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1. Abstract

Silica-rich hydrous magmas are commonly stored in crustal reservoirs, but are also present at mantle depths in subduction contexts as a result of slab melting in presence of considerable amounts of water and other volatile species. Magnetotelluric surveys frequently identify highly conductive zones at crustal or mantle depths possibly revealing the presence of such silica-rich melts and this can be used to trace the cycling of water in subduction zones and its relationship with arc-magmatism. The achievement of such a purpose is impeded by poor knowledge of the electrical conductivity of both dry and hydrous silica-rich melts at pressure. To fill this gap, we performed \textit{in situ} electrical conductivity measurements on a dacitic melt using a 4-wire set up to 1300°C, 3.0 GPa and H\textsubscript{2}O content up to 12 wt.%. Melt conductivity is strongly correlated with its water content, and we reveal a complex effect of pressure being relatively small at low water contents and major at high water contents: with increasing water
content, the activation volume ranges between \(4 \text{ (dry)}\) to \(25 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol (H}_2\text{O} = 12 \text{ wt.\%)}\) and the activation energy decreases from \(96 \text{ kJ (dry)}\) to \(62 \text{ kJ (12 wt.\% H}_2\text{O)}\). By comparison with diffusivity data, sodium appears to be the main charge carrier, even at high (12 wt.%) water content. A T-P-[H\(_2\)O] model predicting the conductivity of dacitic melts shows that crustal and mantle wedge conductive bodies can be interpreted by the presence of silica-rich, hydrous, partially crystallized magma.

2. Introduction

Outcrops of deep rocks, showing sections of the upper mantle and of the crust, provide an indirect snap shot of deep processes as they have cooled slowly during emplacement, resulting in large modifications of their textures, chemical compositions and mineralogy. In particular, melting processes that can induce large scale mechanical weakening (Holtzmann et al., 2003; 2012; Katz et al., 2006; Holtzmann & Kohlstedt, 2007; Kohlstedt & Holtzmann, 2009; Hashim et al. 2013) are mostly erased during the exhumation and cooling of deep rocks. In order to map and understand melting processes occurring at depth, geophysical investigations (e.g. magnetotelluric, seismic tomography) must be deployed over the regions of interest. Magnetotelluric investigations, for example, provide evidence for anomalously high electrical conductivity in the oceanic upper mantle (Evans et al., 2005; Baba et al., 2006; Naif et al., 2013; Key et al., 2013), under the Andean arc (Booker et al., 2004; Unsworth et al., 2013) or in the crust below the South Himalayan ranges (Unsworth et al., 2005). The detection of such highly conductive regions reveals the existence of deep phases having highly mobile charge carriers and being connected over large distance. Experimental investigations have shown that partial melts can trigger high conductivity (Roberts & Tyburczy, 1999; Gaillard & Iacono-Marziano, 2005; ten Grotenhuis et al., 2005; Gaillard et al., 2008; Yoshino et al., 2010; Hashim et al., 2013; Sifré et al., 2014). The presence of melt is
in particular the most likely explanation for the large conductive region beneath the Altiplano-
Puna Volcanic Complex (APVC; Booker et al., 2004; Unsworth et al. 2013). Considering the
conjunction of (i) the uplift of the crust centred on Uturuncu volcano, (ii) the geothermal
springs, and the (iii) dimension of the conductive body, a major replenishment has likely
occurred and this demands the thorough appraisal of the storage conditions of melt at depth in
the APVC system (de Silva, 1989a, b; Pritchard & Simons 2002; 2004; de Silva et al., 2006;
de Silva & Gosnold, 2007; Sparks et al., 2008; Del Potro et al., 2013; Muir et al., 2014). The
quantitative interpretation of such a structure revealed by magnetotelluric profiles requires
robust laboratory characterisation under controlled conditions. So far, several works have
addressed the electrical conductivity of silicate melts (Presnall et al., 1972; Waff & Weill,
1975; Tyburczy & Waff, 1983; 1985; Robertz & Tyburczy, 1999; Gaillard, 2004;
Bagdassarov et al., 2004; Maumus et al., 2005; Pommier et al., 2008; 2010; Yoshino et al.,
2010; Ni et al., 2011; Poe et al. 2008; Hashim et al., 2013; Sifré et al., 2014), but few of them
have addressed hydrous melts (Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al., 2008; Ni et al., 2011; Hashim
et al., 2013; Sifré et al., 2014) and the effect of pressure on melt conductivity remains unclear.

This study presents experimental results of in situ electrical conductivity measurements
acquired on a dacite from Uturuncu Volcano at various pressure, temperature and water
content. A model integrating the effects of pressure, temperature and water concentration is
established from the data. Then, we discuss the role of water and pressure on electrical
conductivity and the applications to the interpretation magnetotelluric surveys. We discuss the
electrical anomalies underneath the Unturuncu volcanic centre, but we also also present
quantitative interpretations of the electrical structures below other volcanic areas in arc-
settings, where hydrated dacitic melts are likely (Usu, Taupo, Merapi and Saint Helens
volcanoes). Finally, we conclude with the possibility of detecting super hydrous melts
resulting from melting of slab (e.g. Schiano et al., 1995; Neumann & Wulff-Pedersen, 1997; Shimizu et al., 2004; Ishimaru et al., 2007; Bali et al., 2008), since such melts must trigger high electrical conductivity at ca 90 km, at the base of the mantle wedge.

3. Background on electrical conductivity

As with diffusivity and viscosity, the electrical conductivity $\sigma$ (S.m$^{-1}$) of silicate melts is pressure and temperature dependant, and can be described by an Arrhenius law:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E_a - P\Delta V}{9RT}\right)$$

Eq. (1)

where $\sigma_0$ is the preexponential factor (S/m), $E_a$ is the activation energy (J), $\Delta V$ (cm$^3$/mol) is the activation volume, $T$ is the temperature (K), $P$ is pressure (Pa) and $R$ is the universal gas constant. The electrical conductivity is the sum of the individual transport mechanisms; however, the electrical conductivity is generally dominated by one or two mechanisms (Gaillard, 2004). In amorphous (melts and glasses) silicate compositions, the mobility of ionic species dominates. Ionic conductivity is connected to diffusive transport of charge carriers within the melt, and follows the Nernst-Einstein equation:

$$\sigma_i = \frac{D_i q_i^2 N_i}{kT Hr}$$

Eq. (2)

with the tracer diffusion coefficient $D_i$ (m$^2$.s$^{-1}$) of an ion $i$, its charge $q_i$ (C), the concentration of $i$ (m$^{-3}$), the Boltzmann constant $k$ (1.38.10$^{-23}$ J.K$^{-1}$), the temperature T (K) and the Haven ratio $Hr$. The Haven ratio $Hr$ of silicate melt or glass is related to the mechanisms of migration of the charge carriers within the melt, and generally ranges between 0.2 and 1 (Heinemann & Frischat, 1993). Gaillard (2004) used $Hr = 1$ for rhyolitic melts.
4. Experimental Methods

