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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to show how current vegetation in a peatland is imprinted in the lipid fraction of the underlying soil. La Guette is a fen peatland located in Central France dominated by \textit{Sphagnum} spp. and ericaceous shrubs, colonized by sedges (\textit{Molinia caerulea}) and trees since the 1970s (\textit{Betula pendula} and \textit{Pinus sylvestris}). Lipid were exhaustively identified and quantified in the most abundant plants and in samples collected at the base of \textit{M. caerulea} tussocks. Among relevant biomarkers, tricyclic diterpenes and methoxy-serratenes stand out as specific of \textit{P. sylvestris}, betulin derivatives as specific of \textit{B. pendula}, and some pentacyclic triterpene ketones and acetates as biomarkers of Ericaceae. Multivariate analysis applied to biomarker concentrations in soil samples from several sites permitted distinguishing three different vegetation types: vegetation cover: (i) closed vegetation dominated by \textit{P. sylvestris}; (ii) closed vegetation dominated \textit{B. pendula} and (iii) open vegetation or semi-open vegetation (early colonization by trees) constituted mainly by Ericaceae, \textit{Sphagnum} and graminoids. The comparison of tree-specific biomarker concentrations with estimates of tree biomass allowed establishing quantitative relationships that were valid over at least 80 m\textsuperscript{2} around sampling site. Although preliminary, these results are promising in attempting relating biomarker concentrations in geological archives to paleo-biomasses.
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1. Introduction

In recent history, many peatlands in Europe have experienced vascular plant invasion (Hansen, 1976; Berendse et al., 1994; Chambers et al., 1999; Jacquemyn et al., 2005). The increased abundance of trees such as Betula spp and Pinus spp, and gramineae such Molinia caerulea could be driven by natural dynamics and/or induced by direct and indirect human activities (fire, drainage, fertilization and climate change; Gimingham, 1972; Hunt et al., 1991, 1993; Boeye et al., 1999; Tomassen et al., 2003, 2004; Aerts et al., 1992; Woodin and Farmer, 1993; Hogg et al., 1995). Increased abundance of vascular plants has the potential to profoundly influence peatland biodiversity, hydrology, biogeochemistry, and landscape evolution (Bobbink and Lamers, 2002; Binet et al., 2013 and Gogo et al., 2011). However, although vegetation change in peatlands is widely recognized, there is a promising, if little explored, avenue for understanding their impacts on ecosystem processes (Limpens et al., 2008).

Several studies showed a link between biomarkers imprints in soil and plant diversity in the surrounding (Marseille et al., 1999; Trendel et al., 2010; González-Pérez et al., 2011; Zocatelli et al., 2012; Lavrieux et al., 2012; Ronkainen et al., 2013). In addition, the analysis of soil lipids revealed that biomarker imprints of soils can distinguish between pasture/grassland and forest (Zocatelli et al., 2012) and that the soil chemical legacy of former land uses can be preserved for decades (Lavrieux et al., 2012). As remarked by Eglinton and Eglinton (2008), little attention has been paid to the parameters that control the spatial variability of biomarkers, i.e. how do they reflect spatial heterogeneities and what is the current knowledge on their production, transport and sedimentation? In this vast new field of investigation, our study aimed at testing whether relationships between plant diversity and soil chemodiversity are still valid, and are quantitative, at a small scale (1/10th of a m²) by
attempting to connect (i) the spatial variability of soil lipid imprints in peat with the
surrounding vegetation, and (ii) the concentration of tree biomarkers with tree biomass. La
Guette peatland, located in the southeast part of the French Region Centre is particularly well
adapted to the study due to the low plant diversity, which is expected to provide precise
relationships between soil lipids and their plant source. Our working hypothesis was that the
lipid composition of a surface peat would be directly affected by the lipid composition of
plants or groups of plants that developed in the immediate vicinity. To test this hypothesis, we
analysed the biomarker imprint of soils sampled at several stations under distinct vegetation
cover and tree density and compared it with the lipid imprints in possible plant sources.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Setting

La Guette is a peatland situated in Neuvy-sur-Barrier (Sologne, Cher) in the French
Centre Region [154 m above sea level (a.s.l.); N 47°19', E 2°16; Fig. 1]. La Guette peatland
covers 25 ha and is a transitional fen (pH ca. 4-4.5). The original peatland vegetation is
dominated by Sphagnum spp. (majoritarian S. rubellum) and ericaceous shrubs - Erica tetralix
2005) reveal (i) progressive afforestation of the site by Pinus sylvestris and Betula pendula up
to a fire event in the mid-70s. This event re-opened the site and reset the vegetation. Since
that time, a progressive afforestation is noted with, again, the development of P. sylvestris and
B. pendula. Since the fire event, Molinia caerulea (Poaceae) spread in almost all parts of the
site and developed tussocks. Tree species such as P. sylvestris and B. pendula are more
sporadically distributed, but are closing the ecosystem (Fig. 1).
2.2. Sampling

Nine stations were selected on a NE-SW transect in order to cover the entire length of the peatland, to be representative of the main vegetation types, and to reflect gradients of afforestation (Fig. 1b). At each station, one soil sample (1.5 cm width x 10 cm length) was taken in horizontal direction at the base of 15 cm high *M. caerulea* tussocks, above the peatland topsoil (Fig. 1c). Considering that tussocks only developed after the fire event, our samples are supposed to record vegetation changes that occurred during the last 40 yrs that is essentially the result of recent afforestation by *P. sylvestris* and *B. pendula*.

Because *M. caerulea* tussocks are essentially constituted by *M. caerulea* material, the background lipid signal is expected to be similar in all samples whereas variations in biomarker imprints are expected to be only related to the specific vegetation surrounding sampling points. Aerial parts of the six most abundant plants, *S. rubellum*, *E. tetralix*, *C. vulgaris*, *M. caerulea*, *P. sylvestris* (needles and bark) and *B. pendula* (leaves and bark), were collected as reference samples.

