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Abstract

Aeromagnetic and gravity data have proven to be among the most effective methods for mapping deeply buried basin/basement interfaces. However, the data interpretation generally suffers from ambiguities, due to the non-uniqueness of the gravity and magnetic signatures. Here, we tie the gravity and magnetic signatures with a petrophysical characterization of the lithologies outcropping around the French Paris Basin. Our methodology investigates the lithology and structure of its hidden Variscan substratum at the junction between the Armorican Massif and Massif Central. Our approach is based on the combination of potential field data, magnetic susceptibilities measured in the field, density values of sample rocks and information documented in boreholes, in order to propose a new interpretative geological map of the buried substratum of the Paris Basin. The petrophysical description is combined with geophysical patterns of the substratum, mapped through statistical unsupervised classification of suitably selected magnetic and gravity maps. The first step of interpretation consists in extending the outcropping major structures below the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary cover of the Paris Basin. The litho-structural units, in between these major structures, are then interpreted separately. The second step consists in assigning lithologies within each unit, with respect to its magnetization and density (as derived from the petrophysical compilation), and mapping its extension under cover, integrating punctual borehole information. Overall, with a special emphasis on relating geophysical signatures and petrophysical characteristics of litho-structural units, this methodology permits a precise structural and lithological cartography of a segment of the buried Variscan substratum. In the southwestern part of the Paris Basin, this approach reveals: i) the limited eastward extension of Central Brittany, ii) the eastward extension of the major Cholet fault, iii) the emphasis on N150E-N160E striking fault and
their N30E conjugates, controlling the opening of Permo-Carboniferous basins, and iv) the
eastward extension of the Eo-Variscan suture.
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1. Introduction

The European Variscan belt belongs to a several thousand-km-long Late Paleozoic orogen
extending from the Appalachians in the eastern North America, to Polish Sudetes, through
the Mauritanides in West Africa. This belt, formed by Proterozoic to Carboniferous rocks,
constitutes the Pre-Mesozoic basement of a large part of Western and Central Europe. In
France, the Variscan belt presently crops out in several massifs, namely: Massif Central,
Armorican, Ardennes, and Vosges Massifs and in the basement of the Cenozoic Alpine and
Pyrenean belts. The continuity between these massifs is hidden by several Mesozoic to
Cenozoic sedimentary basins, such as the Paris or Aquitaine Basin (Fig. 1)

The Paris Basin is an intraplate sedimentary basin, set up on the Variscan substratum that
crops out in the above massifs (Pomerol, 1978; Mégnien; 1980, Perrodon and Zabek, 1990;
Guillocheau et al., 2000; Chantraine et al., 2003) (Fig. 1). It is well known that lithologies
and structures of the southern part of the Armorican Massif and the Massif Central are
closely related (Autran and Lameyre, 1980; Matte and Hirn, 1988; Virlogeux et al., 1999;
Faure et al., 2005; Cartannaz et al., 2006; Gébelin et al., 2007; Ballèvre et al., 2009; Rolin
et al., 2009); however, their connection is still poorly known, as it hidden by the Meso-
Cenozoic Paris Basin sedimentary cover. Both massifs are composed of several litho-
tectonic units separated by crustal-scale shear zones, such as the North Armorican Shear
Zone (NASZ) and the South Armorican Shear Zone (SASZ), in the Armorican Massif or the
Marche Fault in the Massif Central (Fig. 1). In addition, the Nort-sur-Erdre fault of the
Armorican Massif (NSE F.; Fig. 1) is acknowledged as the Eo-Variscan suture (Fig. 1) (Matte, 1986; Le Corre et al., 1991; Ballèvre et al., 1992; Lardeux and Cavet, 1994; Faure et al., 1997; Cartier et al., 2001; Bitri et al., 2003). The eastward extension of the Armorican litho-structural units has already been integrated in large-scale geodynamic reconstructions of the Variscan belt, either based on geological evidence (e.g. Matte, 1986; Faure et al., 2005; Ballèvre et al., 2009; Martínez-Catalán, 2012, Edel et al., 2015) or on low to medium-resolution regional geophysics (e.g. Edel, 2008). There is no agreement on the limits and nature of the units, and geodynamic significance is still under debate. A way to ascertain these models would be to fill the geological observation gap caused by the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary cover of the Paris Basin by new high resolution data.

In the second half of the 20th century, the Paris Basin substratum started being investigated using gravity data (Goguel and Francia, 1954), deep boreholes (Lienhardt, 1961) or deep seismic profiles (Matte and Hirn, 1988). A combination of gravity and intermediate to low resolution aeromagnetic data was used in the southwestern part of the Paris Basin (Weber, 1973). The extension of this last study to the entire Paris Basin led to the first version of a pseudo-lithological and structural sketch of the pre-Mesozoic substratum of the Paris Basin (Debeglia and Weber, 1980). More recently, on the basis of the combination of new high resolution magnetic data and updated gravity data, Martelet et al., (2013) proposed a method to characterize the substratum geometry of the central-south part of the Paris Basin, but no detailed lithological interpreted map was produced yet.

In addition, a map of the buried substratum around the Poitou High was proposed on the base of detailed structural analysis and drilling information (Rolin and Colchen, 2001). Because we now benefit from recent high resolution aeromagnetic data on the entire southwestern part of the Paris Basin, we are now able to address the litho-structural pattern of its buried substratum with an unprecedented resolution. Complemented by a
characterization of the field petrophysical properties of the various litho-structural units, our work ascertain cartographic interpretations of the geophysical signatures. Our methodology emphasizes the processing of interpretation of potential field data to derive a high-resolution structural map. Combining the latter with field petrophysical properties, lithologies were then interpreted in each litho-structural unit, leading to a new geological map of the Paris Basin substratum.

The extensions of the litho-structural units as well as the lithologies of the Variscan and their regional geological implications are further discussed.

2. Geological setting

The Paris Basin is a low subsidence Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary basin. It is composed of silico-clastic and calcareous rocks (Pomerol, 1978; Mégnien, 1980; Perrodon and Zabek, 1990; Guillocheau et al., 2000; Beccaletto et al., 2011). It is set up on a Variscan substratum including Permo-Carboniferous basins. In the study area, the formations constituting the Variscan substratum of the Paris Basin laterally outcrop in the Armorican Massif to the west and the Massif Central, to the south, respectively (Fig. 2a).