4.1. Starting materials

4.1.1. Sample syntheses

Electrical measurements were performed on a dacitic rock from Uturuncu volcano, located in the Southwest Bolivia (Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex). It has been exhaustively studied under the reference UTU41B (Muir et al., 2014). It consists in phenocrysts of plagioclases embedded in a matrix of orthopyroxene, biotite, ilmenite and Ti-magnetite groundmass. Its bulk chemical composition is given in Table 1. The dacite was first crushed in an agate mortar into a powder (grain size < 45 µm). The powder was placed in a platinum crucible and heated to 1000°C in air at 1 atmosphere in order to remove volatile species. Then, the crushed dacite was molten at 1450°C for 3 hours, resulting in a glass with less than 0.05 wt.% of water and no detectable amount of CO₂. The glass obtained was (1) drilled to provide dry samples for conductivity measurements or (2) crushed for the synthesis of 3 hydrated samples. Hydrated samples were prepared by adding the desired amount (mass) of water to the glassy powder in a welded shut capsule. Syntheses of hydrated glasses were done in internally heated pressure vessel for 3 days ended by a rapid quench at 300 MPa, 1200°C and 350 MPa, 1020°C for the glass containing 3.3 and 7.1 wt.% of water respectively (see also next section). The glass with 12.2 wt.% H₂O was synthesized in piston cylinder at 1.5 GPa, 1000°C for 13 hours using a ¾ inch assembly. Dry and hydrous starting materials are crystal- and bubble-free at the micrometre scale, except the [H₂O]=12.2 wt.% sample that contained few amphiboles (less than 5% in volume) resulting most likely from crystallization during quench. The 3.3 wt.% H₂O glass was synthesized in a 15 mm diameter capsule so as to drill cylindrical samples. The two other syntheses were performed in 5 mm diameter capsule, and
samples were prepared after crushing and cold pressed reconstitution of pellets with the desired dimension (see section *in situ* electrical measurements).

### 4.1.2. Determination of the water concentration

Double polished chips of the four synthetic glasses were analysed by Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) to check their water concentration (Microscope IR Continuum coupled with a Nicolet 6700 spectrometer and a MCT detector). An IR source, a KBr beam splitter and a CaF$_2$ window were used to acquire absorption spectra with 200 scans and a resolution of 4 cm$^{-1}$ in the range 6000-1500 cm$^{-1}$. Each sample was analysed through a profile (1.3 to 2.0 mm in length) of 20 spots minimum to check its homogeneity. A linear baseline correction was used to determine the peak height absorbance, and we calculated the water concentration by the Beer-Lambert law, using extinction coefficients reported by Wysoczanski & Tani (2006) and density calculated after Ohlhorst et al., (2001). The thickness of the sample was measured by the calibrated stage of the microscope, and checked by a Mitutoyo digital micrometre. The propagated uncertainty takes into account the accuracy of (1) the thickness (±3 µm), (2) the absorbance peak height, (3) glass density and (4) extinction coefficient, resulting in a maximal error in [H$_2$O] content of 10% relative. To minimize the uncertainty, samples were kept as thick as possible but transparent for IR rays (thickness < 200 µm). When possible, the fundamental H$_2$O-stretching vibration was used (3530 cm$^{-1}$). Otherwise, when the signal of the fundamental stretching H$_2$O vibration was oversaturated, the water concentration was determined by adding the molecular water (5200 cm$^{-1}$) and OH-(4500 cm$^{-1}$) stretching vibrations (Fig. 1A to C). The hydrated synthetic glasses contain 3.3 (±0.3), 7.1 (±0.7) and 12.2 (±0.5) wt.% of homogeneously dissolved water (Fig. 1D).
4.2. **In situ electrical measurements**

4.2.1. Internally Heated Pressure Vessel (IHPV)

Low-pressure experiments (P < 300 MPa) were conducted in a gas medium IHPV following the same protocol as Gaillard et al. (2004) or Pommier et al. (2008; precision of 1 MPa on the pressure and 2°C on the temperature). The 5 mm diameter sample was intercalated between alumina and surrounded by an Au-Pd capsule welded shut at one end, and closed by SiO₂-PO₃-H₂O cement at the other end to keep the water in the sample. The resistance of the sample was measured radially, using a 1 mm Platinum wire in the middle of the sample (internal electrode) and a Pt foil wrapping the sample (external electrode). The whole assembly was located in an isothermal zone checked by 2 thermocouples and controlled by a molybdenum two-winding furnace. The geometry of the sample was stable during the experiment and checked after experiments, resulting in negligible effects on the conductivity measurements. More details about the protocol are provided in Gaillard (2004) and Pommier et al. (2008).

4.2.2. Piston cylinder

“High pressure experiments” (0.5 < P < 3.0 GPa) were conducted in piston cylinder with an assembly modified after Sifre et al. (2014). Cylindrical samples were obtained by drilling glassy blocks or by cold pressing the glassy powders to form a sample of 5.0 mm in diameter. These cylinders were inserted in ½ and/or ¾ inches assemblages. The thermocouple is in contact with the internal electrode and gives the temperature of the sample with a precision of ~5°C (Fig. 2). The external electrode is the platinum foil wrapping the sample and the upper pieces of the assembly (alumina, MgO-AlSiMag and the nickel plug). The sample is chemically and electrically isolated from the rest of the assembly by 2 disks and a tube of alumina. The rest of the assembly (graphite furnace, Pyrex and talc) is a conventional ¾ or ½
inch assembly used for piston cylinder experiments. A 10% correction on pressure was applied in order to account for frictional force of the MgO, resulting in a precision of ~0.1 GPa. See also water conservation in supplementary information.

