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Abstract
Pre-thickened hot orogenic crust is often considered a necessary condition for the formation of continental metamorphic core complexes (MCCs). However, the discovery of MCCs in the Liaodong
Peninsula, where the crust has a normal thickness (~35 km), challenges the universality of this scenario. Therefore, we implement a series of 2-D numerical thermo-mechanical modeling experiments in which we investigate the conditions of MCC formation in normal crusts, as well as the relationships between the underlying mechanisms and the syn-rift basin evolution. In these experiments, we explore the impact of the lithostratigraphic and thermo-rheological structure of the crust. We also examine the lithosphere thickness, strain softening, extension rate and surface erosion/sedimentation processes. The experiments demonstrate that high thermal gradients and crustal heterogeneities result only in a symmetric spreading dome, which is geometrically incompatible with the observations of the MCCs in the Liaodong Peninsula. According to our further findings, the strain softening should play a key role in the development of asymmetric strain localization and domal topography uplift, while synchronous surface erosion controls the polarity of the syn-rift basin. The synthetic model data are compatible with the geological observations and cooling history based on the thermo-chronology for the eastern part of the East Asia during the late Mesozoic to the early Cenozoic. The model-predicted P-T-t paths are essentially different from those inferred for the other known MCCs, confirming the exceptional character of the MCC formation in the wide rift system of the East Asia.

1. Introduction

The concept of the Metamorphic Core Complex (MCC) was introduced during the late 1970s based on tectonic surveys in the Basin and Range Province (USA) (Coney, 1974; Coney and Harms, 1984; Davis and Coney, 1979; Proffett, 1977). MCCs develop in extensional settings characterized
by significant amounts of crustal stretching and are regarded as distinctive structures, different from those associated with, for example, wide or narrow rifting (e.g., Buck, 1991). A typical MCC comprises the following: (1) a lower unit of metamorphic and/or plutonic rock exhumed from the lower crust into the upper crust; (2) a shallow unit of upper crustal rocks that do not undergo any metamorphic changes during extension; (3) a detachment structure localized between the lower and upper crustal units that corresponds to a shallow dipping and strongly sheared mylonitic zone, which absorbs much of the movement during the exhumation of the lower crust rocks; and (4) differently from “usual” rifts, the Moho below an MCC is nearly horizontal or only slightly uplifted (Buck, 1991; Coney and Harms, 1984; Davis and Coney, 1979; Lister and Davis, 1989; Wernicke, 1981). The upper units behave as brittle blocks and experience rather limited stretching during extension. High-angle normal faults rooted in the detachment typically develop within these domains, and their dynamics largely condition the deposition of sediments into the half graben structures. The lower units, having low viscosity and flowing from the lower to upper crustal levels, display penetrative ductile deformation with foliations during extension. A dome is underlined by the bended shape of foliation envelope within the lower crust where carries imprints of the extensional shear zone localized along the detachment and on top of the dome.

Some well-known MCCs have been identified in the Aegean Sea domain (Gautier et al., 1990; Gautier et al., 1993; Jolivet et al., 2013; Lister, 1984), West Antarctica (Richard et al., 1994), East Asia (Li, 2000; Wang et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2005b; Wu et al., 2007), the Norwegian Caledonides (Steltenpohl et al., 2004) and Iran (Verdel et al., 2007). A particular appellation of “Cordilleran style metamorphic core complexes” (Lister, 1984; Liu et al., 2005; Verdel et al., 2007) is also widely applied to metamorphic features of the Cordilleran realm,
although the related kinematic and thermo-mechanical conditions are not completely identical to those associated with the description of the conventional MCC. A remarkably common feature of most of the described MCCs is that they form in orogenically pre-thickened crust (crustal thickness >50 km, Moho temperatures >800°C) (Buck, 1991; Tirel et al., 2008). This observation is therefore often treated as a crucial condition for the formation of MCCs, either during post-orogenic extensional collapse (North American Cordillera) (Foster and Raza, 2002; Gebelin et al., 2011; Mulch et al., 2007) or in back-arc extension settings during ongoing plate convergence (Aegean Sea) (Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000). MCC domes have also been identified in East Asia (EA) in relation to the large-scale continental extension that took place during Mesozoic times. There are strong debates about the thickness of the continental crust before extension in the East Asia. Previous shortening event is argued to drive the extension (Liu et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2011). However, the Songliao basin can provide direct evidence for the crustal thickness in Liaodong Peninsular before MCC exhumation from the shape of faults and the sequential cross-section restoration (Ge et al., 2012). While the major features and kinematics of these structures perfectly correspond to the canonical features of MCCs, no high-pressure metamorphism and no trace of the pre-existing crustal thickening or of a suture zone have been reported in the north eastern part of China (Charles, 2010; Gumiaux et al., 2012). Indeed, the latest event responsible for crustal thickening happened at the boundary between the North China Craton (NCC) and the South China block (SCB) during the Triassic, which represents a nearly 100-Ma time lag with the Meso-Cretaceous extension episode. It is therefore unreasonable to relate the MCC formation in the Liaodong Peninsula (EA) to this post-orogenic extension (Lin et al., 2013a). This atypical tectonic context of the MCCs in the Liaodong Peninsula raises the new question of whether it is possible for an MCC to develop in
non-thickened continental crust.

