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Abstract

This paper makes a review of the interpretations of the tectonic evolution of SW Japan during the last three decades. In the late 1970s, the dominant model was the so-called “Pacific-type orogeny”, emphasizing the purported absence of nappes and the contrast with the alpine chains, and interpreting the evolution as due to a steady oceanic subduction since the Paleozoic time. In the 80s, the discovery of the actual structure made of a pile of large thrust sheets led authors to propose collisional models, involving the intermittent underthrusting of buoyant blocks like micro-continents. At the same time, the use of high-resolution biostratigraphy allowed several authors to recognize ancient accretionary wedges, with a reconstructed ocean plate stratigraphy of individual accreted units, especially in the Tanba and Shimanto zones. Also, precise radiometric dating permitted the distinction of metamorphosed units, especially in Sanbagawa and Shimanto belts. As a result of these new data, since the 1990s, the plate tectonic interpretation of the history of the Japanese islands was revised by Japanese scientists and presented again in terms of accretionary processes linked to a steadily oceanic subduction, with an episodic ridge subduction: the so-called “Miyashiro-type orogeny”. The review of different data leads to the following conclusions. The structure of SW Japan is made of a pile of sub-horizontal nappes, polydeformed, with a geometry similar to the one encountered in collisional orogens. The geodynamic mechanisms advocated for the tectonic building within the accretionary orogeny concept (Miyashiro-type orogeny) are inappropriate. A permanent oceanic subduction with the intermittent “collision” (actually subduction) of an active ridge or seamount chain is unable to build such structures, as this process induces in fact an acceleration of the tectonic erosion and collapse of the upper plate; the underthrusting of a micro-continent or mature arc is likely needed. The exhumation story of Sanbagawa HP schists suggests the setting of a continental subduction. The petrological and new geochemical data from the literature strongly support the existence,
beneath the nappes of accretionary complexes, of continental bodies showing affinities with
South China, from which they were once separated. The episodic collision, underthrusting, of
such blocks was responsible for the tectonic piling. Tectonic erosion played likely a major role
in removing material during the intervening subduction stages. A revised geodynamic model,
implying the collision of the Honshu, South Kitakami-Kurosegawa, and Shimanto Blocks, is
proposed for explaining the three orogenic crises which took place respectively at around 240,
130, and 80-60 Ma ago in SW Japan. The paleogeographic position and affinity of the Hida
block with surrounding units, in the hinterland, are still unclear. More work is needed to solve
this question.
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1. Introduction

The Japanese Islands are often considered as a typical example of accretionary orogen
(e.g. Cawood et al., 2009), built during the subduction of an oceanic plate, by superimposition
of accretionary wedges composed of material coming from the upper plate by erosion and
mainly from the downgoing plate (accretion s. st.), including deep-sea sediments, oceanic
plateaus, HP metamorphic rocks. Due to this process, the upper plate is growing ocean-ward,
and some syn- to post-orogenic granitoids are emplaced, initiated by melting of the lower
plate crust and/or mantle wedge. The dominant model expressed today in the literature
presents the Japanese Islands as a segment of subduction-related orogen built along the
western Pacific margin, since at least the Mesozoic, by successive underthrusting of tectonic
units corresponding to accretionary complexes, either sedimentary or affected by high P/T
metamorphism (e.g. Isozaki, 1996, 1997; Maruyama, 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010). The
episodicity of orogeny and production of granites is now assigned to the intermittent
subduction of an oceanic ridge, core of the “Miyashiro-type orogeny” concept (Maruyama,
1997). A similar concept of subduction-related orogeny, piling Permian to Recent
accretionary complexes, corresponds to the “Nipponides” of Sengör and Natal’ in (1996).
Basically, this interpretation is quite similar to the theory of “Pacific-type orogeny” (Matsuda
and Uyeda, 1971), which was dominant in the 1970s. This model emphasized the role of
paired metamorphic belts and insisted on one structural point: the assumed total absence of
nappes in Japan and, due to this lack, the strong contrast with the Alpine chains. Therefore, in parallel with the development of the plate tectonic theory and the discovery of the great importance of subduction processes, an extrapolation of the present situation was made in the past; the Japanese Islands were considered as a permanent island-arc and a giant accretionary prism due to a steady oceanic subduction from Paleozoic to Present.

However, during the last 40 years, the interpretations and concepts related to the tectonic evolution of the Japanese Islands have evolved a lot and other models have been advocated.

During the 1980s, alternative collisional models were proposed, taking into account the new structural figure of SW Japan, after the discovery of large thrust sheets (e.g. Charvet et al., 1985; Faure et al., 1986). In this interpretation, the episodic orogenic events are assigned to the intermittent arrival at the trench of a buoyant feature, micro-continent or mature arc, choking the subduction and inducing the piling of nappes which show a geometry similar to the one visible in classical collisional orogens. Similar collisional episodes were proposed for NE Japan (Komatsu et al., 1983; Jolivet, 1986; Jolivet et al., 1987; Kimura, 1997).

The aim of this paper is to review, based on a brief historical perspective, the validity of the main tectonic and geodynamic concepts implied by the two opposite models, when compared with the recent data. I will show that the pure accretionary model is likely invalid and that the collision of light blocks is the only mechanism able to account for the different data: tectonic, geodynamic, petrologic, and geochemical. A new geodynamic model, taking into account those recent data coming from the literature, is proposed at the end. Although this reflecting is valid for the whole Japan and different tectonic events, I will restrict my point to SW Japan, to the SW of the Itoigawa-Shizuoka fault (Fig. 1) and mainly to the tectonic development from Late Paleozoic to Paleocene.

2. The discovery of nappes: invalidity of the Pacific-type orogeny, proposal of the collisional model

As mentioned before, the theory of “Pacific-type orogeny” (Matsuda and Uyeda, 1971) was dominant in the 1970s. This model emphasized the role of paired metamorphic belts (Miyashiro, 1961) and the assumed total absence of nappes in Japan and, due to this
lack, the strong contrast with the Alpine chains. Although the concept of paired metamorphic belts can be discussed and questioned (e.g. Brown, 1998, 2010), this point will not be addressed in detail here and treated only incidentally; I will concentrate on the tectonic and geodynamic aspects.