Experiments in piston cylinder used a 4-wire setup to reduce perturbation of the electrical cell, thus allowing a better precision on measurements of conductive samples (Gaillard et al., 2008; Pommier et al., 2010; Sifre et al., 2014; see also sample’s resistance acquisition in supplementary information). Sample examination using SEM showed a reduction of the diameter by about 8 to 12% depending on the starting material (drilled cylinder or cold pressed powder). The length of the sample also slightly reduced and was carefully measured after experiment, ranging from 1.87 to 4.62 mm. Relatively short samples were favoured to lower the temperature gradient.

After impedance measurements during heating and cooling paths, the sample was quenched by shutting down the furnace power while manually maintaining the pressure as close as possible to the target pressure. The cooling of the sample lasted approximately 10 seconds, resulting in a cooling rate too slow to impede the growth of quench crystals in most experiments (Fig. SI2). Typical run duration was short, i.e. of the order of 3 to 5 hours, in order to reduce potential sample dehydration. Only the dry experiment UTU41B-0e lasted 24 hours to test the reproducibility of conductivity measurement through time (see Fig. SI1). Once recovered from the piston cylinder assembly, the samples were placed in epoxy resin to be observed by SEM for textural observation and accurate determination of the geometric factor (Fig. SI2).

### 4.3. Impedance spectra, resistance & determination of the conductivity

Electrical conductivity was derived from impedance complex spectroscopy (Roberts and Tyburczy, 1994), as a function of the electrical frequency. We used a Solartron 1260 Gain Phase Analyzer (Schlumberger Co.) to obtain impedance spectra (Fig. 3). Impedance spectra
for the dacitic melts typically range from 1 to \(10^6\) Hz and were visualized in a Nyquist plane \((Z', Z'')\). In this frequency range, the electrical response of the sample can be modelled as different equivalent electrical circuits depending on the resistance range. At relatively low temperatures, corresponding in our case to relatively low resistance (\(R > \sim 10^5\) ohm, Fig. 3A), the electrical response can be represented by a semicircle followed by a linear segment (Fig. 3A) in the \((Z', Z'')\) complex plan, being equivalent to that of a resistor in series with a resistor and a capacitor in parallel (Stephen & Dillenburg, 1995). At higher temperature, basically corresponding to a impedance \(\sim 10^1 < R < \sim 10^2\) ohm, the electrical response is equivalent to that of a resistor and inductance mounted in parallel, and is characterized by a nearly vertical curve crossing \(Z'' = 0\) corresponding to the sample resistance (Fig. 3C). Intermediate temperatures, yielding intermediate resistance values, displayed electrical response being intermediate between the 2 previous end-member cases (Fig. 3B).

The resistance of the sample can be read on the \(Z'\) axis (X-axis) and corresponds to the intersection of the impedance spectra with the \(Z'\) axis (Fig. 3). The conductivity of the sample can be calculated from the resistance and a geometric factor \(G\) following the relations:

\[
\sigma = (G.R)^{-1} \tag{3}
\]

where

\[
G = \frac{2\pi L}{\ln(d_{ext} / d_{int})} \tag{4}
\]

The geometric factor \(G\) (m) depends on the length \(L\) (m), and the external \((d_{ext})\) and internal \((d_{int})\) diameters (in m) of the sample respectively. The dimensions of the sample are measured on axial, longitudinal section after experiments by Scanning Electron Microscope. The precision of the dimension measurements is better than 10 \(\mu\)m. The precision on the sample dimension represents the most important source of error on the conductivity; the latter being less than 0.2 log units. Other uncertainties come from the precision of the resistance \(R\) (\(\pm 5\) ohm, Pommier et al., 2008) and the precision of the temperature (~5°C).
5. Results

5.1. Post experimental water content

The experimental details are summarized in Table 2. Possible water loss and heterogeneity were checked by FTIR analyses. No significant water loss was noticed after the experiments presented in this study (Fig. 4). Basically, the same data dispersion can be observed as in the starting materials (see the error bar in the syntheses in Fig. 4), attesting a homogeneous water distribution.

5.2. Electrical measurements

5.2.1. Effect of T (Heating-cooling paths)

Experiments were generally conducted in the 400-1200°C temperature range, covering the glass and liquid domains (Table 2). In most cases, at least 2 heating-cooling cycles were operated, in order to appreciate the reproducibility. The conductivity of the “dry” dacite at $P \approx 0.5$ GPa is shown in Figure 5 as a function of the reciprocal temperature. During the first heating stage at $T < 840^\circ$C, the electrical conductivity is significantly lower than the conductivity obtained during the subsequent temperature cycles. It approaches to the subsequent paths between 700 and 750°C (deviation form the dashed grey line) which basically correspond to the glass transition ($T_g = 740^\circ$C) calculated for the dry dacite according to Giordano et al. (2008). We interpret such a difference by an imperfect contact between the sample and the electrodes, resulting in a more resistive signal, until the sample becomes soft enough to perfectly wet the electrodes. The reproducibility of the subsequent cooling-heating-cooling stages is nearly perfect.

As shown in figure 5, electrical conductivity of all compositions increases with the temperature, following an Arrhenius law. The conductivity values of each dry and hydrous composition define a single trend in the large temperature range investigated ($400 < T <
1250°C) suggesting the same transport mechanisms operating in both glass and liquid domains. Both activation energy (Ea) and preexponential ($\sigma_0$) factors were however calculated from results obtained in the melt temperature range as defined by Giordano et al. (2008) (Table 2).

5.2.2. Effect of H$_2$O and Pressure

The figures 5 and 6 shows the obtained electrical conductivities of the dacitic melt for different water contents and pressures. The presence of water significantly increases the electrical conductivity: the dacite with 12 wt. % of water is ~1.5 order of magnitude more conductive than the dry one at 3 GPa. In contrast, pressure decreases the electrical conductivity, but its effect is less important than that of water in the range of conditions investigated ($0.0 < \text{H}_2\text{O} < 12 \text{ wt.\%; } 0.15 < \text{P} < 3.0 \text{ GPa}$). Both the Activation Energy (Ea) and the pre exponential factor ($\sigma_0$) are very sensitive to the amount of water dissolved in the melt (Table 2, Fig. 7). Ea decreases by ~30% at low pressures between dry and water rich dacitic melts, whereas this decrease tends to vanish at the highest pressures investigated (P = 3 GPa; Fig. 7A). In opposite, the difference in $\sigma_0$ between the different water content populations is 3 times larger at P = 3 GPa (>1.5 order of magnitude) than at low pressure: Log $\sigma_0$ ranges from 2.5 to 2.8 and from 2.6 to ~4 at 0 and 3 GPa respectively (Fig. 7B).

