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Abstract

Within the paleoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogen, the High-Pressure Belt (HPB) is made of high-pressure (~15 kbar) mafic granulites hosted in migmatitic gneisses. In this contribution, we document a set of structural analyses acquired over the whole HPB. We also proposed a morphological subdivision of the partially molten rocks that compose the HPB according to changes in melt fraction. A compilation of the P-T and radiochronological data carried out over the last 15 years is presented. The results highlight the concurrent effect of oroclinal bending and partial-melting in controlling the exhumation of the deeply buried continental crust.
During ongoing compression of the thickening orogenic root, onset of partial-melting at peak metamorphism is responsible for a first strength drop that enhanced an eastward lateral flow. Radiometric ages show that the deep crust was partially molten over a 50 Ma lasting period during which it evolved in a diatexite core mantled by metatexites. This was responsible for a second strength drop with strain concentrated along the diatexite/metatexite boundaries, as exemplified by the newly documented Datong-Chengde Shear Zone, a ~400 km-long normal shear zone with a sinistral strike-slip component that accommodated the final uprise of the high-pressure rocks.
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1. Introduction

Paleoproterozoic high-pressure metamorphic rocks buried to depth exceeding 50 km, and exhumed to shallow crustal levels are extremely rare all over the world (O’Brien and Röttzler, 2003). Such old high-pressure rocks are very precious since the understanding of their structural and metamorphic evolution brings insights about orogenic processes during the Paleoproterozoic, and more generally about flow and exhumation mechanisms of thickened orogenic continental crust. Unfortunately the mechanisms responsible for the exhumation of such rare old high-pressure rocks are quite difficult to settle since the structural and metamorphic evidences have commonly been reworked during subsequent younger orogenies.

For the purpose of our study, the High-Pressure Belt (HPB) of the Trans-North China Orogen (TNCO) is of particular interest because (1) high-pressure mafic granulites (including
retrograded eclogites) with isothermal decompression paths have been largely reported along the whole belt (e.g. Zhang et al., 2006), (2) younger reworking processes are weak in this area of the North China Craton, (3) outcrop conditions allow a detail geometric and kinematic study over the entire HPB, and (4) the regional architecture and evolution of the TNCO are presently relatively well understood.

As for numerous high-pressure belts over the world, the TNCO High-Pressure Belt is mainly made of partially-molten rocks (migmatites) within which retrograded eclogites and HP-granulites occur. Partial-melting changes rock rheology and density, and is therefore a critical phenomenon in exhumation of deep-seated crust. In particular, the viscosity drop commonly attributed to partial-melting is responsible for continental crust to flow since it can no longer support the weight of the wedge (Vanderhaeghe et al., 2003). This concept is well known as channel flow (Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004, 2006; Jamieson et al., 2004, 2006; Grujic, 2006). Recently, Vanderhaeghe (2009) inventories the various modes of flow of partially-molten orogenic continental crust and distinguishes the vertical channel flow driven by plate tectonic related forces and the horizontal channel flow related to the gravity force associated with lateral change in crustal thicknesses. A large number of conceptual models have been proposed to account for channel flow and exhumation of lower crust in collisional orogens (Beaumont et al., 2001, 2004, 2006; Jamieson et al., 2004, 2006; Gervais and Brown, 2011; Rey et al., 2010). In each model a particular tectonic setting is advocated such as, for instance, upper-crustal extension, active erosion, retreat of the foreland, under-thrusting or orogenic wedge shortening. Overall, mode of flow and exhumation of lower crust comes from a balance between gravity and boundary forces, which is specific to each orogenic belt.

In spite of numerous petrological and geochronological studies conducted on the high-pressure granulites and surrounding rocks of the TNCO, the tectonic processes, and especially the crustal structure and flow pattern involved in the exhumation of the high pressure and
partially-molten rocks remain unclear and constitute the topic of this paper. For the first time, we document a set of structural analyses acquired on the entire High Pressure Belt. This was conducted together with recognition of morphological subdivisions of the partially molten rocks according to changes in melt fraction. In addition, we present a new compilation of the available Pressure-Temperature paths and geochronological data. A synthetic model allows us to discuss the unroofing processes of the High-Pressure Belt (HPB) and to highlight the critical role of partial-melting and oroclinal bending in triggering and controlling lateral extrusion through channelized flow and exhumation of the deeply buried continental crust.

2. The High-Pressure Belt within the Trans-North China Orogen

2.1. Tectonic outline of the Trans-North China Orogen within the North China Craton

A long-established three-fold subdivision describes the North China Craton (NCC) as composed of the two Archean-Paleoproterozoic Eastern and Western Blocks separated by the ~1.85 Ga Trans-North China Orogen, also named Central Orogenic Belt (Fig. 1; Zhao et al., 2001a-b). Thoroughly, the Western Block is composed of two discrete sub-blocks labelled the Ordos and Yinshan Blocks welded together along the Khondalite Belt around 1.95–1.92 Ga (Zhao et al., 2005, 2010; Santosh et al., 2006, 2007a,b; Yin et al., 2007, 2009, 2011). The Eastern block is divided in the Southern Block and the Anshan Block that welded together along the Jiao-Liao-Ji mobile belt at ~1.9 Ga (Faure et al., 2004; Li and Zhao, 2007).

The High-Pressure Belt lies within the 1200km long and 100–300km wide Trans-North China Orogen (TNCO) (Fig. 1). According to different schools of thought, the TNCO resulted from (1) continental collision at ~1.85 Ga after an eastward subduction of the Western Block below the Eastern Block (Zhao et al., 2001a-b, 2004, 2005; Wilde et al., 2005;
Kröner et al., 2005a-b, 2006; Zhang et al., 2006), (2) a westward subduction with final collision to form the NCC at ~2.5 Ga (Kusky and Li, 2003; Polat et al., 2005, 2006; Kusky et al., 2007; Li and Kusky, 2007) or at ~1900-1800 Ma (Wang, 2009) and otherwise (3) a collision after a double-sided subduction (Santosh, 2010).

Faure et al. (2007) and Trap et al. (2007, 2008, 2009a, 2009b) proposed a model in which the building of the TNCO involved three continental blocks, two westward subduction zones and associated two collisional events. The eastern positioned suture is called the Zanhuang suture (Faure et al., 2009, Trap et al., 2009) that resulted from westward subduction of the Eastern (Yanliao) Block under the Fuping Block (Fig. 1B; Faure et al., 2007; Trap et al., 2009). Faure et al. (2007) propose that the amalgamation of the Fuping Block and the Eastern Block occurred at ~2.1 Ga. The western suture, called the Trans-North China Suture that crop out in the Lüliangshan massif, marked the closure, at around 1880 Ma, of an oceanic domain called the Lüliang Ocean (Fig. 1B; Faure et al., 2007; Trap et al., 2007, 2009a-b). This suture is the main lithospheric boundary along which ophiolitic and continental crust-derived nappes, displaced to the East or South-East, are rooted, resulting in the edification of the Trans-North China Orogen (TNCO) where HP rocks crop out.

The TNCO consists of several tectonic and metamorphic units (Fig. 1B; Faure et al., 2007, Trap et al., 2007). At the top of the litho-tectono-metamorphic pile, the Hutuo Supergroup (SBGMR, 1989; Tian, 1991) consists of unmetamorphosed or weakly metamorphosed, locally highly deformed sedimentary series of conglomerate, sandstone, mudstone, and carbonates with subordinate intercalations of volcanic rocks. It unconformably cover the Low-Grade Mafic Unit (LGMU) that represents greenschists facies klippen of mafic magmatic and sedimentary rocks emplaced from the NW to the SE upon the Orthogneiss and Volcanite Unit (OVU) made of magmatic and volcanic-sedimentary rocks metamorphosed under amphibolite to MP-granulite facies conditions. The OVU tectonically overlies a para-
autochthonous unit that corresponds to the basement of the Fuping Block. The last unit recognized in the Trans-North China Orogenic wedge, and that is the focus of the present contribution, is the high-pressure rocks unit, referred as the High-Pressure Belt.

