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Abstract

Following an experimental approach conducted between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa, we investigated the change in CO$_2$ solubility as a function of the XMg (MgO/(MgO+CaO)) for a range of silicate glasses. The synthesised CO$_2$-bearing glasses have XMg up to 0.72, stoichiometric NBO/T (degree of polymerization) up to 2.6 corresponding to highly depolymerized compositions analogues to kimberlites. Several samples were synthesised with $^{17}$O enrichment to investigate the CO$_2$ dissolution mechanism via the change in O species environments by NMR spectroscopy.

The experimental results show that CO$_2$ solubility increases with NBO/T in agreement with previous works. In addition, increasing XMg strongly decreases CO$_2$ solubility: from 18 to 7 wt.% CO$_2$ as XMg ranges from 0 to 0.6 (1.5 GPa and NBO/T ~ 2).

$^{17}$O NMR results demonstrate that CO$_2$ molecules dissolve as CO$_3^{2-}$ groups showing a signal at +146 ppm for which the intensity is linearly correlated to the wt.% CO$_2$ determined by Raman. The analysis of the oxygen environments as a function of CO$_2$ content for Mg O$^{NBO}_{NBO}$ (+62 ppm) and Ca O$^{NBO}_{NBO}$ (+103 ppm) show that CO$_2$ dissolves preferentially in the vicinity of Ca$^{2+}$ atoms. The difference in CO$_2$ solubility is explained by the ability for Mg$^{2+}$ cations to act as a weak network former and to be present in four-fold coordination or by the stronger affinity of CO$_2$ molecules for Ca$^{2+}$ rather than for Mg$^{2+}$. We show that the CO$_2$ solubility is negatively correlated to the melt ionic field strength which reflects the variation in the affinity of CO$_2$ molecules for one cation or another.

Strongly depolymerized mantle melts, such as kimberlites, melilitites, nephelinites and basanites will exhibit lower CO$_2$ solubility than currently assumed due to their high MgO content which must imply degassing at greater depth, potentially in the sub-lithospheric mantle.
1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is a major component of silica undersaturated melts (Brey and Green, 1975; 1976; Thibault and Holloway, 1994; Brooker et al., 2001a; Dasgupta and Hirschmann, 2006; Dasgupta et al., 2007; Behrens et al., 2009; Morizet et al., 2014a; Moussallam et al., 2015). In particular, CO$_2$ is recognized to play a crucial role in the ascent of primary kimberlitic melts originating at depth (Becker and Le Roex, 2006; Sparks et al., 2006; Kopylova et al., 2007; Mitchell, 2008; Kjarsgaard et al., 2009; Brooker et al., 2011; Russell et al., 2012; Moussallam et al., 2015; Sharygin et al., 2015; Sokol and Kruk, 2015). Recent experimental investigation (Moussallam et al., 2015) attempted to reconstruct the CO$_2$ solubility in kimberlitic melts and its dependence on pressure; nevertheless, in their work, Moussallam et al. (2015) investigated compositions with high Ca content which are probably not representative of natural kimberlitic melt recognized to have a high Mg content (Kjarsgaard et al., 2009). As a result, capturing the dynamics of volatiles during kimberlite ascent through the mantle and the crust requires studying in detail the effect of melt compositions on CO$_2$ dissolution mechanisms. For instance, Morizet et al. (2014a) showed that the CO$_2$ solubility increases in silicate glasses when increasing the K$_2$O/K$_2$O+Na$_2$O ratio. Early work by Brey and Ryabchikov (1994) also showed that CO$_2$ solubility decreases with increasing MgO/MgO+CaO. Furthermore, all existing solubility models predicts that CO$_2$ solubility in silicate melts decreases as Ca is replaced by Mg (Dixon, 1997; Papale et al., 2006; Lesne et al., 2011; Iacono-Marziano et al., 2012), but it remains unclear how such an effect impacts low SiO$_2$-melts such as kimberlites, melilitites or nephelinites.

Whether CO$_2$ has a strong affinity for a particular cation is dependent on the CO$_2$ dissolution mechanisms. There has been a great deal of effort in conducting experimental investigations
to constrain the way CO$_2$ dissolves in silicate glasses. In low silica melt, CO$_2$ dissolves as carbonate groups (CO$_3^{2-}$; Mattey et al., 1990; Thibault and Holloway, 1994; Brooker et al., 2001a; Morizet et al., 2014a). However, the exact dissolution mechanism is uncertain. Inasmuch, the potential effect of CO$_2$ on the silicate network molecular structure (i.e. change in the degree of polymerization or not; Moussallam et al., 2016a) is not clear. Recent works (Morizet et al., 2015; Moussallam et al., 2016a) suggests that CO$_2$ forms free ionic carbonate clusters such as CO$_3^{2-}$ M$^{n+}$. Brooker et al. (2001b) and Morizet et al. (2014a; 2015) suggests that CO$_2$ molecules might use available Non-Bridging Oxygen (NBO) present in the melt to form NBO-CO$_3^{2-}$ M$^{n+}$ units.

These hypotheses are commonly based on an incomplete spectroscopic viewpoint which is often dominated by the study of cations (e.g. $^{13}$C MAS NMR). From a general standpoint, silicate melt structure has been widely studied using various spectroscopic approaches (e.g. Mysen et al., 1980; Greaves et al., 1981; Murdoch et al., 1985; Maekawa et al., 1991; Mysen, 1999) with the aim of determining the local arrangement of network formers or modifiers, for example, spectroscopic investigations aimed at determining the degree of polymerization. This degree of polymerization is often expressed in term of the parameter NBO/T corresponding to the ratio between the number of Non-Bridging Oxygens and the number of tetrahedrally coordinated (i.e. network forming) cations (Mysen, 1988, 1990). However, the methods used (${}^{29}$Si, ${}^{27}$Al NMR; Raman) are indirect and the concentrations of the different oxygen species (Bridging Oxygens between network formers, BO; Non-Bridging Oxygen surrounded by network modifiers, NBO) cannot be readily extracted and the effect of CO$_2$ on NBO/T is still to be confirmed. Furthermore, free oxygen species (free O$^{2-}$) are also mentioned and observed in extremely low SiO$_2$ compositions (Nasikas et al., 2012; Nesbitt et al., 2015; Sawyer et al., 2015). Similarly, oxygen triclusters (threefold coordinated O atom, O$^{III}$) are also suggested to be present in silicate glass structures (Toplis et al., 1997; Stebbins
et al., 2001; Benoit et al., 2005; Iuga et al., 2005; Thompson and Stebbins, 2011).\(^{17}\)O NMR spectroscopy has revealed itself to be a powerful tool in describing the oxygen environments (BO, NBO, O\(^{\text{III}}\) and free O\(^{2-}\)) in silicate glasses in terms of environments bonded to the network former and network modifier cations (Farnan et al., 1992; Stebbins et al., 2001; Allwardt et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004; Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee and Sung, 2008; Lee and Stebbins, 2009; Thompson and Stebbins, 2011; Nasikas et al., 2012; Jaworski et al., 2015).

However, the direct influence of CO\(_2\) on the different oxygen sites in silicate glasses is currently unexplored.

The role of network modifier cations (mostly Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) in silicate glasses) is complex to comprehend as there is a strong interplay between those cations in the melt structure (Florian et al., 1996; Stebbins et al., 1997; Allwardt et al., 2003; Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004; Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee and Sung, 2008), inducing non-linear changes in the physical properties of melts (Neuville and Richet, 1991; Kjeldsen et al., 2013). The case of Mg\(^{2+}\) is interesting as this cation can adopt various structural roles in silicate glasses. Due to its higher cation field strength as compared to Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) has a lower coordination number (Shimoda et al., 2008; Cormier and Cuello, 2013), higher bond strength to oxygen and shorter Mg-O distance (Katz et al., 1996). In silicate glass, it has been demonstrated that Mg\(^{2+}\) can have coordination numbers from 4 to 6 (Ildefonse et al., 1995; Tabira, 1996; Wilding et al., 2004, 2012; Shimoda et al., 2008a,b; Sen et al., 2009; Cormier and Cuello, 2013), therefore suggesting that Mg\(^{2+}\) can act as a network modifier but also as a weak network former (the Mg-O bond strength in MgO\(_4\) unit being considerably weaker than the bond strength of Si-O in SiO\(_4\) unit, Kohara et al., 2011) when present in four-fold coordination. The existence of Mg\(^{2+}\) as a weak network former on CO\(_2\) dissolution mechanism has not yet been investigated.

