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To constrain the effect of redox state on sulfur transport from subducting crust to mantle wedge during fluid-present melting and the stability of sulfur-bearing phases in the downgoing ocean crust, here we report high pressure phase equilibria experiments on a H\textsubscript{2}O-saturated MORB with 1 wt.% S at variable oxygen fugacity (f\textsubscript{o\textsubscript{2}}). Double capsule experiments were conducted at 2.0 and 3.0 GPa and 950-1050 °C, using Co-CoO, Ni-NiO, Ni\textsubscript{x}Pd\textsubscript{1-x}-NiO, and Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} external f\textsubscript{o\textsubscript{2}} buffers. Sulfur content at sulfide saturation (SCSS) or sulfur content at sulfate saturation (SCAS) of experimental hydrous partial melts was measured using electron microprobe. All experiments were fluid-saturated and produced either pyrrhotite- or anhydrite-saturated assemblages of silicate glass, clinopyroxene, garnet, and rutile or titanomagnetite, ± amphibole ± quartz ± orthopyroxene. The silicate partial melt composition evolves from rhyolitic at 950 °C to trachydacitic and trachyandesitic at 1050 °C with increasing f\textsubscript{o\textsubscript{2}}. At pyrrhotite saturation, melt S contents range from ~30 ppm S.
at $f_{O_2} < FMQ-1$ to ~500 ppm $S$ at $FMQ < f_{O_2} \leq FMQ+1.1$, whereas at anhydrite saturation ($f_{O_2} \geq FMQ+2.5$) melt $S$ concentrations range from ~700 ppm $S$ up to 0.3 wt.% $S$. Mass-balance calculations suggest that the aqueous fluid phase at equilibrium may contain as much as ~15 wt.% $S$ at 1050 °C at pyrrhotite saturation ($f_{O_2} \leq FMQ+1.1$), in agreement with previous estimates, and up to 8 wt.% $S$ at anhydrite saturation. Our data also show that $D^\text{fluid/melt}_S$ decreases markedly with increasing $f_{O_2}$ at pyrrhotite saturation, from several thousands at $f_{O_2} < FMQ-1$ to ~200-400 at $FMQ < f_{O_2} \leq FMQ+1.1$, owing to the increase of melt $S$ content. At anhydrite saturation, $D^\text{fluid/melt}_S$ is very low (<100) but increases with decreasing temperature, in an opposite way to previous observations at pyrrhotite saturation.

As a consequence, at $T \leq 900$ °C, $D^\text{fluid/melt}_S$ might be in the range 200 ± 100, irrespective of $f_{O_2}$. The present study confirms that slab partial melts saturated with pyrrhotite are unable to efficiently transport $S$ from slab to mantle wedge, and suggests that slab partial melts in equilibrium with anhydrite also have very limited power to enrich mantle wedge in $S$.

Importantly, slab-derived aqueous fluids appear to be efficient vectors for the transport of sulfur from slab to mantle wedge at all $f_{O_2}$. Therefore, $S$ transfer from ocean crust to wedge mantle is not $f_{O_2}$ dependent and could take place over a range of $f_{O_2}$ conditions, and oxidized slab conditions are not necessarily required to enrich the mantle wedge in $S$.

Finally, depending on the initial amount of sulfur in the slab, the proportion of residual anhydrite and pyrrhotite in the dehydrated slab below the region of formation of arc magmas is likely to be significant and may efficiently be recycled into the deep mantle.

KEY WORDS: Oxygen fugacity; slab-derived fluid; slab partial melt; subduction zone; sulfur cycle; anhydrite; pyrrhotite.
INTRODUCTION

Sulfur (S) is one of the major volatiles that control fundamental magmatic processes, including (chalcophile) elemental partitioning, redox evolution of magma and melt-mantle systems, mantle metasomatism, magma degassing, and dynamics of volcanic eruptions. One of the main tectonic settings where surficial reservoirs and the Earth’s interior exchange sulfur is the convergent margins, where subduction of altered slab injects sulfur into the mantle and magmatic degassing at arcs releases sulfur to the atmosphere (e.g., Alt et al., 1993; 2012; 2013; Scaillet & Pichavant, 2003; Scaillet et al., 2003; Wallace, 2001; 2005). Therefore, the knowledge of magmatic concentrations and fluxes of S in subduction zones and volcanic arcs as well as possible fluxes into the deeper mantle by subduction are critical.

Comparison between estimates of S input via subduction and S output through arc volcanism reveals that the estimated flux of S returned to the exosphere out of arcs is only ~15-30% of the subduction input (Alt & Shanks, 2011; Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013). This imbalance becomes even greater if the mantle S content before subduction input of S (based on mantle xenolith data) is considered (Wallace & Edmonds, 2011). Subduction inputs versus arc output imbalance suggests that either the slab-released S is locked in the mantle wedge or S is retained in the downgoing slab and recycled to the deep mantle (Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013). Also, the apparent oxidized state of the mantle wedge has been suggested to originate from slab-derived sulfate species (SO$_4^{2-}$) (Mungall, 2002; Kelley & Cottrell, 2009), which assumes that the potential S enrichment of the sub-arc mantle is caused by the release of SO$_4^{2-}$ from an oxidized downgoing slab. However, sulfur in altered oceanic crust, ocean-floor sediments, and oceanic lithospheric mantle exists both as sulfides and sulfates (Alt et al., 1989; Alt & Shanks, 2011) and it is unclear whether the sulfates are preferentially destabilized during dehydration and hydrous melting of sediments or crust during subduction. In particular, the
behavior of S in subduction zones remains largely unknown (e.g., Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013; Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013), in part because the relative mobility of S as a function of oxygen fugacity ($f_{O_2}$) is poorly constrained at sub-arc depths (e.g., Scaillet et al., 1998; Scaillet & Macdonald, 2006; Keppler, 2010; Webster & Botcharnikov, 2011; Baker & Alletti, 2012; Evans, 2012).

Very little is known about the extent of S loss during relatively low-temperature ($<$700 °C) slab dehydration, since most estimates on sulfur outflux at subduction zones are indirectly based on S content and isotope data of melt inclusions from arc magmatic rocks (e.g., Métrich et al., 1999; de Hoog et al., 2001a; 2001b; McInnes et al., 1999; 2001; Marini et al., 2011). However, laboratory studies conducted at 0.6-1.4 GPa and 600-800 °C have demonstrated solubility of sulfate in hydrous Cl-bearing fluids equivalent to ~0.01-4.00 wt.% S (Newton & Manning, 2005), and the effect of water in lowering the melting temperature of sulfides (Wykes & Mavrogenes, 2005). In addition, geochemical modeling based on melt inclusions suggests that several weight percent S may be present in the H$_2$O-rich component transferred from the slab to the mantle wedge (Cervantes & Wallace, 2003). Therefore, S is likely to be scavenged in significant amounts by hydrous slab-derived fluids, either from sulfide or sulfate mineral phases contained in the subducting lithologies. However, it remains unclear whether fluid-mediated sulfur transfer happens at relatively low temperatures and shallow depths or at relatively high temperatures at sub-arc depths. If the former, it is difficult to envision how such low-temperature, shallow fluids, perhaps released in forearcs, contribute to arc volcanism. If the latter, then the presence of hydrous fluid is also expected to trigger partial melting and the relative mobility of sulfur in fluid versus melt is unconstrained. Thus relative contribution of slab fluid versus slab partial melt in sulfur-transfer needs to be constrained at sub-arc depths conditions.
Recently, two studies have provided experimental data which constrain the transport of S at sub-arc depths during hydrous partial melting of the subducting slab (Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013; Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013). However, even though their experimental results are partially mutually consistent, their conclusions about the capacity of slab partial melts to efficiently transport S at high pressure are divergent and thus provide contrasting views on the transfer of S from the slab to the mantle wedge. Starting material compositions and ranges of pressure-temperature conditions are very similar in both studies, although Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) performed experiments at 700-950 °C with addition of 1-2 wt.% elemental S, whereas Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) conducted experiments at 800-1050 °C with 1 wt.% bulk S added as pyrite (FeS$_2$). Also, in the Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) experiments, the $f_{O_2}$ was imposed by using Ni-NiO (NNO) and Co-CoO (CCO) external oxygen buffers, so that all experimental charges were pyrrhotite-saturated but variably reduced. Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) did not control the $f_{O_2}$ (except for one experiment, buffered with a Pt-graphite capsule at ~NNO-2), which introduces significant uncertainty about the actual redox state of their experiments and thus the interpretation of their data. In most of their runs, the experimental products show the coexistence of pyrrhotite and anhydrite, implying that the $f_{O_2}$ domain may be close to - or right on - the sulfide-sulfate transition (~NNO+1), i.e., more oxidized than Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) experiments. In both studies, the composition of the partial melts, quenched to glass, evolves from rhyolitic to dacitic with increasing temperature and melting degree. Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) report very low melt S concentrations at 2 and 3 GPa and all temperatures, with an average of 110 ± 50 ppm S, similar to previous measurements at lower pressures. These concentrations represent S contents at sulfide saturation (SCSS), and compare relatively well to the melt S content measured in the only run of Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) conducted at ~NNO-2 (~230 ppm S). In contrast, in their 1 wt.% added-S charges at ~NNO+1, Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) report significantly higher
melt S concentrations, ranging from 92 ppm (800 °C) to 1260 ppm S (900 °C) at 3 GPa, while their 2 GPa experiments give constant melt S contents around 300 ppm S. Increasing the bulk S content to 2 wt.% increases the amount of dissolved S to 1500 ppm S at 2 GPa and 4600 ppm S at 3 GPa and 900 °C. The authors (Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013) use these results to argue that, at sub-arc depths, hydrous silicic melts have at least 10-20 times more dissolved S than at 0.2-0.4 GPa (Luhr, 1990; Carroll & Webster, 1994; Scaillet et al., 2003; Wallace, 2005) when moderately oxidizing conditions prevail. Although Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) do not rule out a fluid contribution for S transfer from the subducting slab, they report that elevated S contents can be achieved in slab partial melts. However, they did not provide any quantification of the potential amount of S dissolved in the aqueous fluid phase in equilibrium with their fluid-saturated assemblages.

On the contrary, the results obtained by Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) suggest that, at sub-arc depths, high melt S concentrations cannot be achieved in hydrous slab partial melts saturated with pyrrhotite, even at high temperature. Instead, mass-balance calculations indicate that the aqueous fluid phase at equilibrium may contain as much as 10-15 wt.% S at ≥ 1050 °C. At temperatures relevant to the subducting slab surface (i.e., ≤900 °C), however, the fluid phase coming off the slab is not likely to contain more than 5 wt.% S, and typically ~ 2.5 wt.% S. Nevertheless, simple mixing calculations show that the apparent S enrichment of the mantle wedge can be attained by addition of only ~ 0.3 to 1.5 wt.% of slab-derived metasomatic fluids containing ~ 2.5 wt.% S. Therefore, Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) argue that S enrichment of the mantle wedge does not necessarily call for slab to mantle wedge transfer of S as sulfate species, and could also be achieved in reducing conditions through sulfide species in the fluid.

The results of Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) suggest that in moderately oxidizing conditions (i.e., at the sulfide/sulfate transition), S enrichment of the mantle source of arc
magmas might be possible via percolation of S-rich slab partial melts through the mantle wedge, provided high bulk S (>1 wt.%) are available in the subducting slab. However, bulk ocean crust contains, on average, no more than 1000 ppm S (Alt et al. 1989; 1993; Alt and Shanks 2011), and it remains unclear whether unrealistically high $f_{S_2}$ created by high bulk-S compositions of Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) can be applied to natural subduction conditions (indeed, higher S concentrations in an experimental charge may lead to higher $f_{S_2}$ even if the system is saturated with sulfide and/or sulfate phases. There are several reasons for this, including: (1) the composition of the sulfide phases (i.e., Fe/S ratio) will vary according to $f_{S_2}$ (cf. Fig. 12 of Luhr, 1990; $N_{FeS}$, i.e., mole fraction of FeS in pyrrhotite, decreases from 0.96 to 0.90 while $f_{S_2}$ increases by ~4 log units); (2) variation of the activity of either FeO (in sulfide-saturated systems) or CaO (in sulfate-saturated systems) in silicate melt may compensate variations of $f_{S_2}$ in order to maintain thermodynamic equilibrium (Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013); (3) in hydrous systems, the composition of the aqueous fluid phase is expected to vary with respect to S-bearing components (i.e., $H_2S$, $SO_2$, $S_2$, $HS^-$,...) to accommodate higher partial pressures of S. So does the amount of S dissolved in silicate melt to maintain equilibrium with the fluid phase). More importantly, the study of Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) does not provide constraints on relative mobility of sulfate versus sulfide species and thus does not constrain the effect of redox state of the downgoing slab on the sulfur mobility and the redox state of the mantle wedge.

Here we present fluid-saturated partial melting experiments of a sulfur-bearing oceanic crust composition at 2-3 GPa and 950-1050 °C over a wide range of $f_{O_2}$ from reducing (~FMQ-3) to oxidizing (~FMQ+3.5) conditions (FMQ = fayalite-magnetite-quartz oxygen buffer). $f_{O_2}$ was imposed by using different external buffers (Co-CoO, Ni-NiO, $Ni_{x}Pd_{1-x}$-NiO, and $Fe_{2}O_{3}$-$Fe_{3}O_{4}$) in a double-capsule assembly. The aim was to determine the stability of various sulfur-bearing phases (sulfide, sulfate minerals, sulfur-bearing fluids and
melts), the S content of aqueous fluid and hydrous silicate melt saturated with either sulfide or sulfate, and residual crustal assemblage. We were particularly interested in constraining the extent of S transfer that can be achieved during sub-arc depth fluid-fluxed melting at conditions of anhydrite saturation. The goal was to compare the carrying capacity of S of slab-derived aqueous fluids/silicate melts at oxidized conditions with that at sulfide saturation, and test whether high $f_{O_2}$ is more favorable to mobilize sulfur from downgoing oceanic crust to overlying mantle wedge.