In the 1970s, the geometry of structures was poorly known and the stack of nappes ignored, despite some previous works advocating their existence (e.g. Fujimoto, 1937). However, in the late 1970s and early 1980s, two developments induced a big change in the understanding of the geometry of the different units, leading to the recognition of the structural scheme admitted today (Fig. 2). The first one was a revolution in the Japanese stratigraphy, due to the use of conodont and radiolarian faunas. The second one was the input of modern structural studies, by Japanese and foreign scientists, in particular the French team with an experience of alpine belts. The dating by conodonts and radiolaria showed that some formations, previously considered as Permian in the Inner zones of SW Japan, are in fact Triassic-Jurassic olistostromes and, due to the geometric superimposition of unambiguous Paleozoic units, should be interpreted as tectonic windows (Hayasaka and Hara, 1982; Faure and Caridroit, 1983). The general presence of thrust sheets and nappes in all the zones (e.g. Charvet, 1980; Faure, 1983; Charvet et al., 1983; Faure and Charvet, 1983, 1984; Guidi et al., 1983; Caridroit et al., 1985) led to the recognition of an “Alpine-type orogen in an island-arc position” (Charvet et al., 1985). Since then, the structural sketch of SW Japan was changed and considered as a piling of nappes (Fig. 1, 2) built by some specific orogenic events. Those major tectonic events, responsible for the Triassic, Cretaceous, Eocene, and Miocene thrustings, were interpreted in terms of collisional tectonics, the subduction leading to the episodic arrival of buoyant micro-continents in the subduction zones (Charvet and Faure, 1984; Faure and Charvet, 1984, 1987; Charvet et al., 1985, Faure et al., 1987; Caridroit et al. 1987). Some variations were suggested. For instance, regarding the Permian-Triassic belt of Inner Japan, the limestone Oga nappe, overriding the Sangun HP schists and Yakuno ophiolites, initially interpreted as a former seamount of the subducting ocean (Caridroit et al., 1987; Faure and Charvet, 1987), was later proposed to be a part of the Hida-Sino-Korean margin (Cluzel, 1991; Chough et al. 2000).
3. Recent interpretations: recognition of large thrust sheets but return to the accretionary model with ridge subduction

The existence of nappes is widely admitted nowadays by the Japanese geological community. The advocated allochthony is even larger than previously proposed. For instance, if the North Chichibu zone was recognized as a klippe thrust over the Sanbagawa schists and rooted in the Tanba zone (e.g. Faure and Charvet, 1987; Kawato et al., 1991) (Kumoso locality, Fig. 3), the klippe system is now considered as including the South Chichibu (Sanbosan) and the composite Kurosegawa terrane, which comprises old (pre-Silurian to Siluro-Devonian) rocks (Yoshikura et al., 1990; Aitchison et al., 1991, 1996; Hada et al., 2000) and a serpentinous mélange (Isozaki and Itaya, 1991; Isozaki, 1996, 1997). And the Kurosegawa terrane, formerly regarded as a part of the colliding block acting as the relative autochthon of the overthrust Sanbagawa HP schists unit (e.g. Maruyama et al., 1984), from southern origin (Aitchison et al., 1991; Hada et al., 2001), is now seen as a mélange coming from the innermost zones (Isozaki, 1997; Maruyama, 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010). Also, the Sanbagawa zone was demonstrated to overthrust directly the Cretaceous North Shimanto zone in central Kii peninsula (Sasaki and Isozaki, 1992; Masago et al., 2005). And, in Oboke area, central Shikoku, the lower unit made of Koboke and Kawaguchi Formations, initially regarded as a psammitic schists unit of the HP belt, appears to be a tectonic window correlated to North Shimanto; the protolith of those formations is younger than ca. 92-82 Ma, and the metamorphic age around 60 Ma, whereas the protolith of Sanbagawa schists is older than 130 Ma and the metamorphic age around 120-110 Ma (Aoki et al. 2007, 2008; Isozaki et al., 2010, and ref. therein) or 89-88 Ma (Wallis et al, 2009).

But, if the bulk geometry of flat-lying thrust sheets of different ages is widely accepted, and supported by seismic records (Kawamura et al., 2003; Ito et al., 2009), the interpretation in terms of collisional orogeny is usually abandoned, at least for the post-Triassic events, to the benefit of the accretionary orogeny model. The whole SW Japan is considered as a pile of accretionary complexes younging downward (Fig. 4).

Indeed, since the 1980s, the use of high-resolution biostratigraphy (conodonts and radiolaria) allowed several authors to recognize ancient accretionary wedges, with a reconstructed ocean plate stratigraphy of individual accreted units, especially in the Tanba and Shimanto zones (e.g. Matsuda and Isozaki, 1991; Matsuoka, 1992; Isozaki, 1996, 1997;
Wakita, 2000; Wakita and Metcalfe, 2005; Isozaki et al., 2010, and ref. therein). Also, precise radiometric dating permitted the distinction of metamorphosed units, especially in Sanbagawa and Shimanto belts (e.g. Suzuki et al., 1990; Isozaki and Itaya, 1991; Aoki et al., 2008, and ref. therein).

As a result of these new data, in the 1990s, the plate tectonic interpretation of the history of the Japanese islands was revised by Japanese scientists in terms of accretionary processes linked to a steadily oceanic subduction, with an episodic ridge subduction assumed to be responsible for the genesis of metamorphic-granite belts (e.g. Isozaki, 1996, 1997; Maruyama, 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010).

The progress in understanding and modeling the exhumation of HP metamorphic rocks, associated with precise field survey of the contacts led authors to re-interpret the Sanbagawa belt in terms of a thin accretionary slice formed along the subduction channel and emplaced by wedge extrusion (Maruyama et al., 1996; Ota et al., 2004; Masago et al., 2005; Osozawa and Pavlis, 2007; Aoki et al., 2008) or another process (Mori and Wallis, 2010). A particular attention has been given to the eclogites of central Shikoku, interpreted as an oceanic plateau in an accretionary complex (Terabayashi et al., 2005) or derived from an oceanic island arc (Utsunomiya et al., 2011), and to their metamorphic conditions reaching locally 2.5 to more than 3 GPa and 900°C (Enami and Miyamoto, 2001; Ota et al., 2004). They have undergone two metamorphic stages (Endo, 2010): a first one at around 120 Ma, the last one, eclogitic, at 89-88 Ma, followed by a fast exhumation, with a rate of 2.5 cm/a (Wallis et al., 2009).