The Armorican Massif is composed of several litho-structural units separated by crustal-scale faults and characterized by distinct lithologies and tectonic evolutions (Fig. 2a). The North Armorican Shear Zone (NASZ; Chauris, 1969; Watts and Williams, 1979), the northern and the southern branches of the South Armorican Shear Zone (NBSASZ and SBSASZ, respectively) (Jégouzo, 1980) and the Nort-sur-Erdre fault (NSE) are the main Variscan structures of the central and southeastern part of the Armorican Massif (Fig. 2a). The NASZ and the SBSASZ delimit the Central Brittany to the north and south, respectively (Fig. 2a). Central Brittany consists of folded and weakly metamorphosed Neoproterozoic
sediments, unconformably overlain by weakly deformed Paleozoic sediments, intruded by Carboniferous granites (Fig. 2b) (Vernhet et al., 2009). Located along the NASZ, the southerly early Carboniferous Laval basin is superimposed on the Neoproterozoic to Paleozoic series (Fig. 2b). It is composed of early Carboniferous sedimentary rocks interbedded with acidic and basic volcanic rocks (Le Hérissé and Plaine, 1982). The Laval basin was folded during the Late Carboniferous (Houlgatte et al., 1988).

Between the NBSASZ and the NSE fault, the Paleozoic series of the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit overthrusts to the NW the Lanvaux unit (Fig. 2a). The NW-SE striking Lanvaux unit is composed of Neoproterozoic and early Cambrian metasediments overlain by Paleozoic weakly metamorphosed sediments (Lardeux and Cavet, 1994), and intruded by an early Ordovician granite deformed into an orthogneiss (Fig. 2b), called the St-Clément-de-la-Place (Vidal, 1980). This orthogneiss is intruded by two granitic plutons: i) the Bécon granite (Chauris and Lucas, 1964; Cavet et al., 1970, 1976) and ii) the St-Lambert granodiorite showing S/C structures, emplaced during to the Carboniferous dextral shearing of the NBSASZ (Faure and Cartier, 1998). The Lanvaux unit experienced a polyphase deformation (Faure and Cartier, 1998). The foliation attitude documents an antiform, the core of which is constituted by the orthogneiss. Outcropping at the junction between the Lanvaux and St-Georges-sur Loire unit, the Questembert leucogranite, emplaced during the late Carboniferous (Tartèse et al., 2011a, 2011b) is a syn-tectonic granite related to the SASZ shearing (Berthé et al., 1979; Bernard-Griffiths et al., 1985).

To the south, the St-George-sur-Loire unit is divided into two sub-units (Fig. 2a): the blocky sub-unit in the south overthrusts to the NW the northerly Sandstone-Pelite sub-unit (Cartier et al., 2001; Cartier and Faure, 2004).

The south of Nort-sur-Erdre fault is a metamorphic nappe stack: described in the southern part of the Armorican Massif (Burg, 1981; Matte, 1991; Bosse et al., 2000; Le Hébel et al.,
2002) and in the northern part of the Massif Central (Quenardel and Rolin, 1984; Faure et al., 1990). The structure and the lithology of the metamorphic Champtoceaux Complex in the Armorican Massif and of the Aigurande Plateau in the Massif Central are quite similar (Fig. 2b) (e.g. Faure et al., 2005; Ballèvre et al., 2009). However the Armorican Massif exposes peculiar units absent in the Massif Central. The uppermost unit of the nappe stack, called the Mauges nappe (Fig. 2a), consists of Neoproterozoic metagrauwackes interbedded with meta-volcanics (Wyns and Le Métour, 1983; Cabanis and Wyns, 1986; Wyns et al., 1998), and unconformably overlain by Cambrian sedimentary rocks and felsic volcanites, and Ordovician sandstones (Fig. 2b). This Paleozoic sedimentary and volcanic series is widely exposed in the Choletais area (Cavet et al., 1966). The Cambrian Thouars microgranitic massif associated with basic rocks (gabbros, quartz diorites) intrudes within the Neoproterozoic micaschists, the volcanic series and the dyke complex (Fig. 2b; Thiéblemont et al., 1987, 2001). To the north of the Mauges nappe, the Ancenis basin is located along the NSE fault (Fig. 2a). It is made of Devonian to early Carboniferous deposits (Fig. 2b) (Cavet et al., 1971; Ballèvre and Lardeux., 2005), superimposing the Neoproterozoic micaschists (Fig. 2b).

Another unit, exposed uniquely in the Armorican Massif is the "Drain Unit" consisting of serpentinite, gabbro, basalt and siliceous sedimentary rocks, interpreted as dismembered ophiolites along the Eo-variscan suture (Marchand, 1981; Ballèvre et al., 1994; Faure et al., 2008). The underlying unit, called the Champtoceaux complex, is an imbrication of crustal-scale thrust sheets characterized by highly deformed and metamorphosed gneiss and eclogites (Marchand, 1981; Ballèvre et al., 1989, 1994; Bosse et al., 2000). Lastly, the lowermost unit exposed in this area consists of a micaschist and paragneiss suite, named the Mauves-sur-Loire series (Fig. 2b). The entire stack of nappes from the Mauges nappe to the Mauves-sur-Loire series is folded in a km-scale antiform with a steeply eastward
plunging axis probably related to the dextral shearing of the SASZ (Martelet et al., 2004). Carboniferous plutons occupy the core of this antiform (e.g. Wyns et al., 1998).

The Aigurande Plateau also consists of a stack of metamorphic nappes, refolded as an ENE-SSW striking antiform, and intruded by several two-mica granitic plutons (Quenardel and Rolin, 1984; Faure et al., 1990). From top to bottom, the litho-tectonic units are characterized by: i) the Upper Gneiss Unit (UGU) composed of a bimodal magmatic series, named leptynite-amphibolite complex with eclogites, and migmatites; ii) the Lower Gneiss Unit (LGU) made of metagraywackes, micaschists, metarhyolites and amphibolites that never experienced a HP metamorphism; iii) the Para-autochthonous Unit composed of low-grade micaschists (Fig. 2b). The left-lateral Marche fault, main Variscan structure of the northern part of the Massif Central (e.g. Quenardel and Rolin, 1984), is the southern boundary of the Aigurande Plateau (Fig. 2a). A reasonable correlation between the Champtoceaux Complex and the Mauves-sur-Loire Unit in the Armorican Massif and the UGU and LGU in the Massif Central, respectively has been proposed (e.g. Faure et al., 2005).

Previous structural and lithological analyses within the Poitou High (Rolin and Colchen, 2001) documented the close connection between the Haut Bocage unit and the Confolentais area. In the Poitou High, the substratum that crops out in rare valleys is made of Carboniferous granite. Below the sedimentary cover of the Poitou High, the SBSASZ eastward extension splits into several branches which separate structures and lithologies of the Haut Bocage and Confolentais units (Fig. 2a) (Rolin and Colchen, 2001). The Haut Bocage unit is composed of anatectic gneiss and Neoproterozoic micaschists (Fig. 2b). The Confolentais unit is made of granites, metavolcanites and metasediments belonging to the UGU (Fig. 2b). Both units are intruded by late Devonian gabbro, granodiorites and diorites that also crop out along the left-lateral Marche fault (Peiffer, 1986; Cuney et al., 1993; Pin...
and Paquette, 2002). In the Confolentais area can be found the westernmost expression of
the Limousin tonalite belt (Bernard-Griffiths et al., 1985; Peiffer, 1986).