2.2. Geological setting of the High Pressure Belt (HPB)

In the Trans-North China Orogen, high-pressure mafic and felsic granulites (Figs. 2A and 2B) crop out in a NE-SW trending unit of 150 km wide and 400 km long belt (Fig. 1B), referred as the High-Pressure Belt (HPB) that extends from the northern part of the Hengshan Massif (Zhao et al., 2001a; O’Brien et al., 2005), through the Datong-Huai’an area (Zhai et al., 1992, 1995; Guo et al., 1993, 2002) and Xuanhua Massif (Wang et al., 1994; Guo et al., 2002), up to the Chengde Massif (Li et al., 1998; Mao et al., 1999). Within the HPB, the average foliation strike progressively changes from N70E to the East to N45E to the West (Fig. 1B). Two major ductile shear zones, the Zhujiafang (Li and Qian, 1994) and the Datong-Chengde Shear Zones (this study) limit the HPB along its southern and northern boundaries, respectively (Fig. 3A). The high-grade metamorphic rocks of the HPB are unconformably covered by Mesozoic to Neoproterozoic and Jurassic-Cretaceous unmetamorphosed sedimentary or volcanic rocks and intruded by several generations of granitoids, mainly of Mesozoic age. Consequently, the western and eastern terminations of both shear zones and the structural relationships of the HPB with the southeastern orthogneiss-volcanite unit remain unknown.
The HPB consists mainly of anatetic rocks with granulitic boudins that recorded a clockwise P-T retrograde evolution, characterized by an isothermal decompression from 15 kbar to 5 kbar (e.g. Zhang et al., 2006). Lithological and metamorphic characters are presented in detail in the next sections.

Northwest of the HPB, high temperature Al-rich metasediments crop out that belong to the ‘Khondalite series’ of the Chinese literature which is considered to have developed in the passive continental margin of the Ordos Terrane of the Western Block (Condie et al., 1992; Zhao et al. 1998, 2005; Kusky et al., 2007). To the east, these rocks are underlain by TTG rocks that form the northern domains of the Xuanhua and Chengde massifs, north of the DCSZ (Fig. 1B). Along the DCSZ and the northern boundary of the HPB, blocks of hornblendite, metagabbro, pyroxenite and serpentinite are exposed, well observed in the western Huai’an Massif and eastern Chengde Massif. In this last area, these mafic and ultramafic rocks are involved in the Datong-Chengde Shear Zone (Figs. 5 and 7). Ophiolites are not cropping out in the Datong area and farther East. Nevertheless, the lithological, structural and metamorphic contrasts between the khondalite series and the high-pressure granulites suggest that this fault represents the northeastward extension of the Trans-North China suture east of Datong. These mafic and ultramafic rocks might be considered as the remnants of the Lüliang Ocean.

As a whole, the HPB appears as the deepest part of the thickened crust that was exhumed and that occupies a peculiar position in the core of an oroclinal bend experienced by the TNCO. Indeed, the orocline is well marked by the change in strike of the Paleoproterozoic planar fabrics that progressively turns from N20E to N70E, from the south-western Lüliang Massif toward the north-eastern Chengde Massif, respectively (Fig. 1B).

The regional deformation history of the westernmost part of the HPB, has been
investigated by Zhang et al. (1994) in the Datong-Huai’an area. These authors inferred a deep-seated low-angle ductile extensional detachment as the main structure responsible for the exhumation of the high-pressure granulites. Because of a lack of unequivocal kinematic indicators, Dirks et al. (1997) did not take into consideration such a detachment fault and suggested that the exhumation of the HP rocks was not accommodated by orogenic extensional tectonics. They rather advocated a dynamic interplay between solid-state vertical flow and horizontal flattening, in lower and upper structural domains, respectively. These two studies focused on the westernmost edge of the HPB (i.e. the Datong-Huai’an area), but no structural work has been done in the entire HPB. In particular, the geometric and kinematic features of the central and eastern parts of the HPB were not analyzed. Furthermore, the tectonic evolution of the HPB as a whole has never been considered. In order to get a general structural view of the HPB, the western Datong-Huai’an area, the central Xuanhua massif and the eastern Chengde massif were the targets of a detail structural analysis. In addition, we present a morphological subdivision of the HPB and its surrounding rocks based on observation of changes in melt fraction (mainly diatexite/metatexite distinction).

3. The HPB within the Partially Molten Zone (PMZ)

The HPB is mainly composed of partially-molten rocks (Fig. 2) and lies within a here defined Partially Molten Zone (PMZ). Within the PMZ, a four-fold subdivision from lower to upper structural level is recognized: These four anatectic subunits namely from bottom to top (1) The Lower Mafic Metatexite, (2) The Middle Diatexite, (3) The Upper Metatexite and (4) the Outer Metatexite. This subdivision is primarily a morphological one based on the melt fraction in the migmatitic rocks, mainly diatexite/metatexite distinction. Metatexite and diatexite represent rocks with low and high melt fraction, respectively (Sawyer, 2008).
3.1. The Lower Mafic Metatexite

Structurally, the Lower Metatexite represents the deepest domain that crops out in the central part of the HPB, i.e. in the southern part of the Xuanhua Massif and the easternmost part of the Datong-Huai’an area (Fig. 5 and 6). The lowermost part is composed of banded tonalitic and mafic granulite gneiss. The pre-melting structures of the protolith are well preserved and the weak amount of leucosome argues for a low melt fraction (Fig. 2A). This apparently low melt fraction might not be due to a low-melting rate but rather to melt loss, as suggested by low leucosome to peritectic garnet ratios (Sawyer, 2008).

3.2. The Middle Diatexite

The Middle Diatexite is composed of granitoids of various compositions. The lower part is dominated by orthopyroxene-bearing granitoids (charnockite-enderbite suite) with subordinate amounts of dioritic granitoids. The upper part of the Middle Diatexite is more felsic with predominant dioritic to granodioritic compositions. These granitoids are diatexites in the sense of Sawyer (2008) with leucocratic material enclosing boudins and rafts of retrograded HP granulites (Fig. 2B). Morphological aspects grade from scholen to schlieric diatexites (Figs. 2C and 2D). Some felsic biotite-garnet and sillimanite-garnet metasedimentary rocks also occur as meter-thick paleosome lenses enclosed within leucocratic neosome. In addition, numerous K-feldspar rich granitoids are reported as cm to m-thick veins and sheeted dykes that intrude within the diatexite. Sometimes, such K-feldspar rich granitoids form 10-meters large bodies particularly in the highest structural level of the Upper Metatexite.
3.3 The Upper Metatexite

The Upper Metatexite is located in the uppermost part of the HPB, either in central position or along the DCSZ and the Zhujiafang Shear Zone. It mostly consists of partially molten TTG gneiss, mafic and felsic metavolcanites and sometimes Al-rich metapelites and metacarbonaceous rocks (Figs. 2E, 4A and 4B).