Many analog and numerical experiments have been performed to understand the mechanisms of MCC formation, addressing a number of key conditions leading to MCC development (Brun, 1999; Burov et al., 2014; Huet et al., 2011a; Huet et al., 2011b; Lavier et al., 1999; Tirel et al., 2008; Tirel et al., 2013). Strain localization and weakening (Buck, 1993; Gessner et al., 2007; Lavier et al., 1999), a pre-existing density and/or weak rheological/compositional heterogeneities in the lower crust (e.g., Brun, 1999; Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Burov et al., 1994; Petit et al., 1997) are thought to be essential for rift localization and, in particular, for MCC formation (Brun, 1999; Brun and Sokoutis, 2007; Tirel et al., 2008). Later experiments that have tested the impact of the depth, length and position of the compositional heterogeneity (Tirel et al., 2008) showed, however, that the presence of compositional heterogeneities is not essential for the occurrence of a MCC. The extensive parametric study by Tirel et al. (2008) demonstrated that in the case of an orogenic crust with a commonly inferred rheological structure, three conditions should be satisfied: (1) the initial temperature of the Moho must be greater than 800°C, (2) the crustal thickness must be greater than 45 km, and (3) the initial effective viscosities of the lower crust and the underlying mantle should be lower than $10^{20}$ Pa and $10^{22}$ Pa, respectively. Rey et al. (2009) additionally demonstrated that the extension rate partitioning (with respect to the rift axis) may have a major effect on the asymmetry of the crustal detachment faults that characterize most MCCs. Thermal gradients due to collisional thermal heritage or asthenospheric heat sources are also considered in some of the previous studies showing the non-negligible impact on the P-T-t paths of the exhumed metamorphic material (Schenker et al., 2012). Even if the initial thermal gradient remains a first-order parameter for structural heritage, the rheological structure might strongly influence the conditions of MCC
development. Huet et al. (2011a, 2011b) showed, in particular, that an unusual “inverted” rheological structure resulting from orogenic nappe stacking may result in acceleration of the growth rate of the extensional instabilities, enabling MCC formation even in relatively cold crust (Moho temperature ~600°C–700°C). Finally, Tirel et al. (2013) developed a model where MCC forms as a result of stacking and exhumation of continental terrains in a back-arc extension context. Moreover, 3D models testing the impact of kinematic extensional boundary conditions demonstrate geometrical disparities between the extensional and transgressive domes, yet without major modifications in the mechanisms of formation of the detachment fault systems (Le Pourhiet et al., 2012). It is still noteworthy, however, that all previous mechanical and thermo-mechanical experiments are based on a common implicit assumption that the MCCs form as a result of the extensional collapse of a thickened crust, either in a post-orogenic intracontinental context or within the framework of subduction-driven burial and back-arc exhumation of crustal units (Tirel et al., 2013). Most of these studies were enlightened by the Aegean Sea either with thickened crust or fast extension rate. None of these geological settings directly correspond to the case of the Liaodong Peninsula.

In this study, we therefore examine the particular conditions for the thermal and mechanical evolution of MCCs in “normal” crustal thickness settings. With this goal, we implement a series of 2D thermo-mechanical numerical experiments assuming a normal 35-km-thick crust. We further try to elucidate some additional key factors of MCC formation, such as the extension rate, initial thermal gradient, strain softening, erosion/sedimentary rates, initial litho-rheological stratification of the crust, and lithospheric thickness. Finally, after incorporating all available geological and geophysical data, the models are applied to the natural case of the Liaodong Peninsula.
2. Geological Settings

2.1 The wide rift system of the East Asia

East Asia mainly comprises the Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB), the North China Craton (NCC) and the South China Block (Figure 1a) (Charles et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2011). The NCC is an old and relatively small craton dated to approximately 1.85 Ga (Zhao et al., 2001) that locates in-between the CAOB and the SCB bordered by the Permain Solonker Suture Zone (Xiao et al., 2003; Yin and Nie, 1996) and Triassic Qinling-Dabie Orogen (Mattauer et al., 1985) separately. After the final amalgamation of these three tectonic units, the whole tectonic collage experienced an intensive reactivation. A pervasive extension event occurred over ~1500km wide from South China up to the Baikal Lake. The geological features associated with the extension are characterised by the opening of large-scaled extensional basins and the emplacement of numerous plutonic and volcanic massifs in East Mongolia and East China (Figure 1a). Mesozoic Sedimentation is characterized by graben or half graben such as in the region of Songliao, Yingen, Erlian, Hailar and East Gobi (Graham et al., 2001; Meng, 2003; Ren et al., 2002). For the rather large intracontinental Songliao basin (~260 000 km²), both paleontological (Li, 2001) and radiochronological (Chen et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2002) dating show that its opening began in the Late Jurassic in the north and progressed southwards until the latest Cretaceous. Several intensively deformed metamorphic domes and associated granitic intrusions underlying a large extensional shear zone have been identified during late Mesozoic, including Buteel and Zagan (South Lake Baikal, Donskaya et al., 2008; Sklyarov et al., 1997), Yagan-Onch Hayrhan (Southern CAOB, Webb et al., 1999), Hohhot, Louzidian and Yumengshan (Northern NCC, Darby et al., 2001; Davis and Zheng, 1988; Wang et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004) Linglong (Jiaodong Peninsula, Charles et al., 2011a, b), Yiwulüshan, Liaonan and Gudaoling
(Liaodong Peninsula, Charles et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013a, b; Liu et al., 2005; Xu et al., 1994), Beidabie and Xiaojinling (Qinling-Dabie Orogen, Wang et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 1997), Lushan and Hongzhen (South China, Zhu et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2000) MCCs. All these MCCs record common NW-SE crustal stretching, and they satisfy all the above-mentioned conditions to join the Cordilleran-type MCC’s family. Coincident with the formation of MCC, the Yanshanian tectono-magmatic activity occurred with a peak period at around ~120-130Ma in eastern and north eastern China (Wang et al., 2006). Numerous I-, A-type and alkaline granitic and plutonic rocks, breccia pipes, felsic and alkali basaltic lavas occupy large areas of the EA (Wang et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2005b), emphasizing the possibility of lithospheric weakening. With all features involved so far, a wide rift system is an incontrovertible fact in East Asia during Late Mesozoic. Also noteworthy is that the NCC coevally experienced enigmatic cratonic deconstruction. It has been proposed that the eastern part of the NCC experienced significant lithospheric thinning during the Mesozoic to Cenozoic (Wu et al., 2005a; Wu et al., 2005b; Zhu et al., 2012), from a thick (~200 km) Archean or Paleoproterozoic lithosphere to a current thickness of only ~80 km (Chen et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2008; Griffin et al., 1998; Menzies et al., 1993). High-resolution seismic tomography and shear wave splitting data (Zhao et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2012; Zhao et al., 2013) suggest that interactions between the cratonic lithosphere and the underlying mantle flow have played an important role in the evolution of the NCC and likely linked to the Paleo-Pacific subduction.

