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Abstract
The Aber-Ildut/North-Ouessant Variscan granitoid complex in the Armorican Massif is an example of high-K alkali-calcic zoned pluton, c. 304 Ma in age. A first magmatic batch intruded through a northern EW-trending sinistral transcurrent shear zone, before injecting southwards as a huge horizontal zoned sill, with moderately peraluminous muscovite-free granitoids in the north and strongly peraluminous muscovite-bearing leucogranites to the south. The second magmatic stage resulted in the intrusion of a large two-mica leucogranitic body from a root zone along the same shear zone, prior to the end of crystallization of the first injection. Finally, ultrapotassic dykes, including calc-alkalic lamprophyres (leucominettes), intrude the complex. The strongly peraluminous granites are interpreted as pure melting products of crustal clay-rich pelitic material. All the other petrographic types, including leucominettes, are thought to result from mixing of crustal melts and mantle-derived mafic liquids. Highly silicic tourmaline-bearing leucogranites are significantly affected by a tetrad effect, in relation with REE complexing behavior. Aber-Ildut/North-Ouessant granitoids probably formed during the Variscan late-orogenic stage associated with exhumation and lithospheric thinning, at low pressure and by advective heating of a ≤ 50 km-thick crust from hot metasomatized asthenosphere.

1. Introduction

High-K alkali-calcic – and calc-alkalic – granitoids are distinctive in their high potassium content and their lack of iron enrichment (Frost et al., 2001). Although many of
them are metaluminous, the most siliceous terms are peraluminous (aluminium saturation
index > 1). Peraluminous granitoids are mainly divided into S-type granites (Chappell and
White, 1974) and muscovite-leucogranites (Barbarin, 1999), two types clearly distinguished
on the basis of major elements by Patiño Douce (1999). Following this author, muscovite-
leucogranites are pure crustal melt, which is not the case for the S-type granitoids. Sylvester
(1998) proposed a supplemental category, with the individualization of strongly peraluminous
(SP) granites, when the aluminium saturation index is higher than 1.1.

Strongly peraluminous (SP) granites occur generally as isolated plutons within
overthickened crust in high pressure collision chains (Alps, Himalaya), and in association
with high-K calc-alkalic/alkali-calcic granitoids within ≤ 50km-thick crust in high
temperature chains (Variscides, Lachlan). Both granitoid types are commonly referred to as
late- or post-orogenic magmatism (Maniar and Piccoli, 1989; Sylvester, 1998; Barbarin, 1999;
Frost et al., 2001).

The Aber-Ildut/North-Ouessant granitoid complex (hereafter referred to as AI-NO)
belongs to a set of Variscan high-K plutons, c. 300 Ma in age, all located along the northern
coast of the Armorican massif (Chauris, 1994). Although divided into three submassifs, the
complex constitutes a coherent structure, rooted in a sinistral NE/SW-trending shear zone.
The three most remarkable features are: (1) the presence of a large spectrum of petrologic
varieties, ranging from quartz monzodiorites to tourmaline leucogranites and leucominettes;
(2) the fact that each unit sets up prior to the end of crystallization of that emplaced just
before: except for two petrographic types (late microgranitic and microsyenitic dykes), there
is on the field either a progressive transition from one unit to another or mingling relations
between them; and (3) the coexistence in a single pluton of high-K alkali-calcic moderately
peraluminous (MP) granitoids with contemporaneous both strongly peraluminous (SP)
leucogranites and calc-alkaline lamprophyres.
In this paper, a new set of geochronological, petrologic and geochemical data is presented to discuss the conditions of unit emplacements, the petrogenetic connection between the various granitic/lamprophyric magmas and, lastly, a source model with respect to the late orogenic Armorican context.

2. Analytical techniques

2.1. U/Pb zircon dating

Zircon grains were extracted by standard mineral separation and placed in epoxy resin. In order to avoid perturbed zones and inherited cores, the grains were polished to their equatorial plane, and then imaged by cathodoluminescence (CL; CamScan MV2300, Earth Sciences Institute, University of Lausanne, Switzerland). Dating was performed by laser ablation (LA) inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) at the Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology (ETH, Zurich, Switzerland), combining a Resonetics Resolution 155 excimer laser (ArF, 193 nm) to a Thermo Element XR magnetic sector mass spectrometer. Laser beam diameter of 30 microns and fluence of c. 2 J/cm² at a frequency of 5 Hz were used. After surface cleaning and wash out, the reading composed of c. 10 seconds of gas blank and 40 seconds of ablation signal started. Ablated material was carried by He flux (0.6 - 0.7 l/min) and sample gas (0.8 - 1.1 l/min) was homogenized by a Squid system.

A run of several unknowns was framed by analyses of GJ-1 as internal standard and of three natural zircons (Temora, Plešovice and 91500) to monitor the external reproducibility. Raw data were processed using Glitter software package (van Achtenberg et al., 2001), and the Concordia age and diagram were obtained by Isoplot/Ex v. 4.15 (Ludwig, 2008). Repeated
measurements on the GJ-1 gave an age of 602.0 ± 2.7 Ma (2 sigma, n = 14) and the Plešovice resultant age is 338.3 ± 2.2 Ma (2 sigma, n = 4), which is within error in the range of the certified value (337.13 ± 0.37 Ma, Sláma et al. 2008).

Zircon grains extracted from the porphyritic monzogranite 13DB05 (see the following section for the nomenclature) are pinkish, mostly of gem-quality, with few inclusions. They are prismatic, elongated (2:1) and can reach length up to 250 microns. CL images reveal nice oscillatory magmatic domains, sometimes convolute zones, with frequent inherited cores that can be easily determined. Even with a careful selection of grains, most of the dating analyses are not concordant and were thus disregarded (90% of our analyses). Roughly, half of these discordant ages shows clearly mixing with inherited fraction, and the second half with discordant young ages is affected by lead loss. For very few grains, the discordancy is so high that it is more probably linked to analytical problems with the $^{207}\text{Pb}$ detection.

2.2. Mineral and whole-rock analyses

Mineral compositions were determined using a Cameca SX-100 electron microprobe (Microsonde Ouest, Brest, France) with an acceleration voltage of 15kV, a beam current of 2nA and a beam diameter of 1 µm.

To get representative geochemical whole-rock analyses, at least 400 g of material for fine-grained samples and 800 g for coarse-grained samples were prepared. A statistical method of alternate shoveling was applied in view to homogenize the coarse gravel after a first grinding (Pitard, 1993).

Whole-rock major and trace elements and isotopic ratios were determined at the PSO/IUEM (Pôle Spectrométrie Océan, Institut Universitaire Européen de la Mer, Brest, France). Major elements were analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission
spectrometry (ICP-AES) using a Horiba Jobin Yvon® Ultima 2 spectrometer and following the analytical procedure of Cotten et al. (1995). They were determined from an H$_3$BO$_3$ solution, boron being used as an internal standard. Relative standard deviations are < 2% (< 1% for SiO$_2$), except for low values (< 0.50 wt%), for which the absolute standard deviation is ± 0.01 wt%. Trace elements were determined by high-resolution ICP-MS, using a Thermo Element 2 spectrometer, following the sample preparation and analytical procedure described by Barrat et al. (1996, 2000), who have developed a routine method using an ion-exchange chromatography procedure. Aliquots of the mother solutions were spiked with a solution of pure Tm and then evaporated to dryness. Relative standard deviations are < 5% for most of trace elements and < 10% for Nb, Gd, Tb, Ta, Ni, Zn and Rb. Because of zircon resistance against dissolution, a second method was tested to improve Zr and Hf concentrations in some granitic samples. Thus, seven zircon-bearing samples were analyzed a second time by using high pressure Teflon vessel bombs, in association with HClO$_4$, following the sample preparation of Révillon and Hureau-Mazaudier (2009). However, this method was not selected, as leading to erratic results for the rare earth elements, without any significant changes in mean concentrations. Consequently, the Zr and Hf data presented here have to be considered with caution and are not shown in any diagram.