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1. Starting material synthesis

We used a synthetic starting composition that is representative of sea-floor-altered mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB) and close to the GA1 composition of Yaxley & Green (1994), saturated with water and doped with 1 wt.% S. The details of the sample preparation are given in Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) and hence are not repeated here. The starting basaltic material was prepared from a mixture of reagent grade oxides and reagent grade and natural carbonates and was reduced and decarbonated under a stream of CO-CO$_2$ gas mixture. The resulting powder was then re-ground under ethanol and Al was added as Al(OH)$_3$ in order to saturate the starting mix with water. To introduce S, natural pyrite FeS$_2$ was ground under ethanol in an agate mortar and added to the base hydrous silicate mix so as to reach 1 wt.% of total S. The resulting bulk composition is given in the footnote of Table 1. The starting material was then stored in a desiccator.

2.2. Experimental Procedure
All experiments were performed using a half-inch (12.7 mm) assembly in an end-loaded piston cylinder apparatus at the Experimental Petrology Laboratory of Rice University at pressures of 2.0 and 3.0 GPa and temperatures of 950 °C and 1050 °C (plus one near-solidus experiment at 3.0 GPa, 800 °C). The experimental cell assemblies consisted of BaCO₃ pressure-transmitting sleeves, straight cylindrical graphite furnaces, and internal spacers of crushable MgO. The entire assembly was wrapped in Pb-foil, in order to lubricate the pressure vessel bore and to contain the fragile BaCO₃ cell. Temperature was monitored and controlled using W5%Re-W26%Re thermocouples (Type-C), without correcting for the pressure effect on the measured emf. For all experiments, temperature was controlled by a Eurotherm controller within ±1 °C and pressure was maintained, by a pressure controlling device, within 0.01 GPa. Temperature and pressure calibration for this assembly are detailed in Tsuno & Dasgupta (2011).

In each experiment, the oxygen fugacity \( f_{O_2} \) was controlled by using a double-capsule technique similar to that developed by Jakobsson (2012). The capsule assembly consists of a cylindrical outer platinum (Pt) capsule (3.0 mm O.D, 2.6 mm I.D) and a cylindrical inner gold-palladium (Au₈₀Pd₂₀) capsule (2.0 mm O.D, 1.35 mm I.D). The inner capsule was loaded with ~ 6 mg of the powdered starting material and a ~1 mg Pt-wire (0.2 mm Ø) used for \( f_{O_2} \) measurements (Médard et al., 2008) (Fig. 1a). Several different external \( f_{O_2} \) buffers were used, i.e., Co-CoO (CCO), Ni-NiO (NNO), Ni₃Pd₁₋ₓ-NiO (with \( x = 0.15, 0.35, \) and 0.70), and Fe₂O₃-Fe₃O₄ (hematite-magnetite, HM), which span a wide range of \( f_{O_2} \) from reducing to oxidizing conditions (~FMQ-3 to ~FMQ+3.5 in the \( P, T, a_{H₂O} \) experimental conditions). The buffers were prepared by mixing a metal (Co, Ni, or Ni₃Pd₁₋ₓ) powder with a metal oxide (CoO or NiO) powder, or by mixing finely ground Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄, respectively, in equimolar proportions. To prevent the AuPd-capsule from coming into contact with the buffer phases, which would lead to alloying and may cause subsequent
melting of the capsule, it was embedded in finely ground $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and separated from the buffer with a Pt-divider. About 1 mg of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and ~ 5 mg of the desired buffer were loaded at the bottom of the outer Pt-capsule and covered with the Pt-divider. Both inner and outer capsules were sealed by arc welding. Jakobsson (2012) showed, and we argue, that owing to the elevated permeability of hydrogen through Au-rich AuPd alloys, the double-capsule assembly used in this study enables attainment of oxygen fugacity equilibrium with the solid buffer in less than 8 h, and maintains it as long as both buffer phases are present. Nonetheless, due to the presence of S species, the activity of water ($a_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) in the charge is less than unity. Therefore, the experimental $f_{\text{O}_2}$ imposed by the external $f_{\text{O}_2}$ buffers are expected to deviate from values calculated from calibrations assuming $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ dissociation into $\text{H}_2$ and $\text{O}_2$ only (cf. section 3.4).

The double capsule was placed in a ~ 6.0-7.0 mm deep hole inside the crushable MgO spacer such that the assembly hotspot was centered on the sample. A 1.0-mm-thick MgO disk separated the thermocouple junction and the double capsule. The runs were first pressurized to within 0.2 GPa of the desired pressure and then automatically heated to the desired temperature at a rate of 100 °C/min. After reaching the target temperature, the assembly was brought to the desired pressure. The experimental duration varied from 72 to 115 h (and 143 h for the near-solidus experiment). The experiments were terminated by cutting off power to the furnace and then the assemblies were recovered by slow decompression. After each experiment the double capsules were weighed and ruptured under ethanol to check for bubbles (i.e., fluid saturation), then weighed again. Experimental capsules were mounted in epoxy resin (PETROPOXY 154) and then ground longitudinally until the axial sections of the samples were exposed. Polishing was done down to 0.3 micron, using lapidary wheel and alumina slurry with water as lubricant.
2.3. Analysis of the run products

Textural observations and chemical analysis of the run products were performed using a field emission gun, scanning electron microscope (FEI Quanta 400 ESEM-FEG) at Shared Equipment Authority of Rice University and an electron microprobe (CAMECA SX-50) at Texas A&M University. The compositions of the major phases such as clinopyroxene (cpx), garnet, quenched silicate melt, pyrrhotite, rutile, Ti-magnetite, and amphibole were analyzed using wavelength dispersive spectrometry (WDS). For WDS analyses, 15 keV accelerating voltage was applied for all the phases. Analyses of crystalline phases (except amphibole) were performed using a focused beam of 20 nA, and those of silicate glasses and amphibole using beam currents of 10 nA and a beam diameter of 3-10 μm depending on the size of the glass pools. Same counting times were applied on peak and background for quenched silicate melt and crystalline phases (except pyrrhotite) and were varied from 20 to 90 s depending on the element (see Table S1 of Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013). For pyrrhotite, counting times for S and Fe were 20 s on peak and 10 s on background; Ni and Co were also analyzed (70 s on peak and 35 s on background) to check for contamination from the buffers.

Oxygen fugacity buffer materials were analyzed using energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) to confirm the presence of both metal (Co, Ni, or Ni$_x$Pd$_{1-x}$) and oxide (CoO or NiO) phases, or both hematite and magnetite, then WDS was used to check for eventual contamination by other elements (Au, Pt, and S, as well as Pd and Fe depending on the buffer used). In addition, Fe content was measured in the AuPd-capsule walls and in the Pt-wire placed inside the inner capsule for oxygen fugacity estimates (Médard et al. 2008; Balta et al. 2011). Counting times were 60 s for S, 50 s for Fe, Ni and Co, and 10 s for Au, Pd and Pt, on both peak and background, using beam currents of 100 nA. Primary analytical standards were natural diopside (Si, Mg, Ca), albite (Na), orthoclase (Al and K), pyrope (Si,
Al, Fe, Mg, Ca), fayalite (Fe), ilmenite (Ti), rutile (Ti), pyrite (Fe, S), spessartine (Mn), Ni metal, Co metal, Au metal, Pd metal, and a natural Indian ocean basalt glass (Si, Al, Mg, Ca).

For measuring S concentration in silicate glasses, pyrite (FeS$_2$) was used as primary standard and glasses of Indian Ocean basalt (USNM 113716), Makaopuhi basalt (VG-A99), and Juan de Fuca MORB (VG-2) were used as secondary standards. Analyses were performed using 15 keV accelerating voltage, 200 nA beam current, defocused electron beam (3-10 μm, depending on the size of the glass pools), and peak counting times of 60 sec. The detection limit corresponding to these analytical parameters was of the order of 15 ppm S. Using our analytical routine, we obtained the secondary standard glass S concentrations as 1160 ± 40 ppm (Indian Ocean basalt), 160 ± 40 ppm (VG-A99), 1610 ± 30 ppm (VG-2). Recommended values for the S content of these Smithsonian standard glasses are in the order 1200, 170, and 1400 ppm S (Jarosewich et al., 1980; Jarosewich, 2002) (cf. Table 2 of Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013). Also, the previously analyzed S content of VG-A99 by EPMA include 170 ± 80 ppm (Dixon et al., 1991), 138 ± 13 ppm (Witter et al., 2005), and 155 ± 9 ppm (Bell et al., 2009). Although some discrepancy can be noted between the measured and recommended values of S concentration in the VG-2 glass standard, likely due to slight differences in the peak position chosen for the respective analyses, the overall agreement of our analyses with the recommended and published values of the standard glasses lends confidence on the accuracy and reproducibility of our S analyses reported here.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

3.1. Phase assemblages, texture, and phase proportions

All of our experiments comprise silicate glass, cpx, garnet, rutile, and fluid (interpreted based on the presence of round vesicles in glass) (Table 1). In addition, accessory phases are
present in some experimental charges (amphibole in G243: 2 GPa, 1050 °C, orthopyroxene in G246: 2 GPa, 950 °C, amphibole and quartz in G251: 3 GPa, 950 °C), and titanomagnetite (Ti-mgt) is present in most of HM-buffered experiments. Sulfur is stored in anhydrite in HM-buffered charges and pyrrhotite in all other experiments. The near-solidus HM-buffered experiment produced silicate melt at triple grain junctions and along grain edges. In all other charges, hydrous partial melts occur as >10 µm diameter pools. These pools of silicate glass are commonly associated with vesicles, indicating the presence of fluid during our experiments (Fig. 1b, c). It is worth noting that, although the sulfide phase in the starting mix was pyrite, we observe the presence of pyrrhotite in the reduced \((f_{O_2} \sim<\text{FMQ}+1.1)\) charges and anhydrite in the oxidized \((f_{O_2} \sim>\text{FMQ}+2.5)\) charges.

Phase proportions (i.e., minerals, silicate melt, and hydrous fluid) and fluid S concentration were estimated by iterative mass-balance calculations based on the major oxide \((\text{SiO}_2, \text{TiO}_2, \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3, \text{FeO}_T, \text{MgO}, \text{CaO}, \text{H}_2\text{O})\) composition of all crystalline phases plus the silicate glass and the hydrous fluid phase, assuming that the bulk \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) content of the starting mix changed little owing to external \(f_{O_2}\) buffering. To estimate the concentration of S in the fluid phase, it is crucial to constrain as best as possible the proportions of pyrrhotite or anhydrite. However, because these minerals are accessory phases, they do not exert any control on the distribution of Fe or Ca, respectively, but are buffered by the composition of the equilibrium silicate phases. Therefore, in the first iterative step of the mass-balance calculations, we assumed a S-free assemblage, no pyrrhotite or anhydrite, and a fluid phase composed of 100 wt.% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). In that case, the fluid proportion is given by the melt proportion, which is constrained by the equilibrium between the silicate phases. All the phase proportions so obtained were then used in a second iterative step, considering a S-bearing assemblage with 1 wt.% S in the bulk, and either pyrrhotite or anhydrite depending on the \(f_{O_2}\) and according to the optical observations of the mineralogical assemblages. The proportion of
pyrrhotite or anhydrite obtained after this second step was used to calculate the concentration of S in the aqueous fluid phase. Then, in a third iterative step, this fluid S content was incorporated in the composition of the fluid phase in order to refine the estimated proportions of fluid and pyrrhotite or anhydrite, and so forth in the following iterative steps. Less than 10 iterative steps were sufficient to reach convergence on the mass balance estimates. The analytical uncertainties on the composition of the different phases were also considered during each iterative step. Final results of these calculations are reported in Table 1. The small errors on the phase proportions, and the reasonably low values of the sums of squared residuals (spanning a range similar to that reported by Rapp & Watson (1995) for phase equilibria experiments on hydrous basaltic composition), suggest that the mass balances are not unreasonable and thus lend credit to the estimated proportions of aqueous fluid and pyrrhotite or anhydrite, as well as the sulfur concentration in the aqueous fluid phase. Owing to potentially significant loss of alkalis (Na and K) by volatilization during microprobe analysis of H$_2$O-rich silicate glass, Na$_2$O and K$_2$O were not used for mass-balance calculations. Nevertheless, Na$_2$O and K$_2$O concentrations in silicate glass were estimated at each iterative step from the bulk Na$_2$O and K$_2$O contents and the calculated phase proportions (assuming no Na and K in the aqueous fluid phase) in order to constrain the amount of H$_2$O dissolved in silicate glass (by difference from 100 wt.%).

In Fig. 2, the calculated proportions of silicate melt (a), aqueous fluid (b), and either pyrrhotite or anhydrite (c) are plotted as a function of $f_{O_2}$. In the reduced experiments, conducted at similar pressure and temperature (3 GPa, 1050 °C), melt proportion is nearly constant with increasing $f_{O_2}$ around a mean value of 31.5 wt.%. The most reducing charge, however, shows a slightly lower melt proportion (26 ± 3 wt.%), coupled with a very low melt H$_2$O content (2 wt.%, instead of 7-11 wt.% H$_2$O in the less reduced charges, cf. Table 2). This may be interpreted as the effect of $f_{O_2}$ in modifying the fluid phase composition at the
expense of $f_{H_2O}$ relative to $f_{H_2}$, thus decreasing the isobaric melt productivity, as previously documented by Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) and discussed below (cf. section 4.1). In the oxidized charges, silicate melt proportion consistently increases with increasing temperature and decreasing pressure (from 19.0 wt.% at 3 GPa, 950 °C up to 38.7 wt.% at 2 GPa, 1050 °C) at the expense of garnet at 2 GPa and cpx at 3 GPa (Table 1).