2.2 Crustal thickness and thermal structure of the lithosphere

The Liaodong Peninsula is located in the north eastern part of China off the north coast of Bohai Bay and Songliao basin, where massive regional work has been launched since twenty century. Receiver function studies and deep seismic sounding data show an East-West trend in crustal
thinning, with the Moho depth reduced from ~40 km in the western part of the NCC to ~28-32 km around the Bohai Bay (Hao et al., 2007; Li et al., 2006; Wei et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2008; Zheng et al., 2009). Recently, Ge et al. (2012) inferred a two-stage scenario of extension based on a sequential restoration of lithospheric cross-sections derived from 2D seismic and borehole data across the region of the Songliao basin (Figure 1b). Most of the Songliao basin sits on the CAOB, whereas the southernmost part is on the northern margin of the NCC and close to the Liaodong Peninsula (Figure 1). Although Songliao Basin spatially belongs to the CAOB from the tectonic frame’s point of view, but its rifting episode (130-ca. 102 Ma) is temporally consistent with the development of MCCs in the north eastern NCC. Crustal thickness before and after the two-stage rifting episode are estimated at 50-30 km. In particular, the crustal thickness and average strain rate are approximately 35 km and 0.85*10^{-16} \text{ s}^{-1}, respectively, at the beginning of the second stage of rifting. At this stage, crustal deformation is characterized by localized faulting relevant to the detachment zones that coincide with the exhumation of adjacent MCCs. This work, therefore, provides direct evidence linking the initial crust thickness before the exhumation of the MCC in Liaodong Peninsula. The geothermal history suggests a thermal lithosphere thickness of only ~65-70 km, as supported by vitrinite reflectance studies, which document an exceptional high paleo-surface heat flow (>80 mW/m²) during late Mesozoic to early Cenozoic times (Fu et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2000; Zhai et al., 2004).

2.3 Deformation of core complexes

Several Cretaceous MCCs are reported here such as Liaonan MCC and Yiwulushan MCC that exhibit NW-SE extensional event in Liaodong Peninsula. However, the early Triassic northward back-thrusting developed in Liaodong peninsular that likely related to the Triassic Sulu orogenic belt
may connect to the final stage of the intracontinental collision between the North China and South China Blocks (Lin et al., 2007). Post-orogenic collapse is therefore sought-after mechanism for extension. It is worth noting that the transition from contraction to extension may not last up to 100Ma while maintaining over-thickened crust (Lin et al., 2013). UHP metamorphic units (dated at 220-210 Ma) are only recognised in the Dabieshan (e.g., Cong, 1996; Faure et al., 2003 and references therein). This tectono-metamorphic event is clearly far older than the late Mesozoic history and far away from Liaodong Peninsular, and therefore, it excludes itself from the rank of post-collisional extension with thickened crust.

The Yiwulüshan massif (Figure 1c) is an extensional structure separated from the Fuxin-Yixian basin by a well-developed detachment zone to the west and by the localised Xia–Liaohe Depression to the east. The Yiwulüshan massif is made of metamorphic and granitic rocks, mostly occupied by weakly or non-foliated Jurassic (160.4±1.8 Ma) granitoid plutons in the central part. Xenoliths of orthogneiss and amphibolites lying between the pluton and country rocks exhibit a NW-SE-oriented foliation. The western deformed border of the dome exhibits a low-angle ductile shear zone that is composed of strongly mylonitized rocks, formed at ~126 Ma according to geochronological constraints.

Similarly, the Liaonan Massif is associated with strong NW–SE horizontal crustal stretching (Figure 1d). Geometrical analysis infers an elliptical core (Lin et al., 2013a; Ren et al., 2002) that consists of Archean metamorphic rocks and Jurassic to Cretaceous granitoids exhibited along the Jinzhou detachment and surrounded by the weakly deformed Neoproterozoic, Paleozoic and Cretaceous upper plate (Liu et al., 2005). Volcanic rocks are recorded in the base of the small Cretaceous half-graben (LBGMR, 1994). Emplacement of syntectonic plutons took place at ca.
130–120 Ma, which is prior to the development of a mylonitic and gneissic sequence, marking the last increments of movement along the detachment, with cooling ages dated at 120–110 Ma.