Between 500 Ma and the Tertiary, five major orogenies occurred (Isozaki et al., 2010), respectively at: 450 Ma (Oeyama), 340 Ma (Renge), 240 Ma (Akiyoshi), 140-130 and 80-60 Ma. This paper is dealing essentially with the period from the late Paleozoic to latest Mesozoic-early Tertiary, during which three main tectonic events occurred.

The late Permian-Triassic one is sealed by the Upper Triassic molasse. It involved the emplacement of the Yakuno ophiolite, the ca. 240 Ma old Suo HP metamorphic rocks (part of the previous Sangun schists now subdivided into a ca. 340 Ma Renge belt and the Suo belt), and HT metamorphism in Hida-Oki belt. Explicitly or implicitly, a collisional process is advocated for the Triassic event, either by correlation with the Qinling-Dabie-Sulu suture
(Oh, 2006; Isozaki et al., 2010) or due to the collision of a Proto-Japan block with the Asian margin (de Jong et al., 2009).

The Early Cretaceous event, post-dated by Cretaceous basins and by the Ryoke HT metamorphism, involved the remobilization of the Triassic belt, the piling and folding of Mino-Tanba and Chichibu units. The Late Cretaceous-Paleocene event, before the deposition of the Eocene Kuma Group, induced the reworking of the Early Cretaceous belt in the thrust system (final emplacement of Chichibu-Kurosegawa klippe), the HP metamorphism of North Shimanto and Oboke schists, the exhumation of Sanbagawa blueschists and eclogites. Those two Mesozoic tectonic events are now generally seen as successive accretions due to oceanic subduction, except some authors advocating the collision with micro-continents (e.g. Otsuki, 1992). This accretionary orogen model, also called “Misyashiro-type orogeny” (Maruyama, 1997) emphasizes the role of continuous oceanic and episodic ridge subduction.

4. Invalidity of the accretionary orogeny: revalidation of the collisional model

However, several facts are hardly explained by an ordinary oceanic plate subduction. Several lines of evidence contradict this interpretation: the geometry of structures, the comparison with the geodynamic processes presently working around the world, and the geochemical evidence.

4.1. Tectonic considerations

The bulk geometry, with flat and refolded thrust contacts, is different from an accretionary prism geometry. The reworking of older belts, in a kind of “basement nappes”, implies huge deformation far beyond the back-stop. Even if it is assumed to be a simplified sketch, a cross-section like the one on Fig. 4 (after Isozaki et al., 2010) is misleading. The tectonic contacts between units have been refolded and reactivated, several times for some of them; for instance the Permian-Triassic stack has been thrust again at the end of Jurassic. The actual structure does not correspond to such an image of “in sequence” package but to a majority of “out-of-sequence” thrusts and cannot be compared with the classical anatomy of an accretionary prism. And the main contacts cannot be assigned to the former Wadat-Benioff planes. Actually the geometry, involving the multiple thrusting of ancient nappe systems, with different metamorphic evolution, recalls the one of classical collisional orogens.
Nevertheless, the large allochthony advocated in some recent papers can be discussed. An example is given by the Kurosegawa rocks, presented as a pre-Jurassic klippe initially thrust over the Tanba-Chichibu units (Isozaki and Itaya, 1991; Isozaki et al., 2010) and therefore rooted to the west of Tanba or as olistoliths in such a far-travelled olistostrome klippe (Masago et al., 2005)(Fig. 5). The Kurosegawa terrane is agreed to be correlative with South Kitakami (Fig. 1), a large massif in NE Japan with old rocks (e.g. Ehiro, 2000). It is questionable that they both represent olistoliths coming from the innermost zones and reworked in a Mesozoic mélange; their affinity with Hida Gaien is debated. If they are nappes rooted near the Hida zone (Isozaki, 1997), that would strongly argue for a collisional model. But the South Kitakami massif is probably not a big thrust package coming from circum-Hida area; there is no structural evidence for that. An alternative and more likely interpretation is that the Kurosegawa zone, which records evidence of strike-slip faulting during the Early Cretaceous (Kato and Saka, 2003), could be originally the autochthonous basement of the Lower Cretaceous nappes, reworked as a klippe at the end of Cretaceous, together with Chichibu units. This two-stage interpretation is consistent with the fact that the initial strike-slip faults, active during the Early Cretaceous, are cut by the younger thrust contact (Butsuzo Tectonic Line) (Fig. 6) responsible for the tectonic superimposition of those units above the Upper Cretaceous Shimanto domain (Kato and Saka, 2003, 2006).

4.2. Geodynamic implications

Any interpretative theory of the tectonic development of Japan must comply with the global geodynamic machinery acting around the world. Several geodynamic processes advocated within the frame of the accretionary orogeny model look actually unable to build the described structures.

The first and main difficulty regards the ridge subduction, considered to be responsible for the episodic tectonic crises and nappe emplacement (Maruyama, 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010). The subduction of an active oceanic ridge, according to the presently working and well documented recent example, cannot explain the tectonic piling. Such a subduction actually induces an acceleration of the tectonic erosion (e.g. Berhman et al., 1994; Bourgois et al., 1996), a collapse of the upper plate, but not an ocean-ward nappe piling.
The same observation is made regarding the subduction ("collision") of other oceanic asperities: aseismic ridges, plateaus, seamounts.

When the subduction of an aseismic ridge participates in the shallowing of the downgoing plate and increases the coupling, it induces also tectonic erosion (e.g. von Huene and Ranero, 2010 and ref. therein); it may induce the development or the activation of a fold-and-thrust belt, but in the back-arc area, mainly verging continent-ward, as documented in the Andes (e.g. Schmitz, 1994; Hartley et al. 2000; McQuarrie and DeCelles, 2001; McQuarrie, 2002; Müller et al., 2002; Barke and Lamb, 2006; Espurt et al., 2007). The crustal shortening is due to the bending and underthrusting of the Brazilian craton (e.g. Lyon-Caen et al., 1985), and occurs in the hot, thin zone of lithospheric weakness behind the arc, expected focus of shortening during periods of increased compressive stress (Cawood et al., 2009). As noticed along the Americas, the subduction of ocean floor relief can uplift the land surface above the "colliding" feature, but the process also involves accelerated tectonic erosion (von Huene and Ranero, 2010). Along Peru, short-term uplift during the subduction ("collision") of the ocean relief was followed by subsidence, leading to formation of basins in the middle and upper slopes, and extensional deformation (Clift et al., 2003).