3.4. The Outer Metatexite

These rocks crop out in two distinct areas, on each sides of the HPB (Fig. 8). North of the HPB, from Xuanhua area to Chengde area, TTG and migmatites widely develop. These partially melted rocks are metatexites developed from a khondalitic paleosome. Mafic and felsic metavolcanic rocks are also recognized as protoliths. The TTG and migmatites are conspicuously intruded by numerous granitic dykes and sills. In the following, this unit will be referred to as the Northern Felsic Unit (Figs. 3 and 8). At the scale of the entire TNCO, the Northern Felsic Unit corresponds to the deformed and partially molten margin of the Western Block. The relationships of this unit with the Khondalite Unit that crops out northeast of Datong are unclear since the contact is not exposed in the field. Furthermore, south of the Zhujiafang Shear Zone or North of the Chendge-Datong Shear Zone, HP granulites are no more observed. Patch metatexite (Sawyer, 2008) with a very low-melt fraction (Fig. 2F) marks the limit of the partially molten area.

4. Structural analysis
Although in some places, the Meso- and Neoproterozoic sedimentary sequences are folded, or deformed by brittle faulting (Davis et al., 2005), no syn-metamorphic deformation younger than the Paleoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogeny can be documented in the study area, except some reworking along portions of the western part of the DCSZ. Therefore, the ductile high-grade deformation described below can be confidently attributed to the Paleoproterozoic.

The HPB bulk geometry is an elongate asymmetric antiform with a gently northward-dipping northern limb, bounded by the Datong-Chengde shear zone, and a sub-vertical to steeply southward-dipping southern limb, limited by the Zhujiafang shear zone. The foliation pattern shows that the hinge zone of the antiform is curved in map view from E-W to NE-SW, and also in the vertical plane, with the eastern and western pericline terminations dipping toward the east and the west, in the Datong-Hua’ian and Chengde Massifs, respectively (Fig. 3). As a consequence, the central part of the HPB exposes the lower structural domain whereas the upper structural domain is observed in the eastern and western pericline terminations and along the two northern and southern bounding (Fig. 8).

Structural analysis yielded to the recognition of four main structural stages (labeled hereafter D₁-D₄), responsible for the structuration of the HPB and the Partially Molten zone as a whole. Details of each deformation stage are given below.

4.1. D₁ Deformation stage

Within the HPB rocks, the D₁ fabric is an amphibolite facies gneissic foliation (S₁) recognized within the paleosomes. A L₁ mineral lineation is often obliterated by a pervasive static recrystallisation. In diatexite, a pre-partial-melting S₁ relictual fabric is preserved within the scattered schollen of paleosomes. In metatexite, S₁ and a syn-anatetic S₂ foliations are
mingled in a $S_{1-2}$ fabric, the geometry of which defines the $D_2$ finite strain pattern (see below). The $D_1$ fabric remains preponderant in the units that surround the PMZ, where partial-melting did not occurred, i.e. along the northern and southern limits of the PMZ, respectively. There, the conspicuous $D_1$ fabric is a gneissic foliation $S_1$ that trends NE-SW to E-W, on which a NW-SE trending mineral and stretching lineation, $L_1$, marked by a preferred alignment of sillimanite, biotite or amphibole crystals develops (Fig. 3B). Along $L_1$, a prominent top-to-the SE sense of shear is indicated by classical kinematic indicators, such as sigma-type porphyroclast systems or pressure shadows. In the commonest cases, reworking by the following $D_2$ to $D_4$ events led to the folding and scattering of the $D_1$ fabric trend.

[ Figure 3]

4.2. $D_2$ Deformation stage

4.2.1. $D_2$ strain features

The $D_2$ stage is the most prominent deformation stage developed in the PMZ, coevally with amphibolite to granulite facies metamorphism and crustal melting. $D_2$ can be considered as a syn-anatetic deformation, in other words, the $D_2$ migmatitic layered fabric was generated by in-situ melting and segregation of leucocratic material under a regional strain (Figs. 4A and 4B). As mentioned above, the $S_2$ foliation is defined by leucocratic material that formed parallel to the early gneissic layering $S_1$. Thus, the syn-anatetic foliation within metatexite has to be considered as a $S_{1-2}$ fabric.

Within the Lower Mafic Metatexites, $S_2$ is defined by the planar alignment of leucocratic melt pockets develop parallel to peritectic minerals as well as around retrograded
matrix minerals. In some granitoids, such as the Huai’an anatectic charnockite (G.C. Zhao et al., 2008), the S₂ foliation is represented by the preferred orientation of leucocratic orthopyroxene or clinopyroxene-bearing anorthositic veins (Dirks et al., 1997). In the Middle Diatexite, S₂ is defined by biotite-amphibole schlierens and the preferred orientation of mafic restites (Figs. 2C and 3E).

The L₂ mineral lineation is commonly marked by the linear preferred orientation of aggregates of peritectic minerals such as garnet, biotite or orthopyroxene. In leucocratic material, the L₂ lineation is represented by stretched mm- to cm-scale biotite or amphibole aggregates. However, the clearest and unambiguous observations of L₂ in migmatites are made at the outcrop scale, where the stretching direction is defined by meter-scale boudinage of competent mafic paleosomes or melanosomes (Figs. 2C and 2D). Stretching is also documented by the orientation of tension gashes filled with granitic or charnokitic melt.

Along the L₂ stretching direction, kinematic patterns are contrasted. A non-coaxial strain regime is deduced from the observation of kinematic criteria such as asymmetric boudinage, asymmetric sigma-type porphyroclast systems around peritectic minerals, or shear band. In addition, symmetric boudinage argues for coaxial flow. Over the entire PMZ, the D₂ finite strain pattern can be subdivided into four spatially distinct areas: (1) the central part characterized by 10-50 km wide SW-NE trending domes deformed by a E-W coaxial flow; (2) the geometrically higher rim of the central part, (3) the western part of the HPB, that are both characterized by non-coaxial regime and (4) the folded outer metatexite in the Hengshan Massif.

[ Figure 4 ]

4.2.2. SW-NE to E-W trending coaxial flow and doming
Within the HPB, the $S_2$ geometry defines regional-scale dome structures that vary in size from 10 to 40 km in length and 5 to 20 km in width (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). Usually, $S_2$ trends E-W in the central and eastern part of the HPB and NE-SW in its western edge (Fig. 3C). The attitude of the $S_2$ foliation varies from nearly horizontal to vertical in the dome top and margins, respectively. However, neither vertical nor steeply dipping foliation occurs at dome terminations but only along the NE-SW to E-W trending dome limbs (Fig. 5).

Across the PMZ, the $L_2$ lineation is preferentially E-W trending with a shallow to moderate plunge (Figs. 3C, 3D and 3E). Within the HPB, both in the top of the domes where the foliation is flat-lying, or along the steeply dipping foliation in the domes flanks, N-S striking subvertical melt-filled veins perpendicular to the maximum flattening plane, represented by the $S_2$ foliation, argue for vertical shortening and E-W horizontal stretching. Thus, at the regional scale, orientation of the dilational sites is consistent with layer-parallel syn-anatetic stretching.

Folded nebulitic diatexites that suggest turbulent flow are not widespread and mainly located in the inner part of the HPB, in the core of the diatexite domes (Fig. 4C). More commonly, four types of fold can be distinguished owing to their geometry and their distribution pattern within the large-scale domes:

(i) In the top of the domes, cm to m-scale tight folds with horizontal axial-planes resemble ptgymatitic folds (Fig. 4D).

(ii) Meter-scale upright folds with vertical axial plane that are preponderant along the E-W trending synformal keel that surrounds the domes, some larger 100-500 m-scale upright folds might be inferred from $S_2$ orientation changes.