The aqueous fluid proportion follows a trend opposite - but directly related - to that of the melt proportion in reducing and intermediate $f_{O_2}$ conditions: it remains nearly constant close to 5 wt.% (within error bars), but reaches a distinctly higher value (~7 wt.%) in the most reduced charge, owing to lower melt proportion and melt H$_2$O content. In oxidizing conditions, the fluid phase proportion shows limited variation, although it tends to increase with increasing pressure and decreasing temperature from ~ 5.1 to 6.3 wt.%.

The proportion of pyrrhotite appears to be nearly constant ~1 wt.% in reducing conditions. It is slightly higher (1.34 wt.%) at FMQ+0.55, likely as a result of a lower FeO$_T$ content in garnet which makes more Fe available to pyrrhotite. On the other hand, pyrrhotite becomes less abundant (0.64 wt.%) at FMQ+1.08, near the sulfide/sulfate transition. The proportion of anhydrite is higher, ranging between 2 and 3 wt.%, but does not seem related to variations in pressure and temperature. It rather tends to increase with increasing $f_{O_2}$, although being subject to the availability of Ca in the mineral assemblage. Thus, at 2 GPa, 1050 °C, the anhydrite proportion remains low because the melt proportion is the highest of the dataset and the melt CaO content is the highest among the oxidized charges (5.85 wt.%, cf. Table 2).

### 3.2. Assessment of chemical equilibrium and oxygen buffering

The experiments reported here are unreversed and the back-scattered electron images and
microprobe analyses show some zoning in garnet and cpx, reflecting incomplete chemical equilibration. However, a closed system during experiment and an approach to chemical equilibrium can be assessed as follows. (1) For experiments in which all phases were analyzable, average sums of squared residuals (‘$\Sigma_{\text{res}}^2$’ in Table 1), which are obtained to evaluate the mass balance calculations, are <5.3 for all experiments. These sums of squared residuals are reasonably low given the uncertainty in H$_2$O content of partial melts and variations in Al$_2$O$_3$, CaO and FeO$_T$ contents in zoned garnet and cpx, and are similar to the residuals reported for previous experiments in hydrous basaltic systems (e.g. Rapp & Watson, 1995; Berndt et al., 2005; Feig et al., 2006; 2010). (2) Our phase assemblages are consistent with near- and super-solidus phase relations for water-saturated basaltic systems from previous studies (e.g. Peacock et al., 1994; Sen & Dunn, 1994; Rapp & Watson, 1995; Schmidt & Poli, 1998; Berndt et al., 2005; Xiong et al., 2005; 2009; Feig et al., 2006; 2010; Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013). (3) The low ±1σ errors from replicate microprobe analyses of sulfur concentration in silicate glass (cf. Table 2), reflecting a good homogeneity of silicate melt S contents, and the fact that the S-bearing crystalline phase is either anhydrite or pyrrhotite whereas the starting material was doped with pyrite both suggest that diffusion of sulfur inside the capsule approached equilibrium. (4) For each run (except G264, see below), the theoretical experimental $f_{O_2}$ conditions are very well reproduced (generally within 0.5 log $f_{O_2}$ unit) by at least one of the $f_{O_2}$ estimates obtained from AuPd- and Pt-rich alloy redox sensors (cf. Table 7, Fig. 6) suggesting that redox equilibrium between inner and outer capsule was approached. (5) Water activities were estimated by two different, independent methods (from a model of melt H$_2$O solubility, and by using the log $f_{O_2}$ charge values). Results give a comparable range of $a_{H_2O}$ values and a similar average $a_{H_2O}$ value within error, which indicates that the experiments reached chemical equilibrium (cf. section 3.4). (6) With the exception of experiments G277 and G281, observed values for $K_{D}^{Fe-Mg}$ between garnet and
cpx as well as nominal temperatures of our experiments are well reproduced by the garnet-cpx thermometers of Ravna (2000) and Nakamura (2009), respectively. Experiments G277 and G281, which show abnormally high $K_{D}^{Fe-Mg}$ values and low calculated temperatures, have the lowest cpx/garnet ratios and the highest Mg# in cpx of the dataset (cf. Tables 1 and 3). We suspect that the cpx analyses reported in Table 3 for these two experiments represent early crystallized cpx and are not fully representative of the rim composition of the cpx crystals in equilibrium with garnet, owing to their small size and moderate zoning that make microprobe analysis of the rim difficult. Therefore, the deviation of experiments G277 and G281 from the values estimated by the two geothermometers is only due to a lack of cpx analyses representative of the composition in equilibrium with garnet and does not infer that these two experiments did not approach chemical equilibrium. (7) The $D_{Na}^{cpx/melt}$ and $D_{Ti}^{cpx/melt}$ values are below 0.7 and 1.8, respectively, similar to those reported in Rapp & Watson (1995) and Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) for experiments in hydrous basaltic system at comparable $P$-$T$. Moreover, the observed $D_{Na}^{cpx/melt}$ values increase with increasing pressure and $f_{o2}$, and decrease with increasing temperature as predicted by the parameterization of Blundy et al. (1995). In addition, the $D_{Ti}^{cpx/melt}$ values define a linear decreasing trend with increasing temperature as commonly observed during hydrous partial melting of eclogite (Rapp & Watson, 1995; Green et al., 2000; Klein et al., 2000). The calculated values of $D_{Na}^{cpx/melt}$ and $D_{Ti}^{cpx/melt}$ indicate that average compositions of quenched melt are representative of liquid compositions, and are not strongly affected by quench modifications or contamination during analyses. These values also reveal that the cpx compositions presented in Table 3 are in equilibrium with the silicate partial melt.

3.3. Phase compositions
Hydrous partial melt compositions are reported in Table 2, and plotted versus estimated $f_{\text{O}_2}$ of the experiments in Fig. 3 on an H$_2$O-free basis. H$_2$O in partial melts is estimated to be in the range of 2.0-11.0 wt.% from the difference between 100% and the analytical totals (including calculated Na$_2$O and K$_2$O contents; cf. section 3.1). In 3 out of 5 experiments conducted in reducing and intermediate $f_{\text{O}_2}$ conditions at constant $P$ and $T$, melt H$_2$O concentration is nearly constant around 7 wt.% In experiment G261, melt H$_2$O content is higher (11 wt.%) in conjunction with slightly lower silicate melt and aqueous fluid phase proportions (Fig. 2). The most reduced charge, on the other hand, shows a significantly H$_2$O-poor silicate melt (2 wt.% H$_2$O), in agreement with a higher aqueous fluid phase proportion, most likely related to a drop of H$_2$O activity (relative to H$_2$) under such reducing conditions. Nevertheless, we suspect that the melt H$_2$O content of this charge is underestimated as a result of an overestimation of the melt Na$_2$O content, possibly owing to a noticeable amount of Na being dissolved in the aqueous fluid phase as Na(OH). Na is indeed expected to be relatively fluid-mobile at all $f_{\text{O}_2}$ conditions, but supposedly to a greater extent in reducing conditions (where $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is the highest and the fluid phase composition is dominated by OH-species) than in oxidizing conditions (where SO$_2$ dominates the fluid phase composition) (cf. Fig. 7). In oxidized charges, melt H$_2$O content shows little variation around 5 wt.%, but tends to slightly increase with increasing $T$. Compared to more reduced charges at similar $P$ and $T$, this noticeably lower melt H$_2$O concentration is likely explained by the predominance of SO$_2$ over H$_2$O in the fluid inside the inner capsule (Fig. 7), which lowers the activity and solubility of water in silicate melt. In addition to the effect of the high fugacities of S-species on H$_2$O solubility, as discussed below (cf. sections 3.4 and 3.5), the fact that the volatile phase at the experimental pressures and temperatures is expected to be close to that of a
supercritical fluid (e.g., Manning, 2004) probably limits the dissolution of water into the silicate melt.

Major melt compositions are typically trachyandesitic to trachydacitic at 1050 °C and rhyolitic at 950 °C, and show low contents in MgO, FeO\textsubscript{T}, and CaO, in agreement with previous experimental studies of hydrous MORB partial melting at comparable pressure and temperature (Rapp & Watson 1995; Xiong et al., 2005). In detail, SiO\textsubscript{2} decreases significantly at constant \( P \) and \( T \) from reducing conditions (62.4 wt.\%) to intermediate \( f_{O_2} \) conditions (54.4 wt.\%), as a consequence of an increase in isobaric melt productivity related to the increase of the \( f_{H_2O}/f_{H_2} \) ratio (cf. section 4.1). For the same reason, melt FeO\textsubscript{T} increases from <1 wt.\% to as high as ~2.5 wt.\%, despite the fact that pyrrhotite is highly stable under those \( f_{O_2} \) conditions. Other major oxides do not display systematic variations along the reducing and intermediate \( f_{O_2} \) range. However, the most reduced charge tends to be richer in MgO and Na\textsubscript{2}O and poorer in TiO\textsubscript{2} and CaO than the rest of the pyrrhotite-saturated charges. In oxidizing conditions, melt TiO\textsubscript{2}, CaO and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} contents are clearly lower compared to more reduced charges, whereas the opposite is observed for FeO\textsubscript{T} and MgO. In addition, SiO\textsubscript{2} and K\textsubscript{2}O decrease with increasing temperature while FeO\textsubscript{T}, MgO, TiO\textsubscript{2}, and CaO increase from 950 to 1050 °C. About the effect of pressure, SiO\textsubscript{2} and K\textsubscript{2}O decrease from 3 to 2 GPa, while MgO and to a lesser extent CaO, increase, owing to higher melting degree.

Clinopyroxene (Cpx) compositions are reported in Table 3, and plotted versus \( f_{O_2} \) in Fig. 4. All cpx are diopside-rich and aluminous. TiO\textsubscript{2} contents are remarkably constant around 0.4 wt.\% over the whole \( f_{O_2} \) range at constant \( P \) and \( T \). FeO\textsubscript{T} concentration increases markedly from 3 wt.\% at FMQ-2 up to 10 wt.\% at FMQ+3.5, while CaO and MgO decrease.
significantly over the same $f_{O_2}$ range. Na$_2$O content of cpx decreases with increasing temperature and degree of melting.

Garnet compositions are reported in Table 4, and plotted versus $f_{O_2}$ in Fig. 5. Garnets are solid solutions with subequal proportions of almandine and pyrope. MgO, CaO and FeO$_T$ contents are nearly constant within error bars (~10.5, ~8.5, and ~19.0 wt.%, respectively) over the whole $f_{O_2}$ range at constant $P$ and $T$. CaO concentrations appear to be lower in oxidizing conditions, as observed for cpx as well, consistent with anhydrite stability, and tend to decrease with decreasing pressure. FeO$_T$ and CaO contents decrease with increasing temperature and extent of melting, whereas MgO increases, yielding a significant increase of Mg# from 41.6 to 51.3 from 800 to 1050 °C.

Amphibole and rutile compositions are reported in Table 5. Amphibole composition appears to vary significantly with pressure, ranging consistently from hornblende at 2 GPa to edenite at 3 GPa. In all experimental charges, rutile contains less than 3.5 wt% FeO$_T$ and 1.8 wt% Al$_2$O$_3$.

3.4. $f_{O_2}$ conditions

The $f_{O_2}$ of our experiments was imposed by using several external buffers corresponding to the Co-CoO, Ni-NiO, Ni$_x$Pd$_{1-x}$-NiO (with initial x = 0.15, 0.35, and 0.70), or Fe$_2$O$_3$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ equilibria. However, real $f_{O_2}$ conditions are subject to the activity of water ($a_{H_2O}$) inside the inner capsule through dissociation of H$_2$O to H$_2$ and O$_2$. Here, $a_{H_2O}$ - which is defined by the ratio $f_{H_2O}/f_{H_2O}^o$ (where $f_{H_2O}^o$ is the fugacity of pure H$_2$O at $P$ and $T$) - must be less than unity because additional species are likely present, including H$_2$S, S$_2$ and SO$_2$. Water activity in our charges was calculated by extrapolation of the model of Burnham (1979) for H$_2$O.
solubility in silicate melts. Water activities values are reported in Table 6. In accordance with the low H$_2$O concentrations estimated in our fluid-saturated melts, $a_{H_2O}$ values appear very low (all data average = 0.24 ± 0.10), reflecting the strong positive effect of pressure on melt H$_2$O solubility as well as the effect of the presence of sulfur-bearing species on water activity (cf. section 3.5). In HM-buffered charges, melt H$_2$O concentration increases between 950 and 1050 °C at both pressures investigated, whereas $a_{H_2O}$ does not follow such a trend (i.e., increases at 3 GPa but decreases at 2 GPa), illustrating the complexity of the water dissolution process and the importance of the compositional factor. In pyrrhotite-saturated experiments at constant $P$ and $T$ (3 GPa/1050 °C), $a_{H_2O}$ is around 0.20-0.24 in 3 out of 5 experiments but reaches a significantly higher value (0.43) in the charge with the highest melt H$_2$O content. In the most reducing experiment, the very low melt H$_2$O content leads to a questionable $a_{H_2O}$ value of 0.05.