6. Discussion

6.1. Influence of water and pressure on Ea and $\sigma_0$

The activation volume, $\Delta V$, strongly depends on the water content, which differs from the previous assumptions (Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al. 2008; Ni et al., 2011). According to Eq. 7, the calculated activation volumes ($\Delta V$) of the dacite are 3.9, 9.6, 16.4 and 24.7 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ for the four samples containing 0.0, 3.3, 7.1 and 12 wt.% of water respectively. Such $\Delta V$
values are comparable with the range of ΔV obtained for basalts (24 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$; Pommier et al., 2008), andesites (18 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$; Tyburczy & Waff, 1983) or rhyolites (20 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$; Gaillard, 2004) at similar pressure (< 1 GPa). The relatively important value of ΔV indicates a strong effect of pressure on the electrical conductivity, and this effect increases as the melt water content increases. According to Gaillard (2004), such a pressure dependence could be due to a relatively high compressibility of Si-rich melt, but the above discussion indicates that mafic liquids can also have large ΔV values.

Activation energy (Ea) varies remarkably with the pressure and the water content, considerably more than proposed by Poe et al. (2012) for a pantelleritic (silica-rich) magma. The range of Ea determined in our experiments (62 to 96 kJ) is comprised between those for rhyolitic (48 to 73 kJ) and andesitic (72 to 113 kJ) compositions (Waff & Weill, 1975; Tyburczy & Waff, 1983; 1985; Gaillard, 2004). Our data shows that the activation energy (Ea) of the dacite linearly depends on the water concentration in the [H$_2$O] range 0 to 12 wt.% (see section “electrical model of dacite”). This relationship is different from the one determined on an obsidian being a logarithm function of the water concentration (Gaillard, 2004). It is also different from the one determined on a basalt (Ni et al., 2011; Sifre et al., in press), being related to the exponential concentration of water, in the range 0 to 6 wt.% H$_2$O, at 2 GPa. Such differences can result from the range of water concentration investigated, being considerably larger in our study, and/or from the effect of the water on the mobility of the charge carrier, which may be a function of the composition of the silicate melt.

### 6.2. Charge carrier

Assuming that the direct relationship between sodium mobility and electrical conductivity established by Gaillard (2004) is valid for the dacite, Na diffusivity was calculated from the Nernst-Einstein equation (Eq.2), and is plotted versus 1/T in Figure 8. A value of 0.8 was taken for the Haven ratio, in order to follow the trend defined by previous works (rhyolite: Hr
The Na diffusivity calculated for the dry dacite lies between the diffusivities of the dacitic and rhyolitic compositions both determined by Henderson et al. (1985) and is about one order of magnitude higher than the values determined on mafic and intermediate compositions (Lowry et al., 1982). We also tested that protons could be the main charge carriers: the diffusivity of proton \( H^+ \) was also calculated using the Nernst-Einstein equation from the conductivity and water contents of hydrated melts (Eq. 2). The calculated proton diffusivity would be only \( \sim 0.5 \) log unit lower than the Na diffusivity in the same conditions and about 2 orders of magnitude higher than \( H_2O_m \) diffusivity in dacitic melts (Fig. 8, Ni et al., 2009). However, water diffusion studies show that the neutral \( H_2O \) or \( H_2 \) molecule dominates hydrogen transport in polymerized melts (Zhang et al., 1991; Gaillard et al. 2003; Berhens et al., 2004; Ni et al., 2009). Nowak & Behrens (1997) found that self-diffusion of protons (i.e. \( H/D \) exchanges) is limited by the diffusion of molecular water in haplogranitic melts, water diffusion being, in the case of rhyolitic melts several orders of magnitude lower than Na diffusion (Fig. 8). Therefore, assuming that water diffusions in dacite and rhyolite are similar, proton diffusion must be slower than molecular \( H_2O \) diffusion and consequently, much slower than \( Na^+ \). We therefore conclude that proton is unlikely to participate in the charge carrier processes in dacitic melts. Iron cannot act as a significant charge carrier as it is an electrical conductor only in iron-rich melts (Barczyinski & Murawski, 2002; Poe et al. 2012). All in all, it is most likely that sodium is the dominant charge carrier in the dacite, including at high water content (i.e. 12 wt.% \( H_2O \)). Water may indirectly participate in charge transport by decreasing the melt viscosity, then enhancing Na mobility (Fig. 8; see also Fig. SI3; Gaillard, 2004). The different water contents investigated in this study must show the effect of water on both Na diffusion and electrical conductivity (Fig. 6 & 8). Our findings concerning sodium as main charge carrier agrees with previous works, suggesting that electrical conduction in silicate melts is
dominated by light alkalis such as Li and Na (Pfeiffer, 1998; Gaillard, 2004, Gaillard & Iacono-Marziano, 2005; Pommier et al, 2008).

6.3. Electrical T-P-H₂O model of dacite

A single model taking into account the effect of temperature, pressure and water concentration was established from all data following the general Arrhenius law in Eq. (1):

\[ \sigma_0 = \exp(aw + b + P \times (cw + d)) \]

\[ E_a = (ew + f) \] and \[ V = (gw + h) \]

where \( w \) is the water concentration (wt. %) and \( a \) to \( h \) are constant values adjusted on the conductivity data of the dacite and defined in Table 3.

Calculated conductivities using Eq. (5-6-7) are plotted in an Arrhenius diagram together with the measured data in (Fig. 6). The correlation coefficient associated to the hydrous dacite model is 0.996, and the average difference between the fit and the measured values is equal to 0.028.