In the present work, we conducted an experimental study between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa using piston-cylinder apparatus to investigate the change in CO\(_2\) solubility as a function of the XMg
(MgO/MgO+CaO) for a wide range of compositions: 0 < XMgO < 0.72. We used $^{17}$O MAS NMR spectroscopy to explain the change in CO$_2$ solubility as a function of XMg and hence provide information on the CO$_2$ dissolution mechanisms as a function of XMg in the investigated compositions. This work is part of a more global research programme aiming at determining 1) the exact CO$_2$ dissolution mechanisms as a function of silicate melt compositions and 2) the effect CO$_2$ induces on the silicate melt structure and its implication for physical properties of silicate melts.

2. Experimental synthesis

2.1. Starting material

The objectives of the present work are to investigate the change in CO$_2$ solubility as a function of XMg in the melt, investigate the possible structural reasons for the variability in CO$_2$ solubility, and extract from the results possible implications for low-SiO$_2$ silicate melts. As a result, we investigated several synthetic silicate glass compositions in the Na$_2$O-CaO-MgO-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system. The major element composition for the synthesised glasses determined by Electron Probe Micro-Analysis (EPMA) is provided in wt.% in Table 1. In the investigated compositions, the SiO$_2$ ranges from 30 to 47 wt.%; Al$_2$O$_3$ ranges from 4 to 17 wt.%; MgO ranges from 0 to 22 wt.%; CaO ranges from 10 to 42 wt.%; and Na$_2$O is up 6 wt.%.

All the starting materials were prepared from a mixture of oxides (SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, CaO and MgO) and carbonates (CaCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$); the latter is used as the source for CO$_2$ during the experiments. As shown in Table 1, in all the experiments, CO$_2$ was present above saturation in the starting material. Before preparing the starting material, oxides and carbonates were dried for several hours at 1100 and 400°C, respectively; in order to reduce the adsorbed water.
RB8, HK and XE2 starting materials were also prepared with isotopically-doped oxides (Si$^{17}$O$_2$, Al$_2^{17}$O$_3$ with 40% $^{17}$O elemental enrichment) for investigating the O speciation with $^{17}$O NMR spectroscopy. Additionally, several samples (RB8E, HK and XE2) were also prepared with $^{13}$C and $^{29}$Si enrichment but those data are not presented in the purpose of this work. All the investigated compositions are Fe-free. The absence of iron is justified by the analytical need for NMR spectroscopy which cannot be conducted on Fe-bearing composition.

The investigated compositions exhibit a wide range of XMg values: RB8 composition has been prepared without MgO; X1 to X3 compositions have been prepared with a variable XMg from 0.25 to 0.72. All compositions are moderately to highly depolymerized expressed by the change in the NBO/T value from 1.0 to 2.6 calculated from chemical composition (the detail of the NBO/T calculation is described and given in Table 1). Compositions X1 to X3 have approximately the same degrees of polymerization (NBO/T ~ 1), while RB8 and HK have slightly different degree of polymerization, ~2 and ~2.6, respectively. However, we will show that such differences in NBO/T do not significantly affect the measured CO$_2$ solubility and that XMg has a more profound impact on CO$_2$ solubility. The choice of starting materials (constant NBO/T ~ 1 and 2) is made such that the effect of XMg on CO$_2$ solubility can be clearly identified.

2.2. High-pressure piston cylinder synthesis

Starting material was loaded and weighed into Pt capsules. All the experiments were conducted under anhydrous conditions. X1, X2 and X3 starting materials were loaded in 2.9 outer diameter Pt capsules and the three capsules were subsequently collated together into the high-pressure assembly; therefore those three compositions experienced the same pressure – temperature conditions. For RB8, HK and XE2 only a single 5 mm outer diameter capsule
was loaded into the high-pressure assembly. The Pt capsules were loaded in a 19 mm (3/4 inch) talc-pyrex assembly. The Pt capsules were surrounded by an alumina sleeve to prevent contact with the graphite furnace. A tapered graphite furnace was used in order to reduce the temperature gradient along the capsules (Morizet et al., 2002).

The high-pressure experiments were conducted in end-loaded piston-cylinder apparatus at pressure between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa and under super-liquidus conditions at 1525°C. The temperature during the experiments was monitored by a type B thermocouple (PtRh_6-PtRh_30) with an accuracy of ±5°C. We used a special design for the 3/4 inch pressure plate with holes drilled into the plate to ensure higher quench rate (>200°C/s; Morizet et al., 2015). The run duration was at least 30 min to ensure equilibrium (Mysen and Seitz, 1975; Jakobsson, 1997; Brooker et al., 1999), followed by an isobaric quench.

In most of the cases (except RB8 samples), the recovered sample consists in a clear glass, free of decompression bubbles or crystals. Besides, the capsule opening produced a hiss suggesting that the capsule was sealed and an excess fluid phase was present. Recovered samples of RB8E11 and RB8E12 experiments have a milky aspect and careful observation and characterization with Micro-Raman reveals the presence of CaCO_3 crystals which are attributed to quench crystals. The CaCO_3 crystal distribution is erratic and therefore cannot be attributed to experimental immiscibility between a silicate melt and carbonate melt (Martin et al., 2013). ^{27}Al-MAS NMR also reveals the presence of a small quantity of corundum crystals with a ^{27}Al NMR signal at +14 ppm. This signal has been observed in a totally clear glass and is attributed to an external pollution from the surrounding alumina sleeve when recovering the glass sample after opening the capsules.

3. Analytical techniques
3.1. Electron Probe Micro-Analyses

The major element compositions of glasses were determined using Electron Probe Micro-Analyses. Measurements were done on a Cameca SXFive©, at 15kV and 10 nA, with 10 s peak counting time for all elements. Na and K were analysed first. Analyses were conducted in defocused mode (20 µm beam diameter) in order to reduce any elemental loss. The average major elements concentrations for the synthesised glasses were obtained from more than 15 analyses. The determined glass composition is reported in Table 1 in wt.%. The standard deviation for each oxide does not exceed ±1 wt.%. We used the difference to 100% as an estimate of the volatile content in a similar way to Moussallam et al. (2015; see Table 1 and Supplementary material 1).

3.2. Vibrational spectroscopies

We used vibrational spectroscopies (Micro-FTIR and Micro-Raman) on the synthesised silicate glasses to quantify dissolved volatile species (H$_2$O and CO$_2$). Micro-Raman spectra were acquired on a Jobin-Yvon Labram spectrometer equipped with an Innova 300-5W Argon ion laser from Coherent© operating at a wavelength of 514 nm. The spectrometer is equipped with a 2400 grooves/mm grating allowing a spectral resolution on the order of 1 cm$^{-1}$. Analyses were performed in confocal mode (hole = 500 µm, slit = 200 µm), using a x50 Olympus objective. The spectral range covered was between 200 and 1350 cm$^{-1}$. The spectral frequency position was calibrated using the emission lines of Ne- and Hg-lamps with an accuracy within ±1 cm$^{-1}$. The output power was set to 125 mW. Several spectra were collected on each sample. The acquisition depth was optimized in order to obtain the highest Raman signal (Behrens et al., 2006; Mercier et al., 2009; Le Losq et al., 2012). We varied the acquisition time on a given sample (15 to 60 s) and up to 10 scans were acquired for each spectrum. The spectra were acquired at room temperature and no correction was applied for
the dependence of the scattered intensity on temperature and frequency (Neuville and Mysen, 1996; Long, 2002).