3. Numerical Model

We used a fully coupled numerical thermo-mechanical modelling approach to investigate the different conditions allowing for the formation of MCCs in non-over-thickened continental crust. The numerical code implemented in this study is FLAMAR-v12 (e.g. Burov and Cloetingh, 2010; Toussaint et al., 2004; Yamato et al., 2009; Appendix in supplementary material), which has been widely used in numerous previous studies of extensional systems and MCC formation (Burov, 2007; Burov and Poliakov, 2001, 2003; Huet et al., 2011a; Huet et al., 2011b; Le Pourhiet et al., 2004; Tirel et al., 2004; Tirel et al., 2008; Tirel et al., 2013; Watremez et al., 2013). The code accounts for elastic-brittle-ductile rheologies and implements passive-markers and dynamic remeshing to handle large strains and displacements (Yamato et al., 2007). Passive markers also allow for tracing the cooling rates and P-T paths.

The 2-D model box (Figure 2, Table 1, Appendix) has a homogeneous spatial grid resolution of 1 km and is 200 km wide, with vertically stratified 35-km-thick normal crust (in supplementary experiments, we also tested models with a 0.5-km spatial resolution and a width up to 500 km to ensure the results are not crucially affected by grid resolution and model width). The mechanical boundary conditions correspond to those used in the previous MCC studies (Huet et al., 2011a; Huet et al., 2011b; Tirel et al., 2008) and correspond to a unilateral extension velocity applied at the right lateral boundary (the left boundary is fixed). The upper boundary condition corresponds to a free surface, and the lower boundary is represented by a Winkler basement that corresponds to a
hydrostatic pliable interface that deflects proportionally to the density contrast between the lithosphere and the underlying asthenosphere (Burov and Poliakov, 2001). The modelled continental crust has a density of 2800 kg/m$^3$, while the mantle lithosphere density is 3300 kg/m$^3$.

The initial thermal state of the lithosphere is defined from an unstationary plate cooling model with internal radioactive heat production (e.g. Burov and Diament, 1995 and Appendix in supplementary material). In this study, only ‘hot’ lithosphere structures are taken into consideration. Therefore, we vary the initially high surface heat flux values ($q_0 = 75, 80$ and $85$ mW/m$^2$) to compute the initial geotherm. These conditions yield elevated initial temperatures at Moho depths ($T_m$) of 767°C, 837°C and 907°C, respectively (Figure 2). The thermal bottom of the lithosphere is initially set at 1330°C, while the thermal gradient below the lithosphere is adiabatic (e.g. Burov, 2011). The surface temperature is kept at 0°C. The lateral thermal boundary conditions correspond to zero heat outflux.

Because most MCCs exhibit partial melting at the occurrence of rheologically weak migmatites and granitic plutons, two contrasting rheological parameter sets of the lower crust are adopted (Table 1): one represents the commonly inferred crustal rheology and is used for homogeneous crust; the other is used for rheologically weak units and corresponds to rheological properties of wet diorite, which implies a one-order lower effective viscosity than the crust (Figure 2b). A density anomaly is introduced in the lower crust and represents an intrusion of magmatic rocks that are widely observed in NCC.

4. Results

4.1 Predicted rifting modes
We have implemented a detailed experimental numerical study of crustal deformation and evolution under different assumptions on rheological stratification, thermal structure and boundary velocities. Focusing on the impact of a “hot Moho” (in terms of the conventional rift classification by R. Buck (1991)), we subdivided the rifting styles reproduced in our experiments into two types: (1) wide rifting, the dominant mode observed in the case of homogeneous crust, and (2) core complexes, formed in the case of higher thermal gradients (Moho temperature>800°C), slow extension rates (<1cm/y) and stratified crust with rheologically weak lower crust. Figure 3 shows variations in extension dynamics and topography for homogeneous and stratified models, for a unilateral extension rate of 0.33 cm/yr.

In the experiments with quartz-diorite homogeneous crust (yielding a two-layer yield strength envelope, Figure 2b), the mechanical strength of the lithosphere is mainly concentrated in the crust. During the early stages, brittle deformation results in the formation of several faults (Figures 3b and 3d) and then leads to the appearance of symmetrical half-grabens at the upper crustal scale (Figure 3f). The final width of the extended lithosphere reaches to ~270 km (~34% stretching). Crustal and mantle lithospheric thinning is simultaneous and is roughly equivalent. No dome forms in this situation, although a small density anomaly produces some vertical motion of the lower crust, and the resulting surface deformation exhibits deep depression (Figure 3f). Doming does not occur in any of the experiments with a normal homogeneous crust. It is also noteworthy that, throughout the entire extension process, the accumulated shear strain is rather low, even in the vicinity of the Moho boundary, and the overall rifting style corresponds to a wide rifting mode.