(iii) Along dome limbs, where $S_2$ is moderately to steeply dipping, cm- to m-scale recumbent folds verging away from the dome culmination are observed in diatexite.
(iv) Intrafolial folds are the commonest ones that range in size from ten centimetres to few meters with axes parallel the L2 stretching direction (Fig. 4E).

Within diatexites, the progressive isoclinal folding of melanocratic layers accounts for transposition of an earlier disrupted compositional migmatitic S2 foliation to a new one here denoted as S2n (Figs. 4D and 4E). Such a transposition is frequently observed in the central and upper parts of the domes where the migmatitic foliation is flat lying to moderately dipping. In some outcrops, Dirks et al. (1997) recognized at least six overprinting foliation-forming and folding events. At the whole HPB scale, transposition is mainly observed in the upper part of the Middle Diatexite where steeply dipping S2 is progressively changed in an horizontal one (Fig. 8). In addition, some high strained domains concentrated as highly stromatitic migmatites occur along vertical or steeply dipping foliation that delimitate dome in the Middle Diatexite (Fig. 4F).

4.2.3. Westward non-coaxial regime

In the western part of the HPB, the domal architecture turns gradually to a flat-lying structure defined by a relatively constant S2 foliation that preferentially dips westward (Figs. 3A and 3C). The D2 finite strain is heterogeneous with high strain domains concentrated along cm- to dm-scale shear zones where in-source leucosome or leucocratic vein commonly occur. The L2 lineation conspicuously plunges W or SW (Figs. 3C, 5 and 6). Kinematic
indicators attest for a top-to-the W and SW normal shearing. This kinematic record is in agreement with previous work (Zhang et al., 1994; Dirks et al., 1997).

4.2.4. Sinistral strike-slip shearing

In the westernmost edge of the HPB, S1-2 is steeply dipping with a L2 lineation that plunges weakly to moderately toward the southwest along which a sinistral strike-like movement with a normal component is observed (Figs. 3A and 3C). This sinistral strike-slip shearing is transitional in space with the westward one. A sinistral strike slip deformation is also observed in the northeastern edge of the PMZ, along the Longhua shear zone, north Chengde Massif, whereas few kinematic indicators attest for syn-anatectic dextral shearing along the hangingwall of the DCSZ, in Damiao village area (Fig. 3A).

4.2.5. Northward verging syn-anatectic folding in the Hengshan Massif

In the Hengshan Massif (southern PMZ), the S1-2 foliation is folded by open to tight, north verging, recumbent folds. Leucosomes concentrate in shear bands developed along the limbs and axial surfaces of the folds that constitute dilatants sites where melt preferentially migrate into. This fold axial plane is considered as a S2n since the S1-2 is folded. This syn-anatectic north verging folding is mainly observed south of the Zhujiafang Shear Zone, outside of the HPB (Fig. 9A).

[ Figure 9 ]

4.3. D3 Deformation stage
The D3 deformation stage developed under subsolidus conditions. The E-W trending flow is also recognized in a subsolidus state during D3. In the central part of the HPB, S3 is flat lying and parallel to S2. Along the L3 mineral lineation, symmetric pressure shadows or porphyroclast systems argue for a coaxial regime (Fig. 9B). In some outcrops, a symmetric D3 boudinage (Fig. 9C) is also in agreement with a D3 coaxial flow, even if sometimes, a syn-anatectic D2 fabric is still preserved within the lenses. In the western part of the HPB, D3 shows a SW to W directed shearing that appears as parallel to the D2 strain.

The most prominent manifestation of D3 is the Datong-Chengde Shear Zone (DCSZ) that appears as a km-scale ductile normal shear zone (Figs. 3A and 3F). In its eastern part, the shear zone separates into a northern branch, the Longhua shear zone (LSZ) and a southern branch, the Damiao shear zone (DSZ; Fig. 3). S3 is a NW to N dipping mylonitic foliation that contains a NW plunging L3 stretching lineation (Figs. 10A, 10B and 10C). East of Chengde, between Sangou and Wutache, the S3 mylonitic foliation becomes near horizontal. Kinematic indicators show a top-to the NW displacement (Figs. 10D and 10E). Thus, in its present geometry, the DCSZ has a normal plus left-lateral kinematics. The sinistral strike-slip component is more pronounced in the western part of the DCSZ (Figs. 3 and 7).

The D3 mylonitic fabric developed at the granulite/amphibolite facies transition as evidenced by destabilisation of Opx porphyroblasts dynamically recrystallized in an assemblage of orthopyroxene + amphibole + plagioclase (Fig. 10D). It is noteworthy that the DCSZ mainly developed in the footwall of the Middle Diatexite of the HPB. Along the eastern part of the DCSZ, some segments may have been reactivated during Paleozoic as expressed by the brittle-ductile steeply dipping Shangyi-Chicheng Fault (Hu et al., 2003).

4.4. D4 Deformation stage: the late Zhujiafang strike-slip shearing
In the northern part of the Hengshan massif, the flat-lying to SW-dipping S₂ is continuously deflected into an E–W trending steeply dipping orientation as approaching the Zhujiafang Shear Zone (ZSZ). The ZSZ is characterized by a subvertical to southward steeply dipping (>70°) mylonitic to ultramylonitic foliation that holds a horizontal to shallowly plunging stretching lineation along which a sinistral kinematics is observed (Trap et al., 2007; Wang, 2010). However, dextral component has been reported in the vicinity of the Zhujiafang shear zone (Kröner et al., 2006). Along the strike-slip shear zone, but out of the high-strain domain, a down-dip to highly plunging lineation, with the southern side moving downward, accounts for an early vertical movement (Trap et al., 2007).

5. Review of P-T paths and radiochronological data

5.1. P-T evolution of the HP rocks

The commonest relics of HP rocks encountered in the Chengde Massif, Xuanhua Massif and Datong-Huai’an-Northern Hengshan area (Fig. 1) are retrograded eclogites and high-pressure granulites. These rocks crop out as 10 cm- to 10 m scale boudins or flat sheets within amphibolite and granulite facies diatexites (Figs. 2A and 2B; Zhai et al., 1992; Zhao et al., 2000, 2001a; Guo et al., 2002; O’Brien et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006). The protoliths of the mafic granulites are magmatic rocks of doleritic and gabbroic composition interpreted as mafic dykes intruding a TTG gneissic sequence also known in the literature as the Grey Gneiss or Huai’an gneiss (Zhang et al., 1994; Guo et al., 2005; Kröner et al., 2005b; O’Brien
et al., 2005). The occurrence of felsic granulites and metapelites indicates that the entire continental crust, i.e. the TTG basement gneiss, sedimentary cover and the mafic intrusions experienced the HP eclogitic and granulitic metamorphism during the early stages of the Trans-North China Orogeny.

The HP granulites of the Hengshan, North Datong, Huai’an, and Chengde massifs experienced a rather similar evolution (Fig. 11). The reconstructed P-T paths obtained for the high-pressure mafic granulites of the HPB are characterized by a clockwise evolution with a near-isothermal decompression followed by a final cooling (Fig. 11). Peak assemblages (M₁) are defined by a granulite facies garnet + clinopyroxene ± quartz association and sometimes by an eclogite facies mineral assemblage of garnet + quartz + omphacite pseudomorphs (Zhao et al., 2001a; Zhang et al., 2006). The P-T path is then defined by medium-pressure granulite facies garnet + plagioclase + clinopyroxene + orthopyroxene ± quartz assemblage (M₂) and a low-pressure granulite facies orthopyroxene ± clinopyroxene + plagioclase ± quartz assemblage (M₃). The end of the P-T path is defined by an amphibolite facies hornblende + plagioclase assemblage (M₄). M₁ took place at 800-850 °C / 14-16 kbar, M₂ and M₃ occurred for T/P conditions of 800-825°C/10kbar and 800°C/7-8kbar, respectively. Thermo-barometric conditions of 650°C/5kbar were estimated for M₄ (Table 1; Fig 11; Zhai et al., 1992; Liu et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 1994; Zhao et al., 2000, 2001a; Guo et al., 2002, 2005; O’Brien et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2006)
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[ Figure 11 ]

5.2. Radiochronological dataset
Figure 12 and Table 2 summarize most of the available high-T radiochronological ages obtained for rocks within the HPB and its close surrounding units. This synthesis shows that the tectonic-metamorphic evolution of the TNCO can be subdivided into five periods, namely (1) 2550-2450 Ma, (2) 2300-1900 Ma, (3) ~1880 Ma, (4) ~1850 Ma (5) 1840-1800 Ma.