We used the method described by Morizet et al. (2013) to determine the CO$_2$ content via Raman spectroscopy. That calibration for CO$_2$ content is based on a linear calibration function of the form wt.% CO$_2$ = 15.17 x CO$_3$/HF relaing the CO$_2$ content to the ratio between the area of the CO$_3^{-}$-peak and the high frequency envelop of the silicate glass vibrational signature (CO$_3$/HF). The calibration function has been updated (89 data points and CO$_2$ content up to 23 wt.%) and the linear relationship is wt.% CO$_2$ = 13.5 x CO$_3$/HF. The detail of this updated calibration is provided in Supplementary material 1 and 2. Typical Raman spectra simulations are shown in Figure 1 and the whole set of simulation for each sample is provided in Supplementary material 1 and 2.

We conducted Micro-FTIR analyses on the recovered high-pressure glasses to determine the H$_2$O content. Analyses were conducted on a ThermoFisher FTIR5700 equipped with a Continuum© microscope. We used a CaF$_2$ beamsplitter, a MCT-B detector and IR light to acquire the FTIR spectra in the 4000-6000 cm$^{-1}$ region for determining the OH$^-$ (4500 cm$^{-1}$) and H$_2$O$^{\text{mol}}$ (5200 cm$^{-1}$) concentrations. The concentration of each species was determined with the Beer-Lambert law (Ihinger et al., 1994; Ohlhorst et al., 2001). In the Beer-Lambert law, the species concentration is a function of the sample density, sample thickness and extinction coefficient ($\varepsilon_i$) of the measured species (OH$^-$ and H$_2$O$^{\text{mol}}$). We measured the thickness of the sample using a Mitutoyo© digitometer with an accuracy of ±1 µm. We used density values calculated from the chemical composition with the model of Lange and Carmichael (1990) which includes the change in oxides partial molar volume as a function of pressure and temperature. Density calculations were conducted at the pressure and temperature at which the samples are synthesised. Although this density model calculation is applied for liquid; Guillot and Sator (2007) showed that the change in density in between
liquid and glass is small (~10% in relative) towards an increase in density from the liquid to
the glass. Therefore, the derived H₂O content with Beer-Lambert law will represent a
maximum. As the OH⁻ and H₂O\textsuperscript{mol} extinction coefficient values are a strong function of the
glass chemical composition (Ihinger et al., 1994; King et al., 2002), it was not possible to use
an appropriate extinction coefficient value for the silicate glasses synthesised here. For low
silica RB8 and HK, we used the linear extinction coefficient provided by Behrens et al.
(2009) initially applied to Alban Hill phonotephritic glass: ε\textsubscript{OH} = 0.62 L.mol\textsuperscript{-1}.cm\textsuperscript{-1} and ε\textsubscript{H₂O\textsuperscript{mol}}
= 1.02 L.mol\textsuperscript{-1}.cm\textsuperscript{-1}. For more silica rich compositions (X1, X2, XE2 and X3), we used the
linear extinction coefficient reported by Mandeville et al. (2002) initially applied to Krakatau
andesitic glass: ε\textsubscript{OH} = 0.89 L.mol\textsuperscript{-1}.cm\textsuperscript{-1} and ε\textsubscript{H₂O\textsuperscript{mol}} = 1.46 L.mol\textsuperscript{-1}.cm\textsuperscript{-1}. We choose those
extinction coefficients as they were applied to glass compositions which are close to the ones
studied here: depolymerized for phonotephritic glass (Behrens et al., 2009) and polymerized
for andesitic glass (Mandeville et al., 2002); nevertheless, we are perfectly aware that the use
of those extinction coefficients is not entirely appropriate. The H₂O\textsubscript{tot} is the sum of the OH
and H₂O\textsuperscript{mol} concentrations. For X1, X2 and X3, the H₂O\textsubscript{tot} ranges from 0.2 to 1.2 wt.%. For
the depolymerized synthesised glasses (RB8 and HK with NBO/T ≥ 2), the H₂O\textsubscript{tot} ranges
from 0.4 to 1.5 wt.% with a typical error better than 0.2 wt.%. The presence of water is due to
adsorption of atmospheric H₂O onto the starting material prior to capsule preparation and
sealing. The measured H₂O will induce a lower XCO₂ in the fluid phase but considering the
strong excess in CO₂ during the experiments (see Table 1), we suggest that the presence of
H₂O will not play a crucial role on the measured CO₂ solubility (e.g. Moussallam et al.,
2016b).

3.3. Elemental analyses
Absolute measurement of the CO\(_2\) content was done with a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 CHNS elemental analyser. The elemental analyses was conducted on glasses with the aim to corroborate the CO\(_2\) content determined using the Raman spectroscopic method. Although this analytical method is destructive, it has proven to be efficient in determining the CO\(_2\) content in silicate glasses (Sifré et al., 2014; Moussallam et al., 2015; 2016b).

The elemental analyses were conducted only on a few samples for several reasons: 1) isotopically enriched samples are expensive and elemental analyses method is a destructive method and 2) elemental analyser is not able to quantify \(^{13}\)C-bearing sample and can only detect \(^{12}\)C isotope. The crushed glass sample (several mg) is loaded together with 1 mg of vanadium pentoxide in a tin capsule folded and analysed by combustion. The C released is combined to an \(\text{O}_2\)-flow through to form a CO\(_2\) gas and subsequently analysed via an infrared cell. The analyser was calibrated prior to analysis. Replicated measurements (see Supplementary material 1) were conducted on each analysed sample to test the reproducibility of the analyses.

### 3.4. \(^{17}\)O NMR spectroscopy

Solid State \(^{17}\)O NMR (RB8E7, 11, 12, HK-1, -2 and XE2) was performed with a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer. The \(^{17}\)O spectra were referenced against liquid H\(_2\)O at 0 ppm.

The \(^{17}\)O MAS NMR spectra were acquired with a 2.5 mm CP/MAS probe and a MAS frequency of 30 kHz. We used a full shifted echo acquisition (\(\pi/12\)-\(\tau\)-\(\pi/6\)-acq) sequence with a pulse length of 1 \(\mu\)s for the \(\pi/12\) pulse. The delay \(\tau\) was set to 1.13 ms (rotor-synchronized). A recycle delay between scans of 2 s was determined to be sufficient to ensure complete relaxation of the \(^{17}\)O spins.
4. Results

4.1. Raman spectra for CO$_2$-bearing silicate glasses

The Raman spectra obtained for the synthesised glasses (see Table 1) are shown in Figure 1. Silicate glass Raman spectra are shown for the experiments conducted on X1 to X3 compositions (NBO/T ~ 1) at 1.0 GPa (Figure 1A) and 1.5 GPa (Figure 1B). The Raman spectra for the experiment conducted on RB8 and HK compositions (NBO/T ~ 2) are shown in Figure 1C and D at 0.5 and 1.5 GPa, respectively. The Raman spectra are represented in the 750-1250 cm$^{-1}$ spectral region showing the vibrational contributions of the symmetric stretch of the aluminosilicate network ($\nu_1 Q^0$ located between 850 and 1100 cm$^{-1}$; Mysen et al., 1982) and the symmetric stretch of the carbonate groups ($\nu_1 CO_3^{2-}$ at ~1080 cm$^{-1}$) dissolved in the framework of the aluminosilicate glasses (Morizet et al., 2013). No evidence for CO$_2^{\text{mol}}$ groups has been observed in the spectral region of ~1390 cm$^{-1}$ (White, 1974; Verweij et al., 1977; Sharma, 1979).