2.3. Tree density and dominance of *P. sylvestris* vs. *B. pendula*

Around each station, trees were counted in concentric circles of increasing radius (1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 m, giving areas of 3.14; 12.6; 28.3; 50.3 and 78.5 m², respectively). A biomass index (BI) is calculated for each area as the sum of trees height divided by the area. This was individually done for *P. sylvestris* and *B. pendula*, and for both tree species (formulae a, b and c, respectively). To give account of the relative abundance of these species, the biomass proportion of each to the total tree biomass was also calculated (formulae d and e, respectively).

\[ \text{BI}_P = \frac{\sum \text{Pinus height in surface } S}{\text{surface } S} \]  

(a)
\[ BI_B = \Sigma \text{Betula height in surface S / surface S} \]  
(b)  
\[ BI_T = \Sigma \text{all trees height in surface S / surface S} \]  
(c)  
\[ P_{\%} = \frac{\text{percentage of } P. sylvestris \text{ biomass (BI}_P / BI_T \times 100} \]  
(d)  
\[ B_{\%} = \frac{\text{percentage of } B. pendula \text{ biomass (BI}_B / BI_T \times 100} \]  
(e)  

2.4. Lipid analysis

About 5 g of fresh soil or lyophilized plant samples were ultrasonically extracted (3x, 10 min) with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (15 ml). After combination of the extracts, the total extract was dried under N\(_2\) and fractionated into neutral and acidic compounds using solid phase extraction with aminopropyl-bonded silica according to Jacob et al. (2005). Neutral and acidic fractions were dried under N\(_2\). The neutral fraction was separated into: aliphatics and aromatics; ethers, esters, ketones and acetates; and alcohols using flash chromatography with a Pasteur pipette filled with silica (activated 24 h at 120 °C, then deactivated with H\(_2\)O, 5% wt.), using a sequence of solvents of increasing polarity. The acid fraction was methylated by adding a mixture of anhydrous MeOH and acetyl chloride kept at room temperature for 1 h. Alcohol and acid fractions were silylated by reacting with N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) in pyridine at 60 °C for 1 h. An internal standard, 5α-cholestan, was added prior to analysis.

Lipids were assigned and quantified using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS with a Trace GC Ultra gas chromatograph coupled to a TSQ Quantum XLS mass spectrometer equipped with an AS 3000 autosampler; both from Thermo-Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The GC instrument was fitted with a TG-5 MS column (60 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness; Thermo, Bellefonte, PA, USA). The temperature program was: 40 °C (1 min) to 120 °C at 30 °C/min, then to 300 °C (held 70 min) at 3 °C/min. The sample was
dissolved in toluene and 2 µl were injected in splitless mode at 280 °C. The carrier gas was He at 1.0 ml/min. The mass spectrometer was operated in the electron ionization (EI) mode at 70 eV and scanned from m/z 50 to 600. Compound assignment was based on comparison of mass spectra and retention times of authentic standards and on comparison with published data. Lipid concentration was estimated by measuring peak areas on ion specific chromatograms, converting to areas on total ion current (TIC) by applying a correction factor, then expressing values as a ratio of the area of the standard peak on the TIC, and finally normalized to the weight of sample extracted.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Model II regression (Legendre and Legendre, 1998) was used to examine the relationships between lipid concentration and tree density at each station. Pearson regression was used to examine the relationships between the regression coefficient of the previous analysis and the counting area. Statistical analysis was performed with Statistica (Statsoft, 2008) to test the significance of the regressions (level of significance set at $p < 0.05$). Molecular contents were compared through standardized principal component analysis (PCA).

3. Results

3.1. Cover vegetation parameters

Stations StI and StII are the most open ($BI_T = 0$; Table 1) as they have no trees within 5 m (78 m$^2$). Stations StIII, StV and StVIII are the most closed vegetation stations ($0.9 < BI_T < 1.53$; Table 1). StIII and StV are markedly dominated by *P. sylvestris* ($P_\% > 72\%$), whereas this species is very slightly dominating in StVIII ($P_\% = 51\%$). Stations StIV, StVI, StVII and
StIX are open to semi-open areas (BI < 0.5). Stations StIII, StV and StVIII are dominated by *P. sylvestris* (P < 51%), whereas *B. pendula* is dominant in stations StIV, StVI, StVII and StIX (B > 63%; Table 1).

3.2. Molecular imprints of soil samples

The distribution of main lipids identified and quantified in soil samples between stations (in terms of presence/absence), the ratios between homologous of *n*-alkyl lipids and lipid contents are shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4. Concentrations of each individual compound can be found in Supplementary data. The total amount of lipids ranged from ca. 54 to ca. 485.2 μg/g soil.

*n*-Alkanes concentration ranged from 5.0 to 16.2 μg/g soil, distributed from *n*-C\textsubscript{15} to *n*-C\textsubscript{35} with maxima varying between *n*-C\textsubscript{25}, *n*-C\textsubscript{27}, *n*-C\textsubscript{29} and *n*-C\textsubscript{33}. All samples have a modal distribution, some maximizing at *n*-C\textsubscript{25}, *n*-C\textsubscript{27} and *n*-C\textsubscript{29} (StII, StIII, StV, StVI and StVII), whereas others maximizing at at *n*-C\textsubscript{31}, *n*-C\textsubscript{33} (StI, StIV, StVIII and StIX, Table 3). The Carbon Preference Index (CPI) values for *n*-C\textsubscript{25} ~ *n*-C\textsubscript{33} *n*-alkanes ranged from 1.5 to 6.5. Much less variation was seen in the Average Chain Length (ACL, 27.6 – 30.5). The P\textsubscript{aq} and *n*-C\textsubscript{25}/*n*-C\textsubscript{29} ratio ranged from 0.25 to 0.57 and from 0.5 to 1.5, respectively. The (*n*-C\textsubscript{31} + *n*-C\textsubscript{33})/(*n*-C\textsubscript{27} + *n*-C\textsubscript{29}) ratio ranged from 0.4 to 3.3 (Table 3). *n*-Alkanols ranged from 0.2 to 38.0 μg/g soil, distributed from *n*-C\textsubscript{19}OH to *n*-C\textsubscript{31}OH with maxima at *n*-C\textsubscript{28}OH, with the exception of StIX that maximizes at *n*-C\textsubscript{26}OH. *n*-Alkanols showed a strong even-over-odd predominance with a CPI generally ranging from 23.1 to 49.4, although StIX showed value of 4.7. ACL ranged from 23.4 to 27.8. The concentration of *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from 11.6 to 91.9 μg/g soil distributed from *n*-C\textsubscript{14:0} to *n*-C\textsubscript{32:0} with maxima at *n*-C\textsubscript{14:0} and *n*-C\textsubscript{22:0}. Again,
there was a strong even-over-odd predominance with CPI and ACL values ranging from 2 to 7.8 and from 23.7 to 25.6, respectively (Table 3).