Thermodynamic equilibrium between inner and outer capsules by diffusion of H$_2$ implies the following equation:

$$f_{H_2 \text{ buffer}} = f_{H_2 \text{ charge}}$$

(Eqn. 1)

Since the outer capsule is saturated with pure water, $f_{H_2 \text{ buffer}}$ can be expressed as follows:

$$f_{H_2 \text{ buffer}} = f_{H_2O \text{ buffer}} / [K( f_{O_2 \text{ buffer}})^{0.5}]$$

(Eqn. 2)

with $K$ being the equilibrium constant of water dissociation reaction. Similarly, the inner capsule is water-saturated, therefore $f_{O_2 \text{ charge}}$ can be expressed as:

$$f_{O_2 \text{ charge}} = f_{O_2 \text{ buffer}} / [K( f_{H_2O \text{ charge}})^{0.5}]$$

(Eqn. 3)

By combining Eqns. 1, 2 and 3, we write:

$$f_{O_2 \text{ charge}} = f_{O_2 \text{ buffer}} \cdot [ f_{H_2O \text{ charge}} / f_{H_2O \text{ buffer}}]$$

(Eqn. 4)

Then, because $f_{H_2O \text{ buffer}} = f_{H_2O}^o$ (i.e., pure water), and $a_{H_2O \text{ charge}} = f_{H_2O} / f_{H_2O}^o$, the following relation can be written:
\[ \log f_{O_2} \text{ charge} = \log f_{O_2} \text{ buffer} + 2 \log a_{H_2O} \text{ charge} \]  
(Eqn. 5)

Thus, \( a_{H_2O} \) values were used to estimate the experimental \( f_{O_2} \) conditions inside inner capsules from Eqn. 5. For the CCO-, NNO-, and Ni\(_x\)Pd\(_{1-x}\)-NiO-buffered experiments, \( f_{O_2} \) buffer was calculated from the calibrations of Taylor et al. (1992) and O’Neill & Pownceby (1993) by considering either pure Co, or pure Ni, or the measured Ni/Pd ratio, respectively, in the metal alloys of the buffer assemblages. For the HM-buffered experiments, we used the calibration of Schwab & Kustner (1981) to estimate \( f_{O_2} \) buffer. The corresponding \( f_{O_2} \) values (absolute, and relative with respect to the FMQ buffer from the calibration of Stagno & Frost 2010) are reported in Table 6 and plotted in Fig. 6. At constant \( P \) and \( T \) (3 GPa, 1050 °C), \( f_{O_2} \) values range from -13.75 (i.e., FMQ-5.47) to -5.51 (i.e., FMQ+2.77). However, an oxygen fugacity of FMQ-5.47 (in experiment G264) corresponds to IW-1 (IW = iron-wüstite oxygen buffer). Thus, if this estimated \( f_{O_2} \) value was real, the charge G264 should have experienced Fe-metal saturation, which is not observed. Therefore, the estimated \( f_{O_2} \) must be overcorrected in this experiment, owing to a too low \( a_{H_2O} \), itself resulting from an overestimation of the melt Na\(_2\)O content and an underestimation of the melt H\(_2\)O content.

When considering only HM-buffered charges, \( f_{O_2} \) values consistently increase with temperature, from -7.02 to -5.55 at 2 GPa and from -7.13 to -5.51 at 3 GPa, between 950 and 1050 °C. Nonetheless, the \( f_{O_2} \) values relative to the FMQ buffer do not vary much with temperature but decrease with increasing pressure, leading to very oxidizing conditions at 2 GPa with \( f_{O_2} \) as high as FMQ+3.42. It is worth noting that the range of \( f_{O_2} \) covered by this whole data set goes from reducing to oxidizing conditions such that it covers the \( f_{O_2} \) values over which sulfide to sulfate transition may take place. Therefore, the speciation of S dissolved in the silicate melt and the hydrous fluid is expected to vary substantially, from
sulfide species (i.e., $S^2-/Total \ S \sim 1$) to sulfate species (i.e., $S^{6+}/Total \ S \sim 1$), respectively.

As mentioned above, the experimental $f_{O_2}$ conditions were also estimated by using the calibration of Balta et al. (2011), which considers the concentration of Fe dissolved in the AuPd-capsule walls, and the calibration of Médard et al. (2008), which considers the concentration of Fe dissolved in the Pt-wire placed inside the inner capsule. The results of these estimates are reported in Table 7 and plotted in Fig. 6. It appears that the experimental $f_{O_2}$ values calculated from the buffer compositions and corrected based on estimated $a_{H_2O}$ are well reproduced by at least one calibration in all experiments, within less than 0.55 log $f_{O_2}$ unit (except for the overcorrected G264, and with the exception of G280 which shows 0.86 log $f_{O_2}$ unit difference). In detail, the $f_{O_2}$ values of our reduced (i.e., sulfide-saturated) charges are better reproduced by the calibration of Médard et al. (2008) (when using the original calibration of Grove (1981) for the solution model of FeO in silicate melt in equilibrium with PtFe alloy, instead of the Kessel et al. (2001) Pt-Fe solution model), whereas the calibration of Balta et al. (2011) reproduces the $f_{O_2}$ values of our oxidized (i.e., anhydrite-saturated) experiments more closely. This divergence between the two calibrations can be explained as follows: (1) Although the model of Balta et al. (2011) was calibrated over a wide range of $f_{O_2}$ (from log $f_{O_2}$ = -11 to -5), the model of Médard et al. (2008) was calibrated in reducing conditions only (log $f_{O_2}$ < -7.5); (2) both calibrations were determined for S-free systems. However, Pt is much less chalcophile than Au. As a consequence, in our reducing experiments, a negligible amount of $S^2-$ may have dissolved into the Pt-wire whereas a small but detectable quantity of $S^2-$ may have been incorporated in the AuPd-capsule walls. Therefore, the Pt-Fe calibration would not be affected by the presence of sulfur in a reduced system, unlike the AuPd-Fe calibration.

Thus, the agreement observed between $f_{O_2}$ estimates calculated from independent
methods and theoretical $f_{O_2}$ conditions gives confidence in the real redox state of our experiments. However, considering the difference in the $f_{O_2}$ estimates between the three methods employed here, we think that the uncertainty in the $f_{O_2}$ value of each of our experiment is as much as 0.5-1.0 log units. While describing phase compositional variations as a function of $f_{O_2}$, we use those obtained using Equation 5. For the CCO-buffered experiment G264, however, we use the non-corrected $f_{O_2}$ value corresponding to the CCO buffer at 3 GPa and 1050 °C, which is in good agreement with the calibration of Médard et al. (2008); it is worth noting that this non-corrected $f_{O_2}$ value represents a maximum $f_{O_2}$ estimate for this run.

For comparison purpose, we tested an alternative method of calculating $a_{H_2O}$ values from Equation 5 by using the log $f_{O_2}$ charge values estimated from the calibrations of Médard et al. (2008) (for pyrrhotite-saturated charges) and Balta et al. (2011) (for anhydrite-saturated charges). Apart from experiments G260 and G264 (for which this method is not valid since log $f_{O_2}$ charge > log $f_{O_2}$ buffer), it appears that the resulting $a_{H_2O}$ values range between 0.15 and 0.54 with a mean of 0.33 ± 0.14. Although slightly greater on average, these water activities are still low and compare well with the $a_{H_2O}$ values calculated from the model of Burnham (1979). This observation brings further evidence that the experiments approached chemical equilibrium. Nonetheless, for consistency, we use $a_{H_2O}$ estimates obtained from our melt H$_2$O concentrations in the following considerations.

3.5. Fugacities of gas species

In order to estimate the relative importance of the different gaseous components present inside the inner capsule, we calculated the fugacities of the main gas species at
thermodynamic equilibrium, i.e., $f_{H_2O}$, $f_{H_2}$, $f_{S_2}$, $f_{H_2S}$, and $f_{SO_2}$ (reported in Table 8 and plotted as a function of the oxygen fugacity in Fig. 7). To estimate $f_{H_2O}$, we used the experimental study of Zhang (1999) who provides a model of H$_2$O solubility in silicate melt that considers the speciation of water (i.e., H$_2$O$_{\text{total}}$ = H$_2$O + OH$^-$). Log $f_{H_2O}$ proves to be very constant in our study (all data average = 3.92 ± 0.34) along the investigated $f_{O_2}$ range, although the HM-buffered experiments show that fH$_2$O tends to decrease with increasing temperature and increase with increasing pressure. fH$_2$ was calculated from $f_{H_2O}$ and $f_{O_2}$ according to the relation:

$$f_{H_2} = f_{H_2O} / [K.(f_{O_2})^{0.5}]$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn. 6)

where $K$ is the equilibrium constant of the reaction at $P$ and $T$. Except for the most reduced charge where $f_{H_2} \approx f_{H_2O}$, $f_{H_2}$ values are significantly lower than $f_{H_2O}$ values over the whole $f_{O_2}$ range and decrease by three orders of magnitude with increasing $f_{O_2}$, from log $f_{H_2}$ = 2.44 at ~FMQ-2 to -0.34 at ~FMQ+3.4.

In our reduced experiments, the small size of pyrrhotite crystals prevented their accurate chemical analysis and the determination of the fugacity of sulfur ($f_{S_2}$) from their composition. Therefore we used the empirical method of Bockrath et al. (2004) which considers the composition of a basaltic melt saturated with a sulfide phase at 1 atm and high temperature (1200-1400 °C), according to the reaction:

$$2 \text{FeO}_{\text{melt}} + S_2 \text{gas} = 2 \text{FeS} \text{sulfide} + O_2 \text{gas}$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn. 7)

The original expression of Bockrath et al. (2004) derived from Eqn. 7 for the calculation of $f_{S_2}$ was later modified by Liu et al. (2007) to extend its applicability to high pressures. These authors proposed to estimate sulfur fugacities in pressurized experiments by:

$$\log f_{S_2} = 6.7 - 12800/T - 2 \log X_{\text{FeO}} + \Delta\text{FMQ} + 0.904(P-1)/2.303RT$$  \hspace{1cm} (Eqn. 8)

where $T$ is in K, $P$ is in bar, $X_{\text{FeO}}$ is the mole fraction of FeO in the silicate melt, and $\Delta\text{FMQ}$ is
the \( f_{O_2} \) expressed relative to the fayalite-quartz-magnetite solid buffer. Liu et al. (2007) demonstrated that this expression allows a reliable estimation of \( f_{S_2} \) to within an uncertainty of 0.7 log unit for a wide range of silicate melt compositions, temperatures, pressures, and water concentrations. Hence, we applied Eqn. 8 to our pyrrhotite-saturated experiments. The \( f_{S_2} \) in our anhydrite-saturated experiments was estimated from mineral-melt equilibria involving the anhydrite (anh: \( CaSO_4 \)) ± magnetite (mt: \( Fe_3O_4 \)) assemblage. The calculation is conceptually identical to that performed by Luhr (1990) and Jégo & Pichavant (2012). The equilibrium reactions are written in terms of the silicate components of the melt:

\[
\begin{align*}
CaSiO_3(\text{melt}) + 2/3 \, Fe_3O_4(\text{mt}) + 1/2 \, S_2(\text{fluid}) + 7/6 \, O_2(\text{fluid}) &= CaSO_4(\text{anh}) + Fe_2SiO_4(\text{melt}) \quad \text{(Eqn. 9)} \\
CaSiO_3(\text{melt}) + 1/2 \, S_2(\text{fluid}) + 3/2 \, O_2(\text{gas}) &= CaSO_4(\text{anh}) + SiO_2(\text{melt}) \quad \text{(Eqn. 10)}
\end{align*}
\]

where Eqn. 9 applies to Run no. G243, G246 and G249, which contain both anhydrite and magnetite, whereas Eqn. 10 applies to Run no. G251, which does not contain magnetite. The corresponding equilibrium constants are:

\[
K_9 = \left( \frac{a_{Fe_2SiO_4}}{a_{CaSiO_3}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{f_{S_2}^{1/2} \cdot f_{O_2}^{7/6} \cdot a_{Fe_3O_4}^{2/3}} \right) \quad \text{(Eqn. 11)}
\]

\[
K_{10} = \left( \frac{a_{SiO_2}}{a_{CaSiO_3}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{f_{S_2}^{1/2} \cdot f_{O_2}^{3/2}} \right) \quad \text{(Eqn. 12)}
\]

where \( a_{Fe_2SiO_4} \), \( a_{CaSiO_3} \) and \( a_{SiO_2} \) are the activities of the components \( Fe_2SiO_4 \), \( CaSiO_3 \) and \( SiO_2 \) in the silicate melt, respectively, and \( a_{Fe_3O_4} \) is the activity of the component \( Fe_3O_4 \) in magnetite. Anhydrite is considered to be a pure phase (i.e., \( a_{CaSO_4} = 1 \)). Then the \( f_{S_2} \) can be expressed as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\log f_{S_2(9)} &= 2 \left( - \log K_9 + \log \left( \frac{a_{Fe_2SiO_4}}{a_{CaSiO_3}} \right) - \frac{7}{6} \log (f_{O_2}) - \log \left( a_{Fe_3O_4}^{2/3} \right) \right) \quad \text{(Eqn. 13)} \\
\log f_{S_2(10)} &= 2 \left( - \log K_{10} + \log \left( \frac{a_{SiO_2}}{a_{CaSiO_3}} \right) - \frac{3}{2} \log (f_{O_2}) \right) \quad \text{(Eqn. 14)}
\end{align*}
\]

The activities of the melt components and of \( Fe_3O_4 \) in magnetite under our experimental conditions can be estimated by using the MELTS software (Ghiorso & Sack, 1995; Asimow
& Ghiorso, 1998), using compositional data for silicate melt and magnetite from Tables 2 and 5. The equilibrium constants $K_9$ and $K_{10}$ can also be written as:

$$\ln K = -\frac{\Delta G_{P,T}^0}{RT}$$

(Eqn. 15)

where the Gibbs free energies for the melt components and Fe$_3$O$_4$ in magnetite are calculated using MELTS. For anhydrite, $S_2$ and $O_2$, the Gibbs free energies are calculated from Majzlan et al. (2002) and Robie & Hemingway (1995), respectively. Once the log $K$ value is known, log $f_{S_2}$ can be determined as a function of $f_{O_2}$ using compositional data (Tables 2 and 5) and $f_{O_2}$ specific to each charge. It is worth noting that this approach is valid because the silicate components of the melt are representative of the thermodynamic equilibrium between the silicate melt and the silicate mineral phases (i.e., cpx and garnet). Log $f_{S_2}$ values calculated for all charges are reported in Table 8 and plotted as a function of the oxygen fugacity in Fig. 7. For comparison, the fugacity of pure $S_2$ gas at 3 GPa and 950 °C – as calculated from Shi & Saxena (1992) – is also reported in Fig. 7; this value represents the maximum limit of $f_{S_2}$ in a S-bearing, fluid-saturated magmatic system at $P$ and $T$.