Figures 3g-3l illustrate the next set of the experiments, with stratified crustal rheology, where two-stage MCC development occurs following the same evolution pattern as described in Tirel et al.
(2004): (1) an “upper crustal necking” phase and (2) a “dome amplification” phase. In these model settings, higher temperatures of the Moho (i.e., higher geothermal gradient) contribute to the low initial viscosity of the lower crust \((10^{19}\text{Pa}\cdot\text{s})\) and result in decoupling from the upper crust and mantle lithosphere (Figure 2c). Accordingly, horizontal ductile flow becomes dominant in this scenario. The overall structure shows a lower crust progressively arching upward until it pierces the overlying upper crustal layer, creating a ductile dome. At 4.8 Ma, dominant strain localization (Figure 4a) occurs above the compositional anomaly and thus gives rise to two localized surface uplifts inside the main basin. The experiments with high thermal gradient \((907^\circ\text{C} \text{ at the Moho})\) produced the expected flat Moho surface (with an inclination of <10°) (Tirel et al., 2008). This naturally requires large-scale ductile flow to feed the exhuming metamorphic dome. However, unlike in the model of Tirel et al. (2008), where the ductile flow is characterized by progressive unilateral channel flow, our models always show relatively symmetrical flows converging from both sides of the lower crust (Figure 4b). Two prominent shear bands format each flank of the surface depression and migrate apart with the amplifying dome. For lower thermal gradients, strong necking at the Moho level occurring during the earlier phases of extension prevents its flattening at later stages.

4.2 Formation of asymmetric MCCs

In all of the experiments described above, a dome can occur if the lower crust is weak. However, there is no asymmetric detachment zone localized along one dome limb. It is widely demonstrated that asymmetric structures are favoured by strain softening (Huismans and Beaumont, 2003; Huismans et al., 2005; Lavier and Buck, 2002) that may be produced by phase changes and by fluids and melts pumped by shear bands. In particular, Scherker et al. (2012) argued, by integrating the different patterns of brittle strain softening, that MCCs can even develop within “cold Moho”
settings and with small initial crustal thickness. We have therefore performed additional experiments in which we have incorporated a brittle strain-softening mechanism. We used a common strain softening scheme with a progressive linear reduction of friction angle from 30° to 5° (Figure 4g) and of cohesion from 20 MPa to 0 (Figure 4h) over the strain range of 0 to 2. The other parameters are identical to the model with a stratified crust and an initial Moho temperature of $T_m=907°C$.

A model with a 0.33-cm/y extension rate depicts a rapid transformation from the initial cross-conjugated fault pattern to asymmetric strain localization (Figures 4c-e). An apparent preferential limb of the conjugate fault dominates the asymmetric crustal necking due to the strain-dependent strength reduction, and consequently the surface undergoes asymmetric uplift. Therefore, the introduction of strain softening results in brittle-ductile transitions within an initially ductile shear zone. With further extension, the shear zone gradually rotates in an anticlockwise direction and accommodates viscous channel flow from the ductile crust.

Expectedly (e.g. Huismans et al., 2005), in the case of a faster rifting rate, relatively symmetric brittle deformation occurs. However, because the boundary velocity partitioning is asymmetric in the models, faster extension also promotes heat advection and hence accelerates the symmetric lateral migration of ductile material as well as the exhumation rate, which induces a preliminary narrow dome with strong relief (Figure 4f). In contrast, a slow extension rate enhances lower crustal flow, resulting in enhanced dome development. This asymmetric evolution stems from a strain-rate-dependent brittle-ductile lithospheric strength where fast far-field extension favours brittle behaviour, while slow extension favours ductile deformation and gravitational instabilities (e.g. Huismans and Beaumont, 2003).

4.3 Relationships between the dome and syn-rift basins
The experiments predict that a supradetachment basin forms simultaneously with the exhumation of metamorphic rocks and accounts for a considerable part of the hanging wall dynamics. These results are incompatible with the observations, although it must be kept in mind that the temporal and spatial relations between the dome and coeval basins are poorly constrained. It is reasonable to suggest that both the formation of the supradetachment basin and the exhumation style and rates may be significantly affected by syn-rift erosion and sedimentation. Therefore, to explore the effect of syn-extensional erosion and deposition, we implemented a surface erosion/sedimentation model based on a diffusion equation (see Appendix in supplementary material). The experiments show that because the erosion rate is higher in the areas of active topography, two elevated flanks at the sides of the basin (e.g., Figure 4e) undergo faster erosion and produce sufficient amounts of sedimentary matter that progressively fill the adjacent depressions. During the crustal ‘necking’ stage, the major asymmetric syn-rift basin (B1 ~50 km) is located above the rifting neck and is slightly offset with respect to the localized shear zone (Figure 5a). Several shallow (1 km) basins/grabens of different size develop at both sides of the major depression and eventually join each other during the extension. At a later stage, during the ‘dome amplification’ phase (Figure 5b), the exhumation of the ductile lower crust continues, and a third locus of uplift emerges and splits the initially continuous basin onto two sub-basins. Zone B2l (Figures 5a, b) remains in place, with a stagnation of subsidence characterized by an invariant width and depth of the basin (dashed black line in Figures 5c, e). Zone B2r (Figures 5a, b) is active and migrates to the right and is subject to the upwarp and counter clockwise rotation of the dominant detachment, followed by continuous deepening (solid black line in Figure 5e). Flow in the lower crust may inhibit both lateral deposition and crustal thinning, thus highlighting the importance of coupling between surface processes and the response of ductile flow.
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in the lower crust.

The effect of the surface erosion/sedimentation rate is illustrated in Figures 5c and 5e. The size of the basin steadily evolves with the increasing diffusion coefficient. During the first stage, a wider basin is favoured by a higher erosion rate because the rapid denudation of the elevated flanks broadens the scope of necking and contributes to the necessary increase in the amount of sediment (Figure 5c). However, rapid erosional unloading does not have a strongly negative impact on lower crustal flow, as the ductile lower crust separates the broad basin into two sub-basins at a similar depth (~2500 ± 500 m, the shadow part in Figure 5e) and eventually pulls them apart at similar points in time (~13 ±1 My, shadow part in Figure 5c). In contrast, during the second stage, the asymmetric distribution of the basin vanishes while greatly increasing the erosion rate (e.g. 1000 m²/y), as shown in Figure 5c, 5e, where the difference of the size (Width × Depth) between the two sub-basins lessens gradually.