5.2.1. The 2550-2450 Ma period: Archean protoliths

A first pool of about twenty ages that fall into the range 2450-2550Ma is recorded in the HPB rocks (Table 2; Fig. 2). Most of the available ages recorded within the Trans-North China Orogen range between 2550 and 2450 Ma (e.g. Zhao et al. 2002, 2005, 2006; Guo et al., 2005; Kröner et al., 2005a-b; Wilde et al., 2005;). All the recent geochronological investigations using CL imaging and SHRIMP U-Pb zircon dating revealed that the ~2500 Ma ages represent protoliths ages obtained on inherited grains or core of grains (Kröner et al., 2005a-b; Wilde et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2005). Correspondingly, within the HPB, the 2550-2450 Ma ages may represent the timing of formation of the Archean-Paleoproterozoic magmatic protolith.

5.2.2. The 2300-1900 Ma period: Thermal and magmatic event

The oldest evidence for this event are a fine grained orthogneiss, a granite-gneiss and a trondhjemitic gneiss dated at 2358.7 ± 0.5, 2331 ± 36, 2329.7 ± 0.6 Ma, respectively (Table 2; Fig. 12; Kröner et al., 2005b). Three felsic granitoids, one pegmatite and one anatectic granite have also been reported by Kröner et al. (2005b) at 2248.5 ± 0.5 Ma and 2113 ± 8 Ma, respectively. One gabbroic rock from a metatexite of the OVU, south of the HPB, yielded a
SHRIMP U-Pb age at 2193 ± 15 Ma. Within the Huai’an massif, some ages around 2100 Ma are also reported from Al-rich metasediments and some granitoids such as the Dongjiagou gneissic granite dated at 2036 ± 19 Ma (G.C. Zhao et al., 2008).

Similar 2.3-2.1 Ga ages were reported in the different TNCO massifs, in particular those obtained from plutonic and volcanic rocks in the Hengshan, Wutaishan and Fuping Massifs that argue for a tectono-thermal event around 2.1 Ga (Wilde et al. 1998, 2005; Zhao et al. 2002, Trap et al., 2008). However, the significance of this event in the tectonic evolution of the NCC still remains difficult to settle. The 2.3-2.1 Ga magmatism and volcanism might correspond to the formation of a marginal back-arc basin coeval with the development of the OVU (Faure et al., 2007, Z.H. Wang et al., 2010).

In the southern part of the HPB, two sets of magmatic zircons from two mafic high-pressure granulites yielded mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages of 1915 ± 4 Ma and 1914 ± 2 Ma, respectively, interpreted as reflecting the time of emplacement of the gabbroic dyke precursors (Kröner et al., 2006). Due to the scarcity of such ages within the Trans-North China Belt, they are difficult to interpret in term of a tectono-metamorphic event. Nevertheless, the ~1915 Ma ages reported in two metapelites of the southwestern located Lüliang Massif represents a thermal or tectonic-metamorphic event older than the main regional metamorphism dated at ~1880 Ma (Trap et al., 2009b).

5.2.3. The 1880 ± 10 Ma period: time of peak metamorphism and crustal thickening

SHRIMP U–Pb ages of metamorphic zircons of 1881±8 Ma and a mean evaporation $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 1881.3±0.4 Ma have been reported in the Hengshan migmatites (Table 1; Kröner et al., 2005a, 2006). Along the Zujiashan Shear Zone, a chemical U–Th–Pb monazite age from a kyanite-bearing metapelite yield a 1883±11 Ma age (Faure et al., 2007). Trap et al.
(2007) interpreted the concordant U–Th–Pb monazite ages of 1887±4 Ma, 1886±5Ma and 1884±11 Ma from three metapelites located in the southern adjacent OVU unit as the age of the prograde amphibolite facies metamorphism coeval with nappe-stacking. In the Xuanhua Massif, Guo et al. (2005) obtained a zircon SHRIMP U-Pb age at 1872 ± 16 from the high-pressure granulites. We interpret the 1870-1890 Ma period as the time of peak metamorphism coeval with nappe-stacking and crustal thickening during D1 event. In addition, the age of 1872 ± 17 recorded in a migmatitic leucosome of granitic composition (Kröner et al., 2005b) argues for the onset of partial-melting in response to crustal thickening, in agreement with Zhang et al. (1994) that postulated that melt was present at peak-assemblage conditions during the 1870-90 Ma period.
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5.2.4. The 1850+-10 Ma period: time of widespread crustal melting

Zircon conventional U–Pb multigrain and SHRIMP U-Pb datings within the HP granulites yield pooled ages around 1850 Ma (Table 2; Fig. 12; Zhao et al. 2002, 2005, 2006; Guo et al., 2005; Kröner et al., 2005a-b; Wilde et al., 2005; Wan et al., 2006; Faure et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010a). In the Hengshan Massif, Kröner et al. (2005b) obtained metamorphic zircon U – Pb ages of 1848 ± 5 1850 ± 3, 1867 ± 23, 1859.7 ± 0.5 Ma from the high-grade granitoid gneisses and high-pressure mafic granulites. An ICP-MS U-Pb age at 1850 ± 5 Ma from metamorphic zircons rims of an anatectic leucosome has been interpreted to date partial-melting (Faure et al., 2007). In the Datong-Huai’an area, SHRIMP U-Pb dating of magmatic zircon from an anatectic charnockite and a granite (Dapinggou pluton), yield ages of 1849 ± 9.8 Ma and 1850 ± 17 Ma, respectively (Zhao et al., 2008). Furthermore, the
metamorphic zircons from TTG gneisses, Paleoproterozoic granitoids and khondalitic rocks yield similar concordant $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb ages around 1850 Ma, coeval with the emplacement of the Huai’an anatectic charnockite and Dapinggou syn-collisional granite (Zhao et al., 2008). Therefore we interpret the numerous 1850-1860 Ma ages as the date of the period corresponding to the peak of crustal melting and related anatectic plutonism. This is in agreement with Wang et al. (2010a) that compiled metamorphic zircon ages for high-grade metamorphic rocks from the whole TNCO and revealed three age peaks at 1876 ± 6 Ma, 1849 ± 2 Ma and 1814 ± 4 Ma. These authors proposed that 1845 ± 7 Ma is the best estimate for the timing of the HP metamorphism in the Huai’an Massif. In the light of the compilation we rather suggest that the 1845 Ma age corresponds to the age of peak partial-melting and magmatism that post-date the peak pressure clearly settled at ca 1880 Ma.