In Fig. 7, it appears that $f_{S_2}$ significantly increases with increasing $f_{O_2}$ in reducing conditions, from log $f_{S_2} = 0.5$ to 3.0. Then, in intermediate $f_{O_2}$ conditions, log $f_{S_2}$ reaches a plateau but varies between ~2 and 4 as a result of a competition between $f_{O_2}$ and $X_{FeO}$ in melt. In oxidized charges, log $f_{S_2}$ is noticeably lower, being comprised between -1.6 and 0.6, i.e., comparable to log $f_{H_2}$. In detail, it can be observed from the HM-buffered charges that the $f_{S_2}$ tends to rise with increasing pressure and decreasing temperature. Importantly, the fact that the maximum log $f_{S_2}$ value of this study is no more than 4, i.e., over three orders of magnitude lower than the fugacity of pure $S_2$ gas at the experimental $P$ and $T$, and that our range of $f_{S_2}$ values is directly comparable to that reported in previous experimental studies...
(e.g., Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013; Clemente et al., 2004) suggests that, in spite of the use of S concentrations likely greater than average natural concentrations in the downgoing slab, our experimental results are directly applicable to nature, and the presence of sulfur in the system does not lower the activity of water in an artificial manner.

H$_2$S and SO$_2$ form at equilibrium according to the reactions:

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O} + \frac{1}{2} \text{S}_2 = \text{H}_2\text{S} + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(Eqn. 16)}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{S}_2 + \text{O}_2 = \text{SO}_2 \quad \text{(Eqn. 17)}
\]

Therefore, $f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ and $f_{\text{SO}_2}$ can be expressed as follows:

\[
f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} = \frac{K_{16} \cdot f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \cdot (f_{\text{S}_2})^{0.5}}{(f_{\text{O}_2})^{0.5}} \quad \text{(Eqn. 18)}
\]

\[
f_{\text{SO}_2} = K_{17} \cdot f_{\text{O}_2} \cdot (f_{\text{S}_2})^{0.5} \quad \text{(Eqn. 19)}
\]

where $K_{16}$ and $K_{17}$ are the equilibrium constants of the reactions in Eqns. 16 and 17, respectively. Ohimoto & Kerrick (1977) used experimental data to calibrate these reactions over a $P$-$T$ range of 0.2-1.0 GPa and 300-1000 °C, and expressed the equilibrium constants as a function of $T$ (K) as:

\[
\log K_{16} = \left(-\frac{8117}{T}\right) + (0.188 \log T) - 0.352 \quad \text{(Eqn. 20)}
\]

\[
\log K_{17} = \left(\frac{18929}{T}\right) - 3.783 \quad \text{(Eqn. 21)}
\]

Although our experiments were conducted at higher pressures, we used these calibrations to estimate $f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ and $f_{\text{SO}_2}$ in our charges. Both $f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ and $f_{\text{SO}_2}$ are dependent on $f_{\text{O}_2}$ and are critically sensitive to variations of $f_{\text{S}_2}$. Thus, $f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ continuously increases with $f_{\text{O}_2}$ in reducing conditions, then slightly decreases above FMQ due to the influence of $f_{\text{H}_2}$ but still remains at high levels ($\log f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}} > 3.5$). Interestingly, over the whole sulfide-saturated $f_{\text{O}_2}$ range, $f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ is strictly comparable to $f_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$. In oxidized charges, $\log f_{\text{H}_2\text{S}}$ is much lower (-0.2-1.3), influenced by the effects of both $f_{\text{H}_2}$ and $f_{\text{S}_2}$, and decreases with increasing $f_{\text{O}_2}$.

Similar to $f_{\text{S}_2}$, $\log f_{\text{SO}_2}$ rapidly increases with $f_{\text{O}_2}$ in reducing conditions, from -0.3 to 2.2.
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But unlike $f_{S_2}$, log $f_{SO_2}$ keeps increasing above FMQ to reach 5.3 at FMQ+1. Then, $f_{SO_2}$ is maintained at very high levels (i.e., above $f_{H_2O}$) in oxidizing conditions, owing to a greater influence of $f_{O_2}$ over $f_{S_2}$. This evolution of $f_{H_2S}$ and $f_{SO_2}$ with $f_{O_2}$ is very similar to that observed by Clemente et al. (2004), who reported that the crossover between $f_{H_2S}$ and $f_{SO_2}$ occurs around NNO+1 (i.e., $\Delta FMQ$~0) (cf. Fig. 7). Importantly, it can be observed that H$_2$O never dominates the composition of the fluid phase, and either $f_{H_2S}$ or $f_{SO_2}$ (or both in moderately oxidizing conditions) reaches similar magnitude to that of $f_{H_2O}$, or even becomes higher. This helps explain why melt H$_2$O concentrations and activities are low in our experiments. Experiment G261 (at $f_{O_2}$ = FMQ+0.55) illustrates well this mechanism; because this experiment has substantially lower fugacities of S$_2$, H$_2$S and SO$_2$ compared with that of H$_2$O, it shows the highest melt H$_2$O content and activity of the dataset.

In summary, at $f_{O_2}$ below FMQ, the fluid phase composition is dominated by both H$_2$O and H$_2$S, whereas in oxidizing conditions, SO$_2$ and H$_2$O are the main gas species; in intermediate $f_{O_2}$ conditions, there is a competition between all gas species (except H$_2$, which is much lower). Therefore, the fluid composition varies dramatically along with the $f_{O_2}$, which is likely to influence strongly the partitioning of S between aqueous fluid and silicate melt.

3.6. Sulfur concentration in melt

Sulfur concentrations in silicate partial melts ([S]$_{melt}$), which represent sulfur concentration at sulfide saturation (SCSS) or at anhydrite saturation (SCAS), are reported in Table 1 and plotted as a function of $f_{O_2}$ in Fig. 8a and $f_{S_2}$ in Fig. 8b. The first-order observation is that
\([S]_{\text{melt}}\) increases with \(f_{O_2}\) at constant \(P\) and \(T\), in agreement with previous experimental studies (e.g. Baker & Moretti, 2011) and natural observations (e.g. Wallace & Edmonds, 2011), from ~30 ppm S in reducing conditions up to ~3000 ppm S in oxidizing conditions. In detail, \([S]_{\text{melt}}\) varies between 28 and 59 ppm S at \(f_{O_2} < \text{FMQ}\) and, at intermediate \(f_{O_2}\) (~FMQ+0.5-1.0), close to the sulfide-sulfate transition, \([S]_{\text{melt}}\) reaches significantly greater values of ~400-500 ppm S. At anhydrite saturation and 3 GPa/1050 °C, \([S]_{\text{melt}}\) is as high as ~2900 ppm S. In addition, the oxidized experiments show that \([S]_{\text{melt}}\) increases greatly with temperature at a given \(f_{O_2}\), from ~1500 to 2900 ppm S at 3 GPa and from ~700 to 2600 ppm S at 2 GPa (Fig. 8a inset), while decreasing with increasing pressure, as previously reported for silicate melts of a wide range of compositions (Wendlandt, 1982; Mavrogenes & O’Neill, 1999; Holzheid & Grove, 2002; Ding et al., in press).

\([S]_{\text{melt}}\) does not appear to be directly correlated with \(f_{S_2}\) (Fig. 8b), in contrast to its dependence on \(f_{O_2}\). Nevertheless, most of reduced experiments, which have very low melt S contents, show low \(f_{S_2}\) values (log \(f_{S_2}\) < 2), likely related to low \(X_{FeO}\) in melt. The two experiments performed at intermediate \(f_{O_2}\) conditions, which led to noticeably higher \([S]_{\text{melt}}\), also show higher \(f_{S_2}\) values. However, the increase of log \(f_{S_2}\) between G261 and G280 (from 2.4 to 4.0) is not followed by an increase in \([S]_{\text{melt}}\), suggesting that \(f_{S_2}\) does not exert a primary control on sulfur solubility under moderately oxidizing conditions. As expected, the anhydrite-bearing experiments have, on average, the lowest \(f_{S_2}\) values of the dataset, although they show the highest melt S concentrations. This observation confirms that in oxidizing conditions sulfur does not dissolve in silicate melt as \(S^2\). In summary, it seems that S solubility in melt is chiefly controlled by \(f_{O_2}\), and that the influence of \(f_{S_2}\) is secondary compared to the fugacity of other S-bearing species, namely \(H_2S\) and \(SO_2\), as previously observed (e.g., Clemente et al., 2004).
In Fig. 9, $[S]_{\text{melt}}$ is plotted as a function of FeO$_T$ (a) and CaO (b) in silicate melt. Melt FeO$_T$ concentration is very low in reducing conditions ($\leq 0.6$ wt.%), which may explain the very low S solubility values. At intermediate $f_{O_2}$, melt FeO$_T$ varies from 0.6 to 2.4 wt.% without significant increase of $[S]_{\text{melt}}$, suggesting that melt S solubility may not be primarily controlled by melt FeO$_T$ content under $f_{O_2}$ conditions near the sulfide-sulfate transition. In anhydrite-saturated charges, $[S]_{\text{melt}}$ and melt FeO$_T$ seem positively correlated, but this represents an artifact related to the effect of temperature (and increasing melting degree) on both S and FeO$_T$ concentrations in silicate melt. In contrast, the correlation observed with melt CaO content (Fig. 9b) in oxidizing conditions is meaningful since S is expected to dissolve as SO$_4^{2-}$ by complexation with Ca$^{2+}$ in the silicate melt. In reducing and intermediate $f_{O_2}$ conditions, melt CaO concentration is greater than at higher $f_{O_2}$ owing to the absence of anhydrite crystallization but, consistently, $[S]_{\text{melt}}$ shows no clear correlation. Hence, sulfur content of silicate melt near the sulfide-sulfate transition may be influenced by a complex interplay of melt FeO$_T$, Fe$^{3+}/\sum$Fe, and CaO contents.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Effect of varying redox state on silicate melt proportion and composition

As described in the Results section, some variations in phase proportions and compositions can be ascribed to the effect of $f_{O_2}$ at given $P$ and $T$. From our results, the $f_{O_2}$ range investigated in this study can be divided in three distinct $f_{O_2}$ domains: (1) very reducing ($f_{O_2} < \text{FMQ}-2.5$); (2) reducing and moderately oxidizing (FMQ-2 $\leq f_{O_2} \leq \text{FMQ}+1.1$); (3) oxidizing ($f_{O_2} > \text{FMQ}+2.5$). It is worth noting that domains (1) and (2) are characterised by
the presence of pyrrhotite, whereas domain (3) is defined by the presence of anhydrite, implying that sulfide/sulfate transition occurs between domains (2) and (3).

In domain (1), the silicate melt proportion and H$_2$O content are relatively low and the aqueous fluid phase proportion is high. This is related to a low $f_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}/f_{\text{H}_{2}}$ ratio under such reducing conditions, which tends to lower the activity of water. As a consequence, the solubility of water in melt is decreased, so is the isobaric melt productivity. Therefore, the silicate melt shows a more felsic composition, with high SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O and K$_2$O contents, and low CaO, FeO$_T$ and TiO$_2$ concentrations. The melt FeO$_T$ content is lowered further owing to the high stability of pyrrhotite.

In domain (2), the opposite is observed. The silicate melt proportion and H$_2$O content are high and the aqueous fluid phase proportion is relatively low. This is explained by a high $f_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}/f_{\text{H}_{2}}$ ratio and a high water activity which promotes water dissolution in silicate melt and isobaric melt productivity. Hence, the silicate melt composition tends to be less felsic, showing lower SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O and K$_2$O contents, and high CaO and TiO$_2$ concentrations. Melt FeO$_T$ contents remain very low, though, as a result of pyrrhotite stability.

In domain (3), the situation appears to be intermediate (at similar $P$ and $T$). The silicate melt proportion and H$_2$O content are lower and the aqueous fluid phase proportion is higher than in domain (2). Under such oxidizing conditions, the ratio $f_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}/f_{\text{H}_{2}}$ is very high but the activity of water is maintained at relatively low values by the influence of high $f_{\text{SO}_2}$ inside the inner capsule. This limits dissolution of water in silicate melt and lowers to some extent the isobaric melt productivity. However, the most obvious effect of increased $f_{\text{O}_2}$ on melt composition is the elevated FeO$_T$. In addition, the fact that the stable S-bearing mineral phase is anhydrite instead of pyrrhotite leads to a modification of the distribution of cations between silicate melt and major silicate phases (cpx, garnet). Thus, the silicate melt
composition differs significantly from that in domain (2), with higher SiO$_2$, FeO$_T$, MgO and K$_2$O, and lower CaO, TiO$_2$, Na$_2$O and Al$_2$O$_3$ contents.

