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Abstract

In northeastern Vietnam, Late Paleozoic and Permo-Triassic granitic plutons are widespread, but their tectonic significance is controversial. In order to understand the regional magmatism and crustal evolution processes of the South China block (SCB), this study reports integrated in situ U–Pb, Hf–O and Sr–Nd isotope analyses of granitic rocks from five plutons in northeastern Vietnam. Zircon SIMS U–Pb ages of six granitic samples cluster around in two groups 255–228 Ma and 90 Ma. Bulk-rock εNd (t) ranges from −11 to −9.7, suggesting that continental crust materials were involved in their granitic genesis. In situ zircon Hf–O isotopic measurements for the granitic samples yield a mixing trend between the mantle- and supracrustal-derived melts. It is suggested that the granitic rocks were formed by re-melting of the continental crust. These new data are compared with the Paleozoic and Mesozoic granitic rocks of South China. We argue that northeastern Vietnam belongs to the South China block. Though still speculated, an ophiolitic suture between NE Vietnam and South China, so-called Babu ophiolite, appears unlikely. The Late Paleozoic to Mesozoic magmatism in the research area provides new insights for the magmatic evolution of the South China block.
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1. Introduction

Southeastern Eurasia is an important part of the tectonic framework in the continental margin of eastern Asia and it was considered as the result of collision or accretion processes by several micro-continents with the South China block (SCB) during Permian-Triassic ca. 270–240 Ma (Nagy et al., 2001, Osanai et al., 2001, Osanai et al., 2006, Lan et al., 2003, Nakano et al., 2008, Lepvrier et al., 2008 and Sone and Metcalfe, 2008). As one of the largest blocks on southeastern Eurasia, the SCB is composed of the Yangtze craton to the northwest and the Cathaysia block in the southeast, respectively (Shui, 1987, Yu et al., 2006, Yu et al., 2007 and Wang et al., 2012a). These two blocks were welded together during the Neoproterozoic Jiangnan collision formed at ca. 970–820 Ma (Huang, 1978, Zhang et al., 1984, Shu et al., 1994, Li, 1999, Wu et al., 2006b and Li et al., 2009a, and references therein). The Jiangshan-Shaoxing Fault represents the ophiolitic suture between the Yangtze and Cathaysia blocks (Zhou and Zhu, 1993 and Shu et al., 2008b). From the Late Neoproterozoic to the late Early Paleozoic, the SCB underwent a continuous sedimentation, partly controlled by rifting until the Late Ordovician (Wang and Li, 2003). Since Silurian, the SCB experienced several tectono-thermal events during Late Silurian-Early Devonian, Late Permian-Triassic and Late Mesozoic in different regions (Chen, 1999, Zhou and Li, 2000, Wang et al., 2005, Zhou et al., 2006, Li and Li, 2007, Liang et al., 2008, Faure et al., 2009 and Chu and Lin, this volume). The Late Silurian-Early Devonian event is sealed by a Middle Devonian angular unconformity and the intrusion of Silurian granitoids in the southern part of South China (Huang et al., 1980, JBGMR, 1984, HBGMR, 1988, Yan et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2007c and Wang et al., 2011). The Early Paleozoic orogenic belt is well developed south of the Jiangshan-Shaoxing Fault (Wang et al., 2007c, Faure et al., 2009, Li et al., 2010d and Charvet et al., 2010). It is an intracontinental orogenic belt characterized by south-directed structures, followed by syn- to post- tectonic crustal melting (Lin et al., 2008 and Faure et al., 2009).

The most important tectonic event experienced by the SCB took place in the Early Mesozoic, as recognized by a Late Triassic unconformity widespread across the SCB (Huang et al., 1980, GXBGMR, 1982, JBGMR, 1984 and HBGMR, 1988). Permian-Triassic orogenic belts are well developed around the block, such as Qinling-Dabieshan-Sulu (Hacker et al., 1998, Faure et al., 1999 and Leech and Webb, 2012 and references therein), Songpan-Ganzi (Roger et al., 2010 and Yan et al., 2011), Sanjiang Tethyan (Jian et al., 1999, Wang et al., 2000 and Hou et al., 2007 and reference therein), NE Vietnam (Lepvrier et al., 2011). The Jurassic and Cretaceous tectonic events in the SCB are expressed by granitic intrusions, acidic and intermediate volcanism, NE-SW trending normal or strike-slip faults, over-thrusts, extensional doming, and syn-tectonic terrigeneous sedimentation ( Xu et al., 1987, Gilder et al., 1991, Faure et al., 1996, Lin et al., 2000, Wang et al., 2001, Li et al., 2001, Yan et al., 2003, Zhou et al., 2006 and Shu et al., 2008a). These Late Mesozoic tectonics were interpreted as the result of the subduction of a Paleo-Pacific oceanic plate beneath the Eurasia continent ( John et al., 1990, Charvet et al., 1994, Faure et al., 1996, Zhou et al., 2006 and Li and Li, 2007Jahn). Geochemical studies of the Mesozoic mafic rocks east of the Xuefengshan fault suggest a continental rifting or intracontinental lithospheric extension in response to an upwelling of asthenosphere around 170 Ma (Li et al., 2004). However, the compressional deformation events during the Late Triassic to Middle Jurassic within the Cathaysia block ( Charvet et al., 1994 and Chen, 1999) and Late Jurassic to Cretaceous within the southeastern part of Yangtze craton (Yan et al., 2003) make this interpretation unlikely. Therefore the Late Mesozoic tectonic evolution of the SCB is still controversial.
Granitoids occupy more than half of the surface of the southeastern part of the SCB (Fig. 1. GDBGMR, 1982, JBGMR, 1984, FBGMR, 1985, HBGMR, 1988 and ZBGMR, 1989). In order to understand the tectonic significance of these granitoids, many geochronological and geochemical works have been realized on these granitic rocks (Zhou and Li, 2000, Li et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2007b, Wang et al., 2007c, Li and Li, 2007 and Zhao et al., 2010; Cheng and Mao, 2010 and Chen et al., 2011). The Early Paleozoic granitoids are mostly distributed along Wuyi-Yunkai-Songchay massifs (JBGMR, 1984 and HBGMR, 1988). These plutons can be subdivided into gneissic and massive massifs defined on the basis of presence or absence of a well-developed magmatic fabric (JBGMR, 1984; HBGMR, 1988; Roger et al., 2000 and Wang et al., 2011). Available data show the age of these granitoids mostly range around 460–420 Ma with a per-aluminous character (Charvet et al., 2010, Wan et al., 2010, Li et al., 2010d, Wang et al., 2011 and Wang et al., 2012b). The Late Permian to Triassic granitoids mostly crop out in the Wuyi-Yunkai, Xuefengshan, Darongshan, and southern margin of SCB (Hainan island and NE Vietnam; Fig. 1; Zhou et al., 2006 and Li and Li, 2007). Previous studies of Late Permian-Triassic granitoids in the SCB indicated the majority of these granites has been classified as per-aluminous, and defined as S-type granites containing aluminous rich minerals such as muscovite, garnet and tourmaline (Chen and Jahn, 1998, Wang et al., 2002, Deng et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2005, Zhou et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2007b, Sun et al., 2004, Zhou et al., 2006 and Wang et al., 2007c, and references therein). The other plutons are Permian calc-alkaline I-type granite cropping out in Hainan Island (Li et al., 2006 and Li and Li, 2007, and references therein), and A-type granites (Sun et al., 2011). The Jurassic and Early Cretaceous granitoids are distributed at the eastern part of the SCB, along the Qinling-Dabieshan orogenic belt and Nanling belt (Fig. 1). Li and Li (2007) argue that these Jurassic to Early Cretaceous plutons correspond to synorogenic magmatism. They exhibit a younging trend toward the craton interior. Late Cretaceous granitic and volcanic rocks occupy most of the SE part of the SCB where they distribute parallel to the coastline (Fig. 1, Zhou and Li, 2000). The Late Mesozoic granitoids, synchronous mafic and ultramafic plutons constitute a bimodal magmatic association assumed to be controlled by lithospheric extension and asthenosphere upwelling within the eastern SCB (Chen and Zhu, 1993, Suo et al., 1999, Yan et al., 2006, Liang et al., 2008, Chen et al., 2008, Liu et al., 2010 and Wei et al., this volume). The origin of this magmatism is related to the Paleo-Pacific subduction (Wang et al., 2005 and Li and Li, 2007).
Fig. 1.


