:00 UT. The CTIPe thermospheric results helped to reveal that the thermospheric winds served as the principal driver of the observed three-peak EIA structure.

Our analysis of 7 years of the $N_e$ data from CHAMP showed that the three-peak structure occurs during low geomagnetic activity around the solstice period. The third ionization peak is mostly seen in the afternoon hours in the summer hemisphere. Similarly to the storm-time case study where the enhanced storm-time thermospheric circulation provokes the generation and persistence of the third ionization peak, the quiet time three-peak structures are generated by the prevailing summer-to-winter neutral winds. Our statistical results are in excellent agreement with the study by Maruyama et al. [2016], and, at the same time, our work statistically completes their results, as we analyze 7 years of data and provide statistically significant contribution.

We show that during weak geomagnetic storms and even under low geomagnetic activity, we can observe extreme dayside enhancements in the electron density, which appears as the third ionization peak in addition to the well-known two-peak EIA. Our work, therefore, shows new examples of difficulties for the ionospheric modeling and space weather forecasting.
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