Figures 5d and 5f further illustrate the impact of the extension rate on the surface processes. The filling of the basin requires supply of the eroded matter from both vertical and horizontal directions. If the extension is rapid, horizontal widening due to extension generates a flatter and shallower basin (Figure 5d). If the extension is slow, vertical filling along the steepest hillslope is more pronounced (Figure 5f).

4.4 Effect of lithospheric thermal thickness

In our experiments, thermal lithospheric thickness, $h_t$, is defined according to the common definition, i.e., as the thickness of the conduction-dominated upper layer, different from the convection-dominated asthenosphere (Jaupart and Mareschal, 1999). We used surface heat flux values recorded by vitrinite reflectance to calculate the initial values of $h_t$. To test model sensitivity
to this parameter, additional experiments were implemented (Figure 3l), where \( h_t \) values were varied from 60 km (reference experiment) to 85 km. The results show that MCCs form even for the largest of the tested values of the lithospheric thickness. No significant difference is found in temporal distribution and exhumation rates and styles except for some slight differences in Moho topography (Figure 6). In all of these experiments, the continental lithosphere mantle extends homogeneously and remains mechanically decoupled from the lower crust with no noticeable impact on Moho geometry.

5. Discussion

5.1 Geometrical comparison with the observations

The Liaonan and Yiwulūshan MCCs are studied and described by several regional scaled geological surveys (Charles et al., 2012; LBGMR, 1994; Lin et al., 2013a; Lin et al., 2013b; Liu et al., 2005; Yin and Nie, 1996). Long-lasting multi-phase deformation are documented or suggested for this region in the geological literature (Lin et al., 2013a). Here, we focused on the final stage of the MCC deformation and did not consider the hypothetic relation between the Liaonan and Yiwulūshan MCCs that may involve crustal rotation (Liu et al., 2005).

One of the experiments developed in this study (\( q_0 = 85 \text{ mW/m}^2 \), \( V = 0.33 \text{ cm/y} \), \( k_{\text{eso}} = 500 \text{ m}^2/\text{y} \), strain softening) (Figure 5b) is in good agreement with the characteristic features of the Liaonan MCC structure, with a \( \sim 30\)-km-wide dome and moderate dipping angles of detachment (ca. 30° to 50°). A well-foliated mylonite/ultramylonite zone formed as a result of progressive grain size reduction and preferential strain reorientation reasserts the importance of strain softening in the formation of this MCC. For the Yiwulūshan MCC, the geometry of the syn-rift basin left-bounded by
the detachment has been interpreted based on seismic data (Wang et al., 1998). This interpretation depicts a 30-km-wide and 5-km-deep depression that is largely identical to that reproduced by the numerical model, although the latter has insufficient spatial resolution to reproduce the sedimentary sequences and the internal faults. The other side of the dome is covered by modern Eocene sediments, with identified early Cenozoic strata at the bottom (Qi and Yang, 2010). Thus, a small amount of the sedimentary deposits coeval to the MCC deformation is not excluded. For the Liaonan MCC, a bi-vergent crustal detachment is found at the south end. This detachment extends in a V-shape toward the north. However, its right limb may be below present sea level (Lin et al., 2008), and the affinity between the small basin and detachment is rather complex. Our synthetic simulations provide two possible conditions. One may be due to the extremely low rates of surface erosion. Another is that the small-scale basin represents a further denudation or late reformation at the surface that ultimately results in an exposure of a deeper level of the whole crust, where volcanic rocks are directly observable within the basin.

The Moho is situated at a depth of 27 km in our model, with a relatively small deflection (slope value <10°). Present-day topography data indicate a slightly greater depth (30 km), which can be related to additional flattening of the Moho boundary during post-rift thermal subsidence. Because all previous numerical models (e.g. Huet et al., 2011a; Tirel et al., 2008) have shown that thick crust favours MCC formation, we also carried out a series of experiments with a thicker initial crust to account for the eventual uncertainties of its estimation suggested by Ge et al. (2012) as well as for possible crustal thinning due to thermal erosion or other poorly constrained processes. These models did not show any significant differences from the experiments based on the assumption of an initially normal crustal thickness.
5.2 Geochronological comparison of model results with the observations

Previous geophysical and geological studies have provided a series of geochronological constraints based on closure temperatures of various minerals. A high heat flux (Fu et al., 2005) during the late Mesozoic proves the presence of hot crust (Moho temperature >800°C). Throughout this period, a “giant igneous event” is deemed a genetic link to lithospheric thinning. Several generations of Mesozoic plutons intrude in metamorphic rocks. Instead of addressing such timescales, we restrict the comparison to the metamorphic state of the dome. For the Liaonan MCC, the granitic intrusions were emplaced at 125-118 Ma, as shown by U-Pb zircon ages (Guo et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2005a). $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages of muscovite, hornblende, biotite and K-feldspar obtained from mylonite and migmatites are within 120-107 Ma (Yang et al., 2007). The synthetic cooling rate calculated from the thermo-mechanical models (Figure 7a) is in good agreement with experimental results, with a closure temperature of hornblende at 500 °C (Harrison, 1981), muscovite at 350 °C (Hames and Bowring, 1994), biotite at 300°C (Harrison et al., 1985), and K-feldspar at 200 °C (Lovera et al., 1989). A fast cooling rate is derived from the thermal gradient of approximately 40-55 °C/my (Figure 7b), which is consistent with syn-tectonic granites reported in the eastern part of the NCC (Lin et al., 2011; Ratschbacher et al., 2000; Wang and Li, 2008; Yang et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2008). The Yiwulüshan MCC, with a two-phase ‘fast’ cooling rate (Lin et al., 2013a) is not compared here because strong constraints for geochronological work are lacking. The occurrence of rapid cooling in migmatite-cored complexes is attributed to high geothermal gradients generating convective fluid flow and exhumation-driving heat advection.