Under fluid saturated conditions (i.e. excess fluid during the experiments), it is clear from Figure 1 that increasing the XMg in the glass composition induces a decrease in the $\nu_1 CO_3^{2-}$ peak intensity. Considering that Raman spectra have been scaled so as to have a constant intensity in the $\nu_1 Q^0$ region, this change in the $\nu_1 CO_3^{2-}$ as a function of XMg reflects a change in the CO$_2$ content with changing XMg in the glass composition. As such, this change in peak intensity indicates that CO$_2$ solubility decreases with increasing XMg.

The CO$_2$ values derived from the CO$_3$/HF are reported in Figure 1 along with the Raman spectra. The entire set of Raman spectra simulations with the determined CO$_3$/HF values are provided in Supplementary material 1 and 2. In Figure 1A, for X1 to X3 glass compositions, at 1.0 GPa, for XMg = 0.24, the CO$_2$ solubility is 5.0 wt.% and decreases to 1.2 wt.% at XMg
= 0.72. In Figure 1B, at 1.5 GPa, the CO\textsubscript{2} solubility is changing from 7.5 to 1.5 wt.% CO\textsubscript{2} for X\text{Mg} between 0.24 and 0.72. The increase in CO\textsubscript{2} solubility with increasing pressure is consistent with previously published data (Fine and Stolper, 1986; Thibault and Holloway, 1994; Brooker et al., 1999; Morizet et al., 2002).

For the more depolymerized compositions (RB8 and HK), we observe a higher CO\textsubscript{2} solubility. At 0.5 GPa, for RB8E7 and HK-2 glasses (Figure 1C), we determined a CO\textsubscript{2} solubility of 6.5 and 2.9 wt.% CO\textsubscript{2}, respectively. The change in CO\textsubscript{2} solubility with increasing NBO/T (from 1.0 to 2.6), regardless of the X\text{Mg} value is in agreement with previous work (Brooker et al., 2001a; Iacono-Marziano et al., 2012; Shishkina et al., 2014).

4.2. Change in CO\textsubscript{2} solubility monitored by \textsuperscript{17}O MAS NMR

We show in Figure 2 the \textsuperscript{17}O NMR spectra acquired in the MAS dimension for RB8, HK and XE2 glasses. The \textsuperscript{17}O NMR spectrum consists in two prominent peaks for RB8 samples with peak maxima located at \textasciitilde+40 and \textasciitilde+100 ppm whereas only a single asymmetric peak is observed for HK and XE2 samples with a peak maximum located at \textasciitilde+50 ppm. For RB8 glass samples, the peak located at \textasciitilde+100 ppm is assigned to the Ca O\textsuperscript{NBO} environments; the peak located at \textasciitilde+40 ppm is assigned to the T-O\textsuperscript{BO}-T environments where T is Si or Al atoms. This peak is a combination of several individual contributions, principally Si-O\textsuperscript{BO}-Si with weak contribution of Si-O\textsuperscript{BO}-Al due to the low Al content in the synthesised glasses (see Table 1). It should be mentioned that the existence of Al-O\textsuperscript{BO}-Al clusters is energetically not favoured due to the Al avoidance principle (Lee and Stebbins, 2002; Lee et al., 2010, 2015). These two assignments are consistent with previous works on Ca-bearing silicate glasses (Stebbins et al., 1997; Lee and Stebbins, 2003; Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004; Lee and Stebbins, 2006; Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee and Sung, 2008). For HK and XE2 glass samples which are a mixed Ca-Mg silicate glass composition (see Table 1), we observe a broad peak
located at ~+50 ppm corresponding to a complex convolution of several individual contributions. We observe a shoulder at higher $^{17}$O ppm chemical shift (~+100 ppm) assigned to Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ environments. Previous NMR investigations on Mg-bearing silicate glasses (e.g. Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004; Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2015) demonstrated that there is a strong overlap between the T-O$^{\text{BO}}$-T and Mg O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak contributions at ~+40 ppm and ~+70 ppm, respectively.

We also identify two weak broad shoulders located at a $^{17}$O chemical shift above ~+140 ppm and ~-25 ppm. Those two peaks are weak in intensity and not clearly resolved from Figure 2; however, those two species are required for the relevant spectrum deconvolution discussed in section 5.2. The broad peak at ~-25 ppm is weak in intensity but appears more prominent in Mg-rich composition compared to Ca-rich ones. This ~-25 ppm peak position is consistent with a possible attribution to oxygen atoms in tricluster configuration in which an oxygen atom is linked to three tetrahedra (Stebbins et al., 2001; Kubicki and Toplis, 2002; Iuga et al., 2005).

As observed in RB8E $^{17}$O NMR spectra, the peak centred at ~+140 ppm appears to increase in intensity with increasing CO$_2$ content. This is less obvious for HK and XE2 spectra due to the lower CO$_2$ content (between 2.9 and 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$). We assign this peak to CO$_3^{2-}$ environments in the silicate glass (see Section 5.2). This assignment agrees with the reported $^{17}$O isotropic chemical shift for carbonate groups in liquids (Klemperer, 1978; and references therein) with two different $^{17}$O isotropic chemical shifts at +155 and +220 ppm depending on the molecular configuration of the CO$_3^{2-}$ group. As for crystalline solids, Smith et al. (1995) reports a $^{17}$O isotropic chemical shift at +204 ppm for crystalline CaCO$_3$. Although, we identified in the recovered RB8E-11 and RB8E-12 crystalline CaCO$_3$, we do not observe any evidence of this CaCO$_3$ in Figure 2 suggesting that the CaCO$_3$ crystals are in low abundance.
In Figure 2, we observe that with the lowest CO$_2$ content (RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$), the Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak intensity is higher than the (Si,Al)-BO whereas at the highest CO$_2$ content (RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$), the Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak intensity is almost equal to the intensity of the T-O$^{\text{BO}}$-T. Hence upon CO$_2$ dissolution, there is a decrease in the $\sim+100$ ppm Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak accompanied by an increase in the $\sim+140$ ppm CO$_3^{2-}$ peak suggesting that CO$_2$ dissolves in the vicinity of Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ units. Alternatively, the T-O$^{\text{BO}}$-T peak at $\sim+40$ ppm increases due to the T-O$^{\text{BO}}$-T bridges formed upon CO$_2$ dissolution consistent with a polymerizing effect of CO$_2$ on silicate glass structure (Moussallam et al., 2016a).

5. Discussion

5.1. CO$_2$ solubility as a function of XMg

We show in Figure 3, the change in CO$_2$ solubility as a function of XMg for the synthesised glasses (see Table 1). For consistency and clarity, only the CO$_2$ content determined by Raman spectroscopy is considered. The CO$_2$ solubility data have been categorized as follows: CO$_2$ solubility is represented for a given pressure between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa; the change in CO$_2$ solubility for a given degree of polymerization is also differentiated: NBO/T $\sim$ 1.0 for X1 to X3 glasses in Figure 3A, NBO/T $\sim$2 for HK and RB8 glasses in Figure 3B. We also added to Figure 3B CO$_2$ solubility data points (RB38 and RB42) from Brooker et al. (2001a) obtained at 1.5 GPa and for glass compositions with NBO/T $\sim$ 2 and having an XMg $\sim$ 0.26. The reported CO$_2$ solubility is 14.0 and 14.8 wt.% for RB38 and RB42, respectively (Brooker et al., 2001a). We also added the CO$_2$ solubility data point obtained on RB8 composition by Brooker et al. (2001a) at 1.5 GPa; equivalent to the RB8 composition investigated in the present study. We observe that the CO$_2$ solubility determined in our RB8 composition at 1.5
GPa (RB8-1 and RB8E-11) is slightly higher (17.1 and 18.0 wt.% CO₂) than the CO₂ solubility determined in Brooker et al. (2001a; 15.6 wt.% CO₂) but remains within error.