Granitic plutons are less abundant in the southwestern part of the SCB than in its eastern part. The majority of these plutons are dated of Late Permian to Triassic, and Late Cretaceous. In NE Vietnam, the works dealing with this Mesozoic plutonism are still rare (Fig. 2; Tran et al., 2008, Wang et al., 2011, Roger et al., 2000 and Roger et al., 2012), even though this area also belongs to the SCB (Lepvrier et al., 2011). Several questions arise, namely: (i) which tectonic event caused this granitic magmatism? (ii) are the NE Vietnam plutons comparable with those distributed in the eastern part of the SCB? This paper provides new SIMS U–Pb and isotopic data from different plutonic intrusions of NE Vietnam that will allow us to define the plutonic evolution of the southwestern margin of the SCB and discuss its tectonic significance.
Fig. 2. : Simplified geologic map of northeastern Vietnam and adjacent area showing the locations of the dated sample.
Fig. 3: Map of the northern Vietnam and its adjacent area showing the available radiometric data. Six SIMS U/Pb ages of zircon are given in this paper. Symbols and captions in the map are the same as in Fig. 2.
Fig. 4. Photographs showing the character of granitic samples in northeastern Vietnam. (a) Leucogranites of Pia Oac pluton; (b) Pia Ma quartz-syenite foliated and lineated; (c) Microscope picture of sample TK84 two mica leucogranite; (d) Microscope picture of sample TK85 two mica leucogranite; (e) Microscope picture of sample TK216 biotite granite; (f) Microscope picture of sample TK164 quartz-syenite; (g) Microscope picture of sample TK264 granodiorite; (h) Microscope picture of sample TK61 granodiorite.
2. Geological outline of the NE Vietnam

The southern boundary of the study area is the Red River Fault Zone (Fig. 2). This major left-lateral ductile shear zone with accommodated several hundreds of kilometers the southeastward during Oligo-Miocene extrusion of Sundaland and acted as a right-lateral fault from Late Pliocene (Tapponnier et al., 1990, Yang and Besse, 1993, Leloup et al., 1995 and Phan et al., 2012). The northern part of study area concerns Chinese Guangxi and Yunnan provinces where the stratigraphy is comparable with northeastern Vietnam (Fig. 2 and Table 1). In ascending stratigraphic order, six lithological and partly metamorphic series have been recognized, namely: (1) Neoproterozoic – Early Paleozoic terrigenous and carbonate sedimentary rocks, deposited in a shallow marine environment; (2) unmetamorphosed but strongly folded Devonian to Permian limestone, siliceous limestone, and some terrigenous rocks; (3) Lower to Middle Triassic turbiditic sediments (conglomerates, sandstones, tuffaceous sandstones, siltstones, shales) with rare carbonates; (4) Upper Triassic continental molassic formation that covers unconformably the previous series; (5) Late Mesozoic continental terrigenous red sandstone; (6) Cenozoic deposits in half-graben or rhombgraben basins along the Song Chay Fault (Fig. 2).
Table 1. Stratigraphic column of study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Litho unite</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>Deformation episode (Ma)</th>
<th>Tectonic regime</th>
<th>Intrusive Event</th>
<th>Tectonic interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Pebble, granule, sand, silty clay, Conglomerate, gritstone, sandstone, Clay shale, coaly shale</td>
<td>24–31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neogene</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleogene</td>
<td>959–2464</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Regional extension in east Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Cretaceous</td>
<td>Conglomerate, gritstone, sandstone, Sandy siltstone, rhyolite, gritstone</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Two-Mica Granite (91–90 Ma)</td>
<td>Regional extension?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>Coaly shale, seams and lenses of lignite</td>
<td>1650–2000</td>
<td>Extension</td>
<td>Regional extension?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late Triassic</td>
<td>1200–1350 Coaly shale and coal quartz porphyry and their tuffs, Sandy siltstone, conglomerate, clay shale</td>
<td>2040–2410</td>
<td>Thrusting</td>
<td>Quartz-syenite (245.5 ± 2.2 Ma)</td>
<td>Collision between Indochina and SCB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-middle Triassic</td>
<td>100–245  Thick bedded limestone, cherty shale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Granodiorite (255–251 Ma)</td>
<td>Subduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carboniferous</td>
<td>350–600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td>5680–6330</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silurian</td>
<td>Mudstone, sandstone</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Porphyritic granite (430–420 Ma)</td>
<td>Intracontinent al Orogeny</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ordovician</td>
<td>Clayish limestone, quartzitic sandstone</td>
<td>2500–2600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>Shale, limestone</td>
<td>2000–2300</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quartz-syenite (525.6 ± 5.3 Ma)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Paleozoic to Mesozoic granitic plutons intrude into the sedimentary succession (Fig. 2). The Song Chay massif that lies on the western part of the study area in northeastern Vietnam, is an augen-gneiss derived from a porphyritic monzogranite emplaced at 428 ± 5 Ma, according to U–Pb zircon age (Roger et al., 2000). The Phan Ngam orthogneiss that occupies the central part of the Ngan Son antiform (Bourret, 1922 and Fromaget, 1941) is equivalent to the Song Chay orthogneiss. It has been dated at 438.7 ± 3.5 Ma (Tran and Halpin, 2011). Furthermore, from north to south, there are several km-sized granitic plutons, namely the Pia Ya granitic pluton, Pia Ma quartz-syenite pluton, Cô Linh pluton, the Pia Oac leucocratic monzonite granite to the south of Tinh Tuc, the Phia Bioc massif locates in NW of Bac Kan (Fig. 2). Some granitic plutons outcrop along a NW-SE trend north of the Song Chay Fault (Fig. 2). The Phia Bioc granite is porphyritic and undeformed, containing microdioritic enclaves (Roger et al., 2012). This pluton cross-cuts Lower Triassic rocks but occurs as pebbles in the basal conglomerates of the Ladinian (242–235 Ma) sedimentary formation. It yields K–Ar ages from 306 Ma to 230 Ma (Tri, 1979). Recently, this granitic pluton yielded zircon LA-ICPMS U–Pb ages scattered from 247 Ma to 242 Ma (Roger et al., 2012). The undeformed Pia Oac leucocratic monzonitic granite (Bourret, 1922), yields zircon U–Pb SIMS and LA-ICPMS ages of 94–87 Ma (Wang et al., 2011 and Roger et al., 2012). Alkaline mafic rocks that crop out near Cao Bang, west of Tinh Tuc and west of Thai Nguyen, are dated at 266–251 Ma (Tran et al., 2008). This Permian alkaline magmatism is regarded as being produced under the influence of the Emeishan mantle plume (Hanski et al., 2004 and Tran et al., 2008). The Late Permian to Triassic plutons were related to active continental margin magmatism (Liu et al., 2012) and intra-plate magmatism (Tran et al., 2008 and Roger et al., 2012), with the debates on the nature of subduction between SCB and Indochina blocks and suture zones (Lepvrier et al., 2008 and Lepvrier et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012).

The boundary between the Indochina and South China blocks is generally considered to correspond to the Song Ma ophiolitic suture formed after a north directed subduction (Sengör et al., 1988, Metcalfe, 2002, Lepvrier et al., 1997, Lepvrier et al., 2004 and Lepvrier et al., 2008). However, recent works argue that the SCB subducted beneath Indochina block along the Song Chay suture zone (Lepvrier et al., 2011 and Lin et al., 2011). Following Deprat (1915) and Lepvrier et al. (2011), a stack of nappes, and NE-verging recumbent folds characterize the structure of NE Vietnam.

In order to define precisely the time of this NE-verging synmetamorphic deformation, 40Ar/39Ar analyses were realized from gneiss and micaschist of the Song Chay massif. Biotite, muscovite and amphibole yield a large time span from 237 Ma to 115 Ma. These ages are interpreted as related to slow to moderate uplift in the Late Mesozoic, after the Triassic nappe stacking (Roger et al., 2000, Maluski et al., 2001 and Yan et al., 2006). From monazite inclusions in garnet, an age of 255–203 Ma was obtained by U–Th–Pb method (Gilley et al., 2003). All the available geochronological results obtained in the Song Chay massif are rather consistent but with a large time span. This led some geologists to consider the existence of a long thermal event during the early Mesozoic (Roger et al., 2000 and Carter et al., 2001). However, as the 40Ar/39Ar method is very sensitive to temperature, it does not appear a suitable method to discriminate the early tectonic and thermal events.
3. Sampling and analytical methods

3.1. Sample descriptions

All the six granitic samples come from the NE Vietnam (Fig. 2). Samples TK84 (N22°34.794′, E105°52.709′, Fig. 2) and TK85 (N22°37.481′, E105°52.719′) are two mica leucogranites from the Pia Oac massif (Fig. 2), which is a small-scale undeformed pluton intruding the Devonian metasedimentary rocks. The granitic pluton is bounded by a normal fault and the surrounding rocks are mylonitic quartzite, micaschist and metapelite. Through microscopic observation (Fig. 4c and d), the major minerals of the samples are biotite (5–10%), muscovite (5–10%), quartz (25–30%), and feldspar (<50%). Sample TK216 (N21°50.690′, E105°00.209′, Fig. 2) is a biotite granite from the Bach Ha pluton (west of Tuyen Quang), which is an undeformed granitic pluton. The magmatic body is located north of the Song Chay suture zone and intrudes into foliated and lineated Devonian marble and paragneiss. Through microscopic observation (Fig. 4e), the major mineral components of the sample are biotite (10–15%), quartz (25–35%) and feldspar (<50%). Sample TK164 (N22°28.719′, E105°31.409′, Fig. 2) is a quartz-syenite from the Pia Ma massif (Fig. 2), which forms a crescent-shaped body convex to the east. This foliated and lineated pluton intrudes into the Devonian metapelites and marbles. Through microscopic observation (Fig. 4f), the major constituent minerals of the sample are biotite (<5%), amphibole (15–20%), quartz (10–15%) and feldspar (<60%). Sample TK264 (N21°49.302′, E105°32.848′, Fig. 2) is a granodiorite from the large-scale Diem Mac granitic pluton (SW of Cho Chu, Fig. 2). This pluton, equivalent to the Phia Bioc massif (west of Bac Khan, Fig. 2), intrudes into foliated and weakly metamorphosed Ordovician pelitic rocks, and is covered by Upper Triassic conglomerates. Through the microscopic observation (Fig. 4g), the major mineral components of the sample are amphibole (20–30%), quartz (20–25%) and feldspar (<50%). Sample TK61 (N22°34.310′, E105°38.295′, Fig. 2) is a granodiorite from the C Oliveira pluton (Fig. 2), which is a small-scale stock with a weak foliation, intruding into a ductilely deformed but unmetamorphosed Devonian pelite and thin bedded limestone series. Through microscopic observation (Fig. 4h), the major minerals are biotite (15–20%), quartz (10–15%), feldspar (<60%) and accessory minerals (<5%).