Sr isotopic ratios were measured by thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS), while Nd isotopic ratios were determined using a multicollector ICP-MS (PSO/IFREMER, Brest, France). The protocol for element separation is described in Richard et al. (1976) and White and Patchett (1984).

3. Geology

3.1. Regional context
The Late Variscan development of the Armorican orogenic belt is dominated by large-scale strike-slip tectonics, coeval to 308-300 Ma-old synkinematic granitic intrusions along two ductile shear zones: the South Armorican dextral Shear Zone (Berthé et al., 1979), and the Plouguerneau-Guisseny sinistral Shear Zone (PGSZ), in the Leon Domain (Chauris, 1994). In the latter area, the Aber-Ildut/North-Ouessant complex roots to the north along the steeply-dipping and NE-/SW-striking PGSZ that extends further west along the two dextrally offset branches of the North Ouessant Shear Zone (NOSZ) (Fig. 1b, c) (Le Gall et al., 2014).

It is a composite intrusion, comprising three submassifs, namely the North Ouessant (NO), the West Aber-Ildut (W-AI) and the East Aber-Ildut (E-AI) submassifs. The complex cuts through metamorphic and granitic terranes of the Léon Domain (Figs. 1a and b) (Ballèvre et al., 2009; Faure et al., 2010).

The earlier and polyphased tectono-metamorphic history of the Léon hosted terranes started in the time-range 440-340 Ma with a HP metamorphic event, coeval to northerly-directed thrusting during an early oceanic subduction to collision phase. The following stage (340-300 Ma) resulted in the exhumation of deeply-buried units associated with migmatites in HT metamorphic conditions during a transtensional, and locally pure transcurrent shear regime, synchronously to multistage granitic intrusions (Paquette et al., 1987; Schultz et al., 2007; Marcoux et al., 2009; Faure et al., 2010; Schultz, 2013; Le Gall et al., 2014). Dextral shearing along the North Armorican Shear Zone (NASZ) was accompanied by the intrusion of the 316.0 ± 2.0 Ma (U/Pb zircon ages) St-Renan-Kersaint synkinematic granite (Le Gall et al., 2014). This event just predated the c. 300 Ma magmatic and tectonic activities studied in this paper, assigned to late syn-convergence processes in response to a NS-directed shortening.
3.2 Sampling and nomenclature

Most samples were collected in the northern part of the Ouessant Island (NO, Fig. 1c) and along the western coastal sector of the Aber-Ildut pluton (W-AI, Fig. 1d). Two additional samples were taken in the eastern sector of the Aber-Ildut pluton (E-AI, Fig. 1b) and one offshore sample (C34, Fig. 1b) was cored by gravity Cnexo-ville rock core (Le Gall et al., 2014). In addition to magmatic rocks, two metasedimentary xenoliths (AI11 and AI27) were sampled in the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d).

Granitoid nomenclature is based on the Q’ vs. ANOR diagram of Fig. 2, derived empirically by Streckeisen and Le Maitre (1979) from the IUGS classification of Streckeisen (1976) and considered as its CIPW normative equivalent. This diagram is based on the normative parameters $100Q/(Q + Ab + Or + An)$, representing silica saturation, and $100An/(An + Or)$ that reflects the relative abundance of calcium and potassium in the rocks.

Sample OS11, which plots in the lower part of the Afs granite field, displays a mineralogy of Afs quartz microsyenite (see below) and, thus, will be considered as such. Lamprophyres are classified by using the CaO-SiO$_2$/10-4TiO$_2$ diagram of Rock (1987) in Fig. 3. AI lamprophyres plot in the calc-alkalic field.

3.3 Geochronology

The W-AI porphyritic monzogranite 13DB05 yielded a Concordia age of 303.8 ± 0.89 Ma (1 sigma, MSWD = 2.9), slightly older than its $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 302.8 ± 2.0 Ma (1 sigma, MSWD = 0.56) (Supplementary Table S1 and Fig. 4). This Concordia age is consistent with previously published ages of: 301.4 ± 4.3 Ma (U-Pb/TIMS) for the AI porphyritic monzogranite (Marcoux et al., 2009); 292.6 ± 2.7 Ma (Ar/Ar) for the PGSZ mylonites.
(Marcoux et al., 2009). These ages typically concern one of the latest Variscan events in the Armorican Massif (see Ballèvre et al., 2009, for a review).

3.4 Field relationships

Granitoids located close to both the PGSZ and the NOSZ exhibit C/S-type tectonic fabrics indicating ductile sinistral shearing. Away from the shear zones, granitoids are nearly unstrained and still contain preserved magmatic flow structures outlined by Afs megacryst orientation and elongated enclaves (Fig. 5a).

Porphyritic monzogranites (Fig. 5a, b, d) form the main part of the Aber-Ildut pluton, since constituting two-thirds of the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d), and cropping out locally in the eastern sector (Fig. 1b). Porphyritic syenogranites occur in the southern sector of the W-AI submassif, but not at its edge (Fig. 1d), and in the central part of the Ouessant Island (Fig. 1c). Two-mica leucogranites are the prominent facies in the E-AI submassif (Fig. 1b). They also occur as intrusive bodies of various sizes in the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d). Mingling structures, observed north of the W-AI submassif, reveal that the monzogranites have been intruded by the leucogranites prior to their complete solidification. The northern part of Ouessant is exclusively composed of two-mica leucogranites (Figs. 1c and 5c, e). Sample C34 confirms the extension of this facies offshore (Fig. 1b). Microgranites constitute a dense NS-trending dyke network cutting through the central part of the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d). They are a few meters wide and can be up to 3 km long. The systematic presence of fine-grained chilled margins typically attests that their emplacement postdates the crystallization of their granitic host. Tourmaline-bearing leucogranites are exposed at the southern edge of the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d).
Very abundant ovoid quartz-monzodioritic or granodioritic enclaves are included in monzo-/syenogranites in the W-AI submassif (Fig. 1d). In some cases, it can be noticed that orthose megacrysts have migrated from the host granite into enclaves, hence demonstrating that both granodiorites and quartz monzodiorites were liquid at the time of their incorporation into granites (Fig. 5a). Along the NS coastal section, the orientation of elongated enclaves changes southwards from a N70°E direction, i.e. parallel to the PGSZ, to a submeridian orientation, i.e. nearly perpendicular to the PGSZ (Fig. 1d). Two large areas of quartz monzodiorites, with mingling structures (Fig. 5c), are exposed in the Ouessant Island (Fig. 1c).

Two kinds of ultrapotassic dykes are identified in the AI-NO complex: calc-alkalic lamprophyres in the W-AI submassif and alkali feldspar (Afs) quartz microsyenites in North-Ouessant. Lamprophyric dykes are a few meters wide and can be up to several hundred meters long (Fig. 5d). They have a NS direction, consistent with a submeridian compression (Rolet et al., 1986). Their emplacement predates the end of crystallization of their monzo-/syenogranitic host-rocks, as evidenced by the presence of mingling structures along the contact. By contrast, in the North Ouessant area, unstrained dykes of Afs quartz microsyenites postdate their sheared two-mica leucogranite host-rock.