3. Aeromagnetic and gravity data

3.1. Processing of aeromagnetic data

Magnetic measurements monitor the spatial variations of magnetic properties of the
underground, from the surface of the Earth down to several kilometers. The French
Geological Survey (BRGM) conducted a fixed-wing magnetic survey in 1998 over Brittany
(Bonijoly et al., 1999; Truffert et al., 2001) and from August 2008 to October 2010 over the
Pays de la Loire (PaL) and Région Centre (Martelet et al., 2013) covering the Armorican
Massif and the southwestern part of the Paris Basin (Fig. 3a). These surveys were flown at
an average 85 m and 120 m ground clearance for Région Centre, PaL and Brittany,
respectively. For the three surveys, the flight path was oriented N-S, with a line-spacing of
500 m reduced to 250 m over key areas for the Brittany survey and 1 km reduced to 500 m
for PaL and Région Centre surveys; perpendicular control tie lines were also flown every
10 km. The surveys overlap with each other on a 3 to 5 km band at their periphery. In order
to get rid of punctual artifacts related to human activities, the data were upward continued
to an elevation of 600 m. This removed the short wavelength cultural noise without
significantly smoothing the data, with regards to the aims and regional extent of the study.
Magnetic anomaly 250 m regular grids were produced using the minimum curvature
gridding method (Taylor and Mason, 1972), separately, for each survey.

In addition three grid transforms were applied to emphasize various properties of the buried
substratum:

- The reduction to the pole (RTP; Fig. 3b): it contributes to simplify the magnetic signal
interpretation (Blakely, 1996). Taking into account the Earth field direction, this
operator relocates the magnetic anomaly on top of its causative body. When induced magnetization predominates, anomaly bipolarities are removed, so that RTP positive anomalies indicate a local increase of magnetic susceptibility at depth.

- The vertical derivative (Fig. 3c): it enhances local signal gradients while regional trends are removed. Magnetization in the Meso-Cenozoic Paris Basin is weak and sedimentary cover thickness vary smoothly over large distances; therefore, they generate long wavelength components in the magnetic map, which are removed by the vertical gradient operator. Consequently the map of the magnetic vertical gradient highlights the magnetic contrasts within the underlying substratum (e.g. Weber, 1973; Martelet et al., 2013).

- The Tilt derivative (TILT) or Tilt angle processing (e.g. Miller and Singh, 1994; Verduzco et al., 2004) is powerful for structural interpretation as it depicts equally the edge of deep and shallow magnetic sources (Miller and Singh, 1994). It is an effective method for mapping contacts or faults, weakly contrasted magnetized bodies, located under a sedimentary cover (Fairhead et al., 2011). The TILT map was used to highlight the structures of the underlying substratum and the magnetic body contours which are defined by the zero value in the TILT map (Fig. 3d).

The vertical derivative and the tilt derivative operators were applied on the anomaly reduced to the pole (RTP). In order to avoid artifacts at survey junctions, the magnetic transforms were applied separately, survey by survey, before merging. The merge of the three magnetic surveys was carefully achieved, using a standard grid stitching algorithm.

3.2. Processing of gravity data
Gravity data derive from the compilation of ground gravity surveys conducted in France since the middle of the 20th century and compiled in the Banque Gravimétrique de la France (BGF) (Martelet, et al., 2009). In the study area, the average station coverage is about 1 station/km². Data are tied to the CGF65 base station network. In order to derive the Bouguer anomaly, all standard corrections are included, with a reference density of 2600 kg/m³, and terrain corrections computed to a distance of 167 km (Martelet et al., 2002). Taking into account the accuracy of 1) the network, 2) the gravity measurements and their positioning and 3) the terrain corrections, the RMS error on the Bouguer anomaly is 0.32 mGal in the study area. The Bouguer anomaly map presented in Fig. 4a locates the main regional density contrasts, from the surface down to several kilometers at depth. A map of the vertical derivative of the Bouguer anomaly is presented in Fig. 4b. The first order of the vertical gradient of the Bouguer anomaly has long been used for separating close structures (Elkins, 1951; Gérard and Griveau, 1972; Goguel, 1972). Here, this operator is used to highlight density contrasts within the Paris Basin substratum. As for the magnetic map, the regional effect of the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary pile results in smooth and long wavelength signals and is therefore strongly attenuated by this operator (see Martelet et al., 2013 for more details). Positive and negative signals of the vertical gradient feature relatively high and low density rocks at depth, respectively.

4. Measurements of field rock properties

Gravity and magnetic map usually display “averaged” geophysical signatures of geological bodies as compared to the lithological variations at the outcrop scale; the discrimination of the magnetic and gravity causative lithologies suffering from ambiguities. An extensive campaign of petrophysical sampling and measurements was conducted in order to take into
account this scale effect in our geophysical maps interpretation. It was designed to derive reliable petrophysical “statistic signatures” for the main lithologies encountered in the study area.

4.1 Magnetic susceptibility

The magnetic susceptibility of a rock refers to its ability to become magnetized by an external magnetic field such as the Earth’s field (e.g. Dearing, 1999). Rocks have various magnetic responses due to their magnetic properties, which to the first order, depend on the volume content of magnetite (Clark and Emerson, 1991). Magnetic susceptibility field measurements were carried out using a hand-held kappameter (KT-9, Exploranium, Canada). The measuring range of KT-9 susceptibility meters is from -999 to 999 x 10–3 SI units with a sensitivity of 1 x 10-5 SI. Because reproducibility of measures is influenced by the irregularity of the rock surface (Lecoanet et al., 1999), measures were achieved in the “Pin-mode” of the kappameter which takes into account a geometric factor to reduce roughness effects.

About 4050 magnetic susceptibility measurements were taken directly on 130 outcrops, all along the southeastern border of the Armorican Massif and the northwestern border of the Massif Central and Poitou High (Fig. 3a). Within each litho-structural unit, most of the outcropping lithologies were sampled. The compilation of these measurements is presented in Fig. 5. For each litho-structural unit, we numerated the magnetic susceptibility measurements within constant intervals of variation. In Fig. 5, the colored bars highlight the most represented magnetic susceptibility ranges, whereas the grey intervals indicate ranges with few randomly distributed data.
As an aid for the interpretation, the magnetic susceptibility was subdivided into three representative ranges, based on the classification of Clark and Emerson (1991) and the separation range of Théveniaut and Clarke, (2013): i) from negative values to $4 \times 10^{-4}$ SI, ii) from $4 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-3}$ SI and iii) above $5 \times 10^{-3}$ SI, designated as low, intermediate and high magnetic susceptibility ranges, respectively. Fe-rich sandstones in Central Brittany exhibit the highest magnetic susceptibility of the study area (Fig. 5). Basic rocks (gabbro-diorite, granodiorite and amphibolite), and Cambrian felsic volcanites are also within the high magnetic susceptibility range, due to their high amount of ferrimagnetic minerals, such as magnetite, in their mineralogical composition (Thiéblemont et al., 2011). Granite, leptynite, orthogneiss, migmatite, Neoproterozoic metasedimentary and Paleozoic sedimentary rocks, micaschists and metasediments are within the low magnetic susceptibility range, given that they are mainly composed of diamagnetic (quartz, plagioclase) and paramagnetic minerals. Some Paleozoic sedimentary rocks, basalts, metabasites, meta-gabbro, diorites and amphibolites are within the intermediate range, since they contain paramagnetic minerals, and a small amount of iron-bearing minerals (amphibole, biotite or clay minerals).