4.2. Sulfur content at sulfide and sulfate saturation in hydrous slab partial melts: comparison with previous studies

Most of previous experimental studies of melt S solubility at sulfide- or anhydrite-saturation were conducted at low pressures, from 0.15 to 1.0 GPa, in order to constrain the behavior of S in arc magmas at pre-eruptive conditions (e.g. Luhr, 1990; Scaillet et al., 1998; Keppler, 1999; 2010; Scaillet & Pichavant, 2003; 2005; Clemente et al., 2004; Jugo et al., 2005a,b; Li & Ripley, 2005; 2009; Scaillet & Macdonald, 2006; Liu et al., 2007), or were performed at higher pressures but for mafic compositions (Wendlandt, 1982; Marvogenes & O’Neill, 1999; Holzheid & Grove, 2002; Ding et al., in press). Only two recent studies report S solubility values in hydrous felsic melts at sub-arc mantle depths (Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013; Prouteau & Scaillet, 2013), directly comparable with the data presented here. Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) report very low melt S concentrations (110 ± 50 ppm S) in H$_2$O- and pyrrhotite-saturated rhyolitic melts under reducing conditions ($f_{O_2}$ < FMQ) at 850-1050 °C. Their data compare well with our results obtained at similar $f_{O_2}$ conditions (from 28 ± 2 to 59 ± 31 ppm S) although a factor of two difference is observed, likely owing to somewhat lower melt FeO$_T$ contents in the reduced experiments of the present study (Fig. 9a; lower melt FeO$_T$ contents being possibly related to limited Fe loss into the AuPd capsule walls and the Pt wire present among the silicate charge). Prouteau & Scaillet (2013), on the other hand, report a wide range of melt S concentrations in spite of a similar amount of bulk S added to the starting material (i.e., 1.1 wt.% S). At 2 GPa, they show a constant melt S content between 800 and 900 °C, around 300 ppm S, under $f_{O_2}$ conditions close to NNO+1. These values are
comparable to our results obtained at intermediate $f_{\text{O}_2}$ (from 389 ± 58 to 518 ± 136 ppm S), and the discrepancies may be attributed to differences in pressure and temperature, both higher in the present study. However, at 3 GPa, Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) report ~100 ppm S in melt at 800 °C, and over 1200 ppm S at 900 °C. Such values are difficult to explain at $f_{\text{O}_2}$ around NNO+1, so is the dramatic increase of melt S concentration over a limited range of temperature. Prouteau & Scaillet (2013) also conducted a single experiment at 3 GPa/900 °C under reducing conditions ($f_{\text{O}_2} < \text{NNO-2}$) with 2 wt.% bulk S, which led to ~200 ppm S in melt. This result is in agreement with our findings under similar redox conditions, given the difference in bulk S amount, hence $f_{\text{S}_2}$.

In Fig. 10a, we compared our SCSS data with SCSS predicted by the empirical model of Liu et al. (2007) and our SCAS data with SCAS predicted by the models of Baker & Moretti (2011) and Li & Ripley (2009) at the experimental $P$-$T$-$f_{\text{O}_2}$ conditions and our measured melt compositions. It appears that in reducing conditions ($f_{\text{O}_2} < \text{FMQ-1}$), our data are well reproduced by the SCSS model of Liu et al. (2007) within a factor two. In contrast, our data obtained under intermediate $f_{\text{O}_2}$ conditions overestimate by a factor of ~4 to 8 the SCSS predicted by Liu et al. (2007). It is worth mentioning that this model, which is primarily based upon the silicate melt composition, takes the $f_{\text{O}_2}$ effect into account only through the ratio $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ (or $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\Sigma\text{Fe}$). Therefore, according to Liu et al. (2007) model, while $f_{\text{O}_2}$ increases (at sulfide saturation), the ratio $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}^{3+}$ decreases, so does the amount of S dissolved in the melt through complexation with $\text{Fe}^{2+}$. However, it has been shown (e.g. Clemente et al., 2004; Jugo, 2009; Jugo et al., 2010; Jégo & Pichavant, 2012) that SCSS increases with $f_{\text{O}_2}$ at $f_{\text{O}_2} > \text{FMQ}$ (or ~NNO+1), i.e., near the sulfide/sulfate transition, by increase of the ratio $\text{S}^{6+}/\Sigma\text{S}$ (leading to progressive sulfide destabilization) and progressive dissolution of S as $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in silicate melt. The present results are in agreement with these latter
studies. Moreover, the fact that (1) the melt FeO content has a negligible effect on SCSS under intermediate \( f_{O_2} \) conditions (Fig. 9a) and (2) SCSS is significantly higher above FMQ than in more reducing conditions despite a continuous increase of the ratio \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\sum \text{Fe} \) in silicate melt (Fig. 10b) suggests that, above FMQ, the SCSS is predominantly controlled by the ratio \( \text{S}^{6+}/\sum \text{S} \), independently of \( \text{Fe}^{3+}/\sum \text{Fe} \). Although the model of Liu et al. (2007) is probably the most accurate empirical model for SCSS in reducing conditions, these observations stress the need for improvements of the empirical reproduction of the increase of SCSS under \( f_{O_2} \) conditions close to the sulfide/sulfate transition, as predicted by the thermodynamic model of Moretti & Baker (2008).

In oxidizing conditions, the empirical SCAS models of Li & Ripley (2009) and Baker & Moretti (2011), which are also based upon the silicate melt composition, give results very similar to each other, and our SCAS data are reproduced by both models within a factor of two (Fig. 10a).

### 4.3. Sulfur concentration of the fluid phase

Sulfur concentrations in the aqueous fluid phase ([S]_{fluid}), as estimated by mass balance calculations, are reported in Table 9 and Fig. 11. [S]_{fluid} values are relatively high and vary between 5 and 15 wt.\% S. Although most of apparent uncertainties are reasonably low (1\( \sigma < 4 \) wt.\%), we warn the readers that these [S]_{fluid} values were not measured directly and, therefore, we acknowledge that they may not be fully representative of the real S solubility values of the aqueous fluid phase in equilibrium with the experimental assemblage at P and T. They compare well, however, with [S]_{fluid} values reported by Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) at similar P and T in reducing conditions (10-15 wt.\% S; Fig. 11b), also obtained from mass balance calculations though. Very few other experimental studies investigated the solubility
of sulfur in high pressure-high temperature aqueous fluids, but Newton & Manning (2005) report anhydrite (CaSO₄) solubility data in H₂O-NaCl solutions (NaCl mole fraction, X_{NaCl}, up to 0.3). We therefore converted our [S]_{fluid} data (expressed as wt.% S) into CaSO₄ molality (m; moles CaSO₄ per kg H₂O) to allow comparison with Newton & Manning (2005) results (independently of \( f_{O_2} \) and S speciation). Our [S]_{fluid} values range from log m(CaSO₄) = 0.84 to 1.36, whereas Newton & Manning (2005) report log m(CaSO₄) values increasing from -1.8 to -1.5 between 600 and 800 °C at 1.0 GPa and X_{NaCl} = 0, and show that log m(CaSO₄) goes up to 0.13 at 1.4 GPa and X_{NaCl} = 0.1, and reaches 0.73 at 1.0 GPa and X_{NaCl} = 0.3. They interpret the positive effect of NaCl on CaSO₄ solubility as occurring according to a solvent dissolution reaction similar to:

\[
\text{CaSO}_4 + 2 \text{NaCl} = \text{CaCl}_2 + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4 \quad \text{(Eqn. 22)}
\]

where the salts on the right-hand side are very soluble in aqueous fluids. Although our experiments are Cl-free, it may be expected that the aqueous fluid phase contains a non-negligible amount of Na, which could promote to the dissolution of S in oxidizing conditions.

In this case, a possible dissolution mechanism could be:

\[
\text{CaSO}_4(\text{anhydrite}) + 2 \text{Na(OH)}_{(\text{fluid})} = \text{Ca(OH)}_2(\text{fluid}) + \text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4(\text{fluid}) \quad \text{(Eqn. 23)}
\]

Thus, our calculated log m(CaSO₄) values may not be unreasonable if the Newton & Manning (2005) results are extrapolated to higher \( P \) and \( T \).

In Fig. 11a, a clear tendency of the evolution of [S]_{fluid} with varying redox state can be observed over the \( f_{O_2} \) range investigated. In reducing and intermediate conditions (i.e., \( \text{FMQ-2} < f_{O_2} < \text{FMQ+1} \)), [S]_{fluid} is the highest and remains constant within error bars around 13.1 ± 1.3 wt.% S. The most reduced charge (at ~FMQ-3), however, shows a slightly lower [S]_{fluid} around 9.4 wt.% S. In contrast, the oxidized charges (at \( \Delta\text{FMQ} > 2 \)) show [S]_{fluid} values around 6.7 ± 1.4 wt.% S, i.e., a factor of two lower than in reducing experiments.
These observations imply that the solubility of sulfur in the aqueous fluid phase at
given $P$ and $T$ may be higher at pyrrhotite saturation than at anhydrite saturation. Therefore,
the sulfur capacity of the fluid phase must be related to the speciation of S, i.e., the evolution
of the ratio $S^{6+}/\sum S$ as a function of $f_{O_2}$. In reducing and intermediate conditions ($\Delta F\text{MQ} \leq 1.1$), $S^{6+}/\sum S \sim 0$ and S is almost totally expressed as $S^{2-}$. In this case, sulfur is expected to
dissolve predominantly as $H_2S$ and $HS^{-}$ in the aqueous fluid phase, which is consistent with
the elevated values of $f_{H_2S}$ estimated inside the inner capsule at those redox conditions (cf.
Fig. 7). The fact that $H_2S$ and $HS^{-}$ likely account for the quasi-totality of $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ at $f_{O_2} \leq $ FMQ+1.1 highlights the very high solubility of these species in aqueous phases. This is in
agreement with the observations made by Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) in similar $f_{O_2}$ conditions
(i.e., at pyrrhotite saturation), who interpreted the similarity of $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ at CCO and NNO as a
result of the dissolution of S in fluid mainly as $H_2S$ over the $fO_2$ range. In the most reduced
experiment, however, the ratio $f_{H_2S}/f_{H_2S}$ is a bit higher than at FMQ-2, which may explain the
slightly lower $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ observed at FMQ-2.8. In anhydrite-saturated experiments, at $\Delta F\text{MQ} \geq 2.5$, sulfur is expressed as oxidized forms ($S^{4+}, S^{6+}$) and can be dissolved in the aqueous fluid
phase predominantly as $SO_2$ and $SO_4^{2-}$, and to a lesser extent $SO_3$ (e.g. Oppenheimer et al.,
2011; Webster & Botcharnikov, 2011). Despite this multiplicity of possible sulfur species
dissolved in fluid (i.e., $SO_4^{2-}$ can be integrated as $H_2SO_4$, but also as alkali-sulfate and Ca-
sulfate components, notably in Cl-bearing fluids; Webster et al., 2009), it appears that the
sulfur capacity of Cl-free aqueous fluids is lower in oxidizing conditions than when S is
reduced. Nevertheless, 5 wt.% S in slab-derived fluids at 2 GPa and 950 °C represents an
important inventory of sulfur in subduction zones, similar to previous $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ estimates in
reducing conditions in the same $P$-$T$ conditions (Fig. 11b), and over one order of magnitude
larger than the amount of S carried by silicate melts.
Besides, in contrast to previous observations made in reducing conditions (Jégo & Dasgupta 2013), there is no tendency of $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ to increase with temperature at anhydrite saturation (Fig. 11b). This suggests that in oxidizing conditions the evolution of $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ is not related to the consumption (or dissolution) of anhydrite, which is consistent with the fact that anhydrite proportion does not systematically decrease with increasing temperature (cf. Table 1). Instead, $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ might be primarily controlled by the composition (e.g., alkalis, volatile elements such as Cl, F, C) of the aqueous fluid phase.

4.4. Sulfur partitioning between fluid and melt

Partition coefficients of sulfur between fluid and melt ($D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$), are reported in Table 9 and Figs. 12 and 13. They show considerable variations along the $f_{O_{2}}$ range investigated, spanning more than two orders of magnitude between reducing and oxidizing conditions. At $f_{O_{2}}$ below FMQ, $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ range from $\sim 1800 \pm 700$ to $4600 \pm 1800$, stressing the fact that S partitions very little to the silicate melt under reducing conditions (the large error bars reflect the uncertainties in S contents in both fluid and melt, accentuated by the very low melt S concentrations). Previously, $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ values as high as $1700 \pm 700$ were reported at 3 GPa/1050 °C in experiments buffered at NNO (Jégo & Dasgupta 2013), similar to our most reduced charge. The two other very high $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ partially reflect the very low melt S concentrations, close to EPMA detection limits, but also the slightly higher $[S]_{\text{fluid}}$ compared to the most reduced charge. Thus, due to the fact that $f_{\text{H}_{2}S}/f_{\text{H}_{2}}$ and $f_{\text{H}_{2}S}/f_{\text{H}_{2}O}$ ratios are higher in moderately reducing conditions (i.e., $f_{O_{2}} \sim$FMQ-1 to FMQ-2) than in the most reduced charge, it might be hypothesized that $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ will reach maximum values over this $f_{O_{2}}$ range. At intermediate $f_{O_{2}}$ conditions, $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ are much lower (230-380), suggesting
that subducting oceanic crust partial melts have a non-negligible potential as carriers for S at $f_{O_2} >$ FMQ, despite pyrrhotite saturation and very low melt FeO$_T$ contents (Fig. 12b). In oxidizing conditions $D_{S}^{fluid/melt}$ values are even lower, ranging from 22 at 3 GPa/1050 °C to 75 at 2 GPa/950 °C. Our results show that, at anhydrite saturation, $D_{S}^{fluid/melt}$ decreases with increasing temperature, and to a lesser extent with increasing pressure (Fig. 13), implying that the hotter (and deeper) the slab partial melting the more efficient the transport of oxidized S via slab partial melts. This is in contrast to the observations made in reducing conditions by Jégo & Dasgupta (2013). Therefore, by extrapolating the trend described by our oxidized charges toward lower temperatures ($\leq$ 900 °C) typical of top slab temperatures in modern subduction zones, $D_{S}^{fluid/melt}$ tends to approach values experimentally determined at pyrrhotite saturation. This suggests that, in the context of modern subduction zones, the partitioning of S between fluid and melt is not so much dependent on redox conditions and the nature of the S-bearing mineral phase at saturation. As a consequence, during hydrous slab partial melting at $T \leq$ 900 °C, $D_{S}^{fluid/melt}$ may be expected to range between ~100 and 300, independent of $f_{O_2}$.