5.3 Predicted P-T-t paths of MCC

To trace the thermal and pressure trajectory of MCCs, we used passive markers (500 markers in
the lower crust) whose P-T conditions are systematically stored at each model step during the computations. The initial P-T conditions of the “exhumed” markers are found in the lower crust within the supra-solidus range, suggesting that the corresponding lower crustal units ever experienced partial melting due to their relatively high temperature at the onset of the extensional process. The markers are mostly located in the medium to low P/T metamorphic facies series characterized by granulite-amphibolite facies (Figure 8). These do not cross the P-T domain of eclogite or blueschist facies, which typically represent HP to UHP rocks undergoing an orogenic collisional event or that would be expected in subduction zones. The results of our experiments (with normal crust) are rather inconsistent with the evidence from “common” MCCs (Huet et al., 2011a; Schenker et al., 2012) for either isobaric heating or isothermal decompression close to the metamorphic peak. In contrast, our models predict a rapid cooling at a rate of >30°C/My on average. We propose that such a P-T path may be applicable to rocks originating from extremely high temperature but low pressure regions. Moreover, the predicted P-T-t paths are very sensitive to the extension rate. At a slow extension rate, the trajectory exhibits linear decompression and cooling so that a preferentially solid-state metamorphism occurs in the case of a slow strain rate. At a fast extension rate, a nearly isothermal decompression occurs, which is consistent with the most common MCC scenarios (Rey et al., 2009; Schenker et al., 2012).

5.4 Melting and phase changes

An elliptical migmatitic core lithologically consists of well-developed metatexites, with either leucosome or melanosome layering that exhibits significant partial melting. However, following Huet et al. (2011a, 2011b), we did not implement partial melting and phase changes in our experiments, even though the numerical code used in this study can account for these processes.
(Angiboust et al., 2012; Burov and Gerya, 2014). Instead, in this study, we only estimated the initial melt fraction at a maximum of ~35% (Figure 8, inset) in the lower crust following the treatment from Schenker et al. (2012). This simplification is made due to the huge uncertainties of parameterization of the corresponding phenomena in crustal conditions. In particular, the metamorphic phase changes become largely metastable at temperatures below 500°C and largely depend on the amounts of free fluids. Similarly, the conditions for partial melting and melt migration are controlled by an abundance of poorly known factors, including fluid content, and dynamic strain and strain-dependent matrix permeability and porosity (Angiboust et al., 2012). In the absence of sufficient information in the NCC area, we have merely accounted for the effect of melting and phase changes by introducing strain-dependent brittle softening and varying the rheological properties of the ductile rocks.

6. Conclusions

Close similarities between the predictions of our numerical experiments, geological observations and cooling history evolution support the hypothesis of the possible development of a large-scale extension and MCCs in a non-over-thickened crust in the eastern NCC during the Late Mesozoic (Charles, 2010; Gumiaux et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2013a). In contrast with most previously published studies, we suggest that over-thickened orogenic crust is not a mandatory condition for MCC development. In particular, our study demonstrates the following:

1). There are two major modes of extension of hot non-over-thickened normal crusts: wide rifting and core complexes. The numerical thermo-mechanical models show that, under certain conditions, MCCs can develop on normal and non-over-thickened continental crust. These conditions basically indicate a high local geothermal gradient in the lithosphere, a rheologically stratified crust with a
weak ductile lower crust and a slow extension rate. MCC formation is consistently reproduced in the case of rheologically stratified crust with a weak lower crust and elevated Moho temperature (T>800°C).

2). The thickness of the mantle lithosphere only has a second-order effect on MCC development. In contrast, the crustal structure plays an important role in MCC development.

3). Strain softening provides an efficient mechanism for the development of crustal detachments rooted in the lower crust. The corresponding models demonstrate asymmetric deformation and topography evolution, while keeping the Moho surface nearly flat (compatible with the conventional definition of MCCs).

4). Surface erosion/sedimentation may induce separation of the syn-rift basin onto two basins: an “inactive” basin and an active supradetachment basin.
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Table 1

Parameters applied in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock</th>
<th>ρ(kg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>A(MPa$^{-n}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>H(kJ mol$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper Crust</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1.26×10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz-diorite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Crust</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1.26×10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz-diorite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet diorite</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>3.2×10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle Lithosphere</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>1×10$^{4}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compositional anomaly</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>3.2×10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>1.26×10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity constant g (m s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction angle φ (°)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohesion C₀ (MPa)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus E (GPa)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity k (W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.5(k$_c$)/3.3(k$_m$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansion α (K$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3×10$^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiabatic compressibility β (Pa$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>8.065×10$^{-12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiogenic production decay depth h$_r$ (km)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal heat production at surface H$_s$ (W kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>10$^{-9}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature T$_0$ (°C)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom temperature T$_b$ (°C)</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface heat flux q$_0$ (mW m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>75, 80, 85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extension velocity V (cm y$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.33, 0.66, 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of erosion k$_ero$ (m$^2$ a$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure captions

