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Abstract

We report in situ observations by the Cluster spacecraft of plasmaspheric electron heating in the plasmaspheric plume. Electron heating events were accompanied by enhancements of electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves in the increased density ducts on the negative density gradient side for two substructures of the plasmaspheric plume. Electron heating is much stronger for the pitch angle of 0° and 180° than for the pitch angle of 90°. Theoretical calculations of the Landau resonant interaction between electrons and observed EMIC waves demonstrate that Landau damping of oblique EMIC waves is a reasonable candidate to heat cold electrons in the presence of O+ ions in the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume. Therefore, this observation is considered in situ evidence of plasmaspheric electron heating through Landau damping of EMIC waves in plasmaspheric plumes.

1. Introduction

During geomagnetic storms, temperature enhancements of the subauroral topside ionospheric electrons are an important feature which drives the Stable Auroral Red (SAR) arc [Chandrama et al., 1972; Kozyra et al., 1997]. A downward heat flux generated within the overlap of the ring current (RC) and plasmasphere is caused by the energy transfer from the ring current to the plasmaspheric electrons, leading to temperature enhancements of the subauroral topside ionospheric electrons [Brace et al., 1988; Kozyra et al., 1997]. The dominant process of energy transfer from the ring current to cold plasmaspheric electrons has yet to be definitely established. There are three leading mechanisms: Coulomb collisions of plasmaspheric electrons with RC ions producing a heated plasmaspheric electron population [Cole, 1965, 1975; Kozyra et al., 1987; Fok et al., 1993]; heating the plasmaspheric electrons through resonant Landau damping of electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves generated by RC ions [Cornwall et al., 1971; Thorne and Horne, 1992; Zhou et al., 2013]; and kinetic Alfven waves directly accelerating plasmaspheric electrons into the ionosphere through the parallel electric field associated with the waves [Hasagawa and Mima, 1978]. Evidences of ion cyclotron, kinetic Alfven waves in association with events of ionospheric electron heating elsewhere have been reported [Lundblad and Seraas, 1978; Erlsandson et al., 1993; Lanzerotti et al., 1978; Mishin and Burke, 2005]. Although the energy source of plasmaspheric electron heating is assumed to be in the magnetosphere [Kozyra et al., 1997], to our knowledge few in situ evidence of plasmaspheric electron heating in the plasmaspheric plume has been reported.

Yuan et al. [2012] presented wave and particle observations by the Cluster C1 satellite in a plasmaspheric plume in the recovery phase of the geomagnetic storm on 18 July 2005. In this case, in the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume Cluster C1 observed that RC ions were scattered into the loss cone by EMIC waves [Yuan et al., 2012]. In this letter, we focus on in situ observations of plasmaspheric electron heating in the plasmaspheric plume for the case during the geomagnetic storm on 18 July 2005. In section 2, we present observations from the Cluster C1 on 18 July 2005. In section 3, these results are discussed and compared with other references. Finally, a summary is given.
2. Observations

An overview of Cluster C1 data including the electron number density, the energy-time spectrograms of electrons and ions, the magnetic field, and power spectral density of one of the perpendicular components of the perturbed magnetic field during the time interval from 14:00 UT to 15:06 UT is shown in Figure 1. Figure 1a shows a plume crossed by Cluster C1 during an inbound plasmasphere pass on 18 July 2005 with MLAT from $-40^\circ$ to $-25^\circ$. Darrouzet et al. [2008] have identified the region of the two density enhancements shown in Figure 1a as substructures of a plasmaspheric plume. In our previous paper [Yuan et al., 2012], using the TS05 model [Tsyganenko and Sitnov, 2005] we have located the outer and inner boundaries of the plume at \( L \) values of 9.4 and 7.4 and MLT values of 15:14 and 15:48.

Figures 1b–1d show PEACE electron energy-time spectrogram at pitch angle of $0^\circ$, $180^\circ$, and $90^\circ$, respectively. As denoted by vertical solid lines in Figure 1, the electron flux with energies of hundreds of eV shows enhancements, implying two obvious events of occurrence of heated plasmaspheric electrons. For the two events of electron heating denoted by vertical solid lines, the electron heating is much stronger for the pitch angle of $0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ than for the pitch angle of $90^\circ$. This electron heating occurred in the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume. As shown in Figure 1e, the pitch angle distribution of electrons at energy of 73 eV also displayed enhancements of flux during the two events of the electron heating. During the first event, the pitch angle distribution became anisotropic for electrons at energy of 73 eV with enhancements of EMIC waves shown in Figure 1h. Especially, the pitch angle distribution displayed significant anisotropy during the second event, i.e., appearance of a field aligned population. In the plume but outside of the electron heating region between 14:36 and 14:48 UT, the most intense electron fluxes for the pitch angle of $0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ below 20 eV are due to spacecraft secondary- and photo-electrons emitted from the spacecraft themselves [Owen et al., 2001]. Therefore, during the interval denoted by black vertical solid lines in Figure 1, the electron flux with energies of 7–20 eV should include heated electrons and spacecraft secondary- and photo-electrons.