As indicated in Figure 3, increasing the XMg in the glass induces a strong decrease in CO₂ solubility. For instance, at 1.5 GPa and for glass compositions having a NBO/T ~ 1, there is a decrease in CO₂ solubility from 7.5 wt.% at XMg = 0.25 to 1.5 wt.% at XMg = 0.72. At identical pressure, for glass compositions having a NBO/T ~ 2.0, the decrease in CO₂ solubility is also important with increasing XMg: ~17 wt.% CO₂ at XMg = 0 and 7.1 wt.% CO₂ at XMg = 0.58. With a similar change in XMg (∆XMg~0.5), the change in CO₂ solubility is different as a function of the degree of polymerization. If we consider the rate of CO₂ solubility change as a function of XMg, we obtain the solubility decrease rate of ~0.5 and 1 wt.% CO₂ when XMg changes by 0.1 unit at NBO/T ~ 2 and ~ 1, respectively. In other word, the CO₂ solubility is more sensitive to the XMg at lower NBO/T than at higher NBO/T. The impact of this result will be discussed further in section 5.4; however, it can be easily conceived that such a result will have a dramatic impact on the CO₂ solubility in Mg-rich silicate melt compositions such as komatiites or kimberlites.

5.2. CO₂ signal from $^{17}$O NMR spectra.

From the $^{17}$O NMR spectra in Figure 2, we propose an assignment of the $\sim +140$ ppm peak to CO$_3^{2-}$ species. In the present state we prefer to assign the +140 ppm peak to CO$_3^{2-}$ groups since 1) the nature of carbonate groups is probably of different kinds (i.e. free ionic carbonates or carbonates connected to non-bridging oxygens; see Morizet et al., 2015) and 2) there is no relevant literature on the present NMR signal. This assignment is corroborated by the results shown in Figure 4 and 5. We present in Figure 4 a typical deconvolution of the $^{17}$O MAS NMR spectrum for RB8E-7, HK-1 and XE2-2 (Figure 2). We chose RB8E-7 and HK-1 samples as they have a comparable CO₂ content (6.5 and 7.1 wt.%, respectively); even though
they have been synthesised at different experimental pressure (0.5 GPa for RB8E7 and 1.5 GPa for HK-1). The deconvolution for all the $^{17}$O spectra was conducted using Gaussian lines: four Gaussians for RB8E and five Gaussians for HK and XE2. Although $^{17}$O is a quadrupolar nucleus, the use of Gaussian peaks is justified by the fact that the O sites are mainly controlled by the chemical shift distribution and second order quadrupolar interactions have only a limited impact on the peak line shape (Kelsey et al., 2008; Nasikas et al., 2012). The four Gaussian lines for RB8E take into account the presence of bridging oxygen species ($\text{Si-O}^{\text{BO}}\text{-Al, Si-O}^{\text{BO}}\text{-Si}$), one non-bridging oxygen species ($\text{Ca O}^{\text{NBO}}$), one corresponding to oxygen tricluster species ($\text{O}^{\text{III BO}}$) and one C-related species ($\text{CO}_3^{2-}$). The HK-1 decomposition takes into account the presence of an additional line related to the Mg $\text{O}^{\text{NBO}}$ non-bridging oxygen species.

The deconvolution approach for HK and XE2 $^{17}$O spectra is probably simplistic considering that in these glass compositions an important intermixing is expected in between alkaline-earth and/or alkali cations (Lee and Stebbins, 2003; Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004; Lee et al., 2005; Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee and Sung, 2008; Nasikas et al., 2012; Pedone et al. 2012). Hence, the distinction between the non-bridging oxygen sites (e.g. 3Ca, 2Ca1Mg, 1Ca2Mg and 3Mg) was not done in the deconvolution procedure. Hence, the strong mixing between alkaline-earth cations prevents the determination of the XMg value from the simple decomposition of the $^{17}$O NMR spectrum presently used (Allwardt and Stebbins, 2004).

The $^{17}$O NMR spectra fitting parameters are reported in Table 2. The T-$\text{O}^{\text{BO}}$-T has an average $\delta_{\text{iso}} = +41.3 \pm 5.0$ ppm; the Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ has average $\delta_{\text{iso}} = +103.4 \pm 2.5$ ppm; the Mg O$^{\text{NBO}}$ has average $\delta_{\text{iso}} = +67.5 \pm 2.3$ ppm; the $\text{O}^{\text{III BO}}$ has an average $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -24.1 \pm 5.2$ ppm and the $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ has average $\delta_{\text{iso}} = +145.8 \pm 1.9$ ppm. Those peaks are relatively well-constrained considering the associated error on the $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ and the average $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ value is in agreement with previous work (Kelsey et al., 2008; Lee and Sung, 2008).
We show in Figure 5 the change in the $\text{XCO}_3^{2-}$ as derived from the +146 ppm peak determined from $^{17}$O NMR spectra deconvolution (Table 2) as a function of XCO$_2$ determined by Raman spectroscopy. The XCO$_2$ Raman is calculated from the major element oxides and CO$_2$ content in wt.% shown in Table 1. We used a linear function to fit the evolution of the $\text{XCO}_3^{2-} - ^{17}$O NMR as a function of the XCO$_2$ obtained by Raman:

$$XCO_3^{2-} - ^{17}O_{\text{NMR}} = 0.838 \times XCO_2^{\text{Raman}} \quad \text{Eq. 2}$$

In this equation, the derived standard deviation is ±0.019 which corresponds to ±0.83 wt.% CO$_2$. An important conclusion drawn from the linear trend in Figure 5 is that it confirms the assignment of the +146 ppm peak to CO$_3^{2-}$-related species. We expected a slope close to 1 in this correlation. A possible explanation for the slope of 0.8 is that the XCO$_3^{2-} - ^{17}$O NMR is underestimated. This underestimation might reflect several CO$_3^{2-}$ environments consisting of that contributing to the peak at +146 ppm and an additional unidentified one. This hypothesis is consistent with the fact that several dissolution mechanisms for CO$_2$ as CO$_3^{2-}$ are invoked (Fine and Stolper, 1985; Kohn et al., 1991; Kubicki and Stolper, 1995; Tossell, 1995; Brooker et al., 1999, 2001b; Morizet et al., 2014a, 2015).

5.3. The lack of affinity of CO$_2$ for Mg, as evidenced by $^{17}$O NMR spectroscopy.

The stronger CO$_2$ affinity for Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ than for Mg O$^{\text{NBO}}$ can be deciphered spectroscopically from the deconvolution in Figure 4. As shown in Table 1, the XE2 and HK chemical compositions have been prepared with XMg = 0.50 and 0.58, respectively. Therefore, if CO$_2$ were to have no particular affinity for one cation or another, the peaks for Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ and Mg O$^{\text{NBO}}$ species should have more or less equal intensities. This appears not to be the case from the HK-1 deconvolution spectrum in Figure 4B in which the Mg O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak is more intense than the Ca O$^{\text{NBO}}$ peak. This difference strongly suggests that CO$_2$ dissolves preferentially in the vicinity of the Ca atoms. From the $^{17}$O NMR spectra point of view, the CO$_2$ dissolution
will induce a decrease in the intensity of the Ca $^{\text{NBO}}$ environment at +103 ppm whereas the intensity of the Mg $^{\text{NBO}}$ environment at +68 will remain unaffected. At the same time, CO$_2$ dissolution implies the formation of CO$_3^{2-}$ groups associated to Ca$^{2+}$ cations, giving rise to a peak at $\sim$+146 ppm. Therefore, the decrease in the Ca $^{\text{NBO}}$ peak intensity at $\sim$+103 ppm is accompanied by an increase in the intensity of the CO$_3^{2-}$ peak at $\sim$+146 ppm.