Selected compounds are depicted on the chromatograms of the ketone/acetate and alcohol fractions for several soil samples characterized by distinct vegetation cover (Fig. 2). Five steroids occurred in soil samples (st1 to st5). In all samples, β-sitosterol (st4) was the major compound, followed by campesterol (st2). Twelve pentacyclic triterpenones (k1 to k12), eight pentacyclic triterpenyl acetates (ta1 to ta7), eight pentacyclic triterpenols (tp1-8) and two pentacyclic triterpene acids (oleanoic and ursolic acids; Oa and Ua, respectively) were detected in soils samples (Table 2; see Supplementary Data for concentrations).

Biomarkers [lupa-2,20(29)-dien-28-ol (db2), betulinic acid (db3), betulin (db4), tricyclic diterpenes (td1-6) and methoxy-serratenes (ms1 to ms5)] also detected in samples were distinguished on the basis of criteria that will be defined later (cf. 4.1.).

3.3. Plant biomarkers

Compounds supposedly likely to be recorded and to be discriminant found in soil samples and detected in plants are then described plant by plant. These compounds are listed in Table 2, together with other compounds that are a priori considered less specific.

3.3.1. Sphagnum rubellum

n-Alkanes were distributed from n-C_{17} to n-C_{33}, with maxima at n-C_{25}, n-C_{27} and n-C_{29}, and a strong odd predominance. n-Alkanols and n-alkanoic acids ranged from n-C_{16}OH to n-C_{32}OH and from n-C_{12:0} to n-C_{34:0}, respectively, exhibiting a strong even predominance. n-Alkanols and n-alkanoic acids had maximum values at n-C_{28}OH and at n-C_{16:0}, respectively. β- and α-amyrins and lupeol (tp2, tp5 and tp6), as well as their ketone counterparts (k2, k5...
and k8, respectively) were the major pentacyclic triterpenes in the neutral fraction of *S. rubellum*. Some pentacyclic triterpenes were detected in trace amounts such as ketones (k3 and k11), triterpenol (tp3) and triterpenyl acetates (ta1, ta4, ta2 and ta7).

### 3.3.2. Erica tetralix

*n*-Alkanes ranged from *n*-C21 to *n*-C33 with maxima at *n*-C27 and *n*-C29 and a strong odd predominance. *n*-Alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from *n*-C11OH to *n*-C32OH and from *n*-C10:0 to *n*-C34:0, respectively. Both exhibited a strong even predominance and maximum at *n*-C28OH, and at *n*-C16:0 and *n*-C28:0, respectively. α-Amyrenyl acetate (ta4), several triterpene ketones (k2, k3, k5, k6, k8 and k12) and triterpenols (tp2, tp3, tp5 and tp6) were detected.

### 3.3.3. Calluna vulgaris

*n*-Alkanes were distributed from *n*-C17 to *n*-C35 with maxima at *n*-C31 and *n*-C33 and a strong odd predominance. *n*-Alkanols ranged from *n*-C11OH to *n*-C32OH with maximum at *n*-C24OH and *n*-C28OH, whereas *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from *n*-C10:0 to *n*-C34:0 with maximum at *n*-C16:0. Both exhibited a strong even predominance. Some pentacyclic triterpenols (tp1, tp2, tp3, tp4, tp6 and tp8) and pentacyclic triterpene ketones (k1, k2, k4, k5, k7, k8 and k11) were detected, together with a wide diversity of pentacyclic triterpenyl acetates (ta1, ta4, ta2, ta3, ta5 and ta7).

### 3.3.4. Molinia caerulea

*n*-Alkanes were distributed from *n*-C21 to *n*-C33 with maxima at *n*-C27 and *n*-C29 and a strong odd predominance. *n*-Alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from *n*-C18OH to *n*-C32OH and from *n*-C12:0 to *n*-C32:0, respectively, exhibiting a strong even predominance. *n*-Alkanols
and n-alkanoic acids had maximum at n-C_{28}OH and at n-C_{16:0}, respectively. Except for n-alkanes, n-alkanoic acids and steroids, the major lipids in *M. caerulea* were essentially limited to β- and α-amyrenones (k2 and k5, respectively), lup-20(29)-en-3-one (lupenone; k12), ferenone (k10), β- and α-amyrsins and lupeol (tp2, tp5 and tp6).

3.3.5. *Betula pendula*

*n*-Alkanes were distributed from n-C_{15} to n-C_{33} with maxima at n-C_{25} and n-C_{27} and a strong odd predominance. *n*-Alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from n-C_{12}OH to n-C_{35}OH and from n-C_{10:0} to n-C_{34:0}, respectively, exhibiting a strong even predominance. *n*-Alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids had maximum at n-C_{28}OH and at n-C_{16:0}, respectively. Pentacyclic triterpenes essentially consisted of lupane-derived compounds in both leaves and bark of *B. pendula*: lup-22(29)-en-3-one (k8), lupeol (tp6), lupa-2,20(29)-dien-28-ol (db2), betulin (db4) and betulinic acid (db2, Table 2). Methyl-3α-acetoxy-lup-22(29)-en-28-oate (db1) was also detected in *B. pendula* bark.

3.3.6. *Pinus sylvestris*

*n*-Alkanes were distributed from n-C_{15} to n-C_{33} with maxima at n-C_{25} and a strong odd predominance. *n*-Alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids ranged from n-C_{12}OH to n-C_{32}OH and from n-C_{10:0} to n-C_{30:0}, with maxima at n-C_{28}OH and at n-C_{16:0}, respectively, and a strong even predominance. The needles and bark of *P. sylvestris* contained sterols and tricyclic diterpenes as major compounds. Tricyclic diterpenes were exclusively detected in *P. sylvestris*. Among several tricyclic diterpenes in *P. sylvestris*, only six were assigned (td1-6, Table 2). Five methoxy-serratenes (3β,21α-dimethoxy-serrat-14-ene, ms1; 3α-methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one, ms2; 3β-methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one, ms3; 3-Methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-yl acetate, ms4 and
3-methoxy-serrat-14-en-21α-ol, ms5) were found in notable concentrations in bark. In needles, only 3β-methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one (ms3) was detected and constituted the only pentacyclic triterpenes detected in *P. sylvestris*.