Figure 1. (a) Structural map of the eastern part of China characterizing the NW-SE wide rift systems during the Late Mesozoic and Cenozoic. The MCC distribution is divided into two categories by UHP rocks constraints. See reference from Charles et al., (2012) and Lin et al., (2013a). HH: Hohhot; YM: Yunmengshan; FS: Fangshan; YW: Yiwulüshan; GD: Gudaoling; LN: Liaonan; LL: Linglon; LU: Lutian; HZ: Hongzhen; LS: Lushan; (b) Kinematic extension processes interpreted in the restoration of a crustal cross-section accounting for the possible contribution of thermal erosion (the pink line indicates the average lateral strain rate, the orange dot shows the initial crustal thickness of 35 km before the MCC exhumation and the long-term strain rate of the K1s period (modified from Ge et al., 2012); (c) Cross-sections through the Yiwulüshan massif and Fuxin–Yixian basin (modified from Fig. 3B from Lin et al., 2013a and see profile location therein); (d) Cross-sections within the Liaonan massif and Wafangdian half-graben (modified from Fig. 3c from Lin et al., 2008 and see profile location therein).

Figure 2. (a) Model setup (see description in the text, Table 1 and Appendix in supplementary material). Three initial thermal profiles corresponding to different tested values of lithospheric thickness. q0 is the surface heat flux. Unilateral extension is applied at the right boundary (tested velocity range 0.33 to 1 cm/y). A prescribed initial intrusion (lower density) is located at a depth of 26-30 km. Bottom panel: rheological yield strength envelopes for different thermal gradients for (b) homogeneous crust and (c) stratified crust. Note the significance differences between the corresponding (e) effective viscosity profiles and the presence of a strong viscosity jump in the case of stratified crust (this jump results in an enhanced growth rate of extensional instabilities).

Figure 3. Snapshots of homogeneous (left column) and stratified (right column) models at times of
4.8, 9.6, and 22 Ma and \(q_0=85 \text{ mW/m}^2\). (b, d, f, h, j, l) Topography (a, c, e, g, i, k, colours refer to models with different thermal gradients shown in Figure 2). Note that the velocity is the same (0.33 cm/yr) in all experiments of this section.

**Figure 4.** Snapshots of the total shear stain distribution: formation (a-b) of a symmetric dome in the case of no strain softening and (c-d) formation of strongly asymmetric MCCs in the case of strain softening. Surface heat flux \(q_0=85 \text{ mW/m}^2\) and boundary velocity \(V=0.33 \text{ cm/y}\). (e) Sequential topography variation of asymmetric MCCs. (f) Influence of the extension velocity (0.33, 0.66, 1cm/y) on the topography evolution.

**Figure 5.** (a-b) Snapshots of the results of the experiments with stratified crust, strain softening and surface erosion. Black solid lines represent shear zones whose geometries are obtained from total shear strain profiles. B1: Initial basin at the first stage of evolution. B2l: the left inactive basin and B2r: the right supradetachment basin, both at the second stage of evolution. The scale of the basin is characterized by the width and depth of continuously deposited sediments. Panels (c, e): Influence of the diffusion coefficient on the temporal variations in basin width. The one with 750 m\(^2\)/y which exhibits constant growth, may be caused by the abnormal insensitivity to the surface load. In this scenario, the enlarged markers indicate the time of the superficial rupture of the basin within a narrow range of 2 Myrs (the grey shadow). Panels (d, f): Influence of the extension velocity on the temporal variation in the depth of the basin. In this scenario, the enlarged markers indicate the emergence of a third locus of uplift due to the ductile flow in the lower crust.

**Figure 6.** Effect of the lithospheric thickness on Moho undulation during the MCC deformation.

**Figure 7.** Model-predicted cooling rate compared with the experimental geochronological data from the Liaonan MCC. Flow paths of the passive markers are shown as pink lines in the phase diagrams.
**Figure 8.** Predicted P-T-t paths of the MCC. The passive markers are the same as those shown in Figure 7. The inset indicates the initial melt fraction at the crustal scale. Aluminium silicate stability diagram (dashed red line): Ky-kyanite, And-Andalusite, Sil-Sillimanite. PWS: politic wet solidus (Thompson, 1976), MS: muscovite + plagioclase + quartz = aluminumsilicate+ K-feldspar + liquid dehydration melting reaction (Thompson and Tracy, 1979), Pressure-temperature fields of metamorphic facies (dashed black line).
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Figure 1
Figure 2

(a) Schematic of a cross-section showing the temperature distribution, Moho depth, and crustal layers. The upper crust is labeled, followed by the lower crust and mantle lithosphere.

(b) YSE_Uni graph showing the depth vs. $\Delta \sigma$ (MPa) for different values of $q_f$: $q_f=75$ (green), $q_f=61$ (blue), and $q_f=85$ (red).

(c) YSE_Str graph highlighting the depth vs. $\Delta \sigma$ (MPa) at a velocity of $0.33$ cm/yr, with a zoom inset showing a truncated strength of the lower crust.

(d) Effective viscosity graph showing the depth vs. $\eta$ (log Pa.s) for a velocity of $0.33$ cm/yr, with inset details on the stratified and uniform crust.
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