Given the absence of studies on electrical conductivity of dacite (dry and hydrous), we now compare our model with previous experimental works on felsic magmas (a rhyolite from Gaillard, 2004 and a metapelite from Hashim et al., 2013) and the Sigmelts model which simulates a dacite by taking into account the Na₂O, SiO₂ and water contents (Pommier & Le Trong, 2011). The electrical conductivity of the dacite is plotted against the water content at 850 and 1000°C (Fig. 9). Generally, the effect of water is more important than previously
proposed (Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al., 2008; Pommier & Le Trong, 2011). For instance, contrary to our model, the *Sigmelts* predicts a somehow complicated dependence on water contents, which incidentally, only differs by ~0.5-1 log unit in the water concentration range 0 – 10 wt.% at 850-1000°C and 0.5 GPa (Fig. 9A & B). The difference is much more important (~1.5 order of magnitude) at 12 wt.% H$_2$O and 2 GPa (Fig. 9A & B). In opposite, the influence of pressure is less important than proposed by previous studies for water contents ranging from 0 to ~10 wt.% (Tyburczy & Waff, 1983; Gaillard, 2004; Pommier et al., 2008), but none of the existing studies describe the strong dependence of the effect of pressure on water content, which is highlighted here.

The comparison of electrical conductivity of the dacite with silica-rich melts highlights its specific electrical behavior (Fig. 9; Gaillard, 2004; Hashim et al., 2013). At low water contents (< ~4.5 wt.%), the electrical conductivity of the rhyolite is higher than the dacite one (Fig. 9). Such a difference can be linked to the larger amount of Na in the rhyolite; the latter has Na$_2$O content of 4.15, that is, twice that of the dacite or may be related to the fact that alkalis are more mobile in polymerized melts (Pfeiffer, 1998; Mungall, XXX see citation in gaillard, 2004; Gaillard and IaconoMarziano, 2005). The electrical conductivity increase of the rhyolitic melt is lessened beyond ~1 wt. % of water, whereas the linear dependence of Log $\sigma$ of the dacite with water indicates a constant increase of the conductivity in a large range of water content (at least up to 12 wt.%; Fig. 9).

The leucogranitic melt obtained during partial melting experiments performed by Hashim et al. (2013) can be compared to our model. The melt (SiO$_2$ ≈ 74.8 wt.%; Na$_2$O ≈ 2.65 wt.%) was produced from a metapelite up to 24 % in volume, therefore truly connected, giving a melt conductivity Log $\sigma$ ranging between -0.43 and +0.01 (Hashim et al., 2013). In the same P-T-[H$_2$O] conditions (0.30 GPa, 850°C and 6 wt.% H$_2$O in the melt), the dacite has a
conductivity Log $\sigma = -0.04$ (Fig. 9A). Therefore, in those conditions, our model predicts an electrical conductivity very close to that estimated by Hashim et al. (2013).

### 6.4. Implications for magnetotelluric survey

#### 6.4.1. Detection of magmatic reservoir in the crust

Several MT surveys have identified high conductive bodies at crustal depths and some of them are interpreted or could be interpreted as magma reservoir containing a significant melt fraction (e.g. Schwarz & Kruger, 1997; Echternacht et al., 1997; Matsushima et al., 2001; Hoffmann-Rothe et al., 2001; Booker et al., 2004; Hill et al., 2009; Heise et al., 2010; Bertrand et al., 2012; Unsworth et al., 2013; McGary et al., 2014). The cases of the Altiplano-Puna Magma Body (APMB), Mt St Helens, Usu, Taupo and Merapi potential reservoir are discussed hereafter. To consider the presence of crystals on the bulk electrical conductivity, we used the modified Archie’s law with an $m$ exponent of 1.05 as calibrated after Gaillard & Iacono Marziano (2005).

**APMB**

One of the most spectacular electrical anomalies in the crust is the Altiplano-Puna Magma Body (APMB, Chile-Bolivia), a massive body of 80 km long by 10 km thick located at ~35 km depth, with a resistivity lower than 1 ohm.m resulting from the presence of melt (Schilling et al., 2006; Unsworth et al., 2013). At shallower depths (1 to 5 km), several other conductive bodies are evidenced with a slightly higher resistivity (~5 ohm.m; Unsworth et al., 2013). Uturuncu Volcano (south Bolivia) has been essentially erupting dacite, and is fed by magma from the APBM (Sparks et al., 2008; Del Potro et al. 2013; Muir et al. 2014). Recent phase equilibrium constrain the storage conditions of the previously erupted dacite at Uturuncu Volcano: the dacite was stored at a depth of ~2 to 4 km, 870°C, near water-saturated
conditions ([H$_2$O] = 3.2 wt.%; Muir et al. 2014). In such conditions, our model shows that the dacite would have a conductivity of Log $\sigma$ = -0.6 ($\sigma$ in S/m), which coincides very well with the resistivity values obtained by geophysicists for shallow bodies (Fig. 10A; Unsworth et al., 2013). Therefore, a magmatic reservoir containing a similar dacite as previously erupted is likely to exist, and its conductivity indicates a low crystal fraction (ca. <30 vol.%). It has been suggested that such a reservoir can have survived since the precedent eruption (271ka, Sparks et al. 2008), or has been rebuild due to incoming magma from the main body (APMB, Del Potro et al. 2013). The low crystal content, as deduced from the conductivity value, seems difficult to reconcile with secular cooling of remnant magma, unless crystal settling has efficiently operated.

Considering now a dacitic reservoir located at lower crust levels (T = 870°C; P = 1 GPa; [H$_2$O] = 12 wt% as experimentally constrained for Mt. Pinatubo, Prouteau & Scaillet, 2003), the associated conductivity would be Log $\sigma$ ≈ 1.1, much higher than the one of the large body lying at ~35 km beneath Uturuncu Volcano (Fig. 10A). To explain such conductivity by the presence of dacite, the melt must be water under-saturated (7 to 9 wt.%, Fig. 10A), or must contain a large amount of solid materials. A more plausible hypothesis is the presence of a more mafic (= more resistive) melt, such as basalt to andesite, commonly found as enclaves in the erupted dacite, and previously proposed as the main magma reservoir (Sparks et al., 2008; Muir et al., 2014). But in absence of experimental data on the electrical conductivity of hydrated andesite, we cannot test this scenario.

Usu

Usu volcano is one of the most active volcano in Japan, with a SiO$_2$ content decreasing from 74 to 69 wt. % in the last 8 eruptions since 1663, with a low (< 14 % in volume) phenocryst content (Tomiya & Takahashi, 2005). Chemical pattern of emitted products suggest that a
magma chamber still exists (Tomiya & Takahashi, 2005). A conductive body (-0.12 < Log $\sigma$ < -0.32) reported by Matsushima et al. (2001) is located at ~7 km below the surface. The conductivity of a water-saturated dacitic melt at such depth and 850 to 900°C (petrological estimations from Tomiya & Takahashi, 2005) would match the values obtained by magnetotelluric investigation if it is partially crystallized (crystal fraction 0.45 - 0.75; Fig. 10B; Matsushima et al., 2001).