In the plasmaspheric plume, as shown in Figure 1f, the ring current is revealed by the presence of strong fluxes of high-energy ($>10$ keV) trapped ions [Vallat et al., 2004]. The average magnetic field is calculated by a 25.6 s running average with the high-resolution magnetic field. Perturbed magnetic field is calculated by subtracting average magnetic field from the high-resolution magnetic field. The average magnetic field is considered the ambient or static magnetic field where Cluster C1 is located. As shown in Figure 1g, two perpendicular components ($\Delta B_{\perp}$ and $\Delta B_{\parallel}$) and the field-aligned component ($\Delta B_{FA}$) in field-aligned coordinates of perturbed magnetic field are denoted by red, blue and green solid lines respectively. During two electron heating events, Figure 1g shows that the amplitude of perturbed magnetic field increases. At the same time, the transverse component $\Delta B_{\perp}$ of perturbed magnetic field is much stronger than the $\Delta B_{FA}$ component, which means that the direction of the perturbed magnetic field is nearly perpendicular to the ambient magnetic field. As the strongest component of perturbed magnetic field, $\Delta B_{\perp}$ is used to obtain the power spectral density through fast Fourier transforms (FFTs) with 25.6 s data intervals. As the power spectral density of $\Delta B_{\perp}$ is shown in Figure 1h, during the interval denoted by the right vertical lines, the pulsation frequencies lie in the range of 0.1–0.5 Hz, i.e. in the Pc1–2 band.

Yuan et al. [2012] studied the interval denoted by the right two vertical solid lines and identified the waves in the Pc1–2 band as EMIC waves and demonstrated that those EMIC waves can scatter ring currents into the loss cone. Therefore, this study focuses on the second event heating. In order to study the polarization characteristics of Pc1–2 waves observed by Cluster C1, we recombine the two transverse components ($\Delta B_{\perp1}$ and $\Delta B_{\perp2}$) into left- and right-hand polarized components ($B_{\perp1} = \Delta B_{\perp1} + i\Delta B_{\perp2}$ and $B_{\perp2} = \Delta B_{\perp1} - i\Delta B_{\perp2}$). Figure 2a shows power spectrums of three components of perturbed magnetic field in the field-aligned coordinates during the interval of 14:51:36–14:52:28 UT, in the interval denoted by right vertical solid lines in Figure 1. The He$^+$ and O$^+$ ion gyrofrequencies ($f_{He+eq}$, $f_{O+eq}$) at the equatorial plane projection of Cluster trajectories along the magnetic field lines are calculated using the TS05 model [Tsyganenko and Sitnov, 2005]. The frequency band of Pc1 waves denoted by two vertical solid lines in Figure 2a lies in the frequency range of 0.20–0.4 Hz, between the O$^+$ ion gyrofrequency ($f_{O+eq}$) and the He$^+$ ion gyrofrequency ($f_{He+eq}$) at the equatorial plane, identified as EMIC waves of He$^+$ branch generated by anisotropic ring current ions in the equatorial plane [Yuan et al., 2012].
As shown in Figure 2b, in comparison with the flux prior to the event (14:42:01–14:42:05 UT), the electron flux obviously enhanced in the energy range of 10–80 eV during the EMIC wave event (14:51:58–14:52:02 UT), implying an electron heating event. Between 14:51:59 UT and 14:52:02 UT, the flux sharply decreased at about 40 eV for the pitch angle of 90° and gradually decreased for the pitch angle of 0° and 180°, implying that electron heating is much stronger for the pitch angle of 0° and 180° than that for the pitch angle of 90°.
As shown in Figures 3a–3c, with amplitude enhancements of perpendicular components of disturbed magnetic field in the field-aligned coordinates between 14:47 and 14:55 denoted by two vertical solid lines, the electron density with energies of 20 eV–1 keV sharply increased in the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume. To be noted, as shown in Figures 3b and 3d, with enhancements of EMIC waves, the ratio of electron density in the energy range of 20 eV–1 keV to that in energy range of 7 eV–1 keV increased, implying that EMIC waves heat cold electrons to above 20 eV.