3.2. SIMS U–Pb dating methods

Zircon concentrates were separated from approximately 2 kg rock samples by conventional magnetic and density techniques to concentrate non-magnetic, heavy fractions. Zircon grains, together with 91,500, Plešovice, and Penglai zircon standards were mounted in epoxy mounts, which were then polished to section the crystals in half for analysis. All zircon structures were documented with transmitted and reflected light photomicrographs, as well as cathodoluminescence (CL) images, to reveal their internal structures. Each mount was vacuum-coated with high-purity gold prior to secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analysis.

Measurements of U, Th and Pb were conducted using the Cameca IMS-1280 SIMS at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences at Beijing. U–Th–Pb ratios and absolute abundances were determined relative to the standard zircon Plešovice (Sláma et al., 2008) and 91,500 (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995), analyses of which were interspersed with those of unknown grains, using operating and data processing procedures similar to those described by Li et al. (2009). A long-term uncertainty of 1.5% (1 RSD) for \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}\) measurements of the standard zircons was propagated to the unknowns (Li et al.,
2010b), despite that the measured $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U error in a specific session is generally around 1% (1 RSD) or less. Measured compositions were corrected for common Pb using non-radiogenic $^{204}$Pb. Corrections are sufficiently small to be insensitive to the choice of common Pb composition, and an average of present-day crustal composition (Stacey and Kramers, 1975) is used for the common Pb assuming that the common Pb is largely surface contamination introduced during sample preparation. Uncertainties on individual analyses in data tables are reported at a 1 sigma level; mean ages for pooled U/Pb (and Pb/Pb) analyses are quoted with 95% confidence interval. Data reduction was carried out using the Isoplot/Ex v. 2.49 programs (Ludwig, 2001). SIMS zircon U–Pb isotopic data are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[U]</th>
<th>[Th]</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb/ $^{238}$U</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{208}$Pb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK61@1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>4843</td>
<td>31 1.0 1394 {0.1.0.29 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.266.</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>259.</td>
<td>3. 259.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1681</td>
<td>14 0.5 7779 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.242.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>252.</td>
<td>3. 253.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>47 0.4 1931 {0.1.0.28 1.0.03 1.0.05}</td>
<td>1.279.</td>
<td>29.</td>
<td>254.</td>
<td>4. 251.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td>16 0.7 1016 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.268.</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>257.</td>
<td>3. 256.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3341</td>
<td>27 0.6 4372 {0.4.0.29 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.249.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>260.</td>
<td>3. 262.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2554</td>
<td>19 0.6 5021 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.243.</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>257.</td>
<td>3. 259.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>34 0.4 7149 {0.0.0.28 1.0.03 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.263.</td>
<td>20.</td>
<td>251.</td>
<td>3. 250.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>10 0.4 3274 {0.0.0.27 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.200.</td>
<td>24.</td>
<td>248.</td>
<td>4. 254.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>13 0.5 3977 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.241.</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>254.</td>
<td>3. 256.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1011</td>
<td>10 0.4 9577 {0.2.0.26 1.0.03 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.250.</td>
<td>21.</td>
<td>238.</td>
<td>3. 237.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@11</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.2 41 0.04 0.476</td>
<td>78.</td>
<td>65.</td>
<td>70.</td>
<td>50.</td>
<td>106.</td>
<td>69.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>6.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>627</td>
<td>3 0.3 2154 {0.0.0.28 1.0.03 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.251.</td>
<td>26.</td>
<td>251.</td>
<td>4. 251.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1496</td>
<td>14 0.5 1582 {0.0.0.29 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.276.</td>
<td>18.</td>
<td>262.</td>
<td>4. 261.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@14</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>6803</td>
<td>45 0.8 1165 {1.6.0.30 2.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>1.259.</td>
<td>42.</td>
<td>267.</td>
<td>5. 268.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3359</td>
<td>16 0.6 1137 {0.0.0.29 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.243.</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>260.</td>
<td>3. 262.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25 0.0 2281 {0.0.0.79 1.0.09 1.0.06}</td>
<td>0.691.</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>596.</td>
<td>7. 571.</td>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2445</td>
<td>19 0.6 8139 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.245.</td>
<td>14.</td>
<td>257.</td>
<td>3. 259.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@18</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>34 0.1 1985 {0.0.0.28 1.0.04 1.0.05}</td>
<td>0.242.</td>
<td>35.</td>
<td>252.</td>
<td>4. 253.</td>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. SIMS zircon U–Pb results.²
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[U]</th>
<th>[Th]</th>
<th>[Pb]</th>
<th>Th $^{206}\text{Pb}$</th>
<th>$f_{206}\text{Pb}$</th>
<th>$^{206}\text{Pb} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}\text{P} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}\text{Pb} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}\text{P} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{208}\text{Pb} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{208}\text{P} \pm \sigma$</th>
<th>$^{208}\text{Pb} \pm \sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spots</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>$^{204}\text{Pb}$ %</td>
<td>$^{238}\text{U}$ %</td>
<td>$^{238}\text{U}$ %</td>
<td>$^{206}\text{Pb}$ %</td>
<td>$^{207}\text{Pb}$ %</td>
<td>$^{207}\text{P}$ %</td>
<td>$^{207}\text{Pb}$ %</td>
<td>$^{208}\text{Pb}$ %</td>
<td>$^{208}\text{P}$ %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3587</td>
<td>[0.5 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@2</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3587</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8234</td>
<td>[0.0 0.13]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2327</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>6308</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1235</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1577</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>8234</td>
<td>[0.0 0.13]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6119</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@10</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6119</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6119</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6119</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@13</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1581</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2065</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>773</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>[0.0 0.09]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
<td>[0.0 0.1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TK85(22°37.481',10 5°52.719')

TK85@1     | 53  | 319  | 9    | 6.0  | 9741               | [0.0 0.08]          | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               |
<p>| TK85@2     | 48  | 354  | 9    | 0.7  | 4939               | [0.0 0.09]          | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               |
| TK85@3     | 41  | 71   | 64   | 0.1  | 3711               | [0.0 0.12]          | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               | [0.0 0.1]               |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[U] [Th]</th>
<th>[Pb]</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>(206^{\text{Pb}} f_{206} )</th>
<th>(207^{\text{Pb}} )</th>
<th>(206^{\text{Pb}} )</th>
<th>(207^{\text{Pb}} )</th>
<th>(206^{\text{Pb}} )</th>
<th>(207^{\text{Pb}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>U ppm</td>
<td>(206^{\text{Pb}} ) %</td>
<td>(235^{\text{U}} ) %</td>
<td>(238^{\text{U}} ) %</td>
<td>(206^{\text{Pb}} ) %</td>
<td>(207^{\text{Pb}} ) %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@4</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>341</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6762</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6762</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3856</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3856</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@7</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5569</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>5569</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@8</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5194</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5194</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8201</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8201</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5163</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5163</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3290</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3290</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@12</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>187</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@13</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>229</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2579</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@15</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9268</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>661</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9268</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@16</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>404</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@17</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>345</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@18</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2268</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>471</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2268</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@19</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>814</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@20</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>824</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2944</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TK164(22°28.719′,1 05°31.409′)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>[U]</th>
<th>[Th]</th>
<th>[Pb]</th>
<th>Th</th>
<th>$^{206}$Pb</th>
<th>$f_{\text{Th}}$</th>
<th>$^{207}$Pb</th>
<th>$^{206}$P</th>
<th>$\pm\sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}$P</th>
<th>$\pm\sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}$P</th>
<th>$\pm\sigma$</th>
<th>$^{207}$P</th>
<th>$\pm\sigma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK164@7</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1764</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.515</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>518.9</td>
<td>519.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.335</td>
<td>253.6</td>
<td>244.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>97017</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.572</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>531.9</td>
<td>521.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6976</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.115</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>235.7</td>
<td>247.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>67159</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.431</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>516.13</td>
<td>536.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3798</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.529</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>262.11</td>
<td>258.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@13</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4416</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2.126</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>235.10</td>
<td>246.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1441</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.201</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>238.5</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@15</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.293</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>250.4</td>
<td>245.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@16</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>6101</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.264</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>247.4</td>
<td>245.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@17</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5583</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>252.7</td>
<td>521.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5416</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.264</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3141</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.493</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>518.7</td>
<td>524.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.541</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>534.11</td>
<td>532.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TK216(21°50.690';1 05°00.209°)
| Sample | [U] ppm | [Th] ppm | [Pb] ppm | Th $^{206}$Pb | $f_{^{206}Pb}$ | $^{206}Pb$ | $^{207}Pb$ | $^{208}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{206}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{207}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{208}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{208}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{208}Pb$ | $\pm$1 $^{208}Pb$ |
|--------|---------|----------|----------|--------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| TK216@11" | 83 | 18 | 21 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1160 | $0.01$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0 | 836.10 | 710.8 | 670.9 |
| TK216@12 | 73 | 21 | 4 | 40 | 51 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 67 | 0.96 | 54 | 695 | 49 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 8 |
| TK216@13 | 63 | 26 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 0.1 | 3724 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 199.17 | 250.3 | 256.3 |
| TK216@14" | 63 | 23 | 4 | 59 | 4177 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 259.26 | 249.4 | 248.3 |
| TK216@15 | 47 | 30 | 14 | 0.1 | 1545 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 288.14 | 272.3 | 270.4 |
| TK216@16 | 28 | 43 | 19 | 0.1 | 6901 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0 | 248.13 | 260.3 | 261.3 |
| TK216@17 | 36 | 26 | 10 | 0.1 | 3714 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 201.19 | 249.3 | 254.3 |
| TK216@18 | 30 | 22 | 10 | 0.4 | 3929 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 239.19 | 250.3 | 252.3 |
| TK216@19 | 401 | 16 | 0.2 | 4613 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 237.24 | 252.4 | 253.3 |
| TK216@20 | 401 | 10 | 0.2 | 3714 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 230.15 | 256.3 | 258.3 |
| TK216@21 | 48 | 28 | 10 | 0.2 | 4353 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0 | 218.23 | 252.4 | 256.3 |
| TK264(21°49'302'105°32.848') | 152 | 49 | 0.3 | 6251 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.1 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.129 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.82 | 11.7 | 12.7 |
| TK264@1" | 76 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| TK264@2 | 165 | 75 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TK264@3 | 252 | 59 | 26 | 1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| TK264@4 | 215 | 51 | 24 | 17 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TK264@5 | 158 | 75 | 33 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| TK264@6 | 325 | 59 | 29 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| TK264@7" | 292 | 82 | 39 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| TK264@8" | 378 | 59 | 11 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| TK264@9" | 179 | 43 | 20 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| TK264@10 | 153 | 41 | 19 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| TK264@11" | 26 | 17 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| TK264@12 | 174 | 39 | 19 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Note: The table contains data for various samples, showing the concentration of different isotopes and their variations. The values are given in parts per million (ppm) and percentages.
The instrumental mass fractionation (IMF) factor is corrected using zircon 91,500 standard with a δ^{18}O value of 9.9 ± 0.3‰ (Wiedenbeck et al., 2004) and Penglai zircon standard (δ^{18}O VSMOW = 5.3‰) (Li et al., 2010). The internal precision of a single analysis generally was better than 0.2‰ (1σ standard error) for the ^{18}O/^{16}O ratio. Measured ^{18}O/^{16}O ratios were normalized by using Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water compositions (VSMOW, ^{18}O/^{16}O = 0.0020052), and then corrected for the instrumental mass fractionation factor (IMF) as follows:

\[ \delta^{18}O = \delta^{18}O_{\text{sample}} - \delta^{18}O_{\text{standard}} \]

\[ \delta^{18}O_{\text{standard}} = \delta^{18}O_{\text{VSMOW}} \]

The normal incidence electron flood gun was used to compensate for sample charging during analysis with homogeneous electron density over a 100 μm oval area. 60 ev energy window was used, together with a mass resolution of ca.2500. Oxygen isotopes were measured using multi-collection mode on two off-axis Faraday cups. The intensity of ^{16}O was typically 1 × 109 cps. The NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) probe was used for magnetic field control with stability better than 3 ppm over 16 h on mass 17. One analysis takes ca. 5 min consisting of pre-sputtering (~120 s), automatic beam centering (~60 s) and integration of oxygen isotopes (20 cycles × 4 s, total 80 s). Uncertainties on individual analyses are usually better than 0.2–0.3‰ (1σ).
\[
\left( \delta^{18}O \right)_M \left( \frac{\left( ^{18}O / ^{16}O \right)_M}{0.0020052} - 1 \right) \times 1000(\text{‰}).
\]

\[\text{IMF} = \left( \delta^{18}O \right)_M^{\text{(standard)}} - \left( \delta^{18}O \right)_{\text{VSMOW}},\]

\[\delta^{18}O_{\text{sample}} = \left( \delta^{18}O \right)_{\text{Hf}} + \text{IMF}\]

Zircon oxygen isotopic data are listed in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot.a</th>
<th>(176\text{Lu} / 177\text{Hf})</th>
<th>(176\text{Hf} / 177\text{Hf})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t))</th>
<th>(T_{\text{DM}}^\text{C}) (Ma)</th>
<th>(\delta^{18}O) (%)</th>
<th>(\pm 2\sigma_m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK61@1</td>
<td>0.001737</td>
<td>0.282497</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>1563</td>
<td>9.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@2</td>
<td>0.001106</td>
<td>0.282498</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>9.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@3</td>
<td>0.000866</td>
<td>0.282500</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>1552</td>
<td>9.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@4</td>
<td>0.001853</td>
<td>0.282515</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@5</td>
<td>0.001476</td>
<td>0.282486</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>8.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@6</td>
<td>0.001427</td>
<td>0.282532</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@7</td>
<td>0.003722</td>
<td>0.282527</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>1521</td>
<td>8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@8</td>
<td>0.001917</td>
<td>0.282513</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>9.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@9</td>
<td>0.001289</td>
<td>0.282544</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>1456</td>
<td>9.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@10</td>
<td>0.001794</td>
<td>0.282501</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@12</td>
<td>0.001335</td>
<td>0.282514</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>1520</td>
<td>9.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@14</td>
<td>0.001739</td>
<td>0.282513</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>1527</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@16</td>
<td>0.001805</td>
<td>0.282475</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>1614</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@17</td>
<td>0.002172</td>
<td>0.282666</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>9.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@18</td>
<td>0.001561</td>
<td>0.282473</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>1618</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@19</td>
<td>0.000972</td>
<td>0.282511</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>1525</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@20</td>
<td>0.001520</td>
<td>0.282526</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@21</td>
<td>0.001473</td>
<td>0.282512</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>1531</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@22</td>
<td>0.001417</td>
<td>0.282531</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>1483</td>
<td>8.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK61@23</td>
<td>0.001449</td>
<td>0.282512</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td>1524</td>
<td>9.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot.a</th>
<th>(176\text{Lu} / 177\text{Hf})</th>
<th>(176\text{Hf} / 177\text{Hf})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t))</th>
<th>(T_{\text{DM}}^\text{C}) (Ma)</th>
<th>(\delta^{18}O) (%)</th>
<th>(\pm 2\sigma_m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK84@2</td>
<td>0.001459</td>
<td>0.282534</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@3</td>
<td>0.001112</td>
<td>0.282467</td>
<td>0.000011</td>
<td>−8.9</td>
<td>1719</td>
<td>9.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@6</td>
<td>0.002208</td>
<td>0.282643</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−2.7</td>
<td>1330</td>
<td>8.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@8</td>
<td>0.001121</td>
<td>0.282529</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>−6.7</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>9.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@9</td>
<td>0.001013</td>
<td>0.282580</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>1466</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@12</td>
<td>0.000717</td>
<td>0.282424</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−10.4</td>
<td>1812</td>
<td>9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot</td>
<td>176Lu/177Hf</td>
<td>176Hf/177Hf</td>
<td>±2σm</td>
<td>εHf (t)</td>
<td>TDM^C (Ma)</td>
<td>δ18O (‰)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK84@14</td>
<td>0.001407</td>
<td>0.282456</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>9.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@4</td>
<td>0.001326</td>
<td>0.282494</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−7.8</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>9.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@6</td>
<td>0.000778</td>
<td>0.282508</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>−7.4</td>
<td>1625</td>
<td>10.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@9</td>
<td>0.002766</td>
<td>0.282564</td>
<td>0.000022</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>9.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@10</td>
<td>0.001259</td>
<td>0.282464</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−9.0</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@11</td>
<td>0.000841</td>
<td>0.282383</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−11.8</td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@12</td>
<td>0.000257</td>
<td>0.282478</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−8.5</td>
<td>1692</td>
<td>9.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@13</td>
<td>0.000667</td>
<td>0.282552</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
<td>1526</td>
<td>9.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@17</td>
<td>0.000150</td>
<td>0.282481</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−8.4</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@18</td>
<td>0.000336</td>
<td>0.282463</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−9.0</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>9.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@20</td>
<td>0.000438</td>
<td>0.282536</td>
<td>0.000017</td>
<td>−6.4</td>
<td>1565</td>
<td>8.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@21</td>
<td>0.000115</td>
<td>0.282529</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>−6.7</td>
<td>1579</td>
<td>8.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@24</td>
<td>0.000695</td>
<td>0.282532</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>−6.6</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>8.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@25</td>
<td>0.000274</td>
<td>0.282449</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>−9.5</td>
<td>1758</td>
<td>9.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@26</td>
<td>0.000058</td>
<td>0.282530</td>
<td>0.000023</td>
<td>−6.6</td>
<td>1578</td>
<td>9.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@28</td>
<td>0.001598</td>
<td>0.282521</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>−7.0</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>8.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK85@29</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
<td>0.282463</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>−9.0</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hong Thái pluton (Quartz–syenite TK164, 245 Ma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>176Lu/177Hf</th>
<th>176Hf/177Hf</th>
<th>±2σm</th>
<th>εHf (t)</th>
<th>TDM^C (Ma)</th>
<th>δ18O (‰)</th>
<th>±2σm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK164@1</td>
<td>0.000718</td>
<td>0.282619</td>
<td>0.000027</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>1289</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@2</td>
<td>0.000646</td>
<td>0.282574</td>
<td>0.000028</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@4</td>
<td>0.000894</td>
<td>0.282558</td>
<td>0.000023</td>
<td>−2.3</td>
<td>1426</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@5</td>
<td>0.001974</td>
<td>0.282567</td>
<td>0.000027</td>
<td>−2.2</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@8</td>
<td>0.001609</td>
<td>0.282566</td>
<td>0.000025</td>
<td>−2.2</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@10</td>
<td>0.000588</td>
<td>0.282563</td>
<td>0.000022</td>
<td>−2.1</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@12</td>
<td>0.000867</td>
<td>0.282460</td>
<td>0.000026</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>1637</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@13</td>
<td>0.001159</td>
<td>0.282576</td>
<td>0.000032</td>
<td>−1.7</td>
<td>1389</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@14</td>
<td>0.001920</td>
<td>0.282529</td>
<td>0.000027</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>1503</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@15</td>
<td>0.001020</td>
<td>0.282554</td>
<td>0.000026</td>
<td>−2.5</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@16</td>
<td>0.000393</td>
<td>0.282428</td>
<td>0.000026</td>
<td>−6.8</td>
<td>1712</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@18</td>
<td>0.000771</td>
<td>0.282541</td>
<td>0.000023</td>
<td>−3.0</td>
<td>1465</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hong Thái pluton (Quartz–syenite TK164, 525 Ma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>176Lu/177Hf</th>
<th>176Hf/177Hf</th>
<th>±2σm</th>
<th>εHf (t)</th>
<th>TDM^C (Ma)</th>
<th>δ18O (‰)</th>
<th>±2σm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK164@3</td>
<td>0.000922</td>
<td>0.282497</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1399</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@6</td>
<td>0.001249</td>
<td>0.282524</td>
<td>0.000029</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1347</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@7</td>
<td>0.001208</td>
<td>0.282512</td>
<td>0.000031</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@9</td>
<td>0.000631</td>
<td>0.282476</td>
<td>0.000026</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1443</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@11</td>
<td>0.000725</td>
<td>0.282452</td>
<td>0.000028</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1490</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@17</td>
<td>0.001029</td>
<td>0.282546</td>
<td>0.000029</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@19</td>
<td>0.001223</td>
<td>0.282492</td>
<td>0.000028</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK164@20</td>
<td>0.000648</td>
<td>0.282527</td>
<td>0.000027</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1323</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bach Ha pluton (Biotite granite TK216, 227.7 Ma)
The analysis were conducted in the same spot as the SIMS U–Pb spot. The spots with asterisk do not calculate as concordia age.