4. Discussion

4.1. Specificity of compounds in soil samples

With the exception of simiareno1, simiarenone and taraxasteryl acetate (tp7, k9 and ta6, respectively), all lipids detected in soil samples were also detected in plants (Table 2). These compounds could thus be synthetized by others plants present in low abundance on La Guette peatland.

The low variations in concentration, distribution and ratios of *n*-alkyl lipids (*n*-alkanes, *n*-alkanols and *n*-alkanoic acids, Table 3) in soil samples, make these compounds inefficient for discriminating the surrounding vegetation. Several studies propose that *n*-alkyl lipids-based ratios can be used to reconstruct past vegetation dynamics in peatlands (Xie et al., 2004; Zhou et al., 2005; Nichols et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2010). For example, the *n*-C23/*n*-C29 (or *n*-C23/*n*-C31) *n*-alkanes ratio was used to estimate the relative input of *Sphagnum* species compared to vascular plants, based on the high proportions of *n*-C23 in *Sphagnum* species. Similarly, and based on preliminary studies that estimated the relative proportions of submerged vs emersed and terrestrial plant in lacustrine systems (Ficken et al., 2000), the *P*<sub>aq</sub> ratio [*P*<sub>aq</sub> = (n-C<sub>23</sub> + n-C<sub>25</sub>)/(n-C<sub>23</sub> + n-C<sub>25</sub> + n-C<sub>29</sub> + n-C<sub>31</sub>)] was proposed to estimate past hydrological conditions, based on the same observation that *Sphagnum* species mainly produce *n*-C<sub>23</sub> whereas vascular plants produce longer chain *n*-alkanes.
These parameters, although largely used in paleoenvironmental studies based on peat deposits, have been recently debated. Several Sphagnum species were shown to produce mainly $n$-$C_{27}$ or $n$-$C_{29}$ and not $n$-$C_{23}$ (Baas et al., 2000; Xie et al., 2004). Considering that Betula sp. produce also $n$-$C_{27}$ as the major $n$-alkane homologue, Andersson et al. (2011) concluded that the respective contribution of Betula sp. and Sphagnum sp. cannot be discriminated in peat deposits on the basis of $P_{aq}$ and $n$-$C_{23}/n$-$C_{29}$. Additionally, Ronkainen et al. (2013) found similarities in $n$-$C_{23}/n$-$C_{29}$ $n$-alkane between Sphagnum spp. and vascular plants collected in bog peatlands. The efficiency of $n$-alkane ratios to differentiate species or plant group contributions in peatland is thus jeopardized.

$n$-$C_{27}$ is the dominant $n$-alkane in S. rubellum collected from La Guette peatland, in agreement with Baas et al. (2000). This can explain the inefficiency of $P_{aq}$ and $n$-$C_{23}/n$-$C_{29}$ in discriminating samples, considering also that there is no major difference in $n$-alkane distribution for vascular plants. In addition, it is worthwhile noting that ours amples were collected on M. caerulea tussocks on which Sphagnum can barely develop. More generally, and according to Otto et al. (2005), it is difficult to classify vegetation types on the basis of $n$-alkyl lipid distributions considering the significant variability observed within the same plant family, genera or even species (e.g. Herbin and Robbins, 1969; Gülz et al., 1989).

Likewise, as steroids are present in all the analyzed plants, their distribution in soil samples was not discriminant. Derivatives of cholesterol are present in all eukaryote cells. To a large extent, $C_{29}$ steroids derive mainly from the degradation of β-sitosterol (st4, highest content) and stigmasterol (st3) that originate from various plant sources (Harwood and Russell, 1984; Bianchi, 1995; Huang et al., 1995). The non-discriminative character of steroids in our samples is also in agreement with observations by Ronkainen et al. (2013) who indicated that sterols in soils are of limited interest for describing the surrounding vegetation.
Similarly, compounds with an oleanane or ursane skeleton and a $\Delta^{12}$ double bond such as pentacyclic triterpene acids Oa and Ua, as $\beta$- and $\alpha$-amyrins, or as their ketone counterparts were not efficient for discriminating the surrounding vegetation. These compounds are synthetized by almost all plants sampled in the peatland, except $B$. pendula and $P$. sylvestris.

Pentacyclic triterpenyl acetates were detected only in Ericaceae species ($C$. vulgaris and $E$. tetralix), while pentacyclic triterpene ketones were overrepresented (Table 2) in these species, which are part of open vegetation. $\beta$-Amyrenyl, multiflorenyl, glutinyl, $\alpha$-amyrenyl, isobauerenyl and friedelany acetates were found in $C$. vulgaris, whereas $\alpha$-amyrenyl acetate (ta4) was the only pentacyclic triterpenyl acetate detected in $E$. tetralix (Table 2). Triterpenyl acetates have been proposed as specific markers for Asteraceae, but can also occur in other taxa (Lavrieux et al., 2011). Triterpenyl acetates found in high amount in the soil samples (ta2 and ta7) are those produced by typical peatland vegetation ($C$. vulgaris). Taraxasteryl acetate (ta6) was detected in low amounts except in StI and StIX. It was not found in any of the plants analyzed, but it has been described in several taxa such as Apocynaceae (Lavrieux et al., 2011 and references therein). According to the plant inventory of La Guette carried out in 1999, 2002, 2011 and 2012 (Sologne Nature Environnement, 2012), only one Apocynaceae species was identified in high frequency at the site: Gentiana pneumonanthe. Further analysis should be done to ascertain whether this species contains pentacyclic triterpenyl acetates, and more specifically taraxasteryl acetate (ta6), as well as for compounds for which the source could not be identified (simiarenol and simiarenone). For Ericaceae, the distinction between $C$. vulgaris and $E$. tetralix could also be achieved by examining taraxerone, taraxerol, multiflorenone, glutinone, glutinol, friedelin and friedelanol (k1, tp1, k4, k7, tp4, k11 and tp8), which were only present in $C$. vulgaris, whereas isobauerenone (k3), hop-22(29)-en-3-one (k6) and lupenone (k12) were present in $E$. tetralix. Pancost et al. (2002) also observed
taraxerol (tp1) and taraxerone (k1) in *C. vulgaris*, whereas they were absent from *E. tetralix.*

Detection of friedelin, friedelanol and friedelanyl acetate in our samples is in accordance with van-Smeerdersjik and Boon (1987) who detected friedelane derivatives in Ericaceae species.