5.2.4. The <1850 Ma ages

A set of magmatic ages around 1820 ± 20 Ma is recorded in a charnockite pluton and some granulites blocks (Table 2; Fig.12). Rimmed zircons within a migmatitic leucosome recorded a magmatic core at 1846 ± 21 Ma and a metamorphic rim at 1819 ± 13 Ma (Wang et al., 2010a). The 1820 ± 20 Ma ages might reflect a thermal metamorphism due to a late-magmatic pulse within the partially molten HPB. Finally, the latest ages of 1806 ± 15 and 1803 ± 9 Ma (Guo et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2010a) are similar to magmatic ages of unstrained post-tectonic granites that intrude the whole TNCO (e.g. Geng et al., 2000). Therefore in the TNCO, the crust may have been partially molten during over >50 Ma as bracketed by the 1872 ± 17 and 1819 ± 13 U-Pb ages recorded for two migmatitic leucosomes (Table 2; Kröner et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2010a).
6. Discussion

6.1. Flow and exhumation of the TNCO thickened crust

Four main tectono-metamorphic events are recorded in the HPB and PMZ that successively accounted for thickening, ductile flow and exhumation of the deeply buried orogenic crust. Within the HPB and surrounding units, the early D1 event is defined by a locally preserved NW-SE trending lineation with a top-to-the SE sense of shear. This structural pattern is recognized all over the TNCO and is related to the nappe-stacking and crustal thickening during building of the orogenic wedge (Faure et al., 2007; Trap et al., 2007, 2008, 2009). This conclusion is in agreement with Dirks et al. (1997) that suggested that a NW-SE trending mineral lineation marked by sillimanite inclusions within garnet could represent the early prograde event responsible for the interleaving of metasedimentary rocks and TTG gneiss of the HPB during burial and crustal thickening. The achievement of crustal thickening might have occurred around 1880 ± 10 Ma that dates the peak M1.

During the D2 event, the SW-NE to E-W trending coaxial flow and doming that developed in the core of the HPB is interpreted to result from interplay between diapirism and SE-NW to N-S shortening, during the eastward extrusion of the deep crust. Diapirism is related to uprising of low density partially-molten and magmatic rocks (diatexites) and is responsible for transposition of the vertical foliation toward a horizontal one in the upper part of the HPB. The N-S shortening is also documented by north-verging folding in the southern and upper part of the partially molten zone, in the Hengshan massif. This north-verging folding occurred in the inner part of the orogenic wedge during ongoing compression whereas
the foreland domain suffered south-verging folding (Faure et al., 2007; Trap et al., 2007). As a consequence, the Hengshan-Wutai domain is structured as a fan-type wedge (Fig. 8; Trap et al., 2009b; Zhang et al., 2007, 2009). In the deeper part of the orogenic wedge, and together with the general E-W trending lateral flow, the top-to-the W- and top-to-the SW shearing observed in the western edge of the HPB suggests an eastward extrusion of the deep crust. The dominant sinistral strike-slip shearing observed along the northwestern and northern limit of the PMZ is also interpreted as the expression of this general eastward lateral flow of the PMZ. The flow direction turns from NNE-SSW in the southwestern part of the HPB to E-W in the middle and eastern parts, i.e. it parallels the Trans-North-China Suture.

The D2 event occurs under syn-anatectic conditions, during the retrograde metamorphic evolution that postdates M1, i.e. the part of the P-T path between M1 and M3 that follow the melt-enhanced geotherm (Fig.11). This portion of the retrogressive P-T path argues for decompression from ~14 kbar to ~8 kbar, which corresponds to nearly 20 km of unroofing (Fig. 11). As shown above in section 4, the D2 flow is mainly horizontal. Nevertheless, even a weak plunge of the L 2 lineation will be sufficient to accommodate the amount of exhumation inferred from thermobarometry. For instance, a 100 km E-W striking flow along a 10° (respectively 15°) westward plunging flow line will produce a vertical displacement of 17 km (respectively 26 km).

The D3 event developed under subsolidus conditions after the M3 metamorphism. From M3 to M4, the P-T path does no more follow the melt-enhanced geotherm and enters the stability field of amphibole (Figs. 10G and 11). The D3 event is also characterized by an E-W trending flow and the motion along the DCSZ. All along the DCSZ, a top-to-the NW normal shearing with a sinistral strike slip component has been documented (cf. section 4). The sense of shear on the channel detachment is top-to-the-WNW on its western part and top-to-the NNW along its northern part. The activity of this strike-slip shear zone may account for the
late stage of the exhumation and eastward tectonic escape of the HPB. The diatexite/metatexite transition probably played the role of a rheological boundary along which the D₃ deformation concentrated.

6.2. Critical parameters for the HPB exhumation: oroclone geometry and partial melting

Ductile flow and exhumation of the deep parts of the thickened crust are mainly controlled by the interplay between boundary forces and gravity related forces (i.e. buoyancy forces). Along an orogenic belt, boundary forces mostly change as a function of the belt geometry due to the shape of crustal blocks involved in the collision. Gravity related forces driven by buoyancy change in response to lateral variations of crustal thickness (Royden, 1996; Rey et al., 2001). Modifications of rock density due to metamorphic reactions, partial-melting and magma displacement are the main parameters that control the buoyancy force (Vanderhaeghe, 2009). Hereafter, we discuss the concurrent effect of oroclinal bending and partial-melting to produce the finite architecture of the High Pressure Belt.

6.3.1. TNCO geometry and boundary forces balance

The PMZ lies in a specific location along the Trans-North China Suture from the core of the orocline and to the eastern part of the belt. Along the N-S trending branch of the Trans-North China Orogen, where the crustal nappes are rooted in the TNCS (Faure et al., 2007; Trap et al., 2009b), the tectonic regime is compressional, whereas along the E-W part of the belt, a strike-slip regime is predominant. One might consider that boundary forces are greater in the compressional domain than in the strike-slip dominant one. Therefore, the ongoing compression of the thickening orogenic root is responsible for forceful lateral eastward
extrusion-exhumation of the deep rocks softened by partial-melting. The transpressional regime progressively turns into a transtensional one concentrated along the DCSZ.

6.3.2. Partial melting

Onset of partial-melting and formation of metatexites at peak metamorphism is responsible for a first strength drop of the buried continental crust. This rheological softening may have enhanced the lateral flow of the thickened crust which is also controlled by the bulk architecture of the orogen. The radiometric ages recorded in the HPB show that the deep crust was partially molten over a 50 Ma lasting period.

During this time-scale, the partially molten crust evolved. Firstly the melt fraction increased due to decompression related reactions. Secondly, the melt migrated and was collected in the diatexite level, such as describe by Vanderhahge (2009) in several orogenic belts. This led to the subdivision of the PMZ with a core made of diatexites mantled by metatexites, the former forming a high volume, low density body complete around 1850 Ma.

Within this partially molten crust, the HPB was delimited by two main discontinuities, the DCSZ and the ZSZ that both developed along the diatexite-metatexite boundary. This subdivision is responsible for a second strength drop with deformation accommodated along the diatexite/metatexite transition. The transtensional regime observed along the DCSZ has been triggered by the gravitational-thermal instability created by the migration and accumulation of melt within the crust. Similarly, the ZSZ is located along the metatexite-diatexite boundary along which strain localized during deformation coeval with crustal melting and continues at sub-solidus state. The ZSZ certainly participated to the unroofing of the HPB. Indeed, a vertical offset of about 15 km between both sides of the shear zone has
been documented (O’Brien et al., 2005). However, the D4 sinistral strike slip shearing completely erased any previous D2 fabric evidence along this shear zone.