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(T) = \left[ \frac{^{176}\text{Hf} / ^{177}\text{Hf}}{^{176}\text{HfCHUR(T)/}^{177}\text{HfCHUR(T)}} - 1 \right] \times 10,000; \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>176Lu/177Hf</th>
<th>176Hf/177Hf</th>
<th>±2 σm</th>
<th>εHf(T)</th>
<th>TDM (Ma)</th>
<th>δ18O (‰)</th>
<th>±2 σm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK216@1</td>
<td>0.001427</td>
<td>0.282362</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@2</td>
<td>0.001957</td>
<td>0.282423</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>1734</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@4</td>
<td>0.001724</td>
<td>0.282397</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@8</td>
<td>0.001841</td>
<td>0.282425</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@9</td>
<td>0.001805</td>
<td>0.282453</td>
<td>0.000019</td>
<td>-6.0</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@10</td>
<td>0.002722</td>
<td>0.282423</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@12</td>
<td>0.002359</td>
<td>0.282423</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>1737</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@13</td>
<td>0.002487</td>
<td>0.282448</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@16</td>
<td>0.002749</td>
<td>0.282409</td>
<td>0.000022</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>1774</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@17</td>
<td>0.001735</td>
<td>0.282394</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>1798</td>
<td>9.08</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@18</td>
<td>0.002514</td>
<td>0.282420</td>
<td>0.000020</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>1745</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@19</td>
<td>0.002794</td>
<td>0.282426</td>
<td>0.000016</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK216@20</td>
<td>0.001820</td>
<td>0.282399</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>1784</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Drem Mac pluton (Granodiorite TK264, 251.8 Ma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot</th>
<th>176Lu/177Hf</th>
<th>176Hf/177Hf</th>
<th>±2 σm</th>
<th>εHf(T)</th>
<th>TDM (Ma)</th>
<th>δ18O (‰)</th>
<th>±2 σm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TK264@2</td>
<td>0.000953</td>
<td>0.282375</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@3</td>
<td>0.000701</td>
<td>0.282354</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>-9.5</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@4</td>
<td>0.000875</td>
<td>0.282337</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@5</td>
<td>0.000978</td>
<td>0.282389</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-8.2</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@6</td>
<td>0.000704</td>
<td>0.282381</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>1816</td>
<td>11.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@7</td>
<td>0.001064</td>
<td>0.282421</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@9</td>
<td>0.001247</td>
<td>0.282408</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@10</td>
<td>0.000628</td>
<td>0.282390</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>1795</td>
<td>12.07</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@11</td>
<td>0.001243</td>
<td>0.282413</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@12</td>
<td>0.000824</td>
<td>0.282379</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@13</td>
<td>0.001300</td>
<td>0.282380</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>1822</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@14</td>
<td>0.001080</td>
<td>0.282393</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>11.31</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@15</td>
<td>0.000914</td>
<td>0.282415</td>
<td>0.000015</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>1741</td>
<td>10.61</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@16</td>
<td>0.001266</td>
<td>0.282411</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>1755</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@17</td>
<td>0.000919</td>
<td>0.282371</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
<td>1841</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@18</td>
<td>0.001517</td>
<td>0.282357</td>
<td>0.000014</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
<td>1873</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@19</td>
<td>0.001079</td>
<td>0.282395</td>
<td>0.000010</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>1790</td>
<td>11.53</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@20</td>
<td>0.001021</td>
<td>0.282342</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>-9.9</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@21</td>
<td>0.001026</td>
<td>0.282402</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>11.49</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK264@22</td>
<td>0.000930</td>
<td>0.282458</td>
<td>0.000013</td>
<td>-5.6</td>
<td>1644</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ \frac{^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{CHUR(T)}}{^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{CHUR(0)}} = \frac{^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{DM} - ^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{Z}}{(^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{DM} - ^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{Z})}; \]

\[ T_{DM} = \frac{(1/\lambda) \times \ln[1 + (^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{DM} - ^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{Z})/(^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{DM} - ^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{Z})]}{^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{Z}/^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{CHUR} - 1}, \]

where \( f_{CC}, f_{Z} \) and \( f_{DM} \) are the \( f_{Lu/Hi} \) values of the continental crust, zircon sample and the depleted mantle; subscript \( Z \) = analyzed zircon sample, \( CHUR = \) chondritic uniform reservoir; \( DM = \) depleted mantle; \( T \) is timing of the granitoids crystallization; \( \lambda = 1.867 \times 10^{-11} \) year\(^{-1} \), decay constant of \(^{176}Lu \) (Söderlund et al., 2004); \(^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{DM} = 0.28325; ^{176}Lu/^{177}Hf_{DM} = 0.0384\); present-day \(^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{CHUR(0)} = 0.282772; ^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{CHUR} = 0.0332; ^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf_{CC} = 0.015. \]

3.4. LA-MC-ICPMS zircon Lu–Hf isotope measurements

In situ zircon Lu–Hf isotopic analysis was carried out on a Neptune multi-collector ICPMS equipped with a Geolas-193 laser-ablation system (LA-MC-ICPMS) at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Beijing. Lu–Hf isotopic measurements were made on the same zircon grains previously analyzed for U–Pb and O isotopes, with ablation pit of 63 μm in diameter, repetition rate of 8–10 Hz, laser beam energy density of 10 J/cm\(^2\), and ablation time of 26 s. The detailed analytical procedures were similar to those described by Wu et al. (2006). Contribution of isobaric interferences by \(^{176}Lu \) and \(^{176}Yb \) on the \(^{176}Hf \) signal were subtracted by monitoring the intensity of \(^{175}Lu \) and \(^{172}Yb \) signals, using \(^{176}Lu/^{175}Lu = 0.026549 \) and \(^{176}Yb/^{172}Yb = 0.5886 \) (Chu et al., 2002). Independent mass bias factors for Hf and Yb (\( \beta_{Hf} \) and \( \beta_{Yb} \)) in the isobaric interference correction were used. Measured \(^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf \) ratios were normalized to \(^{199}Hf/^{177}Hf = 0.7325 \). Further external adjustment is not applied for the unknowns because our determined \(^{176}Hf/^{177}Hf \) ratios of 0.282303 ± 0.000020 for mantle standards 91,500 are in good agreement with the reported values (Wu et al., 2006a). All the Lu–Hf isotope analysis results are listed in Table 3 with the error in 2σ of the mean.

3.5. Bulk-rock Sr–Nd isotopic analysis

Sr and Nd isotopic compositions were measured on a Finnigan Mat 262 thermal ionization mass spectrometer at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics in the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, following the procedure described in Zhang et al. (2008). Procedural blanks were < 100 pg for Sm and Nd and <500 pg for Rb and Sr. \(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) was corrected for mass fractionation by normalization to \(^{144}Nd/^{144}Nd = 0.7219 \), and \(^{86}Sr/^{88}Sr = 0.1194 \). The measured values for the NBS-987 Sr standard were \(^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr = 0.710228 ± 0.000010 (2\sigma_{m}) \) during the period of data acquisition. Bulk-rock Sr–Nd isotopic data are listed in Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. : Bulk-rock Sr–Nd isotopic results.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TK6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Analytical results

4.1. Zircon U–Pb geochronology

Zircon grains selected from these six samples (TK61, TK84, TK85, TK164, TK216, TK264) are mostly euhedral to subhedral, transparent and colorless, ranging from 50 μm to 210 μm in length, and have length to width ratio between 1:1 to 3:1. Cathodoluminescence images show that representative zircons have commonly concentric oscillatory zoning with low to variable luminescence that indicates a magmatic origin (Fig. 5). The Th/U ratios of all samples are between 0.04 and 3.3, almost all Th/U ratios are ranging from 0.1 to 1.0, except a few zircons with low Th/U ratios. U–Pb data sets for all samples are given in Table 2.
4.1.1. Two-mica Leucogranites (TK84, TK85)

Zircon grains in these samples are euhedral to subhedral, ranging from 50 μm to 200 μm in length, with length to width ratios between 1:1 and 3:1. Euhedral concentric zoning is common, and some grains have inherited cores (Fig. 5). Fifteen grains of sample TK84 were analyzed by SIMS, these analyses have variable concentrations of uranium (310–5692 ppm) and thorium (54–2327 ppm), with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.042 to 3.314, two Th/U ratios are less than 0.1. Three analyses give relatively older ages of 831 Ma, 845 Ma and 852 Ma, two analyzes yield ages of 513 Ma and 418 Ma, these may be due to inherited grains, and three nearly concordant ages of ca.94 Ma. Seven analyses yield a concordia age of
$90.1 \pm 1.0$ Ma, which is interpreted as the crystallization age of the two mica leucogranite (Fig. 6a).