4. Petrology and mineralogy

4.1. Porphyritic monzogranites

Porphyritic monzogranites are typically characterized by 35 x 15 mm-sized (sometimes > 50 mm) red orthoclase megacrysts (Fig. 5b). Their color is probably due to iron oxide impurities (Chauris, 1994). Quartz, andesine, apatite-bearing biotite, ilmenite and rare
4.1. Monzogranites

Titanite and zircon are also present. Muscovite and amphibole are lacking. Monzogranite biotites plot at the boundary between calc-alkalic and peraluminous fields in the discrimination diagram of Abdel-Rahman (1994) in Fig. 6a.

4.2. Syenogranites

To the naked eyes, porphyritic syenogranites resemble the previous monzogranite facies. However, the orthoclase megacrysts are slightly smaller and generally colorless, except in Ouessant, where they are red. The mineralogy of porphyritic syenogranites differs from those of monzogranites with the oligoclase composition of its plagioclases, as well as with the presence of very few muscovite crystals. The gradual transition from the porphyritic monzogranitic facies into the syenogranitic one is part of the spatial magmatic zonation leading progressively to the W-AI south border facies (Fig. 1d).

The two-mica syenogranites form a first peripheral strip in the southern part of the W-AI submassif: they crop out south of the porphyritic syenogranites and in the southeastern edge of the area (Fig. 1d). The corresponding rocks have a coarse texture and contain only sparse orthoclase megacrysts. The muscovite/biotite ratio increases progressively southwards: biotite is more abundant than muscovite in the northern part of the strip and the opposite is true near the limit with the southern leucogranites (Chauris, 1994).

All syenogranite biotites plot in the peraluminous field in the Fig. 6a discrimination diagram.

4.3. Alkali feldspar leucogranites
The two-mica (micro)leucogranites display varied petrographic facies (presence or not of a few alkali feldspar megacrysts, coarse- versus fine-grained size, variable muscovite/biotite ratio), but all of them are clear-colored and contain orthoclase or microcline, quartz, oligoclase-albite, muscovite, biotite, apatite, and sometimes zircon. Andalusite crystals have been identified in the sample AI19 (Supplementary Table S2). Late-to post-magmatic tourmaline occurs as either disseminated cluster or tourmaline-filled veins. 

Tourmaline leucogranites are very clear rocks containing dark tourmaline crystals, often more than 1 cm long, disseminated in the groundmass. Note that the AI3a tourmalines are more magnesian that the AI2 ones (Supplementary Table S2). The only other colored mineral is poikilitic red garnet, a few millimeters in diameter (Fig. 5f). Its composition is dominated by almandine (0.71 - 0.61) and spessartine (0.28 - 0.38) (Supplementary Table S2). Biotite is lacking. Colorless minerals are microcline, albite, quartz and muscovite (Fig. 5f). Near the AI2 sampling point (Fig. 1d), a greisen-type alteration results locally in newly-formed quartz and muscovite (Chauris, 1994). Tourmaline and garnet are resistant to this alteration.

All leucogranite biotites plot in the peraluminous field in Fig. 6a.

4.4. Enclaves

4.4.1. Granodiorites and quartz monzodiorites

The corresponding dark and fine-grained rocks contain plagioclase, quartz, orthose, biotite, titanite, Fe-Ti oxides and minor apatite; green hornblende occurs in the quartz monzodiorite OS1. Quartz monzodiorites only differ from granodiorites by their greater plagioclase content, present as phenocrysts in the sample AI28. Biotites in the granodiorite AI6b plot in the peraluminous field in Fig. 6a, near the boundary with the calc-alkalic field.
4.4.2. Metasedimentary xenoliths

Sample AI11 is a diatexite made up of large leucocratic veins (quartz, orthose, plagioclase, muscovite, biotite and minor apatite), together with a diffuse restite network of biotite-rich veinlets. Sample AI27 is an ancient pelitic rock recrystallized into hornfels. It is composed of a fine-grained matrix of polygonal quartz, biotite and plagioclase, crossed by thin coarse-grained leucocratic veins, containing perthitic orthoclase, quartz and subordinate biotite. Muscovite is confined to some narrow zones, which might outline previous sedimentary beds with different composition.

4.5. Ultrapotassic dykes

Lamprophyres are melanocratic hypabyssal igneous rocks with porphyritic fine-grained textures carrying hydrous mafic phenocrysts (Rock, 1987, 1991). Feldspars are generally restricted to the groundmass (Prelević et al, 2004). Calc-alkalic lamprophyres are commonly associated with granites of same affinity. Aber-Ildut lamprophyres are mica-phyric fine-grained rocks (Fig. 5g). The brown mica phenocrysts, up to 2 mm long, have a Mg-Ti-rich biotite composition. They can form clusters, a few millimeters in diameter. Groundmass micas are also biotites, or Fe-rich phlogopites, which have a less pronounced brown color. Biotites plot in the calc-alkalic field in Fig. 6a; biotites and phlogopites plot in the calc-alkalic lamprophyre fields in the discrimination diagrams of Rock (1987) in Fig. 6b. The other primary phases are: orthoclase, which forms the main part of the groundmass, quartz, sometimes corroded, rare plagioclase, augite-diopside, and apatite, quite abundant in the groundmass, which also occurs in the form of 2 mm-long phenocrysts in a few samples. Alteration is expressed by kaolinization of K-feldspars, partial chloritization of micas and
amygdale filling with quartz and epidote. These calc-alkalic lamprophyres have a minette-type mineralogy (biotite phenocrysts and alkali feldspar dominant in the groundmass), according to the criteria of Rock (1991) and Prelević et al. (2004).

Although the dyke sample OS11 plots in the alkali feldspar granite field (Fig. 2), its mineralogy is rather consistent with that of an alkali feldspar quartz microsyenite. It is a subaphyric cryptocrystalline rock. Small phenocrysts of orthose, chloritized biotite, sparse altered oligoclase and euhedral apatite are immersed in a groundmass mainly made up of orthose, quartz, and subordinate biotite and augite. Secondary quartz, sometimes surrounded by chlorite needles, fills a few spherical amygdales.

5. Geochemistry

5.1. Major elements

All the Al-NO available major and trace element compositions are presented in Table 1. Among the four geochemical discrimination diagrams versus SiO₂ shown in Fig. 7, three of them were used by Frost et al. (2001) in their classification scheme, whereas the fourth one is the classical K₂O-SiO₂ diagram of Peccerillo and Taylor (1976).

The Fe* diagram in Fig. 7a can be used to distinguish ‘magnesian’ from ‘ferroan’ granitoids, as proposed by Frost et al. (2001). Samples that plot in the magnesian field have not necessarily mole proportion of Mg higher than Fe, they are just relatively Mg enriched compared with the whole population of granitoids. Following Frost et al. (2001), magnesian compositions would be consistent with hydrous, oxidizing magmas. All the Al-NO analyzed samples are magnesian, except one syenogranite and the more silicic leucogranites.
The MALI (modified alkali-lime index) diagram in Fig. 7b allows the characterization of granitoid compositional series. With the exception of the ultrapotassic rocks and one tourmaline leucogranite, all the AI-NO analyzed magmatic samples follow – or are very close to – the alkali-calcic trend. This feature, also visible in the Q’-ANOR diagram in Fig. 2, has been considered elsewhere as a specific attribute of post-tectonic Paleozoic granitoids in the Irish and British Variscides (Frost et al., 2001).