Induced magnetization is predominant in the area, but several bimodal anomalies in the RTP map (Fig. 3b) indicate some remnant magnetized rocks. To the north, the Fe-rich Ordovician sandstones of Central Brittany, mainly composed of magnetite, have been studied in detail (Corpel and Weber, 1970): their Koenigsberger ratio is around 6 but the remnant component of magnetization is almost collinear to the ambient magnetic field and therefore does not strongly affect the mapping of this unit. Also, the granodiorite within the Choletais area and the diorite plutons within the Confolentais area are partly remnant magnetized, but their magnetic anomalies almost perfectly match their field cartographic limits (Fig. 3b). This suggests that i) the effect of the remnant magnetization is weak, or ii)
their direction of remnant magnetization is close to the induced magnetization. In these three cases, the location of the magnetic causative bodies is only slightly affected by the remnant magnetization and these lithologies also display a high magnetic susceptibility in the field (Fig. 5). Consequently, we made the assumption that the interpretation of the magnetic maps could be achieved considering the magnetic susceptibility only.

Globally, there is a significant overlap between the magnetic susceptibility ranges of the various lithologies; however, this overlap is rather limited between lithologies within each litho-structural unit. This observation is crucial for the geophysical maps interpretation, as described in the following paragraphs.

4.2 Density

Density is the petrophysical property influencing the gravity data. For this study, 54 unweathered rock samples from most of the lithologies were collected all along the Armorican and Massif Central borders in 48 outcrops (Fig. 3a). The densities of these samples were measured using the double weighting method, with a ca. 0.01 g/cm$^3$ uncertainty. The density determination of some lithologies was not possible due to bad outcropping conditions. In this case, a density value was affected with respect to the density average of the same lithology in the other litho-structural units.

As for the magnetic susceptibilities, the densities were subdivided into three representative groups: i) from 2.55 to 2.65 g/cm$^3$, ii) from 2.65 to 2.8 g/cm$^3$, and iii) above 2.8 g/cm$^3$ for low, intermediate and high densities, respectively (e.g. Edel, 2008) (Fig. 5).

5. Geophysical signatures of the Paris Basin substratum
The previous studies investigating the substratum of the Paris Basin using magnetic and gravity data manually outlined the main geophysical anomalies. They were interpreted with simplified lithological attributions, based on the substratum nature documented in some boreholes as well as some rock property data (Weber, 1973; Debeglia and Weber, 1980). More recently, Martelet et al., (2013) proposed a map of petrophysical signatures of the substratum of the south-central part of the Paris Basin, based on a numerical classification combining gravity and magnetic data. We used the same approach to achieve a simplified magnetic-gravity signature of the substratum of the study area.

We agree with previous studies that considered the magnetic effect of the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary cover of the Paris Basin, almost “transparent” for the magnetic field (Weber, 1973); therefore the magnetic map mostly features the buried substratum. Nevertheless the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary pile at least attenuates the intensity of the magnetic response of the substratum and increases the wavelength of the substratum anomalies, as the sedimentary cover gets thicker; from 0 to about 2000m in the study area. The gravity field contains both the effects of the substratum and of the sedimentary basin. The vertical gradient of the Bouguer anomaly used for our classification attenuates the long wavelengths of the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary pile (Debeglia and Weber 1985; Martelet et al., 2013). Aiming at the same goals as Martelet et al., (2013), the map of the magnetic anomaly reduced to the pole that was introduced in our classification, followed two considerations: 1) displaying information of the structure and magnetization of the substratum as detailed as possible; 2) being physically as homogeneous as possible with the gravity first vertical derivative. We added a third consideration: 3) reducing as much as possible the variable smoothing and attenuating effect of the Meso-Cenozoic sedimentary pile. Combining the characteristics of RTP and the TILT fulfils the three conditions. These two magnetic maps were combined with the vertical gradient of the Bouguer anomaly to
obtain synthetized signatures of magnetic and gravity data. The three layers were combined into a ternary image, using a standard image fusion procedure. We then performed a numerical classification of this ternary image using unsupervised isodata clustering (e.g. Venkateswarlu and Raju, 1992). Based on their gravity and magnetic signatures, all pixels of the map were statistically distributed among 6 classes (Fig. 6a) which well figure the geophysical signatures of the outcropping geology. This map displays self-consistent cartographic bodies, which are compatible with known geological patterns. It features 2 levels of magnetic intensity (from light to dark green) and an intermediate average magnetic/gravity signature (in white) as well as 3 levels of gravity intensity (from light to dark blue). These synthetized geophysical signatures can be related to the simplified 3-levels categorization of the petrophysical magnetization/density parameters (Fig. 5). This map combines magnetic and gravity signatures and it is used as a support for the following structural and lithological interpretations.

6. Geological map of the pre-Mesozoic substratum

The first step of the interpretation consists in extending below the Paris Basin sedimentary cover the major structures recognized in the field (Fig. 2a) in order to delineate the Variscan litho-structural units under cover. The structural interpretation (Fig. 6b) uses all geophysical enhanced maps presented in Section 3 supported by the synthetized geophysical signatures map (Fig. 6a). The second step consists in interpreting the lithological nature of the hidden substratum, using the combined petrophysical characteristics of rocks (Fig. 5), the synthetized geophysical signatures of the substratum (Fig. 6a) and the structural sketch map including available boreholes (Fig. 6b).
6.1 Undercover delineation of structural features

Manually interpreted geophysical trends deriving from the magnetic and gravity maps are outlined in red in Fig. 6a. They underline the N110E-N120E and N90E striking structural directions of the Armorican Massif and the Massif Central, respectively, known in the field. In addition to these trends, main geophysical structures and discontinuities were interpreted. The interpretative structural map (Fig. 6b) showing the extension of the structural units below the Paris Basin cover is discussed from north to south.

Central Brittany is limited to the north by the NASZ. Its extension constitutes the northern limit of the study area; it is defined regionally by a major N110°E-oriented disharmony between the strong magnetic signals of Central Brittany to the south, and the northern weak magnetic signals (Fig. 3b). It also outlined by a successive E-W striking chaplet of magnetic anomalies bounded the NASZ to the north, which can be outlined from the field to the easternmost part of the studied area (Baptiste et al., 2015) (Fig. 3c, Fig. 3d). The Lanvaux unit is marked by a well-defined NW-SE striking elongated low density anomaly, known as Lanvaux orthogneiss (Fig. 4b); it is bounded to the north by the NBSASZ. Following the magnetic and gravity trends under cover (Fig. 6a), the strike of the NBSASZ changes eastwards from NW-SE to NE-SW and joins the NASZ, limiting Central Brittany to the east (Fig. 6b). The southern border of the noticeable Lanvaux low gravity anomaly defines the limit between the Lanvaux unit and the northern part of St-Georges-sur-Loire unit (Fig. 6b).