Four methoxy-serratenes (ms1-3 and ms5) already described in the needles and/or bark of *P. sylvestris* by Norin and Winell (1972) were found in our soil samples and in *P. sylvestris.* The present study is the first report of the occurrence of such compounds in peatland soils, although their definition as specific biomarkers of Pinaceae has been demonstrated for mineral soils (Le Milbeau et al., 2013a). In addition, we detected 3,21-dimethoxy-serrat-14-en-29-yl acetate (ms4), also assigned to Pinaceae (Le Milbeau et al., 2013b). Six tricyclic diterpenes found in soil samples were also present in needles and bark of *P. sylvestris.* Tricyclic diterpenes are reputed specific biomarkers for conifers (i.e. Stefanova et al., 1995). Therefore, and considering their absence in other analyzed plants, both these compounds can be used as specific biomarkers of *P. sylvestris.* Tricyclic diterpenes concentrations were lower than those of methoxy-serratenes in all soil samples (Supplementary Data). The high correlation between the sum of tricyclic diterpenes concentrations and that of methoxy-serratenes (r = 0.997; p ≤ 0.0001, n=9) confirms that they derive from the same source and did not suffer differential degradation.

Betulin, betulinic acid and their derivatives found in soil samples were also abundant in the extracts of leaves and bark from *B. pendula.* This is consistent with the report of betulinic acid, betulin and methyl-3β-acetoxy-lup-22(29)-en-28-oate (db1, db3 and db4, respectively) in *Betula spp* bark by Schnell et al. (2013). In *B. pendula* samples (leaves and bark), betulin was overexpressed compared to lupeol (tp6). According to Ekman (1983) betulin extracted from the bark of Betulaceae represents up to 30% of dry wt. Ukkonen and Era (1979) showed that lupeol concentration decreased whereas betulin levels increased with tree age. In *La*
Guette peatland, lupeol cannot be solely associated to *B. pendula* because, although in low amounts, *M. caerulea* and *S. rubellum* also lupeol.

Lipids detected in *S. rubellum* corroborate the results of Baas et al. (2000) and Pancost et al. (2002). We identified steroids (st1-5), *n*-alkanes, *n*-alkanols, *n*-alkanoic acids, β-amyрин, α-amyрин, oleanoic acid, ursolic acid and lupeol (tp2, tp5, tp6, Oa and Ua, respectively). These compounds, as discussed below, were not specific. Additional terpenes (ta1, ta2, ta4, ta7, k3, k11, tp3, Table 2) detected in trace amounts have never been reported so far in *Sphagnum* species. Because these compounds were also detected in *C. vulgaris* and *E. tetralix*, a contamination of *S. rubellum* by these overlying plants cannot be excluded.

The analysis of soil samples combined with that of the main plants growing in La Guette peatland thus allowed us discriminating between non-specific and specific compounds, i.e. those that potentially allow depicting the surrounding vegetation from the chemical imprints of soils. The specific character of biomarkers can rely on several criteria. In our case, the specificity of tricyclic diterpenes was linked to their skeleton, as for betulin derivatives, except for lupeol and lupenone. The specificity of methoxy-serratenes relies both on their original skeleton and on the presence of a methoxyl group. For triterpenyl acetates, their specificity is due to the acetate functional group, independently of the pentacyclic triterpene skeleton. Early diagenetic processes occurring in soils could alter the specificity of compounds to its source plant. For example, Rullkötter et al. (1994) and ten Haven et al. (1992) showed that pentacyclic triterpenes can suffer structural rearrangements and functional group transformation. If this can account for biomarkers that were shown not to be specific (amyrrns and amyrenones for example), this had no or little influence on the biomarkers listed above as specific of La Guette vegetation. Although early diagenesis can affect tricyclic diterpenes, it will produce other tricyclic diterpenes that will remain specific for *P. sylvestris*.
The same applies for methoxy-serratanes and, to a lesser extent, to betulin derivatives. Therefore, we considered that early diagenesis [that is mild considering high CPI values of \( n \)-alkyl lipids (Table 3), and the absence of degraded triterpenes] did not alter the plant-biomarker relationships established here above.

On this basis, we defined (i) \( k_1, k_4, k_7, k_{11}, tp_1, tp_4, tp_8, ta_2, ta_3, ta_5 \) and \( ta_7 \) as tracers of \( C. vulgaris \), (ii) \( k_3 \) and \( k_{12} \) as tracers of \( E. tetralix \), (iii) methoxy-serratanes (ms1-5) and tricyclic diterpenes (td1-6) as tracers of \( P. sylvestris \), and (iv) \( db_1, db_2, db_3 \) and \( db_4 \) as tracers of \( B. pendula \).

4.2. Distribution of individual compounds in open vs. closed stations

To discriminate the sampling stations based on the presence/absence and on the abundance of the biomarkers in soil samples, a PCA was undertaken. Ericaceous biomarkers have high loadings on Factor 1 (45.95% of the variance, compounds \( k_1, k_3, k_4, k_7, k_{12}, tp_1, tp_4, tp_8, ta_2, ta_3, ta_5 \) and \( ta_7 \) all positive loadings; Fig. 3a) and to a less extent on Factor 3 (9% of the variance, \( k_{11} \) negative loading; Fig. 3a). These two axes are defined by Ericaceae biomarkers and thus may discriminate sites based on \( C. vulgaris \) and \( E. tetralix \) abundances: StII and StIII on one side and the other sites on the other side (Fig. 3b). Because we could not estimate the biomass of these two species we cannot further interpret the distribution of samples and variables in the F1/F3. Nevertheless, these results suggest that there might be a control of Ericaceae biomarkers for which much attention should be paid in future research.