Fig. 6. Conventional SIMS U–Pb zircon concordia diagram for the dated samples of northern Vietnam granitic rocks.
Twenty grains of sample TK85 were analyzed by SIMS, uranium and thorium concentrations are as follows: $U = 142 - 4184$ ppm and $Th = 187 - 5318$ ppm, with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.09 to 2.41, two Th/U ratios are less than 0.1. One grain gives a relatively old age at 818 Ma, while another one gives a nearly concordant age at 94.3 Ma. Eighteen analyses yield a concordia age of $90.6 \pm 0.7$ Ma (Fig. 6b). This age is consistent with the age of sample TK84 and is interpreted as the crystallization age of the two mica leucogranite.

4.1.2. Biotite granite (TK216)

Zircon grains in the TK216 sample are dominantly euhedral, ranging from 50 μm to 150 μm in length, with length to width ratios between 1:1 and 2:1. Concentric zoning is common, and some grains have inherited zircon cores (Fig. 5). Twenty-one grains were analyzed by SIMS, uranium and thorium concentrations are as follows: $U = 1146 - 4346$ ppm and a major population of $Th = 294 - 2158$ ppm, with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.105 to 0.523. Six analyses give relatively old ages and may be from the inherited zircons. Fifteen analyses yield a concordia age of 251.3 ± 2.9 Ma (Fig. 6c). But, these analyses show “high uranium effect”, the ages become older while the uranium concentrations go higher (Li et al., 2010a, Fig. 6e). Thus, the realistic age maybe younger than this analyzed age. Considering that the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages are not affected by the high uranium concentration, the weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of $227.7 \pm 9.6$ Ma (MSWD = 1.1) (Fig. 6c) can be considered as the emplacement age of the pluton.

4.1.3. Quartz-syenite (TK164)

Zircon grains from this sample are subhedral, ranging from 50 μm to 150 μm in length, with length to width ratios between 1:1 and 1.5:1. Concentric oscillatory zoning is not clear, and most of the grains have inherited zircon cores (Fig. 5). Twenty analyses yield two groups of concordia age (Fig. 6d). One group is at 245.5 ± 2.2 Ma; these analyses have variable concentrations of uranium (75–656 ppm) and thorium (37–614 ppm), with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.234 to 1.684, which indicates a magmatic origin. Another group of zircons has a concordia age of 525.6 ± 5.3 Ma. These analyses also have variable concentrations of uranium (105–462 ppm) and thorium (34–344 ppm), with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.234 to 0.773, which also indicates their magmatic origin. The age of 245 Ma is interpreted as the crystallization age of the quartz-syenite, and the age of 525 Ma is interpreted as the age of inherited zircon.

4.1.4. Granodiorite (TK264)

Zircon grains from sample TK264 are euhedral to subhedral, ranging from 50 μm to 200 μm in length, with length to width ratios between 1:1 and 3:1. Euhedral concentric zoning is common, and some grains have inherited cores (Fig. 5). Twenty-two grains of sample TK264 were analyzed by SIMS, uranium and thorium concentrations are as follows: $U = 396 - 1779$ ppm and $Th = 142 - 742$ ppm, with Th/U ratios ranging from 0.142 to 0.546. Two analyses give relatively old ages, which may be from inherited zircons and four analyses are nearly concordant. In the concordia diagram, sixteen analyses yield a concordia age of $251.8 \pm 1.9$ Ma (Fig. 6e). This age is interpreted as the crystallization age of the granodiorite.
4.1.5. Granodiorite (sample TK61)

Zircon grains of the sample TK61 are mainly euhedral, ranging from 80 μm to 120 μm in length, with length to width ratios between 1:1 and 3:1. Euhedral concentric zoning is common and no inherited cores are observed (Fig. 5). Twenty three grains were analyzed by SIMS, uranium and thorium concentrations are as follows: U = 773–8198 ppm with a major population between 2000 ppm and 6000 ppm and Th = 87–6803 ppm with a major population between 800 ppm and 4000 ppm. The Th/U ratios range from 0.113 to 0.830, with a maximum between 0.4 and 0.7, which indicates a magmatic origin. Twenty analyses yield a concordia age of 256.9 ± 1.7 Ma (Fig. 6f). But these analyses have “high uranium effect”, the ages become older while the uranium concentrations go higher (Li et al., 2010a). So the realistic age maybe younger than this measured age. Considering with the $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age are not affected by the uranium concentrations, the weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 254.8 ± 7.6 Ma (MSWD = 0.84, Fig. 6f) is reliable and can be considered as age of granodiorite crystallization.

4.2. Zircon Hafnium–Oxygen isotope

Zircons, which are highly retentive of the magmatic oxygen isotopes, in equilibrium with mantle-derived magmas have very consistent $\delta^{18}$O value of 5.3 ± 0.3‰ (Valley et al., 1998 and Valley et al., 2005). This value is insensitive to magmatic differentiation, because the rise of $\delta^{18}$O in bulk rock is compensated by an increase in zircon liquid $\delta^{18}$O fractionation from +0.5‰ for mafic melts to +1.5‰ for silicic derivatives (Valley et al., 2005). Thus $\delta^{18}$O values in zircon above 5.6‰ fingerprint an $^{18}$O enriched supracrustal component in the magma from which the zircon crystallized. This component is either sedimentary rock (10–30‰) or altered volcanic rock (up to 20‰) (Eiler, 2001).

4.2.1. Pia Oac pluton (Granites, samples TK84, TK85)

Seven and sixteen in situ Hf and O isotopic analyses were carried out for zircons from the two mica leucogranite samples TK84 and Tk85, respectively (Table 3). The zircons from sample TK84 have a wide range of oxygen isotopic compositions, with $\delta^{18}$O = 8.19‰–9.87‰ (Fig. 7a). Similarly, the zircon from sample TK85 also have a wide range of oxygen isotopic compositions, with $\delta^{18}$O = 8.12‰–10.95‰ (Fig. 7b). For the sample TK84, the value of $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ range from 0.282424 to 0.282643, the calculated $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ vary from −10.4 to −2.7 and the $T_{\text{DM}}$ ages vary from 1330 Ma to 1744 Ma. In the sample TK85, the value of $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ range from 0.282383 to 0.282564, the calculated $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ vary from −11.8 to −5.5 and the $T_{\text{DM}}$ ages vary from 1903 Ma to 1508 Ma.
4.2.2. Bach Ha pluton (Biotite granite, sample TK216)

Thirteen in situ Hf and O isotopic analyses were carried out for zircons from the sample (TK216). The zircons have a relatively small range of Hf and O isotopes: $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf} = 0.282362–0.282453$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ vary from $-9.4$ to $-6.0$, the calculated $T_{\text{DM}}$ ages vary from 1869 Ma to 1666 Ma, and the value of $\delta^{18}$O range from 8.94‰ to 10.23‰ (Fig. 7c).

Fig. 7. : Histogram of $\delta^{18}$O values for the analyzed northeastern Vietnam granitic rocks.
**4.2.3. Pia Ma pluton (Quartz-syenite, sample TK164)**

Twenty in situ Hf and O isotopic analyses were carried out for zircons from the sample (TK164). Considering that this sample yields two concordia zircon SIMS U–Pb ages, the zircons from this sample can be classified into two groups. One group of zircons is ca. 245 Ma, while another group is ca. 525 Ma. Both of two groups of zircons get quite consistent O isotopic character. The value of δ¹⁸O ranges from 3.99‰ to 4.74‰ (Fig. 7d) in the former group (ca. 245 Ma). The later group with the value of δ¹⁸O ranges from 4.32‰ to 5.26‰ (Fig. 7d, Table 3). For the former group of zircons: ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.282428–0.282576, corresponding to εHf(t) = −6.8–(−0.2), and the calculated TDMages vary from 1712 Ma to 1289 Ma. The later group has the Hf isotopic character as follows: ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.282452–0.282546, corresponding to εHf(t) = 0.2–3.1, and the calculated TDMages vary from 1490 Ma to 1294 Ma.

**4.2.4. Diem Mac pluton (Granodiorite, sample TK264)**

Twenty in situ Hf and O isotopic analyses were carried out for zircons from the sample (TK264). These zircons have a relatively small range of Hf isotopes: ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.282337–0.282458. The values of εHf(t) vary from −10.0 to −7.0, the calculated TDMages vary from 1915 Ma to 1644 Ma, and the value of δ¹⁸O range from 10.61‰ to 12.81‰ (Fig. 7e).