4.5. Redox state of the downgoing slab and mode of sulfur storage

Our experiments place direct constraints on the $f_{O_2}$ range at which anhydrite to pyrrhotite transition occurs in subducted crust. Figure 8a shows that sulfide to sulfate transition, in equilibrium with residual eclogite mineralogy, takes place between FMQ+1.1 and FMQ+2.5. Interestingly, the $f_{O_2}$ of anhydrite to pyrrhotite transformation at 2-3 GPa range (this study) is similar to that of the observed change in sulfur speciation in basaltic glasses (Jugo et al., 2010) and comparable to the $f_{O_2}$ of S speciation change modeled in hydrous rhyolitic melts at...
high pressure by Baker & Moretti (2011). It is however, distinctly higher than the $S$ speciation change reported in hydrous rhyolitic glasses by Klimm et al. (2012). Given this constraint, the key question is what is the redox state of the subducting ocean crust at sub-arc depths; is it above or below $f_{O_2}$ of FMQ+1.1-FMQ+2.5?

There are very little direct constraints on the $f_{O_2}$ conditions prevailing in subduction zones in general and in downgoing slab in particular. Most assumptions and constraints on the actual $f_{O_2}$ of the slab come from observations of the natural mineralogy of the altered oceanic crust, the overlying sediments, and the underlying serpentinized lithospheric mantle sampled by drilling or studied in ophiolites as well as oxidation state of arc magmas. Several studies (e.g., Ballhaus, 1993; Brandon & Draper, 1996; Luhr & Aranda-Gómez, 1997; Parkinson & Arculus, 1999; Mungall, 2002; Kelley & Cottrell, 2009) proposed that the sub-arc mantle is relatively oxidized compared with other settings (i.e., BAB, MOR) as a result of the addition of subduction-released oxidizing fluids or melts. For example, Evans (2012) and Evans & Tomkins (2011) recently performed redox budget calculations that quantify the inputs and outputs to subduction zones. These workers conclude that subduction is likely to continuously oxidize the mantle through addition of Fe, C and S that are oxidized relative to the Fe, C and S in the mantle. Similarly, Lécuyer & Ricard (1999) estimated the net flux of ferric iron from the subducted oceanic crust to the mantle, through production of magnetite during hydrothermal alteration of the basaltic crust. They concluded that the transfer of $Fe_2O_3$ from the slab to the mantle contributes from 10 to 25% in the global budget of $Fe^{3+}/ΣFe$ of the mantle. However, there are many other lines of evidence that primitive arc magmas globally are no more oxidized than MORBs (Lee et al., 2005, 2010, 2012; Dauphas et al., 2009; Mallmann & O’Neill, 2009). Hence these latter observations may indirectly imply that slab-derived fluids/melts may not be particularly oxidizing or that their effects is offset by metasomatic reactions occurring in the mantle wedge.
Average $f_{O_2}$ of MORB glasses is estimated to be between FMQ-0.4 and FMQ+0.1 (Bézos & Humler, 2005; Cottrell & Kelley, 2011). Thus one may expect that owing to iron oxidation during hydrothermal alteration, the altered upper ocean crust entering subduction zone is even more oxidized. However, it is unclear whether ocean floor metamorphism leads to net increase in oxidation state. For example, other studies (e.g., Berndt et al., 1996; Andreani et al., 2013) showed that serpentinization of ultramafic rocks at mid-ocean ridges results in the conversion of ferrous iron contained in primary minerals to ferric iron contained in secondary minerals, through production of $H_2$ and reduced-C species, with the latter likely residing within the crust itself. Mottl et al. (2004), however, reported that aqueous fluids formed through devolatilization of the serpentinized subducting plate are sulfate and carbonate-bearing, therefore suggesting that the serpentinization may at least locally be an oxidative process. Furthermore, Ague et al. (2001) estimated a metamorphic $f_{O_2}$ of ~FMQ+2 from the composition of ilmenite-hematite and magnetite-bearing metasediments subducted down to the sillimanite zone, and SiO$_2$-rich hydrous fluid inclusions found in high pressure eclogite vein assemblages were shown to contain anhydrite and iron oxides (Sun et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008), suggesting the oxidized nature of exhumed metamorphic terranes that are associated with subduction.

Although many studies find evidence of oxidation during ocean-floor metamorphism, it is commonly observed that smaller scales alteration haloes, veins, or other fluid pathways recording oxidized $f_{O_2}$, occur next to local stockwork-mineralized zones of sulfides (Alt et al., 1986, 1989, 2012, 2013; Alt & Shanks, 2011). Alt and co-workers actually report greater abundances of sulfide minerals (mainly pyrite) than anhydrite in the bulk altered oceanic crust, indicating that reduced-S species may be more dominant in the slab entering subduction.

The key criteria are not only the oxidation states of ocean crust entering subduction...
zone, but its $f_{O_2}$ state prevailing at sub-arc depths. The chief consideration here is that isochemical compression of mafic silicate assemblages is known to lead to reduction with depth (e.g., Ballhaus, 1995; Stagno et al., 2013). Therefore it is expected that $f_{O_2}$ range of subducting slab at sub-arc depths is more reduced than what observed at ocean floor. Indeed there is evidence of reduction of crustal volatile species such as carbon during subduction (e.g., Galvez et al., 2013). Thus it is not implausible that most of sulfur present during fluid-present melting of ocean crust at sub-arc depths is in the form of pyrrhotite.

**IMPLICATIONS**

The cycle of sulfur in subduction zones is poorly constrained, principally because constraints on the extent of S transfer from the subducting slab to the mantle wedge remains limited. Global estimates of S input at subduction trenches (~6.4-8.0×10$^{13}$) (Jégo & Dasgupta 2013) and S output from arc volcanoes and magmas (~2.1±1.9×10$^{13}$ g/yr; Wallace & Edmonds, 2011; Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013) suggest that ~70-85 % of S entering subduction zones is recycled to deeper depths and recent experiments of Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) suggest that pyrrhotite is indeed abundantly stable in subducting basaltic crust. It is also argued that the mantle source of arc magmas is S-enriched compared to other parts of the mantle such as the source of MORBs and the primitive mantle, suggesting an effective transfer of S from the subducting slab to the mantle wedge. Thereby, estimates of de Hoog et al. (2001a) and Métrich et al. (1999) lead to a range of 250-500 ppm S for the arc-source mantle. In addition, since differentiated arc magmas appear to be more oxidized than MORBs, OIBs, and intra-continental mafic magmas, it is often argued that the sub-arc mantle is characterized by an oxidizing redox state, with $f_{O_2}$ conditions typically above FMQ (e.g., Frost & McCammon 2008). It has been suggested (Mungall 2002; Kelley & Cottrell 2009) that slab-derived sulfate
(SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}) species are responsible for oxidizing the mantle wedge, implying that the apparent S enrichment of the sub-arc mantle would derive from an oxidized downgoing slab. However, Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) showed that during fluid-present partial melting of a sulfide-saturated subducting oceanic crust under reducing conditions (i.e., $f_{O_2} < $ FMQ), the amount of S transferred to the silicate partial melts is negligible and unable to contribute to the enrichment of the mantle wedge in S, whereas the aqueous fluid phase at equilibrium may contain up to 5 wt.% S at temperatures typical of top slabs in modern subduction zones (i.e., $\leq 900 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$). With such S concentrations in reduced slab-derived fluids, Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) calculated that the amount of metasomatic fluids needed to produce the mantle source of arc magmas in S would be ~0.3 to 1.5 wt.% of the mass of the mantle wedge, suggesting that S enrichment of the arc-source mantle does not call for a transfer of S as sulfate species but can be achieved through sulfide species in the fluid. Results of the present study obtained in reducing conditions at pyrrhotite saturation are in good agreement with these findings and confirm the conclusions of Jégo & Dasgupta (2013). In addition, the present study shows that at intermediate $f_{O_2}$ conditions (FMQ+1.5 $>$ $f_{O_2}$ $>$ FMQ) at pyrrhotite saturation, fluid S concentration during hydrous partial melting of the subducting oceanic crust remains very high (~13.5 wt.% S). Moreover, under oxidizing conditions ($f_{O_2}$ $\geq$ FMQ+3) at anhydrite saturation, our results show that the amount of S dissolved in the fluid phase may be somewhat lower (~6.7 wt.% S) but still represents a major carrier of S, even at relatively low $T$ (because of the apparent absence of significant temperature effect on [S]$_{\text{fluid}}$), able to promote efficient transfer of oxidized S from the slab to the mantle wedge. Therefore, there is virtually no $f_{O_2}$ limitation to the enrichment of the mantle wedge in S via slab-derived fluids, meaning that the slab-released sulfur might not be in the form of oxidizing species.

An important result of the present study is, however, that unlike in reducing conditions, some S can be carried by the melt during hydrous slab partial melting under
oxidizing conditions, and anhydrite-saturated silicate partial melts may dissolve as much as ~3000 ppm S at 1050 °C and ~1500 ppm S at 950 °C. Because there is a positive temperature dependence of sulfur solubility for anhydrite-saturated hydrous partial melts, extrapolation of our data to slab-surface conditions of 750-850 °C, however, suggests that oxidized, i.e., sulfate-saturated, slab partial melts may carry no more than ~500-1000 ppm S (by extrapolation of the trends defined by the anhydrite-saturated experiments to ~1 wt.% CaO in partial melt in Fig. 9b). We note that this concentration is not vastly different from sulfur content at pyrrhotite saturation, especially at intermediate $f_{O_2}$ (between FMQ and FMQ+1.5) conditions. Therefore, hydrous partial melts of oceanic crust cannot account for the metasomatism of the whole mantle wedge, but such S-enriched melts could locally impact the S budget of the arc-source mantle. Slab partial melts percolating through the mantle section in contact with the downgoing slab will rapidly freeze by reactions with the surrounding mantle, leading to crystallization of orthopyroxene-rich assemblages (Rapp et al., 1999) and precipitating an S-bearing mineral phase, probably sulfide.

The estimated range of S budget of the arc-source mantle is 250-500 ppm S, but a pre-slab modified mantle wedge is supposed to have S contents similar to the MORB-source upper mantle (i.e., 110-180 ppm S; Saal et al., 2002). Then, the slab contribution to the arc basalt mantle domains needs to be no more than 70-390 ppm S. Considering hydrous slab partial melts with 1000 ppm S, this would require addition of 7 to 39 wt.% of slab-derived silicate melts to enrich the mantle domain modified by the MORB-eclogite partial melt. Thus, although the apparent S enrichment of the arc-source mantle can be achieved by partial melt derived from anhydrite-saturated oceanic crust, its capacity to alter the sulfur budget of the entire mantle wedge remains limited and H-O-S fluid appears to be the most efficient agent of sulfur transfer from the downgoing slab to the overlying mantle wedge, even in oxidized conditions.
Our experiments were conducted with 1 wt.% bulk S in the starting material. Although such high S concentrations can be reached locally in alteration halos of the subducting oceanic crust, the average S content of the altered slab is believed to be around 0.1 wt.% (1000 ppm S; Alt & Shanks, 2011). For 1 wt.% bulk sulfur in the crust, with the entire budget of S stored in anhydrite (~23.55 wt.% S) or pyrrhotite (~38-40 wt.% S; Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013) at subsolidus conditions, the proportion of the two phases should be ~4 wt.% and 2.5-2.6 wt.%, respectively. However, with only 0.1 wt.% bulk S, subsolidus mass fractions of anhydrite or pyrrhotite in ocean crust should be only 0.4 and 0.25-0.26 wt.%, respectively. Therefore in order to evaluate whether sulfur-bearing residual phases can survive hydrous partial melting during subduction of oceanic crust, it needs to be evaluated whether pyrrhotite (at low \( f_{O_2} \)) or anhydrite (at high \( f_{O_2} \)) can remain stable not only in high bulk-S domains but also in background crust, i.e., where the bulk S is ~1000 ppm. Because the concentrations of S in the partial melt of subducting crust can never exceed those fixed by SCSS or SCAS values, as long as pyrrhotite or anhydrite is stable in the residue, the near solidus melt cannot be infinitely enriched in S. But with increasing degree of partial melting, once the residual sulfides are exhausted, the S content of the partial melt can follow the behavior of a perfectly incompatible trace element, i.e., to get diluted to approach the bulk S content with increasing melting degree. In Fig. 14, we calculated the S content in silicate partial melt assuming that S is perfectly incompatible (i.e., partition coefficient solid/liquid = 0) during fluid-absent partial melting of a subducting oceanic crust with an initial S concentration of 1000 ppm S as a function of partial melting degree, and compared it with the theoretical SCSS and SCAS values at 2-4 GPa based on the hydrous partial melt compositions of basaltic crust from experimental literature data at 2-4 GPa (Rapp & Watson, 1995; Kessel et al., 2005; Jégo & Dasgupta, 2013; This Study). We also plot, in Fig. 14, the directly measured SCSS and SCAS values determined in this study and in the recent study of
Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) at conditions relevant for slab surface conditions at sub-arc depths and at known $f_{O_2}$ values. It appears that, for a bulk S content of 1000 ppm, partial melting degree of ~50% or higher is necessary to exhaust residual pyrrhotite, i.e., if the $f_{O_2}$ conditions of subducting crust are equivalent to $\leq$FMQ+1. Because of higher solubility of sulfur in the hydrous silicate melt at anhydrite saturation, it is possible to exhaust anhydrite during subduction, however, even in this case $\geq$~20 wt.% partial melting is necessary. Such high extent of partial melting, i.e., >20-50 wt.%, can only be achieved in hot subduction zones and/or in the presence of abundant excess hydrous fluid. In the view of recent 2-D slab thermal models (e.g. Syracuse et al., 2010), temperatures $\geq$1000 °C are approached at the slab surface only at depths of $\geq$~200 km or deeper, that are much deeper than the region of formation of arc magmas. However, it is worth noting that there is some uncertainty about slab-surface temperatures (SST) derived from 2-D thermal models. In particular, depending on the region considered, there might be some 3-D component to the mantle flow in subduction zone which would lead 2-D models to underestimate the true SST by up to ~200 °C (e.g., Bengtson & van Keken, 2012).