One possible explanation of the stronger affinity of CO$_2$ for Ca$^{2+}$ environments might be explained by the structural role of Mg$^{2+}$ in silicate glasses. It has been suggested that Mg$^{2+}$ in silicate glasses can be in fourfold (Tabira, 1996; Wilding et al., 2004), fivefold (Ildefonse et al., 1995; Li et al., 1999) or sixfold coordinated (Kroeker and Stebbins, 2000). Work by Shimoda and co-workers (Shimoda et al., 2007, 2008a) based on $^{25}$Mg NMR proposed that Mg$^{2+}$ is present with several coordination number from 4 to 6, although the distinction of each coordination environment cannot be clearly made from $^{25}$Mg NMR (Shimoda et al., 2008b). In solids, there is evidence that Mg$^{2+}$ atoms have a lower coordination number than Ca$^{2+}$ atoms (Katz et al., 1996; Sen et al., 2009). Therefore, Mg$^{2+}$ acts as a network modifier but also as a weak network former (Kohara et al., 2004; Shimoda et al., 2007; Kalampounias et al., 2009; Nasikas et al., 2011; Kjeldsen et al., 2013) in a similar way to Si$^{4+}$ or Al$^{3+}$ cations.

Considering that CO$_2$ is strongly affected by the concentration of network former cations (the higher the concentration of network former, the lower is the NBO/T and the lower the CO$_2$ solubility); it is perfectly conceivable that the presence of MgO$_4$ units as a network former induces the lower CO$_2$ solubility observed in Figure 4 for Mg-rich glass compositions. The current method to calculate the NBO/T assumes that Si$^{4+}$ and Al$^{3+}$ are the only network forming cations and Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ are considered as network modifier or charge compensating cation. This method is highly approximative as it does not take into account the possibility for Al$^{3+}$ to be in five- or six-fold coordination nor does it integrate the possibility for Mg$^{2+}$ to be in four-fold coordination. Unfortunately, no study is available to quantify in a
systematic way the existence of highly coordinated Al\(^{3+}\) or four-fold coordinated Mg\(^{2+}\) for a wide range of silicate melt composition. Furthermore, the effect of highly coordinated Al\(^{3+}\) or four-fold coordinated Mg\(^{2+}\) on CO\(_2\) solubility is currently unknown.

5.4. The CO\(_2\) solubility as a function of the Ionic Field Strength.

Alternatively, the variable CO\(_2\) solubility might be explained by the energy difference between Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) cations. This difference is often considered in terms of the difference in cation field strength corresponding to the ratio between the charge and the square of its radius (\(z/r^2\)). It appears that silicate melt properties are often related to \(z/r^2\). Recent work by Lee et al. (2015) showed that the degree of Al avoidance is strongly controlled by the \(z/r^2\). Kelsey et al. (2009) showed that both the Al coordination number and the density of silicate melts are positively correlated to the modifier field strength. Malfait et al. (2007) related the \(^{29}\)Si chemical shift to the ionic field strength and Davis et al (2011) showed that the \(Q^0\) species distribution in silicate glasses is controlled by the cation potential.

A possible relationship between the cation field strength and CO\(_2\) solubility has not been demonstrated. For the network modifier cations, the \(z/r^2\) is decreasing from Mg\(^{2+}\) to Ca\(^{2+}\) (3.9 and 1.7 for Mg\(^{2+}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\), respectively) then followed by Na\(^+\) (0.8) and K\(^+\) (0.5). Morizet et al. (2014a) have shown that CO\(_2\) solubility is higher in K\(_2\)O-rich than in Na\(_2\)O-rich silicate glasses. Consequently, we expect an increase in CO\(_2\) solubility from Mg-rich to K-rich silicate melt compositions. The negative correlation in between CO\(_2\) solubility and cation field strength is also corroborated by the fact that Si\(^{4+}\) and Al\(^{3+}\) have by far the highest cation field strength with \(z/r^2 = 34.6\) and 13.58, respectively. Increasing Si content in silicate melt induces a decrease in CO\(_2\) solubility. We have combined the previous information into a single parameter called Melt Ionic Field Strength (Melt IFS) which is defined as follow:
\[ Melt \text{ IFS} = \sum_{i} X_i \times \frac{z_i}{r_i^2} \times Cation \]

\[ \frac{X_{O^2-} \times \frac{z_{O^2-}}{r_{O^2-}^2}}{} \]

Eq. 3

Where \( X_i \) and \( X_{O^2-} \) are the molar fraction of the \( i \) cation and \( O^2- \). The \( z_i/r^2 \) represents the cation field strength and \( |z_{O^2-}|/r^2 \) the absolute value of the \( O^2- \) field strength. Therefore, the Melt IFS includes the energy difference in between the cations and incorporates the differential affinity of \( CO_2 \) molecules for one cation or another. Furthermore, the Melt IFS also includes the effect of the oxygen species (i.e. BO or NBO) on the \( CO_2 \) solubility.

We applied our approach to a set of selected experimental studies in the pressure range from 0.5 to 2.0 GPa (see Supplementary material 2 for the entire description of the experimental dataset). We considered \( CO_2 \) solubility experimental data from Brey and Green (1976) on olivine-melilitite; Mattey et al. (1990) on sodamelilitite; Brey et al. (1991) on olivine melilitite; Pan et al. (1991) on alkali basalt; Thibault and Holloway (1994) on olivine-leucitite; Jakobsson (1997) on icelandite; Brooker et al. (2001a, b; 2011), Brooker and Kjarsgard (2011) on various natural and synthetic silicate glass compositions; Morizet et al. (2002) on haplophonolite; Shishkina et al. (2010) on tholeiitic basalt; Shishkina et al. (2014) on various natural mafic compositions (most of the \( CO_2 \)-bearing silicate glasses in Shishkina et al., 2014; are also hydrated); Morizet et al. (2015) on haplobasalt; Moussallam et al. (2015, 2016b) on haplo-kimberlite. This dataset represents 90 \( CO_2 \) solubility data points between 0.5 and 2.0 GPa with \( CO_2 \) from 0.3 to 20.7 wt.%. This dataset covers a wide compositional range with NBO/T ranging from 0.2 for the haplophonolite (Morizet et al., 2002) to 3.3 for the olivine-melilitite (Brey et al., 1991); corresponding to a range in composition from slightly depolymerized to highly depolymerized. The Melt IFS varies from 7.53 for RB440 (Brooker et al., 2001a) to 11.08 for haplophonolite (Morizet et al., 2002).
We also took into consideration existing natural CO₂ contents measured in natural kimberlite rocks. One of the most complete databases has been reported in Kjarsgaard et al. (2009). The work of Kjarsgaard et al. (2009) gives major element composition and volatile content (CO₂ and H₂O) measured in natural kimberlitic supposed to be ‘uncontaminated’. The investigated natural kimberlite composition is also provided in the Supplementary material 2. In these natural kimberlites, CO₂ goes up to 19.6 wt.%. The major element is expressed in the Na₂O-K₂O-CaO-MgO-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ system composition.

The evolution of the CO₂ solubility as a function of the Melt IFS is shown in Figure 6. As inferred earlier, we observe a negative correlation in between CO₂ solubility and calculated Melt IFS for the experimental data and showing a continuum between kimberlite and basalt silicate melts. There is a large scatter in this negative correlation probably suggesting that this representation is still too simplistic to account for the many parameters playing a role on silicate melt CO₂ solubility. The effect of pressure on CO₂ solubility is not visible in Figure 6 which might reflect a stronger control of the melt chemistry as compared to pressure control on CO₂ solubility. The existence of a CO₂ solubility continuum in between kimberlite and basalt also induces the dichotomy in two fields: high Melt IFS – high CO₂ and low Melt IFS – low CO₂. We consider that the negative trend represents a maximum of CO₂ solubility at a given melt IFS (i.e. composition). Hence, it would be impossible to generate CO₂ content data above this trend. Increasing pressure to increase CO₂ solubility would result in two liquids immiscibility (Brooker, 1998): coexistence of a carbonate liquid with a silicate liquid. On the contrary, CO₂ content below this trend is possible as shown by most of the data points for the natural kimberlites in Figure 6. It implies that most of the natural kimberlites have undergone degassing process lowering the CO₂ content.