The sometimes advocated accretion of seamounts and/or plateaus, for instance the Carboniferous Akiyoshi-Sawadani seamount chain, the Permian Maizuru seamount swarm (Maruyama et al., 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010), or the Late Jurassic Mikabu plateau (Isozaki et al., 1990) and Sorachi plateau in Hokkaido (Kimura, 1997) is also unable to explain the development of nappes. It has been demonstrated in many active margins around the Pacific, and particularly near Japan, that the arrival of seamounts at the trench may induce some local and temporary disturbance, including some small thrusts in the lower slope, but that the seamounts are broken by trench-parallel extensional faults as they pass over the outer trench rise and that they finally subduct in the same way as the surrounding oceanic lithosphere, provoking a collapse of the upper plate (e.g. Fryer and Smoot, 1985; Fryer and Hussong, 1985; Kobayashi et al., 1987; Lallemand and Le Pichon, 1987; Cadet et al., 1987; Lallemand and Chamot-Rooke, 1987; Ballance et al., 1989; Gardner et al., 2001; Fisher et al., 2004; von Huene, 2008).

Similar conclusions can be drawn in the case of oceanic plateaus, if they do not show any buoyancy contrast. Conversely, if they are of great size and a bit buoyant, the disturbance at the surface is more obvious and they may develop a conspicuous coastal uplift, like the Yakutat Block in Alaska (Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2006; von Huene and Ranero, 2010). However, in the mantle, the Yakutat slab is subducting with the Pacific plate and is not
moving independently as a truly distinct plate (Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2006). Seismic records show that it is subducting down to 140 km, with a slab up to 600-1000 km long (Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2006; von Huene and Ranero, 2010). It is a composite oceanic and continental block of 15- to 20-km-thick crust (Fuis et al., 2008) and, due to its buoyancy, its relative resistance to subduction causes some deformation: the unsubducted crust becomes a foreland fold-and-thrust belt (Fuis et al., 2008; von Huene and Ranero, 2010). The buoyancy seems to be a critical factor. If we look at the oceanic plateaus produced by LIPs, having an anomalously thick crust but with a density close or identical to the normal oceanic crust, their arrival at the subduction trench does not induce a major accretion. Regarding the biggest plateau in the world, the Ontong Java Plateau, covering 1,900,000 km$^2$ and having a crust as thick as 33 km, only 20% of the crust are accreted above a thrust décollement, the lower 80% of the plateau crust are subducting (Mann and Taira, 2004). The Ontong Java Plateau–Solomon island arc convergent zone is the only known example on Earth of active accretion of an oceanic plateau at subduction zone. This giant is exceptional and, due to its almost entire subduction, it can be concluded that in general oceanic plateaus are not significant contributors to the crustal growth of arcs, and therefore, to continental growth (Mann and Taira, 2004).

In contrast, the arrival at the trench of a rather small block with a lighter crust, and therefore positive buoyancy, like a mature arc or a micro-continent is able to induce a collision producing permanent compressive structures. A good and well-known example is provided by the Izu collision in Central Japan, even if the accretion is only partial and a part of the arc is subducting (Yamamoto et al., 2009). The thickness of continental crust exerts a major control on this phenomenon, if we consider that the arcs thinner than 25 km subduct and the Izu arc, 30-35 km thick, induces collisional structures (Yamamoto et al., 2009). A past arc accretion-collision with an active margin likely occurred at the northwestern corner of the Pacific about 55 Ma ago, between the Kamchatka-Koryak margin and the Olyutorsky arc (Scholl, 2007).

In conclusion, assigning the tectonic development of SW Japan to the episodic subduction of oceanic features like active ridge, seamount chain, plateau, is not in agreement with the way plate tectonics is presently working. The creation of large permanent oceanward compressive structures needs the underthrusting of buoyant blocks.
4.3. Exhumation of Sanbagawa HP metamorphic rocks

In their synthesis on the exhumation of oceanic blueschists and eclogites worldwide, Agard et al. (2009) made several statements: no exhumation is possible beyond a depth of ~70 km, corresponding to 2.0-2.3 GPa; the oceanic exhumation velocities for HP-LT oceanic rocks, whether sedimentary or crustal, are usually on the order of the mm/a (between 1 and 5 mm/a), whereas they are on the order of a few cm/a in the case of continental subduction. There is a link between faster exhumation rates and continental subduction. The exhumation of oceanic crust is obtained only when serpentinites are present in the slab mantle. In the case of Sanbagawa schists, they are assigned to the type B protoliths (ocean-derived), as defined by Maruyama et al. (1996) and considered as exhumed during an oceanic subduction.

However, some peculiarities lead to question this interpretation, at least for the eclogitic units present in central Shikoku, corresponding to the second stage of HP metamorphism (Endo, 2010), dated at 89-88 Ma (Wallis et al., 2009). According to detailed structural studies, eclogitic bodies form a large coherent unit that overlies a non-eclogitic unit with a major tectonic boundary and forms an eclogitic nappe (Wallis and Aoya, 2000; Aoya, 2001).

Agard et al. (2009) already noticed that the P-T paths for Sanbagawa rather resemble those known in well established collisional cases “despite the lack of subsequent collision”. If the peak metamorphism reached the pressure of more than 3 GPa (Enami and Miyamoto, 2001; Ota et al., 2004), that would suggest a continental subduction, as well as the fast exhumation rate of 2.5 cm/a advocated by Wallis et al. (2009). The peak pressure might be somehow overestimated when based on mantle-derived material, not implying that the crust reached that depth (Oberhänsl, person. com.) and a general metamorphism peak pressure of 1.5-1.9 GPa is more likely for the whole package of oligoclase-biotite schists, which reached eclogite facies at a depth of 48-60 km and were exhumed at an average rate of only 1mm/a if the peak metamorphism occurred at 120-110 Ma (Aoki et al. 2009). Such a figure would be compatible with an oceanic subduction.