The ASI (aluminium saturation index) diagram in Fig. 7c can be used to discriminate peraluminous (ASI > 1) from metaluminous (ASI < 1; Na + K < Al) and peralkaline rocks (ASI < 1; Na + K > Al). The expression of the ASI, including P$_2$O$_5$ (Fig. 7c), takes into account the presence of apatite. If ASI > 1.1, rocks are considered as strongly peraluminous (SP) (Sylvester, 1998). The SP granites are commonly thought to originate from a pure sedimentary source (Sylvester, 1998; Frost et al., 2001). The whole set of the AI-NO analyzed samples – except one lamprophyre and the NO quartz monzodiorite – is peraluminous; all the leucogranites are strongly peraluminous, together with most of the syenogranites.

Peraluminosity increases roughly with SiO$_2$. The K$_2$O versus SiO$_2$ diagram in Fig. 7d shows that the AI-NO plutonic association corresponds to a high-K/ultra-K magmatism. The Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 plots high above the high-K/shoshonitic limit, together with the hornfels AI27. Lamprophyres have also very high K$_2$O contents (> 5 wt %) and plot in the calc-alkalic lamprophyre field of Rock (1987).

The SiO$_2$ and MgO contents of the AI-NO calc-alkalic lamprophyres (55.5 – 57.3 and 3.6 – 3.8 wt%, respectively, Table 1) matches those of western Serbia leucominettes (50.5 < SiO$_2$ < 61.0 wt%; 2.6 < MgO < 7.5 wt%: Prelević et al., 2004). They are distinct from the post-Variscan Mg-rich minettes of SW England (48.0 < SiO$_2$ < 52.9 wt%; 5.3 < MgO < 8.1
wt%: Leat et al., 1987; see also Figs. 3 and 7d), despite similar geological context and age (c. 290 Ma).

Variations of MgO, Al₂O₃, and P₂O₅ relative to SiO₂ are rather regular from leucominettes to leucogranites (Fig. 8a-c). It can be noticed that (1) the quartz monzodiorite Al28 and the hornfels Al27 are enriched in Al₂O₃ (> 17 wt%) with respect to the other samples; (2) the ultrapotassic rocks are especially enriched in P₂O₅, which reflects the abundance in apatite; and (3) the Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 has a composition that plots between the leucominettes and the porphyritic syenogranites.

5.2. Trace elements

A selection of trace elements having contrasted magmatic behaviors is shown in function of SiO₂ in the diagrams in Fig. 8d-f. Except for the ultrapotassic rocks and the metasedimentary xenoliths, the evolutionary trends of Ba, Eu and Y are fairly regular. Leucominettes are characterized by high Y and Eu values, and more especially by huge Ba contents (> 5000 ppm). The Afs quartz microsyenite displays geochemical features comparable, but attenuated, to those of the lamprophyres.

AI-NO moderately peraluminous (MP) rocks (quartz monzodiorites, granodiorites and monzogranites) are enriched in light and middle rare earth elements (LREE and MREE, respectively) with respect to heavy REE (HREE). The corresponding distribution patterns are very close to each other (Fig. 9a).

AI-NO strongly peraluminous (SP) granitoids (syenogranites – Fig. 9a – and leucogranites – Fig. 9b) display lower REE patterns, with more marked Eu anomalies. Note the remarkable resemblance between the patterns of OS4 (NO two-mica leucogranite) and AI32 (W-AI two-mica microleucogranite), in agreement with the other geochemical diagrams.
Compared with the two-mica rocks, the tourmaline leucogranites AI2 and AI3a are depleted in REE (except in Yb and Lu) and especially in Eu. In addition, their REE behavior does not gradually change into smooth curvilinear patterns, as usual, from La to Lu: the lanthanides can be subdivided into four groups with different pattern shapes, separated by slope breaks (Fig. 9b). In particular, groups 1 (La, Ce, Pr, Nd) and 3 (Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho) are characterized by the parallelism of the corresponding AI2 and AI3a concave-down curve sectors.

5.3. Isotopes

The Sr and Nd isotopic ratios are presented in Table 2. In the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^1$ vs. initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ($t = 304$ Ma) diagram in Fig. 10a, there are clear relationships between the isotopic composition and the petrologic characteristics of the samples. The MP granitoid samples (AI6b and AI26) plot close to both the leucominette AI33 and the Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 ($0.705613 < \text{Sr}^{87}/\text{Sr}^{86}_i < 0.706609 ; -3.00 < \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{304} < -1.58$; Table 2); the SP leucogranitic samples have higher initial $\text{Sr}^{87}/\text{Sr}^{86}$ ratio and lower initial $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ ($0.706538 < \text{Sr}^{87}/\text{Sr}^{86}_i < 0.713548 ; -5.43 < \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{304} < -4.36$). The migmatite AI11 has a leucogranite-type isotopic composition ($\text{Sr}^{87}/\text{Sr}^{86}_i = 0.709571 ; \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{304} = -5.29$); by contrast, the hornfels AI27 displays an original isotopic composition, with a high $\text{Sr}^{87}/\text{Sr}^{86}$ ratio (0.719068) and a very low $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{304}$ of -12.17 (Table 2).

The isotopic compositions of the AI-NO hornfels and leucogranites fit with those of pelites and peraluminous late-Variscan granites, respectively, from the Spanish Central Region (Fig. 10a) (Villaseca et al., 1998).

6. Discussion
6.1. Emplacement of the AI-NO complex

The preferred orientation of quartz monzodiorite/granodiorite enclaves in the coastal W-AI submassif (i.e., parallel to the PGSZ near the shear zone, perpendicular to the PGSZ southwards, and randomly oriented close to the southern edge, Fig. 1d), once combined to the petrological data presented in section 4, is consistent with the following two-stage emplacement model previously applied by Jamgotchian (1986) and Chauris (1991, 1994) to the AI pluton.

A first magmatic arrival rose through the PGSZ conduit, before injecting southward as a huge horizontal sill (Fig. 11). The observed north-south compositional gradient implies that tourmaline leucogranites were emplaced prior to syenogranites that in turn predate monzogranites. As a first approximation, the progressive petrological transition, marked by a noticeable muscovite enrichment southwards, could be consistent with the existence of a stratified reservoir at depth, with leucocratic magmas at the top and monzogranitic (± Q-monso/granodioritic) liquids at the bottom. Another possibility would be to consider disconnected evolution of heterogeneous liquids within different reservoirs, with magmas using a same pathway when emplaced in the upper crust. A similar crustal ascent path through the NOSZ can be envisaged for the Ouessant porphyritic syenogranite.

During the second stage, two-mica leucogranitic bodies were intruded along the PGSZ/NOSZ, before the end of crystallization of the first injection. They currently form the northern part of the W-AI pluton, nearly all the E-AI pluton, and the northern sector of Ouessant. Field relationships suggest that the other occurrences of two-mica leucogranites, such as C34- and AI5-type intrusions (Fig. 1b, d), could correspond to residual inliers of eroded initially horizontal sill units, overlying syeno-/monzogranites that rooted further north along the PGSZ/NOSZ (Fig. 11).
Finally, the AI-NO complex was intruded by three distinct dyke swarms comprising:

(1) leucominettes, emplaced before the end of crystallization of the previous units; (2) two-mica microleucogranites, and (3) Afs quartz microsyenites, both postdating crystallization in the plutonic complex.