**4.2.5. Cǒ Linh pluton (Granodiorite, sample TK61)**

Twenty-three in situ Hf and O isotopic analyses were carried out for zircons from the sample (TK61), and the data are listed in Table 3. The zircon have a relatively small range of Hf and O isotopes: ¹⁷⁶Hf/¹⁷⁷Hf = 0.282473–0.282566, corresponding to εHf(t) = −5.2–(−2.1), the calculated TDMages vary from 1618 Ma to 1417 Ma, and δ¹⁸O = 8.46–9.95‰ (Fig. 7f).

**4.3. Bulk-rock Sr–Nd isotope data**

The initial ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr (Iṣr) ratios and εNd(t) values were calculated using the U–Pb zircon ages determined in this study (Table 4). We tested one sample from Late Permian to Early Triassic granodiorite (TK61) and two samples from Late Cretaceous granite (TK84, TK85). The depleted mantle model ages (TDM) are reported using the model of De Paolo (1981). The two stages depleted mantle model ages (TDM2) are used to reduce the error of the TDM, which are caused by the Sm and Nd fractionation during the process of crustal partial remelting (Liew and Hofmann, 1988 and Li, 1996). The Cớ Linh granodiorite (Sample TK61) yields ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values of 1.61 and 0.716363, respectively. The age-corrected ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratio is 0.7105. This rock displays ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio 0.0947 and the measured ¹⁴⁳Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio 0.511959. Calculated initial εNd(t) value is −9.7. The TDM and TDM2 ages of sample TK61 are 1.52 Ga and 1.87 Ga, respectively. The two mica leucogranite (samples TK84 and TK85) from the Pia Oac pluton has quite similar Sr–Nd isotopic characteristics. The measured ⁸⁷Rb/⁸⁶Sr values of samples TK84 and TK85 are 49.5 and 47.0 while ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr values are 0.788359 and 0.788476, respectively. The age-corrected ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios of these two samples are 0.7251 and 0.7283, respectively. These two samples display ¹⁴⁷Sm/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio 0.1333 and 0.1370 while the measured ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd ratio are 0.512034 and 0.512061. Calculated initial εNd(t) values are −11 and −10.5, respectively. The TDM ages of samples TK84 and TK85 are 2.11 Ga and 2.15 Ga while the TDM2 ages of these two samples are 1.79 Ga and 1.75 Ga, respectively.
5. Discussion

5.1. Material source of NE Vietnam granitic rocks

The granitic rocks of NE Vietnam have high negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (t)$ values, which range from $-11$ to $-9.7$, and higher $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ (0.7105–0.7283) ratios indicating crustal source (Table 4). The crustal residence age $T_{\text{DM2}}$ values range from 1.87 Ga to 1.75 Ga. The characteristics of Nd isotope are similar to those of the Proterozoic basement of SCB (Li, 1994 and Shen et al., 1998). This suggests that ancient continental crust material was involved in the granitic rocks genesis. Almost all of the $\delta^{18}O$ values range from 8‰ to 12‰, except the Pia Ma pluton with $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from 4.0‰ to 5.3‰ (Fig. 7d). The similarity of their geochemical features implies that they were derived from similar sources, with various degrees of differentiation. Furthermore, taking into account the zircon Lu-Hf isotope, the $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ values of zircon from the northeastern Vietnam vary from $-11.8$ to 3.1, implying a minor input of mantle-derived materials during the magma generation, but mostly crustal involvement. The calculated $T_{\text{DM}}^C$ ages vary from 1.93 Ga to 1.33 Ga, this result is consistent with the Nd isotopic $T_{\text{DM2}}$ ages. The TK164 sample from the Pia Ma quartz-syenite pluton shows two different isotopic characters corresponding to two groups in age (Fig. 6). The young group has negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ values that range from $-6.8$ to $-0.2$, and has a lower $\delta^{18}O$ value ranging from 3.99‰ to 4.74‰. The old group has positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ with values ranging from 0.2 to 3.1. This rock also exhibits high $\delta^{18}O$ values ranging from 4.32‰ to 5.26‰. Considering these isotopic features, we argue that this quartz-syenite originated from juvenile mantle magma during the formation and growth of continental crust then was re-melted by a young stage of magmatism. Thus our geochemical data suggest that the granitic rocks from the NE Vietnam were derived from the melting of a Proterozoic basement similar to that of the SCB (Fig. 8).

Fig. 8. : Diagram of zircon $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}} (t)$ isotopic composition versus ages of all zircons from northeastern Vietnam granitic rocks.
5.2. Magmatism of NE Vietnam and related tectonic significance

5.2.1. Early Paleozoic magmatism

This magmatism is well exposed in the southeast part of the SCB (Fig. 1). It is mostly distributed in the Wuyi-Baiyun-Yunkai areas (Lin et al., 2008 and reference therein). The Early Paleozoic age is also supported by the regional geology, since unmetamorphosed Late Devonian and younger sediments unconformably cover the pre-Devonian plutons and their low-grade metasedimentary host rocks (HBGMR, 1988 and JBGMR, 1984). In the present state of knowledge, the detail tectonics of the emplacement setting of these plutons is not settled yet. A crustal-thickening model was proposed because of the granites are of crustal origin (Faure et al., 2009, Charvet et al., 2010, Li et al., 2010c and Wang et al., 2011). A post-thickening extensional setting was suggested on the basis of structural observation in the Yunkai massif (Lin et al., 2008).

In northeastern Vietnam, the Song Chay porphyritic granite, dated at 430–420 Ma (Fig. 2; Roger et al., 2000 and Carter et al., 2001), emplaced at the end of the Early Paleozoic orogeny. However, the intense foliation developed during the Triassic orogeny precludes any study of the syn-magmatic structures.

In NE Vietnam, as mentioned before, the quartz syenite sample (TK164) from the Pia Ma pluton yields two groups of zircon age (Fig. 3 and Fig. 6). The old group (eight grains) yields a 525.6 ± 5.3 Ma age (Fig. 6). The isotopic characteristics (Table 3) show that these grains are inherited from a juvenile mantle-derived magma during the formation of the continental crust (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). In the SCB, such Early Paleozoic ages (ca. 530–500 Ma) are quite rare. Song et al. (2007) reported Early Paleozoic granites (520–480 Ma) in NW Yunnan province. Also, the 537 Ma to 507 Ma ages found in the Guzhai pluton in eastern Guangdong province are interpreted as those of a magmatic event (Ding et al., 2005). Several zircon grains with ages around 537 - 507 Ma are obtained in the Yunkai massif in granites yielding \(^{206}\)Pb/\(^{238}\)U apparent ages 421.9 ± 9.8 Ma to 440.7 ± 5.6 Ma (Wang et al., 2007c). Chen et al. (2009a) argued for the existence of a magmatic or thermal event existent in SCB during the Cambrian time (at ca. 526 Ma). Even if we cannot completely rule out the possibility of a mixed age, our CL images support the existence of magmatic zircon around this period (Fig. 5). The significance of this magmatism is unknown. It might be related to the rifting stage experienced by the SCB from Neoproterozoic to Cambrian times (JBGMR, 1984, HBGMR, 1988 and Wang et al., 2010).

5.2.2. Late Permian-Early Triassic magmatism

In the same TK164 sample, a second zircon group yields an age around 245.5 ± 2.2 Ma. The isotopic analyses show that these zircons are due to a re-melting of the continental crust and a limited input by mantle material (Table 4; Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). From the regional geology of northeastern Vietnam, at least two magmatic episodes can be distinguished during the Triassic (Indosinian) orogeny (Tran et al., 2008 and Liu et al., 2012; Roger et al., 2012 and references therein). The earliest plutons were emplaced at ca. 280–240 Ma (Late Permian to Early Triassic), while the latest ones were emplaced at ca. 230–200 Ma (Late Triassic). Our geochronological results from samples TK61, TK164, TK264 relate to the first magmatic episode that appears as concentrated in a narrow time span, from 257 Ma to 245 Ma, with two different groups of the \(^{18}\)O value range from 3.99‰ to 4.74‰ and 8.4‰ to 12.8‰, respectively (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7; Table 3). The zircon Hf isotopic analyses indicate two groups of negative \(\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)\) value range from −10.0 to −7.0 and −5.0 to −2.0, respectively (Fig. 8; Table 3). The \(\varepsilon_{Nd}(t)\) value is −9.7 and \(^{87}\)Sr/\(^{86}\)Sr ratio is 0.71055 (Table 4). In the NE Vietnam,
gabbro-syenite, gabbro-monzodiorite, basalt-ryholite associations and high-Al granitic rocks dated of Late Permian to Early Triassic by zircon U–Pb and biotite or amphibole $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar geochronology (Fig. 3; Tran et al., 2008). The Permian alkaline magmatism is interpreted as the result of the Emeishan mantle plume (Chung and Jahn, 1995, Hanski et al., 2004, Xu et al., 2001, Xu et al., 2004 and Tran et al., 2008). The Late Permian to Early Triassic granites are also recognized in the southwestern part of the SCB (Deng et al., 2004, Ding et al., 2005, Xie et al., 2006 and Chen et al., 2011; Fig. 1).

5.2.3. Late Triassic Magmatism

In northeastern Vietnam, the Late Triassic magmatism is rarely reported (Fig. 3). Because of the “high uranium effect” (Li et al., 2010a), the TK216 sample of the undeformed biotitic granite yields $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of 227.7 ± 9.6 Ma (Fig. 6). According to our analyses, the isotopic features of these granitic rocks show negative $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ ($t$) with the values ranging from −9.0 to −6.0, and $\delta^{18}$O values ranging from 8.94‰ to 10.23‰. These data indicate the magma originated from a crustal source (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8).