But some specific features are unusual in such a setting. The quartz-eclogite of the Iratsu body contains omphacite with quartz lamellae, a feature common in UHP rocks (Ota et al., 2004), and this quartz-eclogite is sedimentary in origin (Takasu, 1989; Ota et al., 2004). Also the presence of the Higashi-Akaishi garnet-bearing ultramafic body (Mizukami and Wallis, 2005) is unique amongst purported oceanic subduction-type metamorphic belts.
(Hattori et al., 2010); such lenses are known in collisional orogens. This body represents a cumulate assemblage, maybe a root of an arc (Hattori et al., 2010) or the base of an oceanic plateau (Terabayashi et al., 2005) which has been subducted down to 100-120 km (Enami et al., 2004; Hattori et al., 2010). In front of those “anomalies”, Ota et al. (2004) state that “the Sanbagawa belt is potentially the first example of a regional ultrahigh-P metamorphic belt in the Pacific-type orogens of the world, with a wide P range covering depths of subduction zone magmatism” and Hattori et al. (2010) assume the existence of “a rare example of oceanic-type ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism”. It seems more realistic to question the model and admit that, at least regarding the eclogitic nappe, the metamorphic conditions and the implied important depth reached during subduction are only compatible with a continental subduction. Regarding the exhumation rate, it has been recently debated (Aoki et al., 2009, 2010; Wallis et al., 2009; Wallis and Endo, 2010), interpreted as slow or rapid depending on the dating of the peak eclogitic metamorphism at 120-110 or 89-88 Ma. The latter hypothesis, which is supported by Lu-Hf data (Wallis et al., 2009) but also by zircon ages (Aoki et al., 2009), could imply a rapid exhumation (more than 2.5 cm/a) for at least some units and a short-lived Sanbagawa orogeny, on time scales of a few million years (Wallis et al., 2009; Wallis and Endo, 2010). That would clearly add another evidence of a continental subduction setting for this exhumation.

In addition, it is worth noting that the protolith of the quartz-bearing eclogite was a sedimentary rock containing detrital zircons with a core as old as around 1900 Ma (Okamoto et al., 2004). This fact has strong implications. Either the protolith was initially deposited near an arc (e.g. Utsunomiya et al., 2011) or a plateau (e.g. Terabayashi et al., 2005) on the oceanic bottom, before entering the subduction zone, and that means that this relief had a continental basement. Or, it was part of the accretionary prism and that implies the existence of an available Proterozoic source in Proto-Japan during the Early Cretaceous (139–135 Ma), age of the sedimentation (Okamoto et al. 2004 and ref. therein), precluding a composition restricted to a pile of oceanic accretionary complexes.

In short, the eclogite unit of central Shikoku shows pressure conditions and a likely fast exhumation rate which are known so far only in relation with a continental subduction, unknown in a setting of oceanic subduction.

4.4. Petrological and geochemical evidence
The data coming from petrological and geochemical studies have been already used in order to show that they are supporting the prior underthrusting of a buoyant micro-continent in the case of the post-tectonic Middle Miocene plutonism of Shimanto (Stein et al., 1994, 1996). For instance, Stein et al. (1994) stated that “a simple subduction model does not explain the various magmatic affinities” and that “the proposed collision model could explain (1) the heating source, (2) the various magmatic affinities and also (3) the 0.7-0.8 GPa pressure invoking crustal thickening induced by the collisional event.” In the view of those authors, a mantle upwelling, after collision and prior to Shikoku basin subduction, was likely responsible for the necessary heating source. This view was questioned by Shinjoe (1997), who argued that “mantle upwelling as a heat source cannot explain the strict along arc contemporaneity of the felsic magmatism and shift of the magmatism to the Setouchi region”. Actually, a slab detachment occurring after the collision can easily explain the asthenospheric upwelling parallel to the belt and the along arc contemporaneity of such a near-trench magmatism. The younger Setouchi arc volcanism, more to the north, was located at the volcanic front linked with the subsequent Shikoku basin subduction. Another critical comment made by Shinjoe (1997) is the lack of direct evidence for the presence and collision of a micro-continent. However, indirect evidence is provided by the existence of high grade enclaves (Stein et al., 1994).

The presence of high grade enclaves, including granulite and not in equilibrium with the Ryoke metamorphism, has also been known for a long time in the Tertiary volcanoes cross-cutting both Ryoke and Tanba zones (e.g. Nureki and Murakami, 1979; Asami and Asami, 1982). But, as pointed out by Faure et al. (1986), if they bring evidence for a sialic basement comprising a deep continental crust, and if the big amount of felsic magmatism produced during the Late Cretaceous and Paleogene suggests the existence of such a sialic basement beneath Tanba and Ryoke (HT metamorphic equivalent of Tanba) zones, its presence could due to two different reasons. Either it is a pre-orogenic basement of Tanba-Ryoke or a continental block underthrust during the orogeny. Owing to the now generally accepted interpretation of Tanba as an accretionary complex initially formed in a setting of oceanic subduction (see above), the former hypothesis can be discarded. Therefore, such high grade metamorphic rocks must represent the elements of a colliding block arrived at the trench and having underthrust the upper plate.
Recent geochemical studies dealing with Sr-Nd isotopic data of granitic rocks generated in Japan from Paleozoic to Recent (Jahn, 2010 and this volume) bring a new and very strong support for the collisional model. The majority of the granitoids from SW Japan have high initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios, negative $\varepsilon$Nd(T) values and Proterozoic Sm-Nd model ages (Jahn, 2010). In other words the melting process producing the magmas involved old (Proterozoic) continental crust. This is true for the Miocene Kashiwajima pluton of the Shimanto belt, which confirms the aforementioned conclusion. But this is also true for the Mesozoic granitoids, namely the Upper Cretaceous and Triassic ones, which post-date the early Cretaceous and the Permian-Triassic tectonic crises respectively. Conversely, the granitoids with a lower Sr ratio, implying a higher proportion of mantle-derived material, were emplaced during the subduction stages of our model, between two collisions. In summary, the granitoids emplaced just after a tectonic crisis show geochemical characteristics of post-collisional ones, quite comparable with those observed in SE China and Taiwan, or in classical collisional orogens in the European Hercynides and Caledonides (Jahn, 2010). This fact argues in favour of the presence of a continental crust beneath the stack of nappes made of accretionary complexes at the moment of the granitoid genesis and emplacement. What is the possible origin of such a continental crust? It was not initially there as the accretionary complexes were likely the result of an oceanic subduction, well documented by the ocean plate stratigraphy and the synchronous arc volcanism. The only possibility is the underthrusting of a continental block, responsible for the tectonic crisis and the nappe emplacement.