6.2. Petrogenesis of the SP/MP granitoids

The proposed emplacement model for the AI-NO complex is consistent with one or several reservoir(s) containing both strongly (SP) and moderately peraluminous (MP) magmas, but it leads to questions about the source of these two types of magmas and the possible genetic links between them.

Although fractional crystallization is a process invoked by several authors to explain chemical variations in various granitic complexes (e.g., Cocherie et al., 1994; Ramírez and Grundvig, 2000), such a mechanism is unsuitable in the AI-NO case, for two reasons: (1) pure fractional crystallization is not consistent with variations of initial isotopic ratios (Fig. 10a), and (2) subaluminous minerals (e.g., amphibole, apatite, titanite), likely to increase peraluminosity in silicic residual liquids by fractionating, are either absent or rare in the studied rocks.

Assimilation coupled with fractional crystallization (AFC) might be a key to resolve these two problems. Indeed, crustal contamination might have caused both isotopic variations and increase in the peraluminosity degree from MP to SP magmas. Results of Villaseca et al. (1998) about Variscan granitic batholiths of Spanish Central Region (CSR), displaying Sr-Nd isotopic compositions of both granitic and country rocks comparable to those of the AI-NO complex (Fig. 10a), have shown that: (1) AFC modeling implies either an unrealistically high mantle contribution (for a pelitic contamination) or an excessively elevated assimilation rate
(for a contamination with meta-igneous material), and (2) none of the metamorphic country rocks has the appropriate isotopic composition to satisfy the origin of the granites. It has been noted above about the AI metasedimentary samples that only the initial Sr-Nd isotopic composition of the migmatite AI11 matches those of the strongly peraluminous AI-NO granites (Fig. 10a). The hornfels AI 27 have a less radiogenic \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) initial ratio and a more radiogenic \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) initial ratio than the granites (Fig. 10a). Consequently, it cannot be regarded as a potential contaminant in an AFC model. Such a feature corresponds to that described by Villaseca et al. (1998).

Most authors consider that SP granites strictly originate from crustal melting processes (Sylvester, 1998; Ramírez and Grundvig, 2000; Frost et al., 2001; Eyal et al., 2004; Antunes et al., 2008), whereas MP high-K calc-alkalic/alkali-calcic granites instead would result from interaction between crustal- and mantle-derived melts (Wenzel et al., 1997; Rottura et al., 1998; Sylvester, 1998; Patiño Douce, 1999; Eyal et al., 2004) (see section 6.5. for a detailed discussion of this second point about AI-NO granitoids). In this way, Villaseca et al. (1998) have proposed a crustal-derived model, with limited-scale mixing between mafic and felsic magmas, to account for the genesis of the Central Spain SP/MP peraluminous granites. To explain the isotopic difference between metapelites and granites, they considered the possibility of disequilibrium partial melting in high-grade anatectic areas. Indeed, Barbero et al. (1995) showed that the pelite-derived anatectic leucogranites from Toledo (Spain) have systematically higher initial \(\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) and lower initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) than the regional unmelted metapelites. Such initial isotopic differences between a protolith and its melted products are thought to be controlled by both the kinetic of the melting and the stoichiometry of the reaction (Hammouda et al., 1994). Following Barbero et al. (1995) and Villaseca et al. (1998), the differences would result from an enrichment in biotite and accessory minerals of the migmatitic mesosomes, relative to the leucosomes during partial melting (Fig. 10b). In the AI-
NO complex under study, the isotopic difference observed between the slightly melted metapelitic hornfels AI27 and the leucosome-rich migmatite AI11 could be satisfactorily explained by such an isotopic disequilibrium model (arrow in Fig. 10a). Consequently, in spite of their contrasted initial isotopic composition, the couple AI11/AI27 could be a good candidate for matching the – probably heterogeneous – protolith of the AI-NO strongly peraluminous leucogranites.

6.3. Tetrad effect in leucogranites

The tetrad effect, first defined by Peppard et al. (1969), reflects a process affecting the REE complexing behavior (Bau, 1997; Irber, 1999). Masuda et al. (1987) described concave-down tetrad REE patterns in extracted magmatic liquids. Since then, such specific REE patterns have been observed in several highly silicic granites (Bau, 1997; Irber, 1999; Jahn et al., 2001). In chondrite-normalized REE diagrams, the tetrad effect is readily identifiable by the presence of four sectors of four elements separated at three positions: between Nd and Pm; at Gd; and between Ho and Er. The tetrad effect is generally accompanied by modified geochemical behavior of many non-REE trace elements, leading to variations in ratios known to remain constant, such as K/Rb, K/Ba or Zr/Hf (Irber, 1999; Jahn et al., 2001).

REE patterns of the two W-AI tourmaline leucogranites seem to reveal a tetrad effect, with the individualization of four sectors, numbered from 1 to 4 in Fig. 9b. To test this hypothesis, and also to find out if that process affects other AI-NO granites, we have plotted in Fig. 12 two diagrams with trace element ratios known to be sensitive to tetrad effect (K/Ba and Eu/Eu* in function of the parameter $TE_{1,3}$ of Irber (1999). The latter expression, developed in Table 1, can be used to quantify the degree of tetrad effect on the first and third REE tetrads, where the phenomenon is generally most obvious. K/Ba is positively correlated
with TE$_{1,3}$ (Fig. 12a), whereas Eu/Eu* is negatively correlated (Fig. 12b). The latter diagram shows clear correlation between magnitude of the Eu anomaly and the degree of tetrad effect. It follows that the tetrad effect concerns, to a varying degree, all the AI-NO granites, all the more so as they are silicic.

The tetrad effect reflects the influence of chemical complexation. It is commonly interpreted as the result of the opening of a magmatic system at the ultimate stages of its evolution, with intense interaction of the residual melts with F- and Cl-rich aqueous hydrothermal fluids (Irber, 1999; Jahn et al., 2001). In the AI pluton, it might be in relation with the greisen alteration observed close to the AI2 sampling point.

6.4. Origin of the ultrapotassic rocks

The origin of the calc-alkalic lamprophyres is still a matter of debate. However, there is consensus to consider minettes as representing small-degree melts that form within the lithospheric mantle at depths $\geq$ 50 km (Rogers et al., 1982; Wyman and Kerrich, 1993). Their unusual geochemistry is generally explained by partial melting of a lithospheric mantle source metasomatized by fluids derived from subducted material (Carmichael et al., 1996; Wenzel et al., 1997; Hoch et al., 2001). More felsic minettes or leucominettes have been interpreted by Prelević et al. (2004) as the result of hybridization between crustal-derived dacite-type magmas and mantle-derived lamproitic melts, an idea previously put forward by Rock (1991). Following Prelević et al. (2004), such a mixing mechanism is thought to be universally applicable to the origin of (leuco)minettes associated with granites in late- or post-orogenic settings.

The isotopic composition of the leucominette AI33, close to that of the granodiorites and the monzogranites (Fig. 10a), is neither purely mantleic (i.e. with positive $\varepsilon^{143}$Nd) nor
purely crustal (i.e. close to the SP granite composition). This feature suggests that
leucominettes likely result from mixing – or interaction – between crustal- and mantle-derived
products, in agreement with the model of Prelević et al. (2004).