In several HP rocks occurrences worldwide, the decompressional steep part of the P-T-t paths commonly argues for rapid upward movement of the HP units that prevents thermal reequilibrium (e.g. Platt, 1993; Selverstone et al., 1992; Štípská and Schulmann, 1995). Following this assumption, Guo et al (2002) considered that the geometry of the ITD part of the P-T-path recorded in the HPB suggests that the rate of exhumation was clearly high at the beginning of unroofing. However, the main part of the adiabatic decompression for the HPB rocks occurred for high-temperature suprasolidus conditions, and follows the melt-enhanced geotherm (Fig. 11; Depine et al., 2008). The evolution followed by the high-pressure rocks then remained isothermal during unroofing because of thermal buffering by melting reactions (Depine et al., 2008). Thus, an appraisal of the exhumation rates can hardly be derived from the shape of the P-T path even in the case of the steep decompressional path recorded in the HPB. Further studies focused on the construction of precise P-T-t-deformation paths are needed to estimate the exhumation rate of the HPB.

7. Conclusion

The HP rocks of the TNCO are exhumed through lateral flow of a partially molten channel and subsequent detachment shearing. This exhumation history was controlled by the bulk architecture of the TNCO orocline and by intense partial-melting of the thickened crust. Partial-melting is critical since it triggers strength drop and lateral flow and buffers temperature allowing the preservation of a high thermal regime and weakness of the crust for a long time period (~50 Ma). These rheological conditions may have accommodated ductile, along-strike flow over more than 100 km that corresponds to ca. 20 km in vertical component
of unroofing. Therefore the onset of partial-melting in the deep crust is the critical threshold in the collision orogeny, from which compressional forces are balanced by orogen-parallel lateral escape. During the ongoing flow of the partially molten crust, melt migration yield to the development of diatexite unit and two rheological discontinuities at the metatexite/diatexite boundaries. These two limits are the preferred site along which subsolidus ductile deformation concentrate as shown by the Chengde-Datong Shear Zone that is responsible for the late stage of exhumation of the HP rocks.
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Figure captions

Figure 1. A: Paleoproterozoic-Archean massifs of the North China Craton (NCC) and location of the Trans-North China Orogen (TNCO). B: Lithotectonic map of the Trans-North China Orogen, with the three-fold subdivision of the NCC and two suture zones as described in Faure et al. (2007) and Trap et al. (2007, 2008, 2009a-b). First interpreted boundaries of the TNCO, from Zhao et al. (2000) are also represented in dashed line.

Figure 2. Photographs of some morphological types of migmatitic rocks within the Partially-Molten Zone (PMZ). A: Mafic metatexites from the Lower Metatexite (N40°51.942/E115°38.334). Some peritectic garnet porphyroblasts are no more contoured by peritectic melt, and this weak amount of leucosome argues for melt loss. The rock suffered retrogradation in amphibolite facies. B: HP mafic granulite block within a diatexite from the Middle Diatexite (N40°45.733/E114°20.968). Insert: typical retrograde amphibole and plagioclase in kelyphites around garnet porphyroblasts. C: Diatexite from the Northern Hengshan Massif showing the magmatic fabric marked by alignment of schlieren (N40°45.027/E114°20.965). D: Schollen diatexit e (N40°40.126/E116°40.298). E: Metatexite formed at the expense of an Al-rich metasediment (khondalite of the Upper Metatexite Unit (N40°14.445/E113°17.739)). The rock contains a high melt fraction and shows some high-strain domains (e.g. right of the hammer). F: Patch metatexite with a very low melt fraction
formed at the onset on melting (Outer Metatexit, North of CDSZ, N41°01.510/E115°00.715).

Figure 3. Structural map and synoptic representation of D₁, D₂, D₃ and D₄ structural elements over the whole HPB and its surroundings units. Poles to foliation and lineations are plotted in the lower hemisphere of equal-area stereographic projections.

Figure 4. Photographs of some D₂ structural elements within the partially-molten HPB rocks. A: Upper Metatexit located in the central part of the HPB showing a flat lying S₂ foliation (N40°22.992/E114°28.92). Leucosome located inside inter-boudins partitions attests for syn-anatetic vertical shortening, E-W horizontal stretching and migration of anatetic melts from highly shortened foliation planes toward dilatants sites. B: Upper metatexit located along the northern limit of the HPB. S₂ is E-W trending and vertical, and leucosome within inter-boudins partitions attests for syn-anatetic N-S horizontal shortening (N41°02.211/E116°55.593). C: Nebulitic diatexit with a disharmonic fold pattern (N40°44.860/E114°20.933). D: Within Middle Diatexit, mafic melanosome within more leucocratic neosome that marks decimeter-scale tight folds with horizontal axial-planes, in the top of a dome (N40°44.404/E114°20.726). E: Within Middle Diatexit, intrafolial fold outlined by a melanocratic layer within more leucocratic neosome that accounts for transposition of an earlier disrupted compositional migmatitic S₂ foliation (N40°45.540/E114°20.835). F: Within Middle Diatexit, high strained stromatitic migmatites with a steeply dipping S₂ foliation (N40°45.339/E114°20.908).

Figure 5. Geological and structural map of the Datong-Huai’an area (see location in figure 3).
Figure 6. Geological and structural map of the Xuanhua massif (see location in figure 3).

Figure 7. Geological and structural map of the Chengde massif (see location in figure 3).

Figure 8. Cross-sections throughout the HPB in the Datong-Huai’an area (A), the Xuanhua massif (B) and the Chengde massif (C and D). Insert: location of the cross-sections.

Figure 9. Photographs of D₂ and D₃ deformation features. A: Syn-anatetic north verging folds with anatetic melt filling the fold axial plane schistosity, in the metatexites developed at the expense of the Orthogneiss-Volcanite Unit (N39°07.828/E112°51.078). B: Subsolidus deformation with S₃ development parallel to S₂ (N40°50.207/E115°41.505). C: Subsolidus D₃ boudinage with preserved D₂ fabric within boudins (N40°22.600/E114°28.131).

Figure 10. Photographs of D₃ fabric along the Datong-Chengde Shear Zone. A: S₃ mylonitic foliation developed in an Al-rich gneiss within the northwestern part of the DCZS (Huai’an area (N40°35.164/E113°02.449)). B: Moderately plunging L₃ lineation (N41°00.306/E116°25.129). C: NW-SE trending L₃ lineation hold by a flat lying S₃ mylonitic foliation, east of Chengde village (N41°04.034/E118°19.945). D: The D₃ mylonitic fabric with top-to-the NW shearing developed during destabilisation of Opx porphyroblasts dynamically recrystallized in an assemblage of orthopyroxene + amphibole + plagioclase (N41°08.979/E117°39.280). E: Microphotograph of the mylonitic fabric of the rock of the figure 10D, with the top-to-the NW normal kinematics shown by sigma-type and shear band criteria.
Figure 11. Combined thermobarometric data and P-T paths for mafic HP granulites within the HPB. The bold gray dotted line is the melt-enhanced geotherm calculated for dehydration melting of a hornblende+quartz+/−plagioclase and considering upward melt migration (Depine et al., 2008)

Figure 12. Compilation and frequency diagrams for U-Pb, Pb-Pb, U-Th/Pb ages reported from the HPB. A: For the whole dataset (81 ages). B: For ages ranging from 1900 to 1800 Ma.

Table 1. Compilation of thermobarometric data recorded for the High-Pressure mafic rocks within the HPB.