The hypothesis of CO₂ degassing in kimberlites melts has been suggested by previous works (e.g. Sparks et al., 2006). This degassing might be due to a gradual change in the kimberlite
melt composition. It is commonly assumed that kimberlitic melt can be generated from a parental carbonatitic melt obtained from melting of a CO$_2$-bearing peridotite in the asthenosphere (Canil and Scarfe, 1990; Gudfinnsson and Presnall 2000; Russell et al., 2012; Sokol et al., 2015; Massuyeau et al., 2015). Russell et al. (2012) suggests that with subsequent dissolution of orthopyroxene (MgSiO$_3$) into the parental melt, there is a gradual evolution of the chemical composition towards kimberlitic melt composition. Russell et al. (2012) proposed that the progressive dissolution of MgSiO$_3$ induces a strong CO$_2$ degassing due to the progressive enrichment in SiO$_2$ in the melt; therefore ensuring adequate buoyancy of the melt to ascend through the lithosphere. Based on the present CO$_2$ solubility results, we show that the progressive enrichment in MgO has a similar effect to SiO$_2$ and leads to substantial additional CO$_2$ degassing. For instance, the highest CO$_2$ content measured in natural kimberlite is 19.6 wt.% at a Melt IFS = 7.02. For this data point (Canada K5A, see Supplementary material 2), the composition has a XMg = 0.55. The assimilation of 20% MgSiO$_3$ component will increase the XMg to 0.61 and the Melt IFS to 7.79. In Figure 6, such a change in the Melt IFS will induce a decrease in CO$_2$ solubility by almost 6 wt.%. Such CO$_2$ degassing could enhance melt buoyancy and trigger fast kimberlite ascent rate (Russell et al., 2012).

6. Summary

In the present work, we have conducted an experimental study between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa on a range of silicate melt compositions equilibrated at high temperature above melting temperature in presence of CO$_2$.

The newly obtained CO$_2$ solubility experimental data show that there is a strong control of the MgO/(MgO+CaO) (XMg) on the CO$_2$ solubility in silicate glass. The increasing XMg in
silicate glasses induces a decrease in CO$_2$ solubility. This result is also confirmed by $^{17}$O MAS NMR spectroscopy. $^{17}$O NMR spectra show that there is a gradual decrease in the abundance of Ca O$_{NBO}^{NBO}$ species as compared to Mg O$_{NBO}^{NBO}$ species with increasing CO$_2$ content suggesting that CO$_2$ molecules preferentially dissolve near Ca$^{2+}$ cations. One structural hypothesis for this change is the possibility for the Mg$^{2+}$ cation to be present in MgO$_4$ and acting as a weak network former. Another hypothesis for this change is the difference in between the Mg$^{2+}$ and Ca$^{2+}$ cation field strength ($z/r^2$). We show that increasing the global ionic field strength expressed by the Melt IFS induces a strong decrease in CO$_2$ solubility.

The present results have strong implications for the CO$_2$ solubility in natural magmatic systems. At a given pressure and SiO$_2$ content, Ca-rich silicate melts composition (e.g. melilitites) will dissolve more CO$_2$ than Mg-rich silicate melts composition (e.g. kimberlites) For these natural compositions, the expected change in CO$_2$ solubility is potentially large; and Ca-rich melts can dissolve twice as much CO$_2$ compared to equivalent Mg-rich melts.
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Figure caption:

Figure 1: Raman spectra in the 750-1250 cm\(^{-1}\) showing the symmetric stretch of the CO\(_3^{2-}\) molecules (~1080 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the symmetric stretch of the aluminosilicate network. The CO\(_2\) solubility is reported next to each spectrum and has been determined using the method of Morizet et al. (2013). Spectra are shown for X1 to X3 silicate glasses (A and B) with XMg changing from 0.24 to 0.72; for RB8 and HK silicate glasses with XMg from 0 to 0.6, at 0.5 and 1.5 GPa (C and D). Typical Raman spectrum simulation to determine CO\(_2\) solubility is shown underneath the bottom spectrum. Simulations were conducted with five Gaussian lines and are reported for all samples in the Supplementary material 1 and 2.

Figure 2: \(^{17}\)O MAS NMR spectra acquired on RB8E, HK and XE2 compositions. The spectra show evidences for bridging oxygens species T-O\(^{BO}\)-T, for non-bridging oxygen species Ca O\(^{NBO}\) and Mg O\(^{NBO}\). An additional signature at ~+140 ppm is attributed to C-related species and has been assigned to CO\(_3^{2-}\) species. A weak additional signal is also visible at ~-20 ppm and is attributed to oxygen species in tricluster configuration (O\(^{III BO}\)).

Figure 3: Change in CO\(_2\) solubility as a function of the XMg calculated from the glass chemical compositions. Data are represented as a function of pressure between 0.5 and 1.5 GPa. The experimental CO\(_2\) solubility is represented as a function of the degree of polymerization: NBO/T \(
\sim\n\) 1 (Figure 3A) and NBO/T \(
\sim\n\) 2 (Figure 3B). For a given category, increasing the XMg induces a decrease in CO\(_2\) solubility. The error bars on the XMg is within the point and the error on CO\(_2\) solubility is taken as 10% in relative to the value. CO\(_2\) solubility data from Brooker et al. (2001a) obtained at 1.5 GPa have been added. Those data
points have XMg values from 0 to 0.26 and NBO/T ~ 2 and can be compared to the CO₂ solubility results obtained on RB8 and HK at identical pressure.

Figure 4: Typical spectral deconvolution of $^{17}$O NMR spectra for RB8E7 (A, 6.5 wt.% CO₂), HK-1 (B, 7.1 wt.% CO₂) and XE2-2 (C, 4.2 wt.% CO₂). Results of the deconvolution are reported in Table 2. $^{17}$O NMR spectra were decomposed with four Gaussian lines for RB8E and five Gaussian lines for HK-1 and XE2-2.

Figure 5: CO₂ content $X_{CO_3^{2-}}$ measured by $^{17}$O NMR (see Table 2 for the $X_{CO_3^{2-}}$ values from the deconvolution) as a function of $X_{CO_2}$ calculated from the major element composition and the measured wt.% CO₂ by Raman (see Table 1). A linear function has been fitted through the data points.