3. Discussion and Conclusion

In the presence of cold dense ions, the anisotropic RC proton distributions can become unstable to the amplification of EMIC waves [Gary et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2012]. Therefore, it is expected that EMIC waves occur in the region of overlap between plasmaspheric plumes and the ring current [Fraser and Nguyen, 2001; Yuan et al., 2010]. In fact, in Figures 1a, 1f, and 1h, we have observed the EMIC waves in the plasmaspheric
propagating in a uniform, cold plasma is mentioned in many references [e.g., interaction with observed EMIC waves in Figure 2a. The dispersion relationship for electromagnetic waves Landau damping of oblique EMIC waves, we calculated the electron resonant energy due to Landau resonant angle, respectively.

In order to further confirm that the parallel cold electron heating shown in Figure 2b is attributed to the Landau damping of oblique EMIC waves, we calculated the electron resonant energy due to Landau resonant interaction with observed EMIC waves in Figure 2a. The dispersion relationship for electromagnetic waves propagating in a uniform, cold plasma is mentioned in many references [e.g., Stix, 1962],

\[
An^4 - Bn^2 + C = 0 \quad A = P \cos^2 \theta + S \sin^2 \theta \quad B = SP(1 + \cos^2 \theta) + RL \sin^2 \theta \\
C = PR/L \quad S = \frac{(R + L)}{2} \quad n = \frac{ck}{\omega}
\]

where \(k\), \(\omega\), \(c\), and \(\theta\) denote the wave number, wave frequency, velocity of light, and the wave normal angle, respectively.

The wave coefficients defined by Stix [1962] are

\[
R = 1 - \sum \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega - \omega_i} \quad L = 1 - \sum \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega - \Omega_i} \quad P = 1 - \sum \frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega} \quad (2)
\]

where the sums are over all species including electrons, \(\omega_{pi}\) and \(\Omega_i\) denote the plasma frequency and gyrofrequency with sign for the \(i\)th species, respectively.

The condition for Landau resonant interaction between EMIC waves and cold electrons is

\[
\omega - k_i v_i = 0 \quad (3)
\]

where \(k_i\) and \(v_i\) denote the parallel wave number and the parallel electron velocity, respectively.

During the interval of 14:51:36–14:52:28, as shown in Figure 3, the local plasma density is 29 cm\(^{-3}\), and the local ambient magnetic field is calculated to be 230 nT. The wave normal angle is estimated to 25° by the minimum variance analysis on the perturbed magnetic field [Song and Russell, 1999]. Since the heating event occurred in a geomagnetic storm, the typical cold ion compositions of \([H^+][He^+][O^-] = 82:15:3\) [Grew et al., 2007] for representative storm time are adopted in this letter. Considering ULF waves propagating with a wave normal angle of 25° with reference to the ambient magnetic field in cold multi-ion plasma, Figure 4a displays the dispersion relationship of ULF waves. As shown in Figure 2a, the band of Pc1 waves denoted by two black vertical solid lines is between the \(O^+\) ion gyrofrequency \(f_{O+eq}\) and the \(He^+\) ion gyrofrequency \(f_{He+eq}\) in the equatorial plane but above the local \(O^+\) ion gyrofrequency \(f_{O+Loc}\), implying that those EMIC waves generated in frequency range on the \(He^+\) branch in the equatorial plane. As shown in Figures 4a and 4b, considering the contribution of cold \(O^+\) ions to the local dispersion relationship of ULF waves, those EMIC waves generated in frequency range on the \(He^+\) branch in the equatorial plane can encounter the key points (where the normalized frequency of EMIC waves is equal to \(f_{LH}/f_{O+eq}\)) through the propagation path of EMIC waves from the source region to the location of Cluster 1.

We assume that both the background electron density (29 cm\(^{-3}\)) and the wave normal angle (25°) are constant along a field line. For the peak frequency \(f_{peakLH}\) of LH waves denoted by the green arrow in Figure 2a using equations (1)–(3), we calculate the parallel electron resonant energies due to Landau
resonant interaction through the propagation path of EMIC waves from the equatorial plane to the location of Cluster 1. The TS05 model is used to calculate the magnetic field from the equatorial plane to the location of Cluster 1 along the magnetic field lines. Although the wave normal angle may not be a constant during the propagation, the Earth’s magnetic field and the negative density gradient of cold densities tend to align the wave normal vector with the magnetic field direction [Chen et al., 2009; De Soria-Santacruz et al., 2013]. Therefore, we calculate parallel electron resonant energies with LH waves for the wave normal angle of 5°, 15°, 25°, 35°, and 45°, respectively. As shown in Figure 4b, the parallel electron resonant energy increases to more than 100 eV near the magnetic field BCHe+ according to the cutoff frequency for the He+ band.