In the field, the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit is characterized by low magnetic (Fig. 3b) and gravity signal (Fig. 4b), and by scattered moderate intensity magnetic anomalies (Fig. 3c) and a high intensity gravity anomaly, to the north and south, respectively. Predominant NW-SE/E-W striking geophysical trends are also observed (Fig. 6b). Under the Paris Basin sedimentary cover, the northern and southern part cannot be separated by geophysical data. The southern border of the high intensity gravity anomaly defines the southern limit of
the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit (Fig. 4b); it defines the cartographic trace of the NSE Eo-Variscan suture (Fig. 6b).

The Choletais area, marked by an E-W striking high gravity elongated anomaly, extending 150km eastwards below the Paris Basin sedimentary cover (Fig. 4b), is bounded to the south, by the northern branch of the Cholet fault (Fig. 6b). This noticeable anomaly defines the southward boundary of the Mauges nappe. Along this fault, the magnetic and gravity trends strike E-W in continuity along more than 150 km (Fig. 6a). Northwards, the geophysical trends become less and less continuous (Fig. 6a), suggesting the decreasing gradient of deformation away from the fault, as observed in the Armorican Massif (Thiéblemont et al., 2011). To the east (around 2°E), the E-W striking Cholet fault marks the northern limit of NW-SE geophysical trends of the Aigurande Plateau. Altogether, these features suggest that the Cholet fault can be considered as a major shear zone.

In the east of the study area (around 2°E), the NSE fault and the northern branch of the Cholet fault almost meet, closing the Mauges nappe and the Choletais area, to the east (Fig. 6a). To the south of the Cholet fault, low gravity anomalies (Fig. 4b) and signatures (Fig. 6a) and NW-SE striking geophysical trends (Fig. 6a) are predominant; this refers to the Haut Bocage unit and Confolentais area connection. To the east of the Haut Bocage unit, the direction of geophysical trends progressively changes from NW-SE to E-W, featuring the connection between the Haut Bocage unit and Aigurande Plateau.

In the easternmost part of the studied area, all these units are interrupted by NE-SW striking structures bounding the Permo-Carboniferous Contres basin, well characterized on seismic profiles and in deep boreholes (Fig. 6b; Beccaletto et al., 2015).

At the regional scale, all structures are offset by N150E-N160E-striking faults and, to a lesser extent, by their conjugate N20E-N30E striking faults (Fig. 6b). In agreement with Martelet et al., (2013), two kinematics are interpreted along the N150E-N160E faults: i) a
dextral motion highlighted by the offset of preexisting structures and lithological markers, and ii) a vertical movement documented by the attenuation and the spreading of the magnetic signal from west to east. In the southeastern part of the Armorican Massif, the N150E striking Partenay fault is described as a middle to upper Visean dextral shear zone (Rolin et al., 2009). The seismic information confirms the role of these faults during the opening of Carboniferous or Permian Arpheuilles and Contres basins (Fig. 6b; Beccaletto et al., 2015). Moreover, they were interpreted as Permian or Triassic fracture zones reactivated during the opening of the North Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Biscaye (Vigneresse, 1988). They are also known throughout the Armorican Massif, where they bound small Tertiary basins. Based on these information, the N150E-N160E striking faults can be interpreted as Variscan faults, reactivated during the tectonic evolution of the Paris Basin, strongly affecting present-day geometry in the southwestern part of the basin. The N30E striking normal faults, mainly located in the Mauges nappe (Fig. 6b), are also interpreted as Variscan structures reactivated during the Permo-Carboniferous, controlling the geometry of the Arpheuilles basin (Fig. 6b; Beccaletto et al., 2015).

6.2 Interpretation of the undercover lithologies

Based on the geophysical signatures and the structural information, we propose a geological map displaying the interpreted lithologies assigned to the dominant geophysical signatures (Fig. 7a) and an interpretative cross section based on geological information observed in the field (Fig. 7b). This geological map as well as its tectonic implications are discussed in each litho-structural unit.

6.2.1 Central Brittany

Central Brittany is mainly composed of Neoproterozoic metasedimentary rocks and Paleozoic sedimentary rocks intruded by Carboniferous granites (Fig. 2). In this
litho-structural unit, high magnetization and density signatures (Fig. 6a) unambiguously refer to the Fe-rich Ordovician sandstone (up to 0.8 SI and 3.11 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). This marker extends eastwards, bounding the NBSASZ (Fig. 8a); its presence is confirmed at 300m depth under cover by a borehole (Fig. 6b).

Contrary to previous models (Weber, 1971, 1973; Debeglia and Weber, 1980), the Paleozoic rocks can be discriminated from the Neoproterozoic ones using the petrophysical information. Paleozoic rocks including Fe-rich sandstones displaying low magnetization and density (from 1.5 to 5 x 10$^{-4}$ SI and 2.71 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5) can be traced eastwards (Fig. 7a). In the field, geophysical signatures of the Neoproterozoic metasedimentary rocks are heterogeneous, with intermediate magnetic susceptibility and low density (from 10$^{-4}$ to 3 x 10$^{-4}$ SI and 2.55 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). They are also intruded by moderately magnetic and dense (3.11 g/cm$^3$) gabbroic dykes (Verhnet et al., 2009). Thus the map exhibits a succession of thin E-W trends with intermediate to high magnetic and intermediate gravity signatures that can be identified under the sedimentary cover and grouped with the Neoproterozoic metasedimentary rocks (Fig. 6a). The Laval basin has intermediate to low magnetic and gravity signatures as well as peculiar E-W trending texture (Fig. 6a) well visible in the magnetic vertical gradient (Fig. 3c). This E-W trending signature is likely related to interbedded basalts with intermediate magnetization and density (up to 10$^{-2}$ SI and 2.85 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5) as observed in the field (Fig. 8b). This feature allows delimiting the southern extension of the Laval basin under the Paris Basin cover (Fig. 8b). Furthermore, the low magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a) are related to Carboniferous granite intrusions (less than 5 x 10$^{-4}$ SI and 2.65 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). Witnesses of these granitic plutons are mapped in the field, bounded and affected by the dextral shearing of the NASZ: the Pertre granite emplaced at 343 ± 3Ma (Verhnet et al., 2009) and the Craon granite (e.g. Le...
The location and the shape of the undercover granites suggest that they probably are affected by the NASZ shearing (Fig. 8c).