Figure 6: wt.% CO₂ as a function of Melt IFS calculated from Eq. 3 using the silicate melt chemical composition and the ionic field strength ($z_i/r_i^2$, see text for detail). We show experimental CO₂ solubility data points collected from the literature: Brey and Green (1976); Mattey et al. (1990); Brey et al. (1991); Pan et al. (1991); Thibault and Holloway (1994); Jakobsson (1997); Brooker et al. (2001a, b; 2011), Brooker and Kjarsgaard (2011); Morizet et al. (2002); Shishkina et al. (2010, 2014); Morizet et al. (2015); Moussallam et al. (2015, 2016b). Data points have been categorized as a function of pressure between 0.5 and 2.0 GPa. We also reported the CO₂ content and Melt IFS measured in natural kimberlites using the compilation of natural data from Kjarsgaard et al. (2009). The entire dataset (experimental and natural) is provided in Supplementary material 2.
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The graph shows the relationship between $X_{CO_3}^{2-17}O$ NMR and $X_{CO_2}$ Raman. The equation given is $X_{CO_3}^{2-17}O$ NMR = 0.838 × $X_{CO_2}$ Raman.
Table 1: Experimental conditions, glass compositions and volatile contents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>X1-1</th>
<th>X2-1</th>
<th>X3-1</th>
<th>X1-2</th>
<th>X2-2</th>
<th>XE2-2</th>
<th>X3-2</th>
<th>RR8-2</th>
<th>RR8E-7</th>
<th>HK-2</th>
<th>RR8E-12</th>
<th>RR8-14</th>
<th>HK-3</th>
<th>RB8-1</th>
<th>RBSE-11</th>
<th>HK-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (GPa)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major element composition in wt.% determined by EPMA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>X1-1</th>
<th>X2-1</th>
<th>X3-1</th>
<th>X1-2</th>
<th>X2-2</th>
<th>XE2-2</th>
<th>X3-2</th>
<th>RR8-2</th>
<th>RR8E-7</th>
<th>HK-2</th>
<th>RR8E-12</th>
<th>RR8-14</th>
<th>HK-3</th>
<th>RB8-1</th>
<th>RBSE-11</th>
<th>HK-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>41.2 (3)</td>
<td>43.5 (3)</td>
<td>46.5 (3)</td>
<td>39.7 (3)</td>
<td>42.3 (2)</td>
<td>40.6 (4)</td>
<td>43.1 (4)</td>
<td>33.2 (3)</td>
<td>33.3 (4)</td>
<td>40.1 (3)</td>
<td>30.3 (6)</td>
<td>30.3 (3)</td>
<td>37.2 (4)</td>
<td>31.3 (5)</td>
<td>29.7 (5)</td>
<td>40.2 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>13.9 (3)</td>
<td>16.4 (2)</td>
<td>17.0 (3)</td>
<td>14.2 (2)</td>
<td>15.6 (3)</td>
<td>14.8 (2)</td>
<td>16.1 (2)</td>
<td>9.8 (2)</td>
<td>8.7 (2)</td>
<td>4.4 (1)</td>
<td>8.1 (2)</td>
<td>8.5 (1)</td>
<td>4.2 (1)</td>
<td>9.3 (2)</td>
<td>8.0 (1)</td>
<td>4.3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>6.0 (1)</td>
<td>12.5 (1)</td>
<td>17.9 (1)</td>
<td>6.0 (1)</td>
<td>14.6 (1)</td>
<td>12.6 (1)</td>
<td>17.6 (1)</td>
<td>0.2 (0)</td>
<td>0.0 (1)</td>
<td>21.3 (1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>0.1 (1)</td>
<td>21.8 (2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0)</td>
<td>0.1 (0)</td>
<td>21.9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>26.7 (2)</td>
<td>18.4 (1)</td>
<td>9.9 (1)</td>
<td>25.1 (2)</td>
<td>15.5 (1)</td>
<td>17.8 (1)</td>
<td>12.0 (1)</td>
<td>40.4 (2)</td>
<td>41.8 (3)</td>
<td>22.3 (2)</td>
<td>39.8 (3)</td>
<td>39.7 (3)</td>
<td>21.4 (2)</td>
<td>37.9 (5)</td>
<td>38.6 (3)</td>
<td>22.0 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>4.8 (1)</td>
<td>5.0 (1)</td>
<td>5.5 (1)</td>
<td>4.8 (1)</td>
<td>5.1 (1)</td>
<td>4.8 (1)</td>
<td>5.2 (1)</td>
<td>4.9 (1)</td>
<td>5.7 (3)</td>
<td>4.5 (1)</td>
<td>4.8 (1)</td>
<td>4.9 (1)</td>
<td>4.5 (1)</td>
<td>4.4 (2)</td>
<td>4.6 (1)</td>
<td>4.5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>90.8</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>88.5</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>92.8</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

XMg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>X1-1</th>
<th>X2-1</th>
<th>X3-1</th>
<th>X1-2</th>
<th>X2-2</th>
<th>XE2-2</th>
<th>X3-2</th>
<th>RR8-2</th>
<th>RR8E-7</th>
<th>HK-2</th>
<th>RR8E-12</th>
<th>RR8-14</th>
<th>HK-3</th>
<th>RB8-1</th>
<th>RBSE-11</th>
<th>HK-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NBO/T$^d$</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Volatile concentrations in wt.%:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>X1-1</th>
<th>X2-1</th>
<th>X3-1</th>
<th>X1-2</th>
<th>X2-2</th>
<th>XE2-2</th>
<th>X3-2</th>
<th>RR8-2</th>
<th>RR8E-7</th>
<th>HK-2</th>
<th>RR8E-12</th>
<th>RR8-14</th>
<th>HK-3</th>
<th>RB8-1</th>
<th>RBSE-11</th>
<th>HK-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ initial</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ Raman$e$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ Flash$e$</td>
<td>2.2 $\pm$0.7</td>
<td>3.9 $\pm$0.2</td>
<td>8.9 $\pm$0.3</td>
<td>11.5 $\pm$0.2</td>
<td>14.2 $\pm$0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O FTIR$f$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Those glass samples were prepared from $^{17}$O isotopically enriched Si$^{17}$O$_2$ and Al$^{17}$O$_3$ oxides.

$^b$ The major element concentrations by EPMA was obtained on more than 15 analyses on each sample. The error is indicated between brackets (last digit) and represents the standard deviation of the analyses on each oxide.

$^c$ The XMg is defined as molar ratio MgO/(MgO+CaO).

$^d$ The NBO/T corresponds to the concentration of Non-Bridging Oxygen per Tetrahedron and is calculated from the chemical composition (Mysen, 1988, 1990). The NBO concentration corresponds to the excess negative charges brought by the oxygen in each oxide. This excess negative charge is subsequently divided by the positive charges on tetrahedra which is represented by the molar concentration of Si$^{4+}$ and Al$^{3+}$.

$^e$ The CO$_2$ solubility has been determined using the method of Morizet et al. (2013) and using the derived CO$_3$/HF value obtained from the deconvolution of the Raman spectra. The linear relationship has been re-evaluated and is wt.% CO$_2$ = 13.5 x CO$_3$/HF. The typical error on the CO$_2$ solubility is 10% in relative to the value.

$^f$ Elemental analyses have been conducted on a few glass samples, not enriched in $^{17}$O isotope. The error reported corresponds to the standard deviation calculated from replicated measurements.

$^g$ The H$_2$O content was determined by FTIR, summing the contribution of the OH and H$_2$O$_{mol}$ vibrational peaks at 4500 and 5200 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The error does not exceed ±0.2 wt.% H$_2$O and corresponds to the standard deviation obtained on the replicated measurements.
Table 2: Parameters derived from the deconvolution of the $^{17}$O NMR spectra using Gaussian lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E12 12.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-2 2.9 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-3 5.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-1 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>XE2-1 4.2 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM (ppm)</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% area</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**T-O$_{BO}$**-T with T = Si and/or Al

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E12 12.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-2 2.9 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-3 5.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-1 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>XE2-1 4.2 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)</td>
<td>-26.1</td>
<td>-29.4</td>
<td>-26.4</td>
<td>-21.3</td>
<td>-14.5</td>
<td>-14.8</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM (ppm)</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>59.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% area</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**O$_{III}$** Triclusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E12 12.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-2 2.9 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-3 5.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-1 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>XE2-1 4.2 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)</td>
<td>102.7</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>100.3</td>
<td>106.3</td>
<td>101.4</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>104.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM (ppm)</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% area</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ca O$_{NBO}$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E12 12.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-2 2.9 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-3 5.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-1 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>XE2-1 4.2 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM (ppm)</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% area</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mg O$_{NBO}$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RB8E7 6.5 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E12 12.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>RB8E11 18.0 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-2 2.9 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-3 5.6 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>HK-1 7.1 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
<th>XE2-1 4.2 wt.% CO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ NMR $^a$</td>
<td>149.0</td>
<td>144.5</td>
<td>146.5</td>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>147.9</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>144.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWHM (ppm)</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% area</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ The XCO$_3^-$ NMR is calculated from the ratio between the CO$_3^-$ peak area divided by the total area of the O species contributions.

$^b$ The XCO$_2$ Raman is calculated from the wt.% CO$_2$ determined by Raman spectroscopy and the major element glass composition reported in Table 1.