**Taupo**

Conductive bodies were located at ~1 km (Log $\sigma$ = -0.3) and between 10 and 20 km depth (Log $\sigma$ up to 0) beneath Taupo Volcano (New Zealand; Heise et al., 2010; Bertrand et al., 2012). The volcano is well characterized for erupting felsic (dacite to rhyolitic) lava (e.g. Sutton et al., 2000). Our model shows that water saturated dacitic magma cannot explain the high conductivities observed (Fig. 10C). Therefore, magma with higher Na$_2$O content (rhyolite), or fluids are likely to be present at superficial levels. As for Usu volcano, if the presence of melt is responsible of the conductive signal at mid crustal levels, then a dacitic magma needs to be partially crystallized, or water-under saturated (Fig. 10C). A reservoir composed of a more mafic melt might also be able to reproduce the measured conductivities between 10 and 20 km depth.

**Merapi**

In October 2010, Merapi volcano erupted andesitic magma during its largest explosive events in a century. Before this major eruption, a relatively high conductive body was located by MT survey, at 5 to 7 km depth with a resistivity of ~2 ohm.m (Hoffmann-Rothe et al., 2001). The 2010 eruption has been interpreted to follow a rapid ascent, and a short stay in the reservoir (Hoffmann-Rothe et al., 2001; Jousset et al., 2012). Andesite contains ~ 30 % in volume of
phenocrysts embedded in a dacitic matrix (SiO₂ = 66-68 wt. %, Jousset et al., 2012), therefore comparable to the dacite used in our experiments. The resistivity of 2 ohm.m at 5-7 km depth (P ~ 200 MPa) corresponds to the one of a dacitic melt close to water saturation (~5.5 wt.%) at 840°C, which is consistent with experimental determination of Merapi’s andesite storage conditions (Fig. 10D; Hammer et al., 2000; Borisova et al., 2013; Costa et al., 2013).

St Helens reservoir

Beneath Mt St Helens Volcano (Washington state), a Log σ = 0.1 conductive body lies at ~ 6 km depth (Hill et al., 2009). The main eruptive products at Mt St Helens are dacitic in composition (e.g. Rutherford et al., 1985; Smith & Leeman, 1987; Pallister et al., 2008). However, the model cannot explain such high conductive value by the presence of a water saturated dacite stored at 930°C, 200 MPa (Rutherford et al., 1985), even in favorable conditions (relatively high temperature of 1000°C, Fig. 10E). Therefore, either the highly conductive body seen by Hill et al (2009) is not a magma (brines or any fluids, see Hashim et al., 2013) or the magma presently stored is not similar to the dacite that produced the previous historical eruption (e.g. Rutherford et al., 1985). The high conductivity, associated to zonation pattern in crystal and the presence of mafic inclusions, suggests the dacite was formed by magma mixing between a mafic deep magma intruding a rhyolitic-like magma being possibly responsible for the low resistive value obtained by geophysical investigation (Clynne et al., 2008; Claiborne et al., 2010).

6.4.2. Characterisation of mantle silica-rich melts

Natural occurrences constrain on mantle silica-rich melts

Highly silicic glasses (up to SiO₂ = 72 wt. %) have often been reported as inclusions, quartz bearing veins and as interstitial glass pockets in upper mantle peridotite, including in
xenoliths from subduction context (e.g. Schiano et al., 1995; Baker et al., 1995; Wulff-Pedersen et al., 1996; Neumann & Wulff-Pedersen, 1997; Shimizu et al., 2004; Ishimaru et al., 2007; Bali et al., 2008). Several origins have been proposed for the existence of such felsic melts in the upper mantle like partial melting of peridotite (e.g. Baker et al., 1995; Hirschmann et al., 1998) or reactions between infiltrating basaltic melts and peridotite (Wulff-Pedersen et al., 1996), but in most cases, the presence of hydrous fluids is called to favour low degree of partial melting at the base of the mantle wedge. Hydrous fluids is related to the dehydration (+/- melting) of hydrous phases from the subducting slab (Amundsen, 1987; Baker et al., 1995; Schiano et al., 1995; Chazot et al., 1996; Wulff-Pedersen et al., 1996; Hirschmann et al., 1998; Hermann & Green, 2001; Scaillet & Prouteau, 2001; Klemme et al., 2002; Ishimaru et al., 2007; Bali et al., 2008). Klemme et al. (2002) show that the low degree partial melting of eclogite produces melts with 70 wt.% of SiO$_2$, and up to 5 wt.% of Na$_2$O. Therefore, our model can also be applied to the response of a dacitic melt at mantle conditions, corrected to respect the expected low melt fractions.

The P-T conditions for slab melting are 800°C, 2 to 3 GPa as constrained from thermal modeling (e.g. Peacock et al., 1994). Higher temperatures (900 to 1000°C) were determined from experiments involving olivine and a trondhjemitic melt (issued from basalt melting) that produced dacitic melts with SiO$_2$ and Na$_2$O contents of 64-68 and 5-6 wt.% respectively in the presence of water (Sen & Dunn, 1994; Rapp et al., 1999). To produce dacitic melts, water must be in excess, probably up to 15 wt.% (Stern & Wyllie, 1981; Prouteau et al., 1999; 2001).