In many diagrams in Fig. 8, the Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 plots in lines linking
leucominettes and porphyritic syenogranites. To check the hypothesis that OS11 resulted from
a mixing between these two magmatic types, a mass balance calculation test on major
elements (least-square approach of Wright and Doherty, 1970) has been performed with the
porphyritic syenogranite AI7 and the leucominette 13DB07. This test indicates that OS11
possibly originates by mixing 46.6 % of AI7 with 53.4 % of 13BD07 (sum of the squared
residuals $\Sigma R^2 = 1.03$, with weighting factors of 0.5 for CaO and K$_2$O). Such a model is
consistent with the isotopic similarity between the leucominette AI33, the monzogranite AI26
– the syenogranite AI7, not analyzed for isotopes, is thought to have a similar isotopic
composition – and OS11 (Fig. 10a). Nevertheless, additional fractionation/accumulation of
MREE-bearing minerals (such as apatite) is required to account for the respective shapes of
AI7 and OS11 REE patterns (Fig. 9b).

6.5. Multistage mixing

It has been argued in section 6.4. that the AI leucominettes might result from
hybridization between crustal- and mantle-derived materials, probably between SP-type and
lamproitic melts (Prelević et al., 2004). In addition, the NO Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 is
interpreted as the mixing product of syenogranitic and lamprophyric magmas. Finally, many
authors suggested that MP calc-alkaline/alkali-calcic granites also result from interaction
between crustal- and mantle-derived melts (Patiño Douce, 1999; Eyal et al., 2004).
In order to address these several mixing hypotheses, the AI-NO data are plotted in Patiño Douce’s (1999) diagrams, together with field contours of various peraluminous granites and experimental curves (Fig. 13), and in Sylvester’s (1998) diagrams, especially devoted to SP granites (Fig. 14), where calculated curves are also indicated. In Fig. 13 diagrams, the AI-NO SP granites plot into the leucocratic field of Patiño Douce (1999), whereas the AI-NO MP granitoids fit with S-type granites. In the three diagrams in Fig. 13, mafic pelites are located near the intersection of leucocratic and S-type fields (Patiño Douce, 1999). The reaction curves model the melt compositions produced by hybridization of olivine tholeiite with metapelite both at high (1.2-1.5 GPa) and low pressures (< 0.5 GPa). These diagrams imply that: (i) the AI-NO SP leucogranites have a crustal origin, perhaps pelitic; (ii) if the metasedimentary xenoliths AI11 and AI27 represent really the protolith, as suggested by their isotopic compositions in Fig. 10a, their major element chemistry has apparently been slightly modified during melting and metamorphism; (iii) the AI-NO MP granitoids – plus leucominettes and OS11 – can result from mixing between pelitic-derived melt(s) and mafic liquid(s); (iv) mixing occurs at low pressures (≤ 0.5 GPa) (Fig. 13b, c).

The CaO/Na₂O vs. Al₂O₃/TiO₂ diagram in Fig. 14a shows the late-orogenic SP granites field defined by Sylvester (1998), together with a mixing curve between basalt and pelite-derived melt (see Fig. 14a for more details). All the AI-NO syeno- and leucogranites plot within the Sylvester’s (1998) SP field, except the tourmaline-leucogranites. The AI-NO MP granitoid field, to which can be added leucominettes and OS11, extends parallel to the mixing curve, slightly shifted to the left. This feature can be interpreted as indicating that the AI-NO MP granitoids result from mixing between both pelite-derived melts and mafic magmas slightly different from those used for the modeling. By contrast, the AI-NO MP data fit perfectly with the calculated mixing curve between similar components in the Rb/Ba vs.
Rb/Sr diagram in Fig. 14b. Note that leucominettes plot just near the basalt end-member. The most probable source for the AI-NO SP granites is clay-rich pelitic materials. Tourmaline-leucogranites display very high Rb/Sr and Rb/Ba ratios, probably in response to the tetrad effect, which affects them (Fig. 12). The migmatite AI11 plots very close to the calculated pelite-derived melt.

Analyzing the diagrams in Figs. 13 and 14 confirms that the geochemical diversity of the AI-NO granitoid complex likely results from mixing of different end-members material comprising (1) a clay-rich pelitic (crustal) component, (2) mantle-derived lamproitic, and/or (3) mafic melts. In any case, mantle metasomatism is a plausible mechanism to explain the genesis of fluid-rich lamprophyric products – i.e. unsampled lamproites and/or mafic minettes, thought to be at the origin of the analyzed leucominettes by hybridization with crustal liquids. Late-orogenic AI-NO granitoids probably formed at low pressure (Figs. 13b and c), in agreement with metamorphic and structural data indicating that, at 330-290 Ma, the Leon Domain is the locus of strong crustal exhumation and transtension (Schulz et al., 2007; Le Gall et al., 2014). These granitoids are thus produced by lithospheric thinning with advective heating of a ≤ 50 km-thick crust from hot metasomatized asthenosphere (Sylvester, 1998) (Fig. 15). As underlined by Patiño Douce (1999), the nature of the chemical interactions between the continental crust and invading mafic magmas is probably complex, and assimilation, fractional crystallization, crystal accumulation and fluid transfer processes might have interfered in addition to multistage magma mixing.

7. Conclusions

1. The late-Variscan Aber-Ildut/North-Ouessant (AI-NO) complex is an association of late-orogenic high-K alkali-calcic granitoids dated at 303.8 ± 0.89 Ma. It comprises an early
maggmatic intrusion that rooted vertically along the PGSZ/NOSZ conduit, before being
injected southwards as a huge horizontal zoned sill. A progressive enrichment in muscovite
southwards can be noted. A second magmatic stage resulted in the intrusion of a large
leucogranitic body, prior to the end of crystallization of the first injection. During a last stage,
the complex is intruded by three dyke swarms of leucominette, microleucogranite and quartz
microsyenite.

2. The main petrogenetic processes at the origin of the various petrographic types
sampled in the AI-NO complex are melting of crustal clay-rich pelitic material and mixing of
the resulting magmas with mantle-derived products. The strongly peraluminous (SP) granites
are interpreted as pure melting products. All the other petrographic types (moderately
peraluminous granitoids, leucominettes and quartz microsyenites) are thought to result from
mixing between crustal melts and mantle-derived mafic liquids. The more silicic granites
were affected by a tetrads effect, in relation with REE complexing behavior.

3. AI-NO granitoids probably formed at low pressure, during the Variscan late-
orogenic stage associated with exhumation, transtension, HT metamorphism and lithospheric
thinning, by advective heating of a ≤ 50 km-thick crust from hot metasomatized
asthenosphere.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at…
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**Figure captions**
Fig. 1. Geological features of the Aber-Ildut/Nord-Ouessant (AI-NO) complex with sample location (black and white dot) and name. (a) Location of the AI-NO complex within the shear-zone patterns in the Armorican Massif. (b) Sketch geological map of the AI-NO complex. Outline of the continental geological formations is from Chauris and Hallégouët (1989) and Chauris (1994). Offshore formations are based on Chauris and Hallégouët (1989) and Le Gall et al. (2014), and modified by taking the new data into account. W-AI: western sector of the Aber-Ildut pluton; E-AI: eastern sector of the Aber-Ildut pluton; NO: North-Ouessant submassif; PGSZ, NASZ, NOSZ: Porspoder-Guissény, North Armorican and North-Ouessant Shear Zone, respectively. (c) Detailed geological map of North-Ouessant. (d) Detailed geological map of the W-AI submassif.