Overall, the Central Brittany litho-structural unit, delimited to the south by clear markers of Fe-rich Ordovician sandstones, appears also limited to the east, at the junction between the NASZ and the NBSASZ (Fig. 6b). In the southwestern part of the Paris Basin, these interpretations are consistent with the tectonic sketch of major Armorican shear zones proposed by Martinez Catalàn et al., (2012). The southern part of Central Brittany is structured by patterns of Neoproterozoic and Paleozoic sedimentary rocks. In its northwestern part, the early-Carboniferous Laval basin is developed along the NASZ; it is delimited by low magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6b) related to granitic bodies (Fig. 7a), to the south.

6.2.2 The Lanvaux unit

In agreement with previous works, the eastern extension of the Lanvaux negative gravity anomaly (Fig. 4b) is well documented along more than 200 km (Weber, 1973; Debeglia and Weber, 1980; Autran et al., 1994; Martelet et al., 2013). This anomaly is interpreted as deriving from the low magnetization and low density signatures of the Lanvaux orthogneiss, confirmed by the petrophysical measurements (from $10^{-5}$ to $0.7 \times 10^{-4}$ SI and 2.55 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). In the field, the Carboniferous Bécon and the St-Lambert granites display low magnetic susceptibilities and densities comparable to those of the orthogneiss (from $2 \times 10^{-5}$ to $10^{-4}$ SI; Fig. 5); it is therefore not possible to discriminate the older Lanvaux orthogneiss (477 ± 18 Ma; Guerrot et al., in Janjou et al., 1998) from the Carboniferous granites. The Carboniferous granites being mainly located along the SBSASZ (Fig. 8c), we consider that the low magnetic and gravity signatures are related to the Lanvaux
orthogneiss (Fig. 8c). Eastwards, under cover, the strike of the NW-SE Lanvaux orthogneiss evolves to an E-W and progressively NE-SW direction, parallel to the NBSASZ (Fig. 8c). Lanvaux unit is an antiform (Faure and Cartier, 1998), with Neoproterozoic metasedimentary and Paleozoic sedimentary rocks similar to those of the Central Brittany and with comparable petrophysical characteristics (Fig. 5). The succession of geophysical signatures of metasedimentary and sedimentary rocks as well as the orthogneiss observed in the field extends eastwards under the Paris Basin sedimentary cover. This suggests that the unit has the same antiformal structure, throughout its eastward extension (Fig. 7b). At the southern border of the Lanvaux unit, the magnetic signature of the Paleozoic rocks highlights the tectonic limit with the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit (Fig. 6b).

6.2.3 The St-Georges-sur-Loire unit

Whereas cartographically well marked under cover, the eastern extension of the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit has various geophysical signatures (Fig. 6a), rather magnetic and dense. Cartographically, these signatures cannot be formally related to the northern/southern parts of the unit, as observed in the field (Fig. 2a). The northern part of the unit is marked by low magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a) related to a granitic pluton (up to $10^{-4}$ SI and 2.65 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5), located at the junction between the Lanvaux and the St-Georges-sur-Loire units, mostly hidden below the Paleozoic series (up to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ SI and 2.68 g/cm$^3$). Granites located along the SBSASZ (Fig. 8c) belong to a leucogranite belt displaying dextral shearing, associated with the emplacement of the leucogranite plutons (Berthé et al., 1979; Jégouzo, 1980; Vigneresse and Brun, 1983; Gapais et al., 1993; Turillot et al., 2009). Among them, Questembert and Lizio leucogranites (Fig. 8c) emplaced at $316 \pm 3$ Ma and $316 \pm 6$ Ma (Tartège et al., 2011b, 2011a), in agreement with
the St-Lambert granite located to the Lanvaux unit, which displays S/C structures suggesting an emplacement during the dextral shearing of the NBSASZ at 312 ± 3 Ma (Faure and Cartier, 1998). Under cover, these low magnetic and gravity signatures are not observed (Fig. 6a), thus these granites cannot be extended eastward, suggesting a limited eastward extension of the leucogranitic belt.

The southern part of the unit, the blocky sub-unit is characterized by scattered high magnetic and various low to high gravity signatures (Fig. 6a). Below the Paris Basin sedimentary cover, the high magnetic and gravity signatures elongated eastwards throughout the map, likely correspond to basic rocks, as interpreted by Martelet et al., (2013). These basic rocks can be variously interpreted in terms of lithology: i) basaltic or gabbroic olistostoliths as described in this unit in the Armorican Massif (Cartier and Faure, 2004), ii) interbedded basalts related to the opening of a back-arc basin (Ducassou et al., 2011) or iii) mafic rocks such as the ophiolites which sporadically crop out along the NSE fault (Marchand, 1981; Faure et al., 2008; Ballèvre et al., 2009). In the eastern part of the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit, the depth of the contact between the substratum and the sedimentary cover is defined at about 500 m, and the bodies responsible for the magnetic signal are located at more than 1500 m depth (Martelet et al., 2013). Consequently the magnetic susceptibility of the magnetic source has to be strong enough to produce such a magnetic signature. According to the magnetic susceptibility measured in the field in St-Georges-sur-Loire unit, we cannot interpret the source as basalts since they do not yield a high magnetic susceptibility (from 6 to $8 \times 10^{-4}$ SI; Fig. 5). The third hypothesis better complies with the petrophysical signatures and with other evidence reported in the literature. Indeed, the interpretation of the Armor2 seismic profile, located in the southern part of the Armorican Massif (Bitri et al., 2003), suggested the presence of the northern part of the Champtoceaux complex beneath St-Georges-sur-Loire unit. The northern part of this
complex crops out as ophiolitic series along the NSE fault; it is made of high magnetic
susceptibility and high density rocks, such as amphibolite, and micaschists (from 1.1 to 1.5
x 10^{-2} \text{SI}, 3.02 \text{g/cm}^3 and up to 0.3 \text{SI}, 2.79 \text{g/cm}^3, respectively; Fig. 5). Therefore, contrary
to previous sketch (Weber, 1973; Debeglia and Weber, 1980), our results reveal the
eastward extension of ophiolitic series marking the NSE Eo-Variscan suture (Fig. 8d); it is
supported by high magnetic and gravity signatures which extend up to the eastern part of
the study area limiting St-Georges-sur-Loire unit to the south.

6.2.4 The Mauges nappe and the Champtoceaux Complex

The Mauges nappe, mainly composed of Neoproterozoic micaschists (Fig. 2), exhibits both
low magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a) that may account for hidden granitic plutons.
Granitic rocks crop out locally, as for instance the Chemillé pluton intruding the Mauges
micaschists, displaying low magnetic susceptibility and density (up to 8 \times 10^{-4} \text{SI and
2.55 \text{g/cm}^3}; Fig. 5). Similar low geophysical signatures depicted in the undercover
extension of the Mauges nappes (Fig. 6a) suggest the presence of punctual granitic
plutons, probably similar to the Chemillé granite (Fig. 8c). Also, metabasites interbedded in
the micaschists yield higher magnetic susceptibility and density ranges (from 5 to 7 \times 10^{-4
SI and 2.82 \text{g/cm}^3; Fig. 5) than the surrounding micaschists. These signatures trace the
eastward extension of the Mauges nappe under the sedimentary cover of the southern part
of the Paris Basin (Fig. 7a).