**Electrical signature of silica-rich melt-bearing mantle**

To calculate the bulk electrical conductivity of a dacite-bearing peridotite, we consider an olivine aggregate, with electrical properties taken from Roberts & Tyburczy (1991) and dacitic melt distributed along tubes as observed in Garapic et al. (2013) experiments with low
melt fraction (1.6 and 3.6 vol.%). We used the “Tubes model” to calculate the conductivity of the bulk magma with low melt fraction (Grant & West, 1965; Schmeling, 1985), though almost similar results can be obtain using a film distribution of the melt (Sifre et al., 2014 and reference therein) or the modified Archie’s law previously used in this study with a melt connectivity \( m = 1.3 \) (Glover et al., 2000; Gaillard & Iacono-Marziano, 2005). The model was built using the equation (5-6-7). In figure 11, we show the electrical conductivity of the cold mantle wedge just above the slab that is percolated by a small fraction (0.01 to 0.10) of dacite with \([\text{H}_2\text{O}] < 15 \text{ wt.\%}, T = 800^\circ\text{C} \) and \( P = 2.5\text{GPa} \). In such conditions, the electrical conductivity Log \( \sigma \) of the mantle ranges from -2.5 to -1.75 and from -2.5 to -0.8 for a melt fraction of 0.01 and 0.10 respectively (Fig. 11). Most of the electrical conductivities reported at depth comprised between 60 and 100 km in subduction contexts (Booker et al., 2004; Soyer & Unsworth, 2006; Jodick et al., 2006; Patro & Egbert, 2008; Brasse et al., 2009; Worzewski et al., 2011; Matsuno et al., 2010) can be explained by a rock containing less than 0.05 melt fraction of water-rich (10 to 15wt.\%) dacite. These water concentrations of the melt phase are reasonable according to water solubility at such pressure (e.g. Stern & Wyllie, 1981; Mysen & Acton, 1999; Mysen & Cody, 2004; Prouteau et al., 1999; 2001). Finally, the conductivity (Log \( \sigma = -0.2 \)) determined at 100 to 200km depth beneath Altiplano-Puna Volcanic Complex (Bolivia) is almost one order of magnitude higher than the trend defined by the previous studies (Booker et al., 2004; Fig. 11). Such a high value of EC requires a melt fraction larger than 0.20, or the presence of another volatile such as CO\(_2\) (Gaillard et al., 2008; Sifré et al., 2014) more conductive than water and which is particularly rich in erupted products at Uturuncu (Sparks et al., 2008; Muir et al., 2014).
7. Conclusions

Electrical conductivity of a dacite was in situ measured over wide ranges of temperature (400-1300°C), pressure (0.15 to 3.0GPa) and H$_2$O content (0 to 12 wt.%). Water has a strong positive influence on the electrical conductivity of the dacite. Pressure decreases the conductivity, and its effect is increasing as melt water content increases. Sodium appears to be the main charge carrier in the [H$_2$O] range investigated. A model was established from the data, allowing the determination of the conductivity in the crust and upper mantle conditions for various T-P-H$_2$O conditions. We conclude that several electrical anomalies in the crust and the mantle affected by subduction processes can be successfully interpreted as magmatic liquid occurrence and provide constrains on both water and crystal contents. The combination of laboratory measurements and magnetotelluric investigations appears therefore as a promising approach to decipher magmatic system with immediate outcome on the mitigation of volcanic risk.
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CAPTIONS

Figure 1: profile of water concentration in the 3 hydrated syntheses obtained by FTIR over 1.3 to 2.0 mm.

Figure 2: ¾ assembly used for electrical conductivity measurements in piston cylinder.

Figure 3: Impedance spectra represented in the Nyquist plan (Z’, Z’’) for the dacite. A) At low temperature and relatively large resistance, the frequency range investigated defines a semicircle corresponding to the electrical response of the sample (R < Z’) and a linear part (R > Z’) due to the effect of the interface between the sample and the electrodes. At higher temperatures (B & C), corresponding to lower resistance values, no impedance arcs were observed; the electrical response is characterised by the decrease and the increase of Z” (B), and by an increase of Z” crossing Z” = 0. The arrow indicates the resistance R of the sample in each type of spectra.

Figure 4: water concentration in the run products according to their pressure, compared with the starting glasses. No significant water loss was noticed.

Figure 5: Arrhenius diagram of the electrical conductivity of UTU41B-0e and UTU41B-7c versus 1/Temperature (K) along heating and cooling paths. The black arrow indicates the temperature at which the conductivity is reproduced during the next temperature paths. The solid line is the fit of experimental data at temperature higher than 950°C. See the text for details.
Figure 6: Arrhenius diagram of the electrical conductivity of dry and hydrous dacitic melts versus 1000/Temperature in K (open symbols). The legend indicates the water contents of the dacite (numbers in color) and the pressure of the experiments (black number). The fits of the models for dry and hydrous dacitic compositions at various pressures using equations 1, 5 and 7 and the fitting values in Table 3 are represented by curves with the same color code.

Figure 7: Activation Energy (A) and pre-exponential factor (B) versus the pressure. Same legend in A and B.

Figure 8: Na, H\(^+\) diffusivities determined from electrical conductivity measurements for the four dacitic melts with different water contents (number on the right of curves), and H\(_2\)O diffusivity reported by Zhang & Behrens (2000). The Na diffusivities are compared with values from the literature (Lowry et al., 1982; Henderson et al., 1985).

Figure 9: Log of conductivity versus water content of the dacite at 850 °C (A) and 1000°C (B) compared with data from the literature. Rhyolite is from Gaillard et al. (2004), Sigmelts is a model simulating the dacitic composition (Pommier& Le Trong, 2011) and metapelite is from Hashim et al. (2013).

Figure 10: Electrical conductivities of Altiplano-Puna Magma Body (Bolivia, A), Usu (Japan, B), Taupo (New Zealand, C), Merapi (Indonesia, D) and St Helens (Washington state, E) areas from magnetotelluric survey (grey rectangles) and corresponding conductivities from the model. Conductivities are represented until water saturation (H\(_2\)O sat.) estimated from the conductive body depth. Solid lines are calculated conductivity from the model of this study,
the thick and thin ones being respectively the melt and a mixture a melt + crystal conductivities. See the text for details.