Fig. 2. Q’ vs. ANOR diagram for the AI-NO granitoids (Streckeisen and Le Maitre, 1979), considered as a CIPW normative equivalent to the IUGS classification of Streckeisen (1976). The suite trends of Whalen and Frost (2013), corresponding to the four plutonic rock series defined by Frost et al. (2001) in their MALI (modified alkali-lime index) diagram (see Fig. 7b), are also indicated. CIPW norms have been calculated with Fe$_2$O$_3$/FeO = 0.5 (Middlemost, 1989).

Fig. 3. Triangular CaO-SiO$_2$/10-4TiO$_2$ plot of Rock (1987), discriminating the whole-rock compositional fields of alkaline and calc-alkalic lamprophyres (AL and CAL, respectively). The AI-NO ultrapotassic dykes are plotted and compared with the compositional fields of AI-NO granitoids and minettes from SW England (Leat et al., 1987). Symbols as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 4. U-Pb geochronologic results of the AI monzogranite 13DB05, all given with errors at 1 sigma level (ellipses and error bars): a) Concordia diagram with the resultant age (grey ellipse and framed age); b) weighted average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age.

Fig. 5. Photographs and photomicrographs of various types of rocks from the AI-NO complex. (a) Ovoid granodioritic enclave in the porphyritic monzogranite (W-AI, Porspoder). (b) Porphyritic monzogranite exhibiting red orthoclase megacrysts (W-AI, Porspoder). (c) Mingling pattern between quartz-monzodiorite and two-mica leucogranite (NO, site OS1, Fig. 1c). (d) Dyke of leucominette (W-AI, Porspoder). (e) 1.50 m-thick dyke of alkali-feldspar quartz microsyenite (NO, site OS11, Fig. 1c). (f) Photomicrograph of the tourmaline-leucogranite AI2 (Afs: alkali feldspar; Gt: garnet; Tm: tourmaline; Qz: quartz). (g) Photomicrograph of the leucominette AI33 (Bi: biotite).

Fig. 6. Discrimination diagrams for biotite in peraluminous granitoids (Abdel-Rahman, 1994) and for biotite and phlogopite in lamprophyres (Rock, 1987). AL: alkaline lamprophyres; CAL: calc-alkalic lamprophyres; UML: ultramafic lamprophyres; LL: lamproites.

Fig. 7. Discrimination diagrams based on major elements for the AI-NO granitoids, metasedimentary xenoliths and ultrapotassic dykes. (a) Plot of FeO*/(FeO* + MgO) vs. SiO$_2$, showing the limit between ferroan and magnesian granitoids (Frost et al., 2001). (b) Plot of Na$_2$O + K$_2$O – CaO (modified alkali-lime index, MALI) vs. SiO$_2$, showing the approximate ranges for the alkali, alkali-calcic, calc-alkalic, and calcic rock series (Frost et al., 2001). (c) Plot of ASI (aluminium saturation index) vs. SiO$_2$, showing the limit between metaluminous and peraluminous granitoids (solid straight line) and the limit between moderately and strongly peraluminous granitoids (dashed line) (Sylvester, 1998; Frost et al., 2001). (d) K$_2$O
vs. SiO$_2$ diagram of Peccerillo and Taylor (1976), showing also the calc-alkalic lamprophyre field of Rock (1987). Symbols as in Figs. 2 and 3.

Fig. 8. Variation of MgO, Al$_2$O$_3$, P$_2$O$_5$, Ba, Eu, and Y vs. SiO$_2$ for the AI-NO granitoids, metasedimentary xenoliths and ultrapotassic dykes. The dashed line connects the porphyritic syenogranite AI7, the Afs quartz microsyenite OS11 and the leucominette 13DB07 (see text for explanations). Symbols as in Figs. 2, 3, and 7.

Fig. 9. CI-chondrite normalized trace element patterns of AI-ON rocks (normalization values: Barrat et al., 2012). (a) Moderately peraluminous (MP) granitoids and strongly peraluminous (SP) syenogranites. (b) SP leucogranites, metasedimentary xenoliths and ultrapotassic dykes. Numbers indicate the four sectors appearing in the patterns of the tourmaline-leucogranites AI2 and AI3a, reflecting a tetrad effect (see text).

Fig. 10. (a) $\varepsilon^\text{Nd}_i$ vs. initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope diagram showing selected AI-NO data compared with pelites and late-Variscan peraluminous granites from the Spanish Central Region (SCR) (Villaseca et al., 1998). Symbols as in Figs. 2, 3 and 7. (b) $\varepsilon^\text{Nd}_i$ vs. initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ isotope diagram showing the fields of meta-sedimentary middle-upper crust and lower crust (data from the French Massif Central: Downes and Duthou, 1988; Turpin et al., 1990). Note that the lower crustal metasediments have lower initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and higher initial $\varepsilon^\text{Nd}$ than the middle-upper ones, probably due to isotopic disequilibrium during deep melting of crustal material (Barbero et al., 1995). Such a model might also explain the isotopic difference between the hornfels AI27 and the migmatite AI11 (Fig. 10a).
Fig. 11. Block diagram showing magma emplacement via the PGSZ/NOSZ in the W-AI and NO sectors. Colors as in Fig. 1.

Fig. 12. Plot of (a) K/Ba and (b) Eu/Eu* vs. TE_{1,3} for the AI-NO rocks (except quartz monzodiorites, granodiorites, metasedimentary xenoliths and ultrapotassic dykes). The parameter TE_{1,3} of Irber (1999), used to quantify the degree of tetrads effect, is developed in Table 1. The common range of continental rocks is from Jahn et al. (2001). Symbols as in Fig. 2.

Fig. 13. Compositions of the AI-NO rocks compared to the fields of two peraluminous granite types and metapelites (field contours drawn according to the data of Patiño Douce, 1999). The solid lines correspond to reaction curves that model melt compositions resulting from hybridization of high-Al olivine tholeiite with metapelites (Patiño Douce, 1995, 1999). LP: low pressure (P ≤ 0.5 GPa); HP: high pressure (1.2 ≤ P ≤ 1.5 GPa).

Fig. 14. Geochemical diagrams for post-collisional SP granites, inspired from Sylvester (1998). Symbols as in Figs. 2, 3, and 7. (a) Plot of CaO/Na_2O vs. Al_2O_3/TiO_2 for the AI-NO SP granites, metasedimentary xenoliths and ultrapotassic dykes. The MP data are shown as a field, together with the Sylvester’s (1998) SP granites. The solid line correspond to the mixing curve (percentage of mixing indicated) between the average Phanerozoic basalt of Condie (1993) and the 850°C, 1 GPa pelite-derived melt of Patiño Douce and Johnston (1991). (b) Plot of Rb/Ba vs. Rb/Sr for the same samples. The dashed line divides the SP granite field according to the clay content of the source. The Condie’s (1993) average Phanerozoic basalt and calculated composition of pelite- and psammitic-derived SP granitic melts are also indicated, together with a calculated basalt/pelite-derived melt mixing curve (percentage of...
mixing marked) (Sylvester, 1998). Note that the AI leucominette plot very close to the average basalt.