As shown in Figures 3b and 3d, with enhancements of EMIC waves, the ratio of electron density in the energy range of 20 eV–1 keV to that in the energy range of 7 eV–1 keV increases, implying that EMIC waves heat cold electrons to above 20 eV. Therefore, considering the contribution of cold O+ ions to the local dispersion relationship of ULF waves, the energies of heated electrons can reach to tens of eV by Landau resonant interaction between EMIC waves and electrons near the magnetic field BCHe+, according to the cutoff frequency for the He+ band. In other words, an obvious electron heating occurs where the frequency of EMIC waves approached to the local cutoff frequency for the He+ band on the propagation path. The heated electrons can reach the location of Cluster 1 under the bounce motion, in agreement with observations shown in Figure 1.

In fact, as shown in Figure 4, the local magnetic field is between BCHe+ and BO+, meaning that Cluster 1 was located in the cutoff region of the He+ band (between FCHe+ and FO+, as shown Figure 4a). When the ULF waves passed through the cutoff region of the He+ band, the RH polarized component can propagate through the cutoff region, but the LH polarized component can be partially reflected. With enhancements of the ratio of O+ density to total ion density, the reflection coefficient of the LH polarized component increases.

Figure 4. (a) Dispersion relationship for ULF waves propagation with the wave normal angle of 25° with reference to the ambient magnetic field. Cold plasma with ion compositions of [H+]:[He+]:[O+] = 82:15:3 approximation is assumed. “R” and “L” denote right-hand and left-hand polarized modes, respectively. Those labels on the right denote normalized frequencies (f/ΩHe+) with the H+ ion gyrofrequency. (b) Parallel electron resonant energy through Landau resonant interaction for the peak frequency of the LH waves denoted by the green arrow in Figure 3 from the equatorial plane to the location of Cluster 3. The green, red, blue, yellow, and black lines denote parallel electron resonant energy with LH waves for 5°, 15°, 25°, 35°, and 45°, respectively. Beq and BLoc denote the magnetic field in the equatorial plane and the location of Cluster 3, respectively. BCHe+ and BO+ denote the magnetic field according to the He+ band cutoff frequency and the O+ ion gyrofrequency shown in Figure 4a, respectively.
[Johnson and Cheng, 1999; Hu et al., 2010]. Therefore, as shown in Figure 2a, the wave packets are mainly RH polarized during the interval. Since the RH polarized waves heat cold electrons to less than 10 eV through the propagation path of EMIC waves from the source region to the location of Cluster 1 (not shown here), the electron heating should not be attributed to RH polarized waves but to LH polarized waves.

Coulomb collision of plasmaspheric electrons with RC ions is another candidate for producing a heated plasmaspheric electron population [Cole, 1965; Kozyra et al., 1987; Fok et al., 1993]. However, with the calculation method of Fok et al. [1991], for RC protons with energy of 20 keV, the relevant scattering time would be >20 days for the electron heating event where the electron density is about 30/cc. The timescales of direct Coulomb collisional heating during the interval was too long to effectively produce the heated plasmaspheric electron population. On the other hand, due to Coulomb collision interactions, the pitch angle distribution of heated plasmaspheric electron population should be isotropic [Kozyra et al., 1997], which is not consistent with our observations. Therefore, Coulomb collision interaction of the plasmaspheric electrons with the RC ions is not a candidate to produce the heated electron population shown in Figure 1. Although kinetic Alfven waves are also suggested to directly accelerate plasmaspheric electrons into the ionosphere through the parallel electric field associated with the waves [Hasagawa and Mima, 1978], for the case of this paper, kinetic Alfven waves were not observed during the interval of the electron heating.

With observations of Cluster C1, we have presented in situ evidence of plasmaspheric electron heating in the plasmaspheric plume. The major conclusions are as follows:

1. EMIC waves were mainly observed in the enhancement density ducts on the negative density gradient side for two substructures of the plasmaspheric plume, in agreement with the previous theoretical calculations of EMIC wave growth.

2. In the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume, two electron heating events were accompanied by enhancements of electromagnetic ion cyclotron (EMIC) waves. Electron heating is much stronger for the pitch angle of 0° and 180° than for the pitch angle of 90°. Theoretical calculations of the Landau resonant interaction between electrons and observed EMIC waves demonstrate that Landau damping of oblique EMIC waves is a reasonable candidate to heat cold electrons in the presence of O⁺ ions in the outer boundary of the plasmaspheric plume. Therefore, this observation is considered in situ evidence of plasmaspheric electron heating through Landau damping of EMIC waves in plasmaspheric plumes. In order to better demonstrate the relation between EMIC waves, cold plasmaspheric electron heating, and temperature enhancements of the topside ionospheric electrons, conjugate observations of multiple satellites (such as the Cluster, THEMIS, and DMSP satellites) are necessary, which will be discussed in a future study.
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