The Choletais area is composed of Cambrian acidic volcanites, intruded by microgranite,
granodiorite and gabbro-diorite, unconformably covering the Neoproterozoic rocks (Fig. 2).
Among them, the Vézins granodiorite (Fig. 8c) emplaced at 345 ± 5 Ma (Thiéblemont et al.,
2011) is supposed to be a syn-kinematics intrusion related to the dextral shearing of the
Cholet fault (Rolin et al., 2009). In the Choletais area, the magnetic susceptibilities and density measurements do not discriminate the gabbro-diorite suites from the granodioritic plutons (from 4 to 7 x 10^{-2} SI, 2.83 g/cm^3 and from 2 to 5 x 10^{-2} SI, 2.73 g/cm^3, respectively; Fig. 5). For this reason, these lithologies are grouped together as "basic rocks" in the interpretative geological map (Fig. 7a). The Cambrian volcanics are discriminated by a lower density compared to basic rocks (2.65 g/cm^3 and from 2.73 to 2.83 g/cm^3, respectively; Fig. 5). As previously described (Weber, 1971), in the southern part of the Mauges nappe, the northern branch of the Cholet fault is bounded to the north by an unexpected E-W striking high density elongated anomaly, which extends 200 km eastwards (Fig. 4b). It is superimposed, in the Armorican Massif, to the low magnetization and low density Thouars microgranite (from 1 to 7 x 10^{-4} SI and 2.65 g/cm^3; Fig. 5). The high intensity anomaly can however be explained by the close association of acidic and basic magmatism composing the Thouars massif (Mathieu, 1943, 1958; Weber, 1971) emplaced at 519 ± 10 Ma (Thiéblemont, et al., 2011). The high resolution geophysical data enhance this dual anomaly: it highlights punctual high magnetization signatures associated with high density anomaly (Fig. 6a) related to basics rocks (from 3 to 6 x 10^{-2} SI and up to 2.83 g/cm^3; Fig. 5) and the presence of high density intrusive dolerite (2.97 g/cm^3; Fig. 5) located along the Cholet fault, at the junction between the Mauges nappe and the Haut Bocage unit.

The early Carboniferous Ancenis basin is marked by a low gravity anomaly (Fig. 4b) likely related to a hidden granitic pluton (Fig. 8c) as previously described by Martelet et al., (2013) as well as E-W striking high magnetic trends well defined in the vertical gradient of the magnetic anomaly. This contrast can reasonably be related to the northern extension of the Champtoceaux complex, corresponding to the ophiolitic nappe, buried below the Ancenis basin, along the NSE fault, also interpreted in Armor2 seismic profile (Bitri et al., 2003).
Following the NSE fault to the east, the Permo-Carboniferous Arpheuilles basin, recognized by seismic profiles under cover (Beccaletto et al., 2015) and two boreholes (Fig. 6b), exhibits a peculiar texture in the magnetic first vertical derivative (Fig. 3c) and tilt (Fig. 3d) maps. This texture allows delimiting the extension of the Arpheuilles basin (Fig. 8b). Superimposed on the magnetic texture, high intensity magnetic anomalies are interpreted as the presence of Cambrian volcanic rocks (Fig. 7a) underlying Arpheuilles basin, accompanied by granitic plutons highlighted by their low gravity signatures (Fig. 6a). Our results show that, to the north, Arpheuilles basin is bounded by the NSE fault and controlled by N150E striking faults as well as their N30E-N40E conjugates (Fig. 8b). This suggests that the Arpheuilles basin may be the lateral equivalent of the early Carboniferous Ancenis basin.

6.2.5 The Aigurande Plateau and its connection with the Haut Bocage unit

This area consists in a stack of metamorphic nappes intruded by granitic plutons (Fig. 2). Under cover, the Cholet fault delimits the northern contact of the high grade metamorphic nappes with the Mauges nappe, composed of low grade micaschists (Fig. 8d).

In the northern part of the Aigurande Plateau, the Lower Gneiss Unit (LGU), is mainly composed of low magnetic susceptibility and density micaschists and metagrauwackes (up to $4 \times 10^{-4}$ SI and 2.8 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5), and intermediate magnetic and high density amphibolite (from 5 to $9 \times 10^{-4}$ SI, 3.01 g/cm$^3$). The Upper Gneiss Unit (UGU) was discriminated from the LGU by both the high magnetic and density signatures (Fig. 6a) deriving from the amphibolites within the leptinite-amphibolite complex (from $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ to $5 \times 10^{-2}$ SI and 2.98 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). Consequently, the LGU/UGU contact can be mapped under the Paris Basin sedimentary cover, bounded to the north by the northern branch of
the Cholet fault (Fig. 7a). The LGU micaschists are associated with the Haut Bocage unit described in the field (Fig. 6b; Fig. 7a), which consist of a stack of metamorphic nappes, refolded in an ENE-SSW striking antiform/synform succession, intruded by Carboniferous granites (Fig. 7b).

The micaschists of the Para-autochthonous unit displaying low to intermediate magnetic susceptibility and density (up to $4 \times 10^{-4}$ SI and 2.68 g/cm$^3$), related to low to intermediate magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a), has no characteristic signature that can be mapped under the Paris Basin sedimentary cover.

In this area, granitic plutons belong to the Hercynian Mortagne – Marche leucogranites belt (Vigneresse, 1988; Gapais et al., 1993; Vigneresse, 1999; Rolin and Colchen, 2001; Rolin et al., 2009; Edel et al., 2015; Gapais et al., 2015). These granites are largely represented in the Haut Bocage unit and Massif connection (Fig. 7a).

6.2.6 The Poitou High: the Haut Bocage unit and the Confolentais area junction

Largely represented in the field, in the Haut Bocage unit and the Confolentais area (Fig. 6b), the low magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a) are related to granitic plutons (from $0.8 \times 10^{-5}$ to $10^{-4}$ SI and 2.65 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5). Under cover, equivalent magnetic and gravity signatures related to granitic plutons described in the Poitou High (from 2.5 to $4 \times 10^{-5}$ SI and 2.62 g/cm$^3$; Fig. 5), mark the connection between the southern part of the Haut Bocage unit and Confolentais area (Fig. 7a). As largely recovered in boreholes (Fig. 6b), granitic plutons represent the main rocks of the substratum in this area (Fig. 7a). These granitic plutons consist in leucomonzogranites, leucogranites, granodiorites and calc-alkaline diorites. They belong to a granitic belt emplaced from late Devonian to early-Carboniferous and are associated with the shearing of the various branches of the SASZ.
(see Rolin et al., 2009 for more information). Using the geophysical signatures of the substratum (Fig. 6a), it is not possible to discriminate the various leucomonzogranites, leucogranites and granodiorites.