Figure 11: Electrical conductivity of the cold mantle wedge percolated by a small fraction of dacite. MT data are not specific to any particular melt fraction and come from Booker et al., 2004 (Argentina), Soyer&Unsworth, 2006 (Northern Cascades), Jodick et al., 2006 (Mexico), Patro& Egbert, 2008 (Cascades), Brasse et al., 2009 Worzewski et al., 2011, (Costa Rica); Matsuno et al., 2010 (Mariana); Rosell et al., 2011 (Alboran).
Table 1: Chemical composition normalized to 100% of the volatile-free starting dacite after melting at 1450°C and 1 atm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67.93</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Experimental details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># Exp.</th>
<th>Starting Material</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>T°C range</th>
<th>Duration (h)</th>
<th>Water content (wt.%)</th>
<th>Ea (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Log σ₀ (S.m⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-0g</td>
<td>UTU41B-dry</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>400-1214</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-0e</td>
<td>UTU41B-dry</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>400-1211</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-0i</td>
<td>UTU41B-dry</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>400-1350</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-3d</td>
<td>UTU41B-3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>500-1107</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-3c</td>
<td>UTU41B-3</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>400-1218</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>79.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-3f</td>
<td>UTU41B-3</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>400-1271</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-7c</td>
<td>UTU41B-7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>400-1237</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.09</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-7e</td>
<td>UTU41B-7</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>400-1211</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-7d</td>
<td>UTU41B-7</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>400-1204</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTU41B-12</td>
<td>UTU41B-12</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>400-1219</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.76</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: fitted parameters of the electrical model of dry and hydrous dacitic melts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>1.06E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>2.49E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σ₀</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>-6146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f</td>
<td>88440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔV</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Experimental details.
Supplementary information

Experimental Methods
Water conservation
First experiments conducted at 0.5 GPa showed that the initial porosity of the MgO and the frictional force result in a too low effective pressure on the sample which led to its rapid (few tens of seconds) dehydration. Therefore, in order to maintain the water content of our samples (in the form of both drilled cylinders and powders), the pressure was largely increased above dwell pressure before sample heating. Typically, for experiments conducted at 0.5 GPa, the pressure was first set to 1.2 GPa. Then, the temperature was increase until ~400°C, and the pressure was slightly released up to the target pressure (0.5 GPa). Once the target pressure was reached, the sample was heated up with adjustment of the pressure variation related to dilatation of the sample and reduction of the porosity.

Sample’s resistance acquisition
The furnace power was set manually by a proportional-integrative-derivative controller. New acquisition of the sample resistance followed a change of the furnace power and a lap time to get a stable temperature. The temperature was checked before and after the sample resistance acquisition and in between, i.e. during ~30 seconds, a constant power was applied without temperature measurements. We observed that the temperature did not change by more than ~5°C during impedance acquisition. In the few cases where more important T-deviations were observed, the measurements were repeated until a stable temperature was observed (see also “Stability of experimental conditions and reproducibility of EC measurements”).

Range of measureable resistance and error on measurements
To characterized the range of resistance allowed with such a setup, the sample was replaced by a resistive alumina cylinder and a conductive nickel plug, leading to measured resistances $R_{\text{Al}} > 10^7$ and $R_{\text{Ni}} < 10^{-2}$ ohm respectively (Sifre et al., 2014). It was then concluded that the dacitic sample was the only conductive path in the experimental P, T conditions and one can measure impedance as low as $10^{-2}$ ohm.
In some cases, the sample did not maintain a perfect cylindrical shape, and average length and diameter were used to calculate the geometrical factor. The variation in the sample length results in the deviation of the geometric factor of 2% maximum, Horizontal cracks resulting in sample relaxation during unloading were corrected by removing the cumulated crack thickness to the total sample length. We neglected other eventual variation of sample dimension, assuming it was the same for and after experiments.

Stability of experimental conditions and reproducibility of EC measurements
Between heating and cooling paths, the reproducibility of the measurements was tested by acquiring data at constant P-T conditions (Fig. SI1). The conductivity is remarkably stable in the first 8 hours, whereas it gently changed for very long run durations (time > 8h). However, the increase of the conductivity between 9 and 13h is associated to a regular increase of the temperature and the EC values range perfectly between the ones obtained during heating and cooling cycles (Fig. SI1 A & B). The last series of measurements (time > 14h) shows an oscillation with time, being associated to oscillation in temperature. Therefore, conductivity measurements are well reproducible over long duration (hours), indicating negligible changes of the sample when exposed to the P-T-fO2 conditions of the piston cylinder (see Pommier et al. (2010) for the impact of fO2 on conductivity).

Textural observations
The sample dimensions (diameter and length) were precisely determined from SEM images to calculate the geometrical factor. The gap between the internal electrode and the thermocouple or the lower alumina disk and the horizontal fractures both result from the thermal contraction and from
the final decompression (and extraction) of the whole assembly (Fig. SI2). No significant melt segregation was observed, except in the experiments UTU41B-0e and UTU41B-3c where a small fraction of the melt escaped in the MgO porosity (over no more than 100 micrometers) and beneath the lower alumina disk over few hundreds of micrometres respectively (Fig. SI2). Then, sample only suffered from a small decrease of the diameter and the length, more pronounced in the sample made of powder (e.g. compare Fig. SI2 A & C, sample made of cylindrical and powder glasses respectively).

After experiments, the dry samples remained free of crystals. It is not the case of most of the hydrous experiments that developed crystals of amphibole, with occasional pyroxenes and oxides. The crystal fraction basically increases with the water content from ~ 2 % (H₂O = 3.3 wt.%) up to 12% in volume in the most hydrated samples. Despite their relatively large size (several hundreds of micrometres, and aggregates of more than a millimetre), the shape of these crystals suggests that they are quench crystals resulting from the cooling of the sample. The presence of such crystals does not seem to affect the water concentration determination; FTIR analyses were preferentially made in crystal-free area. In addition, chemical analyses and elemental mapping did not evidence any chemical contamination from the surrounding MgO, alumina disks nor the platinum electrodes during the duration of the experiments.

**Activation Energy of sodium diffusion in dacitic melts**

We calculated the activation energy (Ea) of Na diffusion of the dacitic melts with the different water contents investigated here, assuming that Na remains the dominant charge carrier even in water-rich melts. Ea of Na diffusion ranges from 64 to 110 kJ, and is therefore slightly higher than activation energy of electrical conductivity obtained in the same experimental conditions, in particular at high pressure (62 to 96 kJ; Fig. SI3).
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Figure SI1: A) Electrical conductivity along heating and cooling paths, with intercalated steps at constant temperature to test the reproducibility of the measure. B) Electrical conductivity of the four constant temperature steps versus the time.

Figure SI2: SEM images showing the sample shape after experiments. The geometrical factor G was calculated after the determination of sample dimensions corrected of the decompression fractures. Crystals are frequently observed in hydrous samples. Abbreviations: alumina (Al), amphibole (Amp), glass (gl), oxide (ox), (Pt), pyroxene (Px), thermocouple (Tc).

Figure SI3: Calculated activation energies of Na diffusion of the four different melts and comparison with the activation energies for electrical conductivity (σ).
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