Fig. 15. Conceptual model for the genesis of post-collisional MP and SP granitoids in a thinned and hot lithosphere in the Variscides. Not to scale. Modified after Sylvester (1998).
Table 1. Major and trace element data on whole rock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type:</th>
<th>Q-MD / GD</th>
<th>P-MG</th>
<th>P-SG</th>
<th>2M-SG</th>
<th>2M-LG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>A16f</td>
<td>A128</td>
<td>OS1</td>
<td>A126</td>
<td>13DB05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major elements (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>65.04</td>
<td>58.60</td>
<td>59.30</td>
<td>64.55</td>
<td>67.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃ₓ</td>
<td>6.11</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.72</td>
<td>100.13</td>
<td>100.04</td>
<td>98.12</td>
<td>101.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trace elements (ppm)

| Element | Li | Be | Rb | Cs | Sr | Ba | Sc | V | Cr | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | Y | Zr | Hf | Nb | Ta | W | Ga | Pb | La | Ce | Pr | Nd | Sm | Eu | Gd | Tb | Dy | Ho | Er | Yb | Lu | Th | U | TE₁₃ |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Value   | 504 | 6.4 | 27 | 169 | 67 | 33 | 11 | 25 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 21 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 15.6 |

Off sh.
### Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type:</th>
<th>2M-LG</th>
<th>T-LG</th>
<th>μG</th>
<th>μSY</th>
<th>CAL</th>
<th>MIG</th>
<th>HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>AI19</td>
<td>AI30</td>
<td>OS4</td>
<td>AI2</td>
<td>AI3a</td>
<td>AI32</td>
<td>OS11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Major elements (wt.%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>74.20</td>
<td>73.90</td>
<td>74.07</td>
<td>74.77</td>
<td>76.97</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>63.10</td>
<td>55.50</td>
<td>57.30</td>
<td>56.90</td>
<td>65.58</td>
<td>60.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>14.90</td>
<td>13.34</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101.04</td>
<td>100.72</td>
<td>99.05</td>
<td>98.19</td>
<td>98.61</td>
<td>99.93</td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td>98.94</td>
<td>98.59</td>
<td>98.81</td>
<td>98.56</td>
<td>98.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trace elements (ppm)**

| Element | Li | Be | Rb | Cs | Sr | Ba | Sc | V | Cr | Co | Ni | Cu | Zn | Y | Zr | Hf | Nb | Ta | W | Ga | Pb | La | Ce | Pr | Nd | Sm | Eu | Gd | Tb | Dy | Ho | Er | Yb | Lu | Th | U |
|---------|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Value   | 184 | 7.5 | 239 | 23.1 | 79 | 186 | 4 | 6.4 | 5 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 43 | 12 | 51 | 1.8 | 12 | 1.9 | 19.8 | 20 | 32.5 | 10.9 | 24.0 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 2.5 | 0.38 | 2.38 | 0.43 | 2.29 | 0.41 | 1.06 | 0.91 | 0.12 | 7.2 |
| Units   | 194 | 7.9 | 279 | 18.3 | 60 | 156 | 4 | 6.0 | 14 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 3.5 | 70 | 12 | 69 | 2.2 | 16 | 2.2 | 22.5 | 23 | 31.2 | 15.0 | 32.4 | 3.0 | 13.9 | 3.3 | 0.40 | 3.02 | 0.51 | 2.67 | 0.43 | 1.03 | 0.89 | 0.11 | 9.6 |

**Notes:**

- W (E-AI): West (East) Aber-Ildut; NO: North-Ouessant. Q-MD: quartz monzodiorite; GD: granodiorite; P-MG: porphyroïd monzogranite; P-SG: porphyroïd syenogranite; 2M-SG: two-mica syenogranite; 2M-LG: two-mica leucogranite; T-LG: tourmaline-leucogranite; μG: two-mica microgranite; μSY: Afs quartz microsyenite; CAL: calc-alcaline lamprophyre (leucoonnites); MIG: migmatisit; HF: hornfels. The aluminum saturation index (ASI) is defined as the molecular ratio Al₂O₃/(CaO-1.67P₂O₅+Na₂O+K₂O) (Frost et al., 2001).

The degree of tetrad effect TE₁₂ (Irber, 1999) is defined as:

\[ \text{TE}_{12} = \frac{(\text{TE}_1 - \text{TE}_2)}{\text{TE}_1 + \text{TE}_2} \]
Samples collected during field trips in 2013 and 2014, except LC3 and LC4 (Chauris, 1991) and C34 (Le Gall et al., 2014). Major elements were analyzed by ICP-AES (UEM, Brest, France) following the analytical method described by Cotten et al. (1995); trace elements were analyzed by ICP-MS (UEM, Brest, France) following the sample preparation and analysis method of Barrat et al. (1996).
Table 2. Isotopic data on whole rock samples from Aber-Ildut and North-Ouessant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Rb (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>(^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr})</th>
<th>(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr})</th>
<th>(\pm 2\sigma (x10^{-6}))</th>
<th>Sm (ppm)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>(^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd})</th>
<th>(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd})</th>
<th>(\pm 2\sigma (x10^{-6}))</th>
<th>(\varepsilon^{\text{t}}_{\text{Nd}})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GD</td>
<td>A16b</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>0.726221</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.70661</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>0.1030</td>
<td>5.12363</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-MG</td>
<td>A126</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.714056</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.70620</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>0.0985</td>
<td>5.12294</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M-LG</td>
<td>C34</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>7.62</td>
<td>0.739488</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.70654</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>0.1188</td>
<td>5.12253</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M-LG</td>
<td>A119</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>0.750405</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71238</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>0.1447</td>
<td>5.12291</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M-LG</td>
<td>OS4</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>13.53</td>
<td>0.770652</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71211</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.1504</td>
<td>5.12273</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-LG</td>
<td>A13a</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30.74</td>
<td>0.846528</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71355</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.1526</td>
<td>5.12332</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µSY</td>
<td>OS11</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>0.717790</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.70592</td>
<td>10.44</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>0.1137</td>
<td>5.12325</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL</td>
<td>A133</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>2501</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.706728</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.70561</td>
<td>26.24</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>0.0900</td>
<td>5.12350</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIG</td>
<td>A111</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16.44</td>
<td>0.780676</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.70957</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>0.1162</td>
<td>5.12212</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>A127</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>5.69</td>
<td>0.743674</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71907</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.1232</td>
<td>5.11874</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GD: granodiorite; P-MG: porphyritic monzogranite; 2M-LG: two-mica leucogranite; T-LG: tourmaline-leucogranite; µSY: Afs quartz microsyénite; CAL: calc-alkaline lamprophyre (leuco-minette); MIG: migmatite; HF: hornfels. All Sr and Nd isotope ratios are reported at the 2\(\sigma\) confidence level. The Sr isotopic data were determined by thermal ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS) using a Thermo Electron™ Triton T1 at the IUEM, Brest, France. Nd isotopic data were determined using a Thermo Electron™ Neptune MC-ICP-MS at Ifremer, Brest, France. Sr isotopic ratios were normalized to the NBS 987 standard \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.710264\) and Nd ratios to JINDI330 standard \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.512094\). Measured blanks are 3.9 ppb for Sr and 0.198 ppb for Nd. \(\varepsilon^{\text{t}}_{\text{Nd}}\) values have been calculated with the following CHUR values: \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.512638\) and \(^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.1967\). 304 Ma is used as approximate age of crystallization.
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Figure a. The plot shows the relationship between CaO/Na₂O and Al₂O₃/TiO₂, with a field of SP granites and various samples indicated by different symbols and percentages. The curve represents magma mixing.

Figure b. The plot shows the relationship between Rb/Ba and Rb/Sr, with fields for clay-poor and clay-rich sources, calculated psammite- and pelite-derived melts, and basalt. The curve represents magma mixing.
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