Under the sedimentary cover, the southern part of the Poitou High is marked by punctual high magnetic and gravity signatures (Fig. 6a) related to the calc-alkaline diorite plutons exposed in the field, both in Confolentais area and Haut Bocage unit (Fig. 6b). These diorite plutons emplaced at 373 ± 10 Ma (Cuney et al., 1993) and from 360 ± 3 Ma to 349 ± 5 Ma (Bertrand et al., 2001; Alexandre et al., 2002) for the Montcoutant and various Poitou High diorite plutons, respectively, are associated with the dextral shearing of the SBSASZ (Fig. 8c) (see Rolin et al., 2009 for more information). In the southern Aigurande Plateau, Huriel diorite intrusion (Fig. 8c) emplaced at 361 ± 1 Ma (Pin and Paquette, 2002) and post-date the dextral shearing of the Marche fault (Rolin et al., 2009). Diorite plutons have consistent high magnetic susceptibility and density (up to $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ SI and 2.80 g/cm$^3$ and from 3 to 6 x $10^{-3}$ SI, and 2.80 g/cm$^3$, respectively; Fig. 5). These basic rocks are discriminated i) from the intermediate magnetic and low density signatures corresponding to the LGU host micaschists, in the Haut Bocage unit, and ii) from the high magnetic and intermediate signatures related to the metavolcanites belonging to the UGU (up to 0.3 SI; Fig. 5), in the Confolentais area (Fig. 7a).

7. Summary and Conclusion

Our paper outlines the benefit of a joint interpretation of potential fields (high-resolution aeromagnetic and gravity data) and petrophysical characterization (magnetic susceptibility and density measurements on rock samples), in order to derive reliable lithological and structural mapping of a buried substratum.
In order to propose a geological map of the hidden substratum of the southwestern part of
the Paris Basin, our methodology, is divided into five successive stages: i) the potential field
data were processed, with the aim to get specific information (geophysical contrasts,
structural features...), ii) magnetic susceptibilities and densities were measured on field
rock samples along the eastern border of the Armorican Massif and the northern border of
the Massif Central, leading to a petrophysical library of lithologies, iii) using selected
magnetic and gravity maps, a map of geophysical signatures was synthetized using an
unsupervised classification, featuring 6-levels of magnetic/gravity intensities, iv) the
combined analysis and interpretation of magnetic and gravity trends with the synthetized
geophysical signatures, allowed extending the Variscan litho-structural units below the
Paris Basin sedimentary pile, v) relating the geophysical signatures to the petrophysical
characteristics (density and magnetization) within each litho-structural unit, allowed
interpreting a geological map of the substratum. This updated study reveals new geological
information: i) the limited eastward extension of Central Brittany, bordered to the east by the
NE-SW striking NBSASZ; ii) the eastward extension, along ca. 150 km, of the Cholet fault,
interpreted as a major fault, delineating the northern limit of the Aigurande Plateau; iii) the
emphasis on a series of N150E-N160E and N30E striking normal Variscan fault, reactivated
during the tectonic history of the Paris Basin, especially controlling the opening of Permo-
Carboniferous basins; iv) the extension of the Nort-sur-Erdre fault considered as an
ophiolitic suture, documented by the presence of high magnetic and density rocks along the
southern part of the St-Georges-sur-Loire unit.

Overall, our methodology provides keys for extensive mapping of buried basement using
magnetic, gravity and petrophysical data. In the near future, this study will be extended to
the entire Paris Basin in order to propose a complete geological map of the pre-Mesozoic
substratum of the Paris Basin.
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Fig. 1: Map of the Pre-Permian basement in France with Variscan sutures (modified from Faure, 2014). In dark grey: outcrops of the Variscan basement. NASZ: North Armorican Shear Zone, NBSASZ: Northern Branch of the South Armorican Shear Zone, SBSASZ: Southern Branch of the South Armorican Shear Zone, NSE F: Nort sur-Erdre Fault corresponding to the Eo-Variscan suture, PBMA: Paris Basin Magnetic Anomaly. In light grey: outcrop of the Meso-Cenozoic Paris Basin.
**Fig. 2:** Geological map of the southeastern part of the Armorican Massif and the northwestern part of the Massif Central: a) Major structures and litho-structural unit delimitations, b) Main lithologies within the litho-structural units (modified from Chantraine et al., 2003).
**Fig. 3:** a) Location map of the three aeromagnetic surveys used in this study. From west to east: Brittany, Pays de Loire (PaL), Région Centre. Crosses: location of the petrophysical field samples: in red: magnetic susceptibility only, in purple: magnetic susceptibility and density. b) Map of the magnetic anomaly reduced to the pole. c) Map of the magnetic vertical gradient. d) Map of the magnetic tilt derivative. Structural and lithological contours (thin black lines) and massif boundaries (thick black lines) are superimposed (modified from Chantraine et al., 2003).
Fig. 4: a) Map of the Bouguer anomaly. b) Map of the vertical gradient of the Bouguer anomaly. Structural and lithological contours (thin black lines) and massif boundaries (thick black lines) are superimposed (modified from Chantraine et al., 2003).
**Fig. 5**: Magnetic susceptibilities and average density values of the main lithologies sampled in the field, within each litho-structural unit. L: Low; I: Intermediate; H: High densities: refer to the text for explanations. In brackets: number of magnetic susceptibility measurements and number of density samples. Location of the sampling sites is documented in Fig. 3a.
Fig. 6: a) Map of synthetized geophysical signatures resulting from the classification of the magnetic (magnetic anomaly reduced to the pole and magnetic tilt derivative) and gravity (vertical gradient of the Bouguer anomaly) signatures. Magnetic and gravity trends are superimposed (red lines).
b) Interpretative structural sketch map of the Pre-Mesozoic substratum, of the southwestern part of the Paris Basin, with the location of the Permo-Carboniferous basins, the lithology of the studied outcrops (colored stars) and the lithology of the substratum in boreholes (colored circles).
Fig. 7: a) Interpretative geological map of the Pre-Mesozoic substratum of the southwestern part of the Paris Basin. This map synthesizes the information coming from the potential field data (aeromagnetic and gravity enhanced maps), the classified geophysical signatures, the structural sketch map and the petrophysical characteristics of rock samples. For clarity reasons, Permo-Carboniferous basins are not represented in this map. The thick black dotted line locates the cross section presented in Fig.7b.

b) Interpretative geological cross-section of the Pre-Mesozoic substratum of the southwestern part of the Paris Basin inferred from the geophysical information processed in this study, and the extension of the structures known in the field.
Fig. 8: Decomposition of the geological map into its main lithological ensemble: a) Sedimentary rocks and volcanites; b) Permo-Carboniferous basins, using geophysical and seismic data (modified from Beccaletto et al., 2015); c) magmatic intrusions; d) metamorphic nappes. Blue lines : massif boundaries (modified from Chantraine et al., 2003)