Table 2. Geochronological data set of in-situ SHRIMP zircon U-Pb ages (a), U-Th-Pb EPMA monazite ages (b), ICP-MS U-Pb zircons dates (c), (d), and single grain evaporation $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages (e), published for the High-Pressure Belt and adjacent surrounding units. The set is arranged in decreasing order.
Table 1. Compilation of thermobarometric data recorded for the high-pressure mafic rocks within the HPB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Massif</th>
<th>Prograde assemblage</th>
<th>Peak assemblage</th>
<th>Retrograde assemblages</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Label (Fig. 11) (P–T path)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Massif</td>
<td>Early</td>
<td>Late</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P, sP (kbar)</td>
<td>T, sT (°C)</td>
<td>P, sP (kbar)</td>
<td>T, sT (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Hengshan Massif</td>
<td></td>
<td>(18–20)</td>
<td>(&gt; 750)</td>
<td>7.5–8, 1</td>
<td>750–830, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.4–15.5, 1.5</td>
<td>770–840, 50</td>
<td>6.5–6, 1.2</td>
<td>680–790, 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14–15</td>
<td>(&gt; 800)</td>
<td>7–8</td>
<td>750–800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 11</td>
<td>&gt; 800</td>
<td>5–6</td>
<td>650–700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9–11</td>
<td>780–850</td>
<td>7–8, 0.5–1.5</td>
<td>730–850, 20–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9–12</td>
<td>840–890</td>
<td>7–8, 0.5–1.5</td>
<td>740–840, 20–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>840–890</td>
<td>7–8, 0.5–1.5</td>
<td>740–840, 20–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8, 1</td>
<td>650, 50</td>
<td>10, 1</td>
<td>825, 50</td>
<td>6, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chengde Massif</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>760–800</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>760–800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Massif: Massif; Prograde assemblage: Prograde assemblage; Peak assemblage: Peak assemblage; Retrograde assemblages: Retrograde assemblages; References: References to the studies; Label (Fig. 11): Label for the figure.
Table 2. Geochronological data set of in-situ SHRIMP zircon U–Pb ages (a), U–Th–Pb EPMA monazite ages (b), ICP-MS U–Pb zircon dates (c), (d), and single grain evaporation 207Pb/206Pb ages (e), published for the High-Pressure Belt and adjacent surrounding units. The set is arranged in decreasing order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Ages (Ma)</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Sample description</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2712 ± 2</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990843 Trondhjemitic migmatite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2701 ± 5.5</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990838 Gray biotite gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2697.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980811 Granodioritic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2670.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980824 Fine-grained biotite gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2526 ± 4.7</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(a) 990821 Fine-grained biotite gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2524 ± 8</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990847 Trondhjemitic migmatite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2506 ± 5</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990859 Dioritic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2504.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 990854 Trondhjemitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2504.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 990845 Tonalitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2503.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980838 Trondhjemitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2502.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980803 Homogenous felsic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2502.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 990871 Tonalitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2501 ± 3</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 989809 Coarse grained pegmatitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2500.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980802 Homogenous tonalitic gneiss (diatexite)</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2499 ± 6</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990873 Migmatite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2498.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980845 Trondhjemitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2496.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980825 Fine-grained granite–gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2494.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980833 Granitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>2479 ± 3</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 980814 Dioritic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2455 ± 2</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990803 Dioritic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>2358.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 980806 Fine-grained orthogneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>2331 ± 36</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(a) HG4 Pegmatitic granite–gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>2329.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 19990850 Layered trondhjemitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>2248.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>(e) 990881 Pegmatite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>2201 ± 68</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) M17 HP granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2193 ± 15</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) WS85 Gabbro</td>
<td>OVU</td>
<td>Z.H. Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>2113 ± 8</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) 990844 Anatectic granite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>2040 ± 39</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) M17 HP granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1915 ± 13</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) Core of a HP-granulite boudin</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1914 ± 26</td>
<td>Rim or unzoned grain</td>
<td>(a) Gabbroic dyke</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Ages (Ma)</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Sample description</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1887 ± 8</td>
<td>1919 ± 12</td>
<td>Sill-bearing micaschist</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Trap et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1887 ± 4</td>
<td>(b) W109</td>
<td>Grt–St-bearing micaschist</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Trap et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1886 ± 5</td>
<td>(b) W175</td>
<td>Grt–St-Ky-bearing gneiss</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Trap et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1884 ± 11</td>
<td>(b) H29</td>
<td>Grt–St-Ky-bearing gneiss</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Trap et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1883 ± 11</td>
<td>(b) FP35</td>
<td>Bt-Grt–Ky–St gneiss</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Faure et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1881 ± 8</td>
<td>(a) 990083</td>
<td>Diortitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1881 ± 0.4</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>HP granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>1872 ± 12</td>
<td>(b) FP360</td>
<td>Sill-bearing micaschist</td>
<td>OLVU</td>
<td>Trap et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>1872 ± 17</td>
<td>(a) HG1</td>
<td>Granitic gneiss–migmatitic leucosome</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1872 ± 16</td>
<td>(a) XW22</td>
<td>HP granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>1871 ± 14</td>
<td>(a) TW358/1</td>
<td>Grt-bearing orthogneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Wan et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1867 ± 23</td>
<td>(a) HG2</td>
<td>HP granulite mafic dyke boudin</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>1859.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>Mafic retrograded eclogite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1857 ± 16</td>
<td>1947 ± 22</td>
<td>O6M02 Cordierite–Grt–FK granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1856.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>(e)</td>
<td>Melt pachte within gabbroic boudin</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1853 ± 28</td>
<td>2503 ± 17</td>
<td>08MQG30 TTG gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1853 ± 15</td>
<td>1964 ± 13</td>
<td>08MQG22 Migmatitic khondalite (neosome)</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1851 ± 5</td>
<td>(a) Ch990839</td>
<td>Melt pachte within gabbroic boudin</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>1850 ± 5</td>
<td>2686 ± 7</td>
<td>FP52 Migmatitic leucosome</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Faure et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1850 ± 3</td>
<td>(a) M068</td>
<td>HP-retrograded eclogite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Kröner et al. (2005b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1850 ± 17</td>
<td>(a) M24</td>
<td>Dapinggou garnet-bearing S-type granite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1850 ± 15</td>
<td>1946 ± 26</td>
<td>01M20 Graphite–Grt–Sill gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>1849 ± 9.8</td>
<td>(a) M22</td>
<td>Anatetic garnet (Huai'an charnockite)</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>1848 ± 19</td>
<td>(a) M17</td>
<td>HP mafic granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1847 ± 17</td>
<td>2515 ± 20</td>
<td>M21 Tonalitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>1847 ± 11</td>
<td>2440 ± 26</td>
<td>M23 Granodioritic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>1844 ± 66</td>
<td>(a) TW0006/1</td>
<td>Graphite–Grt–Sill gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Wan et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>1842 ± 10</td>
<td>2499 ± 19</td>
<td>M19 Trondhjemitic gneiss</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>1842 ± 10</td>
<td>(a) 08MQG23</td>
<td>Migmatitic khondalite (neosome)</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>J. Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1839 ± 22</td>
<td>1964 ± 38</td>
<td>08MQG26 HP mafic granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>J. Wang et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Ages (Ma)</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Sample description</td>
<td>Unit</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>1839 ± 46</td>
<td>M28</td>
<td>Core</td>
<td>2036 ± 16</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2008a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>1833 ± 23</td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>HP mafic granulite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>1820 ± 21</td>
<td>(d)</td>
<td>Charnockite</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1819 ± 16</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>XW23</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1819 ± 13</td>
<td>1846 ± 21</td>
<td>08MQG28</td>
<td>Leucosome og migmatitic TTG</td>
<td>HPB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>1817 ± 12</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>MJ36</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>1817 ± 12</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>MJ35</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>1806 ± 15</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>08MQG29</td>
<td>FK + Q pegmatite dyke</td>
<td>HPB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>1803 ± 9</td>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>XW22</td>
<td>HPB</td>
<td>Guo et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>