Beyond sub-arc depths subducted oceanic crust is expected to be fluid-absent (e.g. Schmidt & Poli, 1998; van Keken et al., 2011), hence is unable to undergo further melting and dehydration, thus it may be expected that consumption of anhydrite would no longer take place. Thus it appears unlikely that major fractions of the initial S budget of the crust can be released by partial melting, if sulfate or sulfide phases survive shallow dehydration at relatively lower temperatures. Our measured SCSS and SCAS values allow us further to calculate the sulfur content of the residual crust as a function of melting degree. For SCAS value of ~1000 ppm and 20 wt.% melting, 80% of the 0.1 wt.% bulk S would remain in the crust and subduct deeper. Whereas, if the entire sulfur budget of 0.1 wt.% is present as pyrrhotite, SCSS values would apply and with SCSS value of ~100 ppm and 20 wt.%
melting, 98% of the initial sulfur budget would remain in the crust and subduct deeper.

Therefore, if the initial bulk S in the slab is as high as 1 wt.% S or hydrous-fluid-present partial melting of crust at sub-arc depths is limited in extent of melting, the amount of residual anhydrite in or pyrrhotite the dehydrated slab below the region of formation of arc magmas is likely to be significant, and could account for a major part of the estimated flux of S returned to the mantle. Therefore, our present data along with those reported in Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) suggest that deep subduction of sulfur is inevitable, irrespective of oxygen fugacity conditions of the downgoing slab. In order to exhaust the residual sulfide or sulfate phases, significant fluid fluxing would be necessary. It remains unclear whether such high water flux and pervasive fluid flow can be realized in deeply subducted crust at sub-arc depths and deeper.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The new experimental data presented here provide a number of important constraints on the fate of sulfur during fluid-present partial melting of downgoing subducting crust, which in turn is critically linked to the oxidation state of the subducting slab and sub-arc mantle wedge and volatile fluxes at arcs.

(1) During subduction of a hydrated sulfide-saturated basaltic crust, at \( P-T \) conditions favorable to hydrous slab partial melting, variable amounts of sulfur are transferred to the partial melts depending on \( f_{O_2} \). At pyrrhotite saturation, silicate melt S contents range from \(~30 \text{ ppm S at } f_{O_2} < \text{FMQ-1 to } \sim500 \text{ ppm S at FMQ} < f_{O_2} \leq \text{FMQ+1.1} \), whereas at anhydrite saturation (\( f_{O_2} \geq \text{FMQ+2.5} \)) melt S concentrations range between \(~700 \text{ ppm S (at 2 GPa/950 °C)} \) up to \(3000 \text{ ppm S (at 3 GPa/1050 °C)} \).

(2) Mass balance calculations suggest that the aqueous fluid phase in equilibrium with
eclogitic residue may contain as much as ~15 wt.% S at 1050 °C at pyrrhotite saturation ($f_{O_2}$ ≤ FMQ+1.1), in agreement with previous estimates, and up to 8 wt.% S at anhydrite saturation. Although fluid S content appears to be lower in oxidizing conditions, suggesting that the solubility of oxidized S species (SO$_2$, SO$_4^{2-}$, SO$_3$) in aqueous fluid phase is lower than the solubility of reduced S species (H$_2$S, HS$^-$), it still represents the most efficient vector of sulfur transport from slab to mantle wedge. Moreover, contrary to previous estimates at pyrrhotite saturation, fluid S content at anhydrite saturation does not seem to decrease with decreasing temperature. Therefore, it might be expected that the fluid coming off the slab at temperatures relevant to the subducting slab surface (≤ 900 °C) will be at least as S-enriched in oxidizing conditions as in reducing conditions.

(3) $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ decreases markedly with increasing $f_{O_2}$ at pyrrhotite saturation, from several thousands at $f_{O_2} < \text{FMQ-1}$ to ~200-400 at FMQ < $f_{O_2}$ ≤ FMQ+1.1, owing to the increase of melt S content. At anhydrite saturation, $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ is very low (< 100) but increases with decreasing temperature (and to a lesser extent with decreasing pressure), which is opposite to the trend previously estimated at pyrrhotite saturation. As a consequence, it might be expected that at $T \leq 900$ °C, $D_{S}^{\text{fluid/melt}}$ is in the range 200 ± 100, irrespective of $f_{O_2}$.

(4) The present study confirms that slab partial melts saturated with pyrrhotite are unable to efficiently transport S from slab to mantle wedge. This study also suggests that although siliceous partial melts in equilibrium with anhydrite is richer (~500-1000 ppm) in sulfur at slab surface $P$-$T$ conditions, its capacity to alter the sulfur budget of the entire sub-arc mantle is limited.

(5) Importantly, our data suggest that slab-derived aqueous fluids are likely efficient vectors of sulfur transfer from slab to mantle wedge at all $f_{O_2}$ s, and less than 1.5 wt.% of metasomatic fluids are required to account for the enrichment of the mantle source of arc
magnas in S under any $f_{O_2}$. Therefore, there is virtually no $f_{O_2}$ limitation to the enrichment of the mantle wedge in S via slab-derived fluids. In other words, if aqueous fluids (and silicate melts) are derived from the slab at pyrrhotite saturation, sulfur transfer from subducting crust to sub-arc mantle could take place without causing any oxidation to the mantle.

(6) If hydrous partial melt is the only available agent to mobilize S from the crust, extent of melting as much as 20-40 wt.% is required to complete exhaust anhydrite from the melting residue whereas eliminating pyrrhotite from the eclogitic residue requires even greater extent of melting. Thus, without the availability of significant amount of excess fluid and depending on the initial amount of sulfur in the slab, the proportion of residual anhydrite (or pyrrhotite) in the dehydrated slab below the region of formation of arc magmas is likely to be significant.
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**FIGURE CAPTIONS**
**Fig. 1.** Back-scattered electron (BSE) images of representative experimental charges showing typical phase assemblages and textures. (a) Run no. G277 (3 GPa, 1050 °C): Double-capsule design used in this study, showing the inner AuPd capsule containing the silicate charge and a Pt wire, separated from the outer Pt capsule by Al₂O₃ powder. At the bottom of the outer capsule, a layer of oxygen fugacity (f(O₂)) buffer mix powder saturated with H₂O is placed and separated from Al₂O₃ powder by a thin Pt foil. (b) Run no. G281 (3 GPa, 1050 °C) Detail of a mineral and melt assemblage saturated with pyrrhotite (Pyrrh). Melt pools quenched to glass (Gl) systematically contain a bubble suggesting saturation of the melt with respect to a fluid phase (Fl) in the experimental conditions. (c) Run no. G246 (2 GPa, 950 °C): Detail of a mineral and melt assemblage saturated with anhydrite (Anh). Cpx: clinopyroxene; Grt: garnet; Rt: rutile.
Fig. 2. Phase proportions (in wt.%) calculated by mass balance as a function of $f_{O_2}$. 
expressed relatively to the FMQ (fayalite-magnetite-quartz) oxygen buffer. (a) Silicate melt proportion; (b) Aqueous fluid phase proportion; (c) S-bearing mineral phase (black and grey circles: pyrrhotite; other symbols: anhydrite) proportion. Data points are represented according to the pressure, temperature, and $f_{O_2}$ range (red: reducing; int: intermediate; oxi: oxidizing). Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are obtained by propagating the errors in phase compositions based on replicate microprobe analyses. Experimental data from Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) (JD13) obtained in reducing conditions between 850 and 1050 °C are plotted for comparison (black crosses).
Fig. 3. Major element oxide concentrations (normalized to 100 wt.%) of the experimental
partial melts together with melt H$_2$O content (by difference to 100 wt.% after correction for Na$_2$O and K$_2$O) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 4.** Major element oxide concentrations for clinopyroxene as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 5. Major element oxide concentrations for garnet (normalized to 100 wt.%) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 6. Absolute $f_{O_2}$ values of the experiments. Black squares: theoretical $f_{O_2}$ conditions imposed by the $f_{O_2}$ buffer, calculated from the composition of the final buffer mixture (cf. Table 6) and the calibrations of Taylor et al. (1992), O’Neill & Pownceby (1993), and Schwab & Kustner (1981). Grey squares: buffer $f_{O_2}$ values corrected from the experimental water activity ($a_{H_2O}$; cf. text). White circles: $f_{O_2}$ estimates from Fe concentration in the Pt wire placed inside the inner capsule (cf. Table 7), calculated from the calibration of Médard et al. (2008) using the equation of Grove (1981) (M08-G81). White triangles: $f_{O_2}$ estimates from Fe concentration in the inner AuPd capsule walls (cf. Table 7), calculated from the calibration of Balta et al. (2011) (B11). Horizontal black line segments represent the position of the FMQ buffer.
Fig. 7. Estimated fugacities of gas species H$_2$O, H$_2$, S$_2$, H$_2$S, and SO$_2$ as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. The fugacity of pure S$_2$ gas at 3 GPa and 950 °C is included for comparison. See text for calculation methods.

Fig. 8. Sulfur concentration in silicate melt at sulfide- (SCSS) or sulfate-saturation (SCAS) (a) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer; (b) as a function of sulfur fugacity ($f_{S_2}$). Also shown in (a) using a vertical dotted line and a shaded band is the $f_{O_2}$
value over which pyrrhotite to anhydrite transformation is observed to happen in our experiments. $f_{S_2}$ is calculated using the calibration of Bockrath et al. (2004) modified by Liu et al. (2007) for the pyrrhotite-bearing experiments, and from mineral-melt equilibria involving the anhydrite ± magnetite assemblage for the anhydrite-bearing experiments (see text for details). Inset in (a) shows SCAS data as a function of temperature. Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 9.** Sulfur concentration in silicate melt at sulfide- (SCSS) or sulfate-saturation (SCAS) (a) as a function of the melt FeO$_T$ concentration (in wt.%) and (b) as a function of the melt CaO concentration (in wt.%). Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 10. (a) Comparison between the SCSS or SCAS measured in our experimental silicate melts with SCSS calculated with the model of Liu et al. (2007) (L07) and the SCAS calculated with the models of Baker & Moretti (2011) (BM11) and Li & Ripley (2009) (LR09), as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. (b) Fe$^{3+}/\Sigma$Fe ratio in
silicate melt calculated from Kress & Carmichael (1991) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 11.** (a) Sulfur concentration in the aqueous fluid phase (in wt.%) estimated by mass-balance calculations (*cf.* text) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. (b) Sulfur concentration in the aqueous fluid phase as a function of temperature. Error bars, $\pm 1\sigma$ (wt.%), are obtained by propagating the errors in phase compositions based on replicate microprobe analyses. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.

**Fig. 12.** Partition coefficient of sulfur between aqueous fluid phase and silicate melt, $D_S^{fluid/melt}$, (a) as a function of $f_{O_2}$ expressed relatively to the FMQ buffer. (b) as a function of melt FeO$_T$ content (in wt.%). Error bars, $\pm 1\sigma$ (wt.%), are based on mass-balance calculation results. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 13. Partition coefficient of sulfur between aqueous fluid phase and silicate melt, $D_S^{\text{fluid/melt}}$, as a function of temperature. Error bars, ±1σ (wt.%), are based on mass-balance calculation results. Data symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 14. Illustration of the maximum concentration of sulfur dissolved in silicate melt (SCSS
or SCAS) as a function of the extent of partial melting ($F$). The black curve (solid and dashed) represents the sulfur content in partial silicate melt assuming that S is perfectly incompatible (partition coefficient, rock/melt = 0) during fluid-absent partial melting of a subducting oceanic crust with an initial S concentration of 1000 ppm S. Grey diamonds represent the theoretical SCSS values (calculated using the model of Liu et al., 2007) and black squares and diamonds represent the theoretical SCAS values (calculated using the model of Baker & Moretti (2011) and Li & Ripley (2009), respectively) based on the hydrous partial melt compositions of basaltic crust from experimental literature data at 2-4 GPa and 850-1200 °C (Rapp & Watson, 1995; Kessel et al., 2005; Jégo & Dasgupta 2013; This Study). Thin dotted and dashed lines are hand-drawn fits of the calculated SCAS and SCSS values, respectively, from individual studies at a given pressure. The directly measured SCSS and SCAS values determined in this study (same symbols as in Fig. 2) and in the study of Jégo & Dasgupta (2013) (JD13: black crosses) at conditions relevant for slab surface conditions at sub-arc depths are also plotted. The dark and light grey areas represent possible arrays of melt S concentrations with $F$ at anhydrite and pyrrhotite saturation, respectively. The intersections of the grey bands with the black S-dilution line give the melt fractions at which S-bearing phases are expected to be exhausted during fluid-absent melting. The white area in between the two grey bands can be seen as the region corresponding to the sulfide-sulfate transition. See text for discussion.