We then focus on Factor 2 and Factor 4 that allow discriminating between biomarkers of \( P. sylvestris \) and \( B. pendula \), for which we have quantitative biomass data. \( P. sylvestris \) biomarkers have high loadings on Factor 2 (35.68% of the variance, compounds ms1, ms3, ms4, ms5, td1, td2, td3, td4, td5 and td6; Fig. 3c). Stations StV and StIII, the two stations with
the highest *P. sylvestris* biomass index (BI$_P$; Table 1), had the highest loading on the Factor 2 axis (Fig. 3d). This shows that larger amounts of *P. sylvestris* specific biomarkers were found in the soil when this plant is abundant in the surroundings.

*B. pendula* biomarkers db1 and db3 have high loadings on Factor 4 (5.23% of the variance; Fig. 3c). StVIII, which displays the highest biomass index of *B. pendula* (BI$_B$; Table 1), had the highest loading on the Factor 4 axis (Fig. 3b) that corresponds to *B. pendula* specific biomarkers. The stations others than StV and StIII (**P. sylvestris**) and StVIII (**B. pendula**) are the most open stations, with total biomass index (BI$_T$; Table 1) lower than 0.50.

The best relationships were obtained for abundant compounds such as db3, ms1, ms3, ms4, ms5 and tricyclic diterpenes, whereas some discrepancies are noted for the less abundant compounds (db1, db2, db4 and ms2). Thus, and except for these minor compounds, PCA showed that overlying tree vegetation clearly imprints its chemical signature on underlying soils. Three different vegetation cover could be distinguished: (i) closed vegetation dominated by *P. sylvestris*; (ii) closed vegetation dominated *B. pendula* and (iii) open vegetation (StI and StII) or semi-open vegetation (early colonization by trees, StIV, StVI, StVII, StIX).

### 4.3. Influence of tree biomass on soil biomarker concentration

We then further explore whether biomarker concentrations can be used to quantitatively reflect tree biomass in the neighborhood of sampling sites. For this purpose, the individual and combined concentrations of specific biomarkers of *P. sylvestris* (only methoxy-serratenes since methoxy-serratanes and tricyclic diterpenes concentrations are correlated, cf. 4.1.) and of *B. pendula* (betulin derivatives: db1-4; Supplementary data) were compared to the respective biomass index (BI$_P$ and BI$_B$), estimated at each station (n=9; Fig. 4a). This allowed determining a correlation (R) between biomarker concentration in soils and BI for each area.
Figure 4a gives an example for total methoxy-serratenes where, for a surface of 12.8 m$^2$, R was 0.94.

Then, R values obtained are displayed against increasing area for total and individual $P. sylvestris$ biomarkers (Fig. 4b) and for total and individual $B. pendula$ biomarkers (Fig. 4c). In the smallest area (3.16 m$^2$, Fig. 4bc), the correlations equaled zero as there was no trees just at the point of sampling. For $P. sylvestris$, there was a good correlation between methoxy-serratenes other than ms2 and the BI$_P$ (Y axis on Fig. 4a, R = 0.45). Inversely, for $B. pendula$, there was an only one good correlation between db3 and the BI$_B$ (Fig. 4b, R = 0.91; P<0.001).

The lowest correlations were observed for db1, db2, db4 and ms2, i.e. biomarkers detected in low amounts. The best correlations were obtained from 28.3 m$^2$ for the total concentration of methoxy-serratenes (R > 0.96, P<0.001) and for ms1, ms3 and ms4, after an intermediate state where lower correlations were found (Fig. 4b). The best correlations were obtained from 12.6 m$^2$ for the total concentration of betulin derivatives (R = 0.91, P<0.001) as well as for db3 (Fig. 4c). In both cases, the quantitative relationships between soil biomarker concentrations and tree biomass are valid up to, at least, 80 m$^2$. These results show that biomarker concentrations at a specific site are not solely representative of vegetation biomass present directly above the sampling point, but integrate a larger surface. The use of selected biomarkers for these relationships could limit any bias related to individual biomarker behavior (variations in production, transport, or early diagenesis).

### 4.4. Potential in palaeoenvironmental studies

Peatlands are prone to successional change since plant growth causes the filling in of lakes and the accumulation of peat on waterlogged sites (Hogg et al., 1995) and the OM accumulated over time registers the compositional changes in vegetation (Comont et al.,
2006; Gogo et al., 2011). These ecosystems can develop from aquatic systems to forested areas (terrestrialization). At any developmental stage, events such as fire or hydrological modifications can (i) reset the system to previous stage, or (ii) accelerate the natural dynamics. As such, reopening and reclosing of the system can occur. Indeed, in La Guette peatland, tree cover increased from the 1940’s to the mid 1970’s. In 1976, a fire eliminated all the trees and opened the site.

The relationships between biomarkers retrieved from sedimentary archives and their source organisms has been used for decades to reconstruct paleovegetations (i.e. Cranwell, 1984; Volkman, 1986; Rieley et al., 1991; Meyers, 2003; Xie et al., 2004; Jacob et al., 2005; Jia et al., 2008; Zocatelli et al., 2010; Regenary et al., 2013). However, and as noted by Eglinton and Eglinton (2008), their are remaining questions on (i) the spatial relationships embedded in sedimentary biomarkers and on (ii) the processes that control their input, notably through production, dispersal, and sedimentation. For instance, Stefanova et al. (2011) and Regnery et al. (2013) have shown that some biomarkers archived in sediments were in lower abundance than expected on the basis of pollen data, highlighting the difficulties in interpreting the meaning and the representativeness of biomarker concentrations compared to pollen data.

Our innovative approach allows us determining the spatial and quantitative imprint of present-day vegetation on underlying soils. Such an approach should be expanded in order to better constrain the quantitative representativeness of biomarkers in sedimentary archives such as peats and the spatial heterogeneities of ancient peatlands. Tiny discrepancies in our data could result from differential diagenesis (although we expect similar behavior for compounds of similar structures) or could be the expression of the contribution of past
vegetation biomarkers to the soil that would bias/blur the direct relationship between vegetation distribution and soil biomarkers.

