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[1] Recordings in western Tibet of Rayleigh and Love waves at periods less than 70 s from aftershocks of the 2008 Sichuan earthquake cannot be matched by an isotropic velocity model beneath Tibet. These intermediate-period Rayleigh and Love waves require marked radial anisotropy in the middle crust of Tibet, with the vertically polarized S-waves propagating more slowly than S-waves with horizontal polarization. The magnitude of anisotropy inferred using paths entirely within Tibet is slightly greater than that obtained previously from a tomographic inversion of a dataset covering a larger region. Anisotropy in the middle crust likely reflects deformation of the middle crust, and is consistent with the notion of mid-crustal flow and thinning of the crust. Citation: Duret, F., N. M. Shapiro, Z. Cao, V. Levin, P. Molnar, and S. Roecker (2010), Surface wave dispersion across Tibet: Direct evidence for radial anisotropy in the crust, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L16306, doi:10.1029/2010GL043811.

1. Introduction

[2] Although formed as a consequence of the northeasterward convergence of India with Eurasia, the Tibetan plateau presently extends with a largely east-west orientation, and the preponderance of normal faulting implies crustal thinning [e.g., Molnar and Tapponnier, 1978; Armijo et al., 1986; England and Houseman, 1989; Zhang et al., 2004]. Although potential energy associated with the elevated topography is expended during extension [e.g., Molnar and Lyon-Caen, 1988; Houseman and England, 1993; Copley and McKenzie, 2007], the details of the Tibetan plateau deformation and, in particular, its distribution with depth remain actively debated. Compacting end-member ideas include coupled deformation of the crust and the underlying upper mantle [e.g., Flesch et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008], as is implicit in thin viscous sheet models of continental deformation [e.g., England and McKenzie, 1982; England and Houseman, 1986], different deformation of crust and mantle, facilitated by a low-strength channel in its lower part [e.g., Royden, 1996; Clark and Royden, 2000; Beaumont et al., 2006; Klemperer, 2006; King et al., 2007; Royden et al., 2008], or a mixture of both [e.g., Bendick and Flesch, 2007].

[3] Deformation of the mantle or crustal rocks leads to lattice or shape preferred orientations of individual minerals that, in turn, may result in seismic anisotropy (directional dependence of seismic properties). Therefore, observations of this anisotropy constrain the nature and distribution of deformation, and therefore bear on Tibetan tectonics.

[4] Analysis of core-refracted shear wave splitting at numerous sites in and near Tibet indicate that the upper mantle in this region is markedly anisotropic. Moreover, the orientations of the faster quasi-S waves correlate with active and finite deformation inferred from observations at the surface, making a strong argument in favor of crust-mantle coupling [e.g., Davis et al., 1997; Holt, 2000; Flesch et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2008]. In addition, seismic anisotropy has also been observed within the Tibetan crust [e.g., Ozacar and Zandt, 2004; Sherrington et al., 2004; Levin et al., 2008].

[5] Seismic anisotropy can also be inferred from the simultaneous analysis of dispersion of Love and Rayleigh waves, which are horizontally and vertically polarized, respectively. This approach was widely used to study the radial anisotropy in the upper mantle on a global scale [e.g., Ekstrom and Dziewonski, 1998; Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2002; Beghein et al., 2006; Becker et al., 2008]. More recently, radial anisotropy within the crust has been demonstrated in regions of active extension and crustal thinning, such as Tibet [Shapiro et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2009], and Western United States [Moschetti et al., 2010]. Because they constrain the depth distribution of structure, surface waves offer an advantage over near-vertically propagating teleseismic S-waves. The inversion of a vast surface wave dataset by Shapiro et al. [2004] revealed 10% to 20% radial anisotropy throughout the middle crust (20–50 km depth) beneath the Tibetan plateau. This result suggests that the Tibetan middle crust has been deformed, consistent with channel flow within the crust.

[6] One limitation of that study is that it was based on tomographic inversion of a dataset where most of surface-wave paths sampled large areas outside Tibet. This configuration results from the limited number of seismographs. We used the data from an ongoing Western Tibet PASSCAL seismic experiment and records of aftershocks of the May 12, 2008 Sichuan earthquake. These new data sample exclusively the Tibetan plateau (Figure 1), offering an independent check of the mid-crustal anisotropy.

2. Data Selection

[7] Because of its complex source time function, the mainshock is not suitable for dispersion measurements. We also excluded from the analysis hours immediately after the
main event because of the large number of aftershocks that were closely spaced in time and, therefore, whose surface waves were difficult to isolate. We selected 9 aftershocks with magnitudes ranging from 5.5 to 6.1 (Figure 1 and Table 1). These events are strong enough to excite energetic intermediate and long period surface waves (Figures 2a and 2b) and at the same time their source time functions are simple enough that they can be approximated by delta functions. After visually inspecting the seismograms and the corresponding frequency-time diagrams, we selected a set of 60 traces for Rayleigh waves and 53 traces for Love waves (Table 1).

3. Group Velocity Measurements

[8] For every selected event-station pair we used the vertical component record to analyze Rayleigh waves, and we rotated horizontal components to get a transverse component record to analyze Love waves (Figure 2). The Rayleigh wave exhibits clear reverse dispersion (high frequencies arriving before low frequencies) at periods between 10 and 40 s but the Love wave is characterized by normal dispersion at the same periods.