4.5. Summary

All the lines of evidence: tectonic, geodynamic, petrologic, and geochemical ones invalidate the hypothesis of an intermittent ridge subduction and support the model of the underthrusting of a buoyant block (micro-continent or mature arc) for explaining the episodic tectonic crises. Instead of the accretionary orogeny model most popular during the last decade, the collisional model proposed during the 1980s is the only one accounting for the different data.

5. Role of tectonic erosion
A problem underlined by several authors is the scarcity of remnants of old crust in Japan, despite the abundance of continental detrital clasts in the sedimentary rocks, the abundance at once of outcropping Paleozoic granites not visible anymore, etc. One may add now the obvious necessity of the colliding blocks. Isozaki et al. (2010) pointed out that “the recent provenance analysis of detrital zircons has first imaged “ghost” geologic units which once formed in Japan and have already disappeared without evident traces”. For such reasons, the role of tectonic erosion has been advocated (Kato and Saka, 2003, 2006; Isozaki et al., 2010).

It is well demonstrated that, during the subduction stage, conspicuous tectonic erosion may occur, instead of accretion, the erosive subduction margins representing 75% of the present active margins around the world (Scholl and von Huene, 2007, 2010).

This erosion may result from two mechanisms. The first one is the surface erosion of material of the upper plate transported to the trench and then subducted (e.g. von Huene and Cullotta, 1989; von Huene and Lallemand, 1990); it has been working out for the Paleozoic and Cretaceous granites (Isozaki et al., 2010). The second one is the basal erosion. At erosional convergent margins, lower plate underthrusting thins forearc crust by detaching rock from the upper plate and transporting this material to the mantle. A 50 Ma period is long enough to erode the initial width of the volcanic-arc and fore-arc massif of any subduction zone, assuming a mean trench–volcanic-arc landward migration of 5 km/Ma and a mean trench–volcanic-arc distance of 250 km (Lallemand, 1995). Evidence for basal subduction erosion of a forearc is (1) rapid (0.3-0.5 km/Ma) and substantial (3-5 km) subsidence, (2) offshore truncation of cratonic rock, (3) retrograde (landward) migration of the arc-magmatic front, and (4) the coastal and offshore occurrence of arc magmatic rocks (Scholl and von Huene, 2002). During the Cretaceous, the location of volcanic activity moved toward the Asian continent (e.g. Isozaki et al., 2010); the truncation of structures and presence of Cretaceous granite very close to the Japan active trench, at a distance of about a few tens of km suggest the idea of tectonic erosion which must have destroyed the hangingwall of pre-Miocene basement of Japan (Yamamoto et al., 2009).

As proposed by Kato and Saka (2006) for the Cretaceous history after collision of the South Kitakami micro-continent, tectonic erosion likely occurred after each collisional event during the following subduction stage. This erosion was responsible for the removal of part of the structures and of the previously underthrusting block. The subsidence accompanying the incipient subduction participated also in hiding the colliding block edge.
6. Origin of colliding blocks

Where are the colliding blocks coming from? Without entering into detail, one can make some remarks. It has been suggested that Proto-Japan was initially attached to Southeast China (Maruyama et al., 1997; Isozaki et al., 2010), as a part of a continental margin affected by rifting during the break-up of the supercontinent Rodinia about 750 to 700 Ma ago. The microcontinent was later separated from SE China and drifted northeastward to form proto-Japan. The recent isotopic geochemical data obtained in SW Japan support this evolution model as they share the same characteristics as the South China Block (Jahn, 2010). They add a new line of evidence to some previous ones coming from paleobiogeographic considerations (e.g. Hada et al., 2001; Kido and Sugiyama, 2011).

A rifting episode is known to have occurred in SE China, creating the Nanhua rift, at about 850-800 Ma, later closed by an intracontinental tectonic event around 460-450 Ma (Charvet et al., 2010 and ref. therein). This rifting phase (or a younger one?), closer to the oceanic margin of Catahysia, may have succeeded and opened a new oceanic domain suggested by the around 580 Ma age of the Nomo oceanic crustal remnants. Its closure may have been witnessed by the emplacement of the Ordovician Oeyama ophiolite (Igi et al., 1979, Ishiwatari, 1991; Isozaki, 1996).

It is unclear when the other blocks, responsible for younger collisions, were detached from the main continent. Possibly, they were rifted later, after a collisional phase, during the subsequent subduction stage. For instance, the “Honshu Block” was detached during the opening of the Carboniferous Yakuno oceanic domain and back-collided during the Late Permian (Charvet et al., 1999). Its autochthonous nature, advocated for paleobiogeographic reasons (Charvet et al., 1999), instead of a far-travelled exotic block origin, is supported by the recent geochemical results (Jahn, 2010). The timing of rifting of the other blocks is quite obscure, although likely Paleozoic to Mesozoic. That remains to be documented.