5. Conclusions

This study is one of the first attempts to explore spatial chemodiversity in soils related to biodiversity based on an exhaustive biomarker inventory in peatland soils compared to molecular imprints of surrounding vegetation and then to the evaluation of tree biomass. Among the relevant specific biomarkers tricyclic diterpenes and methoxy-serratanes stand out as specific biomarkers of *P. sylvestris*; and betulin derivatives as specific biomarkers of *B. pendula*, as already defined in previous studies. Here, Taraxerone, Multiflorenone, Glutinone, Friedelin, Taraxerol, Glutinol, Friedelanol, Multiflorenyl acetate, Glutinyl acetate, Isobauerenyl and Friedelanyl acetate were defined as tracers of *C. vulgaris* and k3 and k12 as tracers of *E. tetralix*.

Multivariate analysis of soil biomarker concentrations reveals that they describe the overlying vegetation, allowing distinguishing three different vegetation cover: (i) closed vegetation dominated by *P. sylvestris*; (ii) closed vegetation dominated *B. pendula* and (iii) open vegetation or semi-open vegetation (early colonization by trees). Quantitative information on tree biomass could be estimated through specific biomarker concentration. This study contributes to our understanding of how biomarker distribution and concentration in spatially constrained archives, such as peat cores, can render the spatial heterogeneity of vegetation distribution that formerly covered the catchment. Such an approach could allow paleo-reconstructions to better account for the diversity of contexts in palaeolandscapes.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Fig. 1.** Location of La Guette peatland and sampling stations. Photos of four stations illustrate the vegetation cover depending on the degree of invasion by vascular plants. In the site map the areas partly invaded by *B. pendula* and *P. sylvestris* are shown in gray; the part covered by open vegetation (*Sphagnum, E. tetralix* and *C. vulgaris*) is shown in white.

**Fig. 2.** Ketone/acetate and alcohol fractions extracted from soil samples collected at different stations (StII, StIII, StV and StIX) illustrated in Figure 1. Nomenclature of compounds refers to Table 2.

**Fig. 3.** Variables (a) and individuals (b) PCA plots. In the variable plot, Factor 1 axis corresponds to betulinic acid and methyl-3β-acetoxy-lup22(29)-en-28-oate (db3 and db1, respectively) originating from *B. pendula*, Factor 2 axis corresponds to biomarkers of *P. sylvestris* (ms1, ms2-5, td1-6) and Factor 3 axis corresponds to biomarkers of Ericaceae.

**Fig. 4.** Correlation between total methoxy-serratenes concentrations and biomass index (BI<sub>P</sub>, calculated at 28.3 m<sup>2</sup>) of *P. sylvestris* (a), and the evolution of between these correlations with increasing area (from 3.1 m<sup>2</sup> to 78.5 m<sup>2</sup>) used to calculate the BI for individual methoxy-serratenes (b) and betulin derivatives (c) concentrations.
Table 1. Biomass index and percentage of *P. sylvestris* and *B. pendula* calculated in each station from tree counting and height measurements in La Guette peatland, spring 2011.

Table 2. Presence and concentration of molecular biomarkers in surface soil and plant samples from La Guette peatland. Numbers refer to peaks in the chromatograms displayed in Fig. 2: x, present; tr trace; - not detected.

Table 3. Concentrations and distribution parameters (ACL, Paq, CPI…) of *n*-alkyl lipids in soil samples.
Figure 4

(a) The graph shows the relationship between methoxy-sterenes (µg/g soil) and B1p Area. The equation is:
\[ y = 30.74x + 2.118 \]
with an R value of 0.94. The B1p Area is 28.3 m².

(b) The correlation coefficient between methoxy-sterenes content and B1p content is shown in this graph. Different markers represent different samples (ms1, ms2, ms3, ms4, ms5).

(c) The correlation coefficient between betulin derivatives content and B1p content is shown in this graph. Different markers represent different samples (db1, db2, db3, db4).
Table 1