Table 1. List of Rayleigh Wave and Love Wave Dispersion Measurements, per Station, and per Event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date, in 2008</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>Mw</th>
<th>GARY</th>
<th>MONS</th>
<th>NOMA</th>
<th>NPUK</th>
<th>PURG</th>
<th>RUTK</th>
<th>SQAH</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>134 07:07:08</td>
<td>(30.89, 103.19)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>137 05:25:47</td>
<td>(31.35, 103.35)</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138 17:08:25</td>
<td>(32.24, 104.98)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146 08:21:49</td>
<td>(32.56, 105.42)</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>148 08:37:51</td>
<td>(32.71, 105.54)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205 19:54:44</td>
<td>(32.75, 105.50)</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206 07:09:30</td>
<td>(32.75, 105.54)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214 08:32:43</td>
<td>(32.03, 104.72)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218 09:49:17</td>
<td>(32.76, 105.49)</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
acterizes the Tibetan plateau as Shapiro et al. [2004] had inferred.

4. Inversion of Dispersion Curves for Average Crustal Structure

[12] We simultaneously invert the measured Rayleigh and Love wave dispersion curves to deduce an average 1D shear-wave velocity structure of the Tibetan crust. The inversion is based on a modified Monte-Carlo method of Shapiro et al. [1997], and consists of testing randomly generated models by computing synthetic group velocity dispersion curves for Rayleigh and Love wave fundamental modes and by comparing them with observations. The computations are done with Herrmann’s [1987] subroutines. To introduce radial anisotropy we consider shear-wave speeds when calculating dispersion curves for Rayleigh and Love waves. Models are parameterized as a set of layers (four in the crust and two in the uppermost mantle) with constant seismic speeds and densities. During the inversion, layer thicknesses, shear wave speed and amount of radial anisotropy (difference between $V_{SV}$ and $V_{SH}$) are perturbed randomly. P-wave speeds and densities are scaled to S-wave speeds via constant $V_p/V_s$ ratio (1.73) and empirical $V_s$-density relation based on CRUST2.0 [Mooney et al., 1998; Bassin et al., 2000]. We start the inversion with an isotropic initial model obtained by averaging CUB2.0 [Shapiro and Ritzwoller, 2002] across the region of study. A random exploration of the model space is then performed until 1000 models that fit the data below the pre-defined acceptable misfit level are found.

[13] When we did not include radial anisotropy in the crust ($V_{SV} = V_{SH}$), as expected for Tibet, we find a thick crust with Moho located at approximately 65 km. Also, relatively low velocities are found in the upper and the middle crust above 45 km. At the same time, we could not find an isotropic model that simultaneously fits the observed Rayleigh and Love wave dispersion curves within the error bars. At periods between 20 and 60 s, the predicted Rayleigh wave group velocities are systematically faster than observed, and the predicted Love-wave velocities are too low.

[14] To resolve the observed Rayleigh-Love discrepancy, we introduced radial anisotropy in the crust. After testing different combinations of anisotropic layers, we found that models with anisotropy in the middle crust (between 15 and 45 km) fit the observed dispersion curves within their
To obtain a quantitative comparison of results, we selected best-fit models from inversions with and without anisotropy, and computed their RMS misfit to observed average dispersion curves in the period range between 15 and 70 s where we could get most reliable measurements. With the isotropic parameterization, the RMS misfits are 44.9 m/s and 82.3 m/s for Rayleigh and Love waves, respectively. After introducing the mid-crustal radial anisotropy, they become 20.2 m/s and 12.7 m/s implying variance reduction of more than 80% relative to the isotropic parameterization.

5. Conclusions

Our analysis of records of May 12, 2008 Sichuan earthquake aftershocks from stations of the Western Tibet PASSCAL seismic experiment clearly demonstrates that the intermediate-period Rayleigh-Love discrepancy is a widespread feature characterizing surface waves propagating across the Tibetan plateau. To explain this observation, we allow radial anisotropy in the crustal layers during the inversion of the observed dispersion curves. The results of the inversion show that a strong radial anisotropy in the middle crust (between 15 and 45 km) is required to fit simultaneously observations of dispersion of Rayleigh and Love waves. This result, based on waves propagating entirely within the Tibetan plateau, agrees with that previously reported by Shapiro et al. [2004] from a tomographic inversion of a dataset sampling a larger area. For quantitative comparison, we follow the approach by Shapiro et al. [2004] and compute the vertically averaged magnitude of the radial anisotropy as the idealized travel time difference between $V_{SV}$ and $V_{SH}$ waves that are imagined to propagate vertically through the middle crust. The average value of $t_{SV} - t_{SH}$ characterizing most of Tibet is 0.58 ± 0.11 s. This is slightly larger than the 0.5 ± 0.18 s value for the high plateau reported by Shapiro et al. [2004], indicating that the tomographic inversion could slightly under-estimate the magnitude of the radial crustal anisotropy beneath Tibet. Moreover, because our estimate is an average for the whole path, but the results of Shapiro et al. [2004] suggested that radial anisotropy is greatest in the high part of the plateau, our data are consistent with yet large radial anisotropy than that given by 0.58 ± 0.11. Modeling anisotropic receiver functions at two sites in western Tibet, Levin et al. [2008] found a likely crustal contribution to the SKS splitting signal to be under 0.3 s, a compatible value considering large differences in periods of seismic waves used. The presence of the radial anisotropy in the middle crust beneath the
Tibetan plateau implies that this layer is strongly deformed and, therefore, supports geodynamic models that include the presence of a relatively low-strength channel at depths between 15 and 45 km.
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