Another still unclear point is the correlation of Hida with the units known in China, Korea, and Russia. It has been naturally considered as a piece of North China or Sino-Korea block because, if one looks at the pre-Sea of Japan configuration, it comes near North Korea (e.g. Kojima, 1989, 2000; Yamakita and Otoh, 1999). But that implies an eastward extension
of the Triassic Dabie-Sulu-Imjingang collisional suture zone in Japan on the eastern border of
Hida, within the Renge belt (e.g. Oh, 2006; Isozaki et al., 2010) and in the Higo belt of
Kyushu (Osanai et al., 2006). And, if the Yeongnam massif of SE Korea is assigned initially
to the North China Block, it is then interpreted as a major klippe thrust over the UHP belt
(Isozaki et al., 2010). This last interpretation is difficult as there is no convincing structural
evidence; in Korea, the boundary between the Yeongnam massif of the southeastern
Ryeongnam block and the Ogcheon belt is the sub-vertical Triassic Honam strike-slip fault
(e.g. Cluzel, 1991; Oh, 2006). And the Yeongnam massif, located to the south of the Ogcheon
belt generally correlated with the Huanan rift of South China (e.g. Chang, 1996; Oh, 2006), is
likely the extension of the Cathaysian part of South China (Chang, 1996); only the
northeastern Taebaeksan part of the Ogcheon belt s. l. shows affinities with North China
(Chang, 1996). In addition, the correlation between the Hida marginal Renge belt and the N
China-S China suture belt is not sure, as the HP metamorphic ages are quite different,
Carboniferous versus Triassic (e.g. Tsujimori et al., 2001; Oh, 2006; Isozaki et al., 2010 and
ref. therein). An alternative correlation with the Asian mainland is a link with South China
(e.g. Faure and Charvet, 1987; Chough et al., 2000).

A completely different category of interpretations is to consider that the Hida-Oki
Block was part of a separate Proto-Japan micro-continent colliding with the Asian mainland
in the late Paleozoic (Maruyama et al., 1989) or during the Jurassic (De Jong et al., 2009).
The latter hypothesis fits with well established data. For instance, De Jong et al. (2009)
include the Khanka Block in their Proto-Japan; it means that the Jiamusi and Bureya blocks,
which are similar (Zhou et al., 2010a; Wilde et al., 2010) could be also part of this entity.
And, as a matter of fact, the rather well established longitudinal correlation of the Mino-
Tanba and Maizuru-Yakuno zones to the north in NE China and Russia shows all these zones
bordering the eastern part of Hida-Khanka-Jiamusi-Bureya massifs (Kojima, 1989; Faure and
Natal’in, 1992; Kojima et al., 2000). The docking of this Proto-Japan with Eastern Asia would
have happened in the Late Triassic-Early Jurassic (De Jong et al., 2009), which is the time of
the collision evidenced by the Heilongjiang HP complex running to the west of the Jiamusi
massif (Wu et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2009). On one hand, this is not incompatible with a
common regional origin of those blocks as Jiamusi was maybe part of N Australia at around
500 Ma, together with N China, S China, Tarim (Wilde et al., 2000, 2003). In that
configuration, what is the eastern extension of the Dabie-Sulu-Imjingang suture? It could the
Heilongjiang suture, with some diachroneity. Its connection with the so-called Solonker
suture (De Jong et al., 2009), between North China and Mongolia, is unlikely, as those two
blocks were welded for sure before the Late Permian. On the other hand, the affinity between
Hida and Khanka blocks is questioned (Wilde et al., 2010) and the Khanka-Jiamusi was not
part of North China nor South China blocks according to Zhou et al. (2010b).

So, the problem of the nature of Hida block is still open. To solve it is beyond the
scope of this paper and needs more work. That does not affect the interpretations presented in
the next section, in which the Hida area is shown as the hinterland, no matter it was attached
or not to the Asian mainland before the Jurassic.

7. Geodynamic model

Taking into account the afore-mentioned remarks, a geodynamic model can be
proposed for the Late Paleozoic-Triassic and Cretaceous to Paleogene orogenies. It is inspired
from the collisional models previously proposed (e.g. Charvet et al., 1985, 1999; Faure et al.,
1986; Faure and Charvet, 1987) with the modifications needed due to the recent discoveries
mentioned before.

For the Permian-Triassic Akiyoshi orogeny (Fig. 7), the scenario is the following.
During the Early Permian, an oceanic domain is subducting beneath another one: the Yakuno
one with an anomalously thick crust (Ishiwatari, 1985, 1991) and likely bordered by an intra-
ceanic arc (Suda, 2004). The Oga-Akiyoshi seamounts are located in this oceanic domain.
The western end is occupied by a Hida domain including the former 340 Ma high-
 belt (e.g. Isozaki et al., 2010). This subduction leads to the approach of the Honshu micro-
continent. During the Late Permian-Early Triassic, the collision of the Honshu block induces
the emplacement of the nappes of: HP Suo schists, younger unit of the Sangun belt (e.g.
Nishimura, 1998), Yakuno ophiolite, and non metamorphosed Oga-Akiyoshi reefal limestone.
It leads also to the deformation of the Carboniferous Unazuki sediments, and the partial
resedimentation of ophiolitic olistoliths in the Maizuru Group. It is followed by HT
metamorphism and granite emplacement in the Hida domain. This belt, with HP schists, is
known to extend towards the south until at least Ishigaki island (Faure and Charvet, 1987;
Nishimura, 1998; Nuong et al., 2008).

Regarding the Late Jurassic to Paleocene story, three stages are described (Fig. 8). At
around 150 Ma, an oceanic realm is subducting beneath Chugoku domain, composed of the
previous nappe system unconformably covered by Triassic or Jurassic molasse. Due to that
consumption, the South Kitakami-Kurosegawa continental block, on which the Torinosu
formation is deposited, is approaching the subduction zone, where the Mino-Tanba
accretionary prism is built. That may explain the double, from north and south, supply of
Precambrian clasts to the Mino sandstone deposition area (Suzuki et al., 1991). The collision
of the South Kitakami-Kurosegawa block, likely at around 140 Ma (Otsuki, 1992) rather than
at 155 Ma (Kato and Saka, 2003, 2006), reworks the Mino-Tanba accretionary prims as a pile
of nappes and the units of the hinterland (Chugoku nappes and Hida s.l.) are thrust again. At
around 110-120 Ma, during a new subduction, the Kurosegawa belt is affected by strike-slip
faults bounding shallow-water sedimentary basins and the Mino-Tanba stack is affected by
the Ryoke HT metamorphism and granitic emplacement. The North Shimanto prism is
possibly beginning to grow. The subduction of an oceanic realm rich in Triassic seamounts,
reworked as olistoliths in the South Chichibu-Sanbosan sediments, is documented by arc
volcanism and responsible for the Sanbagawa HP metamorphism. This ocean is bounded to
the east by a continent-type block (Shimanto Block), likely bearing an arc related to the
subduction of the fast-moving Izanagi plate (Whittaker et al., 2007a, b). The collision of this
block with the active margin takes place between 80 and 60 Ma. It induces the exhumation of
the Sanbagawa HP schists and eclogites, the re-thrusting of the Kurosegawa-Chichibu
domain, the basal thrust contact cutting the former strike-slip faults, and the deformation of
the Mino-Tanba stack. The Izanagi-Pacific oceanic ridge arrives at the trench later, at around
60-55 Ma, almost parallel to the margin and not oblique as previously advocated (Whittaker et
al., 2007a, b; Smith, 2007; Müller et al., 2008; Yin, 2010).