Calculation from tree count (r - 5mt; a - 78.5 m²) in La Guette peatland, spring 2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling points</th>
<th>StI</th>
<th>StII</th>
<th>StIII</th>
<th>StIV</th>
<th>StV</th>
<th>StVI</th>
<th>StVII</th>
<th>StVIII</th>
<th>StIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIₗₚ (tree/m²)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIₗₜ (tree/m²)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIₗₜ (tree/m²)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₧ (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B₧ (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Biomass index of *P. sylvestris*, b Biomass index of *B. pendula*, c Biomass index of trees, d percentage of *P. sylvestris*, e percentage of *Betula pendula*. 
Table 2:
Presence of molecular biomarkers in surface soil and plant samples from La Guette peatland (Numbers refer to peaks in the chromatograms on Fig. 2: x, present; tr trace; - not detected).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Trivial name</th>
<th>Peat samples</th>
<th>S. rubellum</th>
<th>E. teralix</th>
<th>C. vulgaris</th>
<th>M. caerulea</th>
<th>B. pendula bark</th>
<th>B. pendula leaves</th>
<th>P. sylvestris bark</th>
<th>P. sylvestris needles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-Alkyl lipids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>n-Alkanes</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{13-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{13-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>n-Alkanols</td>
<td>C_{16-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>n-Alkanoic acids</td>
<td>C_{15-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
<td>C_{12-21}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic lipids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st1</td>
<td>Cholesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st2</td>
<td>Campesterol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st3</td>
<td>Stigmastanol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st4</td>
<td>Sirostanol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st5</td>
<td>Sirostanol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricyclic diterpenes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td1</td>
<td>Podocarp-7-en-15-one, 13β-methyl, 13 vinyl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td2</td>
<td>Podocarp-7-en-3-one, 13β-methyl, 13 vinyl</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td3</td>
<td>Podocarp-8(14)-en-3-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td4</td>
<td>Podocarp-7-en-3-one, 13β-methyl, 13 vinyl methyl ester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td5</td>
<td>Podocarp-7-en-3-one, 13β-methyl, 13 vinyl methyl ester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>td6</td>
<td>Podocarp-7,13-dien-15-one, 13-isopropyl methyl ester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methoxy-serratanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms1</td>
<td>3β,21alpha-Dimethoxy-serrat-14-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms2</td>
<td>3α-Methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms3</td>
<td>3β-Methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms4</td>
<td>3α-Methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-en-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms5</td>
<td>3α-Methoxy-serrat-14-en-21-one</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentacyclic triterpenes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pentacyclic triterpenyl acetates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta1</td>
<td>Olean-12-en-3βyl acetate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta2</td>
<td>D:C-friedo-olean-7-en-3β-yl acetate</td>
<td>Multiflorenyl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta3</td>
<td>Ghitin-5-en-3β-yl acetate</td>
<td>Ghitinyl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta4</td>
<td>1α-12-en-3β-yl acetate</td>
<td>α-Amyrenyl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta5</td>
<td>D:C-friedo-urs-8-en-3β-yl acetate</td>
<td>Isobauerenyl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta6</td>
<td>Taxast-20(30)-en-3β-yl acetate</td>
<td>Taxasteryl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ta7</td>
<td>D:A-friedo-olean-3β-β-yl acetate</td>
<td>Friedelanyl acetate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentacyclic Triterpenyl Ketones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k1</td>
<td>D-friedo-olean-14-en-3-one</td>
<td>Taxarone</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k2</td>
<td>Olean-12-en-3-one</td>
<td>β-Amyrene</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k3</td>
<td>D-friedo-ursan-8-en-3-one</td>
<td>Isobauereone</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k4</td>
<td>D:C-Friedo-5α-olean-7-en-3-one</td>
<td>Multiflorene</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k5</td>
<td>Uns-12-en-3-one</td>
<td>α-Amyrene</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k6</td>
<td>A’-Neogammacer-22(29)-en-3-one</td>
<td>Hop-22(29)-9-en-3-one</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k7</td>
<td>D:B-friedoolan-5-en-3-one</td>
<td>Glutinone</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k8</td>
<td>Lap-22(29)-9-en-3-one</td>
<td>Lap-22(29)-9-en-3-one</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k9</td>
<td>D:B-friedo-B’-A’-neogammacer-5-en-3-one</td>
<td>Simiarenone</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k10</td>
<td>Fern-7-en-3-one</td>
<td>Fernene</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k11</td>
<td>D:A-friedo-olean-3-one</td>
<td>Friedelin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k12</td>
<td>Lap-20(29)-9-en-3-one</td>
<td>Lupenone</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentacyclic Triterpenols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp1</td>
<td>D-friedo-olean-14-ene-3β-ol</td>
<td>Taxarol</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp2</td>
<td>Olean-12-ene-3β-ol</td>
<td>β-Amyrin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp3</td>
<td>Olean-18-ene-3β-ol</td>
<td>Germacrol</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp4</td>
<td>D:B-friedoolan-5-ene-3β-ol</td>
<td>Glutinol</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp5</td>
<td>Uns-12-en-3β-ol</td>
<td>α-Amyrin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp6</td>
<td>Lap-20(29)-9-en-3β-ol</td>
<td>Lapoel</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp7</td>
<td>D:B-friedo-B’-A’-neogammacer-5-ene-3β-ol</td>
<td>Simiareno1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tp8</td>
<td>D:A-friedo-olean-3β-ol</td>
<td>Friedelan1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentacyclic Triterpene Acids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oa</td>
<td>3β-Hydroxy-olean-12-en-28-oic acid</td>
<td>Oleanolic acid</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua</td>
<td>3β-Hydroxy-urs-12-en-28-oic acid</td>
<td>Ursolic acid</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Betulin derivatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db1</td>
<td>Methyl-3β-acetoxy-lap-22(29)-9-en-28-oate</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db2</td>
<td>Lapa-2,20(29)-dien-28-ol</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db3</td>
<td>3β-Hydroxy-lup-20(29)-en-28-oic acid</td>
<td>Betulinic acid</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db4</td>
<td>3β-Lup-20(29)-ene-3,28-diol</td>
<td>Betulin</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Abundances and distribution parameters of \( n \)-alkyl lipids in soils samples of La Guette peatland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( n )-Alkanes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>( n )-Alkanoic acids</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>( )( \mu )g/g</td>
<td>major(^a)</td>
<td>CPI (^b)</td>
<td>( n )-C(<em>{23/29})/ ( n )-C(</em>{27/31})</td>
<td>( )( \mu )g/g</td>
<td>major(^a)</td>
<td>CPI (^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StI</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{23})</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StII</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{33})</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StIII</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{31})</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StIV</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{25})</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StV</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{33})</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StVI</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{33})</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StVII</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{33})</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StVIII</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{27})</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StIX</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>( n )-C(_{24})</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total = total abundance (\( \mu \)g/g of soils).

\(^a\)Chain length of the most abundant homologue;

\(^b\)Alkane carbon preference index; CPI = 2(odd \( n \)-C\(_{23}\) to \( n \)-C\(_{31}\))/(even \( n \)-C\(_{22}\) to \( n \)-C\(_{30}\)+ even \( n \)-C\(_{24}\) to \( n \)-C\(_{32}\)).

\(^c\)Average chain length, ACL = \(\Sigma[\text{Ci}] \times i)/\Sigma[\text{Ci}]\) where \(i\) = carbon number ranges (\( n \)-alkanes from \( n \)-C\(_{23}\) to \( n \)-C\(_{33}\); \( n \)-alkanols and \( n \)-acids, from \( C_{22}\) to \( C_{32}\), \text{Ci} = contents of the homologues containing \(i\) carbon atoms.

\(^d\)Terrestrial/freshwater plant ratio, Paq = \((n \)-C\(_{23}\)+ \( n \)-C\(_{25}\)) / \((n \)-C\(_{27}\)+ \( n \)-C\(_{29}\)+ \( n \)-C\(_{31}\)).

\(^e\)\( n \)-Alkanols and \( n \)-alkanoic acids, CPI = 2(even \( C_{22}\) to \( C_{30}\))/(odd \( C_{21}\) to \( C_{29}\)+ odd \( C_{23}\) to \( C_{31}\)).