In SCB, Late Triassic granitic plutons are widespread but they are related to different tectonic settings depending on their location (Fig. 1; Deng et al., 2004, Wang et al., 2007b and Chu et al., 2012). The Late Triassic granites exhibit an affinity to both S-type and I-type petrology (Wang et al., 2007b). Their zircon $\varepsilon_{Hf}$ ($t$) values range from −2.0 to −20.2 with Hf model ages of 1.2 to 2.5 Ga (Qi et al., 2007). In the Xuefengshan intracontinental belt, in the central part of the SCB, the magmatism was interpreted as a late- to post-orogenic partial melting (Chu et al., 2012). Although these plutons were related to extensional tectonics (Zhou et al., 2006, Wang et al., 2012b and Li et al., 2012), presently there is not structural data that support this view. In the northern part of the SCB, the Middle Triassic tectonics was interpreted as an extensional one developed in the southern foreland of the Dabieshan (Faure et al., 1996 and Faure et al., 1999). Triassic plutons are scattered in the SE part of the SCB, but their geodynamic significance remains unclear. In NE Vietnam, the Triassic orogeny is represented by N- to NE-vergent folds and thrust (Lepvrier et al., 2011). This orogeny is also responsible for the development of a pervasive post-solidus foliation in the Early Paleozoic plutons. The NE Vietnam Late Triassic plutons developed at the expense of a thickened continental crust. Therefore, we argue that these plutons are post-collisional bodies.

In northeastern Vietnam, the $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar geochronological data of synkinematic minerals give a large span of ages from 250 Ma to 198 Ma (Fig. 9) that are interpreted as deformation age. But on the basis of our field observations, the Mesozoic deformation more likely occurred in the late Middle Triassic or early Late Triassic because the middle Triassic sedimentary succession is involved in the deformation. In the northern part of the Song Chay massif, the metamorphic rims of the igneous zircon grains yield an average $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of 237 ± 15 Ma, and amphibole from a mylonitic rock yields a $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plateau age of 237 ± 4.6 Ma suggest that the main deformation occurred at ca.237 Ma (Yan et al., 2006). This age is consistent with the muscovite $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age of 236 ± 0.5 Ma from orthogneiss in the southern margin of the Song Chay massif (Maluski et al., 2001). Taking these works into account, we argue the deformation time ranges between 228 Ma and 237 Ma. Our undeformed biotitic granite, which yields a zircon SIMS U–Pb age of 227.7 ± 9.6 Ma, supports this conclusion (Fig. 9).
In the northern part of our study area, two granodiorite samples of TK61 and TK264 are dated at 255 Ma and 251 Ma, respectively. However, these rocks exhibit no or weakly developed foliation. The lack of any pervasive ductile deformation in relatively ancient granodiorite can be explained in several ways. Firstly, these plutons are located rather far away from the main syn-metamorphic thrust zone, and thus escaped the ductile deformation (Fig. 2). Secondly, these rocks contain a majority of hard-strain minerals such as hornblende and K-feldspar, therefore these plutons could be deformed with great difficulty under moderate temperature conditions (Fig. 4). Thirdly, due to the limited outcrop conditions it can be argued that our sampling sites are located in undeformed cores of these plutons whereas their rims would be more foliated. Lastly, it can also be argued that there is a very short-lived tectonic stagnancy in this period although this possibility needs further detail structural work to be tested. Along the southern margin of the SCB, the top to the N/NE deformation is well documented both in Song Chay and Yunkai massifs (Lin et al., 2008 and Lepvrier et al., 2011). The Triassic deformation ages also cluster between 250 Ma and 200 Ma (Wang et al., 2005, Wang et al., 2007a, Cai and Zhang, 2009 and Zhang et al., 2011). The central part of the Yunkai massif, and its northern thrust zone, yield metamorphic minerals dated at 230–208 Ma by the $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar method (Wang et al., 2007a). This deformation phase is considered as the main ductile one in the Yunkai massif (Lin et al., 2008). At the regional scale, a similar ductile deformation has been recognized in the Song Chay orthogneiss, and its metamorphic country rocks (Roger et al., 2000, Maluski et al., 2001, Yan et al., 2006 and Lepvrier et al., 2011). According to Lepvrier et al. (2011), the SCB subducted to the South beneath the Day Nui Con Voi massif along the Song Chay suture during the Late Permian to Middle Triassic time. The top-to-the-NE/N deformation along the south margin of SCB is interpreted as the thrusting of the continental crust of the subducted plate.
5.2.4. The Late Cretaceous magmatism (ca. 95–85 Ma)

In NE Vietnam, the granitic samples TK84 and TK85, dated around 90 Ma, have high SiO$_2$ value (72.02–74.31%), and the A/CNK value varies from 1.16 to 1.45 (Wang et al., 2011; our unpublished data). The initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio ranges from 0.7251 to 0.7283, the value of $\delta^{18}$O ranges from 8.17‰ to 10.95‰ and the values of $\delta^{18}$O $(t)$ vary from $-11.7$ to $-2.7$ (Fig. 7 and Fig. 8). These geochemical features show that the Late Cretaceous granitic plutons of NE Vietnam are derived from a crustal source. The Late Cretaceous granitic rocks are also distributed in the southwestern and southeastern parts of the SCB (Fig. 1). The geochemical features of the Late Cretaceous granites are reported as high-K calc-alkaline I-type granites, as well as A-type granites, highly differentiated I-type granites and I- and A-type complexes (Dong and Peng, 1994 and Martin et al., 1994; Chen and Jahn, 1998, Chen et al., 2000, Zhou et al., 2006, Qiu et al., 2008 and He et al., 2011). The magmatism occurred in the back-arc extensional tectonic setting (Li, 2000, Jiang et al., 2011 and He et al., 2011). During the Late Cretaceous, the eastern part of SCB underwent lithospheric thinning coeval with an asthenospheric mantle upwelling that triggered mafic melt underplating (Li, 2000 and Yan et al., 2005). The geochemical features of the Late Cretaceous granites of NE Vietnam are similar to the Late Cretaceous S-type granite reported in southwest China (Cheng and Mao, 2010; and references therein). We suggest that the granitic rocks of this period in NE Vietnam were derived from the melting of continental crust.

Our geochronological and isotopic data show that the characteristics of magmatism in NE Vietnam are similar to South China block, especially in the southern margin of SCB. The stratigraphic sequence and bulk architecture of northeastern Vietnam and Chinese Guangxi provinces are comparable (Fig. 2; Table 1; Lepvrier et al., 2011). Furthermore, the Permo-Triassic kinematics in NE Vietnam belt is also comparable to the Yunkai massif in the southern margin of SCB (Lin et al., 2008 and Lepvrier et al., 2011). Therefore, we argue that northeastern Vietnam is geologically part of the South China block. In the south margin of SCB (such as Chinese Yunnan and Guangxi provinces) and NE Vietnam, the gabbro, pillow basalts, mafic dykes cropping out have been considered as the “Babu ophiolites” (Cai and Zhang, 2009). The observations near Cao Bang show that the mafic rocks are olistoliths reworked in the Middle Triassic turbidite, as observed in Guangxi province, the gabbro intrudes the Late Paleozoic host rocks with a thermal metamorphism (Faure et al., submitted for publication). Thus these rocks did not form an ophiolitic suite but rather were emplaced in an intraplate setting related to the Emeishan plume (Tran et al., 2008). Though still speculated, an ophiolitic suture between NE Vietnam and South China appears unlikely there, as the suture zone is located along the Song Chay mélange.

6. Conclusion

The application of zircon U–Pb geochronology and isotopic investigation, allow us to draw the following conclusions:

Zircon SIMS dating indicates that at least four thermal events occurred in the NE Vietnam: at ca. 525 Ma, at ca.254 Ma to 251 Ma, at ca.245–220 Ma, and at ca.91 Ma. The Early Paleozoic (525 Ma) thermal event has positive $\varepsilon$Hf $(t)$ values ranging from 0.2 to 3.1 and $\delta^{18}$O value ranging from 4.32‰ to 5.26‰. This event might be related to the rifting experienced by the SCB in Neoproterozoic to Cambrian periods.
The Late Permian to Early Triassic (254 Ma to 245 Ma) granitoids show zircon $\varepsilon_{HF}(t)$ ranging from $-10$ to $-2.0$, and $\delta^{18}O$ value ranging from 8.46‰ to 12.81‰. These features indicate crustal source for the granitic magma and limited input by mantle material. This magmatism is possibly related to the intraplate Emeishan magmatism. However, a regional extensional setting in the southern part of SCB cannot be discarded.

The Late Triassic (230–200 Ma) granitic rocks have negative $\varepsilon_{HF}(t)$ values ranging from $-9.0$ to $-6.4$, and $\delta^{18}O$ value ranging from 8.94‰ to 10.23‰. The isotopic characters show these granitic rocks are also derived from a crustal source and represent post-orogenic plutons.

The Late Cretaceous granites (ca.90 Ma) are $S$-type granites and are derived from the melting of continental crust.

Our Sr–Nd–Hf–O isotopic data suggest that the NE Vietnam granitoid magmas formed by partial melting of a Paleoproterozoic crust with minor input from mantle-derived material. Because of the poor outcrop and small size of these granitoid plutons, systematic geochemical works are still rare. Further works of integrated geochemistry and detail structural analyses are needed in order to substantiate the geological evolution of this part of the SCB.
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