8. Conclusions

The review of the evolution of ideas on the tectonic evolution of Japan during the two
last decades leads to the following statements.

1) The structure of SW Japan is made of a pile of sub-horizontal nappes, polydeformed, with
a geometry similar to the one encountered in collisional orogens.

2) The mechanisms advocated for the tectonic building within the accretionary orogeny
concept (Miyashiro-type orogeny, Nipponides etc.) are inappropriate. Mainly, a steady
oceanic subduction with the intermittent “collision” (actually subduction) of an active ridge or
seamount chain is unable to build such structures; it induces in fact an acceleration of the
tectonic erosion.
3) Several lines of evidence suggest the episodic thrusting of a buoyant block: microcontinent and/or mature arc. They include: tectonic, geodynamic, petrologic, and geochemical data.

4) The three orogenic crises which took place at around 240, 130, and 80-60 Ma ago in SW Japan can be best explained by a collisional model involving the successive arrival at the former subduction zone of the Honshu Block, the South Kitakami-Kurosegawa Block, and a Shimanto Block. Those features were likely previously separated from South China with which they show affinities.

5) Tectonic erosion played likely a major role in removing material during the intervening subduction stages.

6) In the hinterland, the paleogeographic position and the affinity of the Hida block with surrounding units is still unclear. More work is needed to solve this question.

7) Similar tectonic features are due to similar geodynamic causes all around the world. The tectonic architecture of the Japanese islands, resembling the one of some classical collisional orogens, is not an exception and cannot be explained by a process that would be restricted to Japan, as a peculiar and original model of accretionary orogeny.
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Figure captions
Figure 1. Sketch map showing the main divisions of Japan (after Faure et al., 1987). The Green Schist Nappe corresponds to Sanbagawa zone, the Superficial Nappe to North Chichibu.

Figure 2. Schematic structural map of SW Japan, emphasizing the structures of the Late Jurassic-Early Cretaceous orogen (after Faure et al., 1987). Note the tectonic windows of the Tanba zone beneath the Sangun-Maizuru units partly inherited from the Chugoku nappe system of the Late Permian-Triassic orogeny. Black spots in the Sangun-Maizuru zone represent the Triassic (T) and Jurassic (J) molasses.

Figure 3. a) Schematic general cross-section of SW Japan (after Charvet et al., 1985). 1: Tertiary and Cretaceous volcanic; 2: Yakuno ophiolitic complex; 3: Sangun schists; 4: Permian Maizuru Group; 5: Tanba zone units; 6: Upper Cretaceous Izumi Group; 7: Cretaceous Sennan Group volcanic; 8: Inferred basement rocks of the Ryoke area; 9: High-grade (top) and low-grade (bottom) of the Sanbagawa basic schists; 10: Cover and basement of the Kurosegawa block; 11-12: Shimanto; 13: Miocene to Recent deposits off Shikoku; 14: “Younger” (top) and “Older” (bottom) granites of Ryoke zone; 15: Miocene granitoids intruding Shimanto. b) Zoom on the cross-section of the “outer zones” in eastern Shikoku island (after Charvet et al., 1985). A: Izumi Group; B: Cretaceous deposits of Central Chichibu; C: Shimanto; D: Sanbagawa high-grade schists; E: Low-grade schists; F: Sandstone unit; G: Assumed Oboke-Kurosegawa basement; H: Pre-Cretaceous sediments of domain III; I: Olistostrome of the Chichibu nappe; J: Green rocks; K: Stratigraphic cover of the Mikabu green rocks; L: Thrust contact of phase 1; M: Thrust contact of phase 2. O: Oboke; Ku: Kurosegawa.

Figure 4. Simplified anatomy of SW Japan emphasizing the superimposition of accretionary complexes younging downward and the absence of basement continent-like units (after Isozaki et al., 2010). AC and meta-AC: Accretionary complexes and metamorphosed accretionary complexes; WB plane: Wadati-Benioff plane; Ng-HmTL: Nagato-Hida marginal Tectonic Line; I-KTL: Ishigaki-Kuga Tectonic Line; BTL: Butsuzo Tectonic Line; ATL: Aki Tectonic Line.

Figure 5. Two different interpretations of the structure of Sanbagawa-Chichibu-Kurosegawa domain. a) Interpretation advocated in this article, cross-section modified after Charvet et al., 1985.
et al. (1985): the Kurosegawa unit is part of a previous block (South Kitakami-Kurosegawa) underthrust during the Early Cretaceous, affected by strike-slip faulting, and re-thrust during the Late Cretaceous-Paleocene event involving Sanbagawa thrusting above N Shimanto. b) Interpretation assuming that the Kurosegawa old rocks are olistoliths in the Chichibu klippe system (after Masago et al., 2005).

Figure 6. Block-diagram showing the relationships between Shimanto, Sanbagawa, and Chichibu-Kurosegawa in eastern Kii Peninsula (after Kato and Saka, 2006). Note the faults within the Kurosegawa Terrane cut by the BTL, thrust contact with Shimanto.

Figure 7. Schematic geodynamic model, in cross-section, for the Late Permian-Triassic orogeny, advocating a collision between the Hinterland (Hida s. l.) and a Honshu Block.

Figure 8. Schematic geodynamic model, in cross-section, for the 140-130 and 80-60 Ma orogenies, assigned to the two successive collisions of South Kitakami-Kurosegawa and Shimanto blocks. Note that the Izanagi-Pacific ridge arrives at the active margin after the main tectonic episode involving the exhumation of the Sanbagawa HP schists.
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