



HAL
open science

Ocean gravity models from future satellite missions

Gilles Louis, Marie-Françoise Lequentrec-Lalancette, Jean-Yves Royer, Didier Rouxel, Louis Géli, Marcia Maia, Mathilde Faillot

► To cite this version:

Gilles Louis, Marie-Françoise Lequentrec-Lalancette, Jean-Yves Royer, Didier Rouxel, Louis Géli, et al.. Ocean gravity models from future satellite missions. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 2010, 91 (3), pp.21-22. 10.1029/2010EO030001 . insu-00465546

HAL Id: insu-00465546

<https://insu.hal.science/insu-00465546>

Submitted on 13 Aug 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Copyright

EOS

EOS, TRANSACTIONS, AMERICAN GEOPHYSICAL UNION

VOLUME 91 NUMBER 3

19 JANUARY 2010

PAGES 21–28

Ocean Gravity Models From Future Satellite Missions

PAGES 21–22

Over the past 3 decades, satellite altimetry has been a key tool for dynamic ocean studies and for accurately estimating sea surface heights. The geodetic reference surface—the “geoid”—can be approximated as the mean sea surface height of an ocean corrected for dynamic terms such as tides and currents. It is an equipotential surface of the gravity field; and variations of this field are quantified as free-air anomalies, from which density heterogeneities of the oceanic basement can be inferred.

Using such data in combination with other geophysical data, scientists have improved their knowledge of the nature of submarine relief and underlying structures. In solid Earth geophysics, major breakthroughs came from the development of high-resolution marine gravity models based on closely spaced altimetry profiles collected during the U.S. Navy’s Geosat satellite geodetic mission (launched in 1985) and the first version of the European Remote Sensing satellite geodetic mission (ERS 1, launched in 1991). These were combined with other repetitive profiles from the international TOPEX/POSEIDON satellite (launched in 1992); ERS 1; TOPEX/POSEIDON’s successor, Jason (launched in 2001); and the European Space Agency’s (ESA) Envisat missions (ERS’s successors [see, e.g., *Sandwell and Smith, 1997; Andersen and Knudsen, 1998*]).

These missions helped scientists to quantify free-air anomalies. Such satellite-derived free-air anomalies, combined with existing bathymetric data, allowed the calculation of a global predicted bathymetry, of great use for planning cruises and other geodynamic and lithospheric studies [*Smith and Sandwell, 1997; Calmant et al., 2002; Ramillien and Cazenave, 1997*]. Further, knowledge of the fine-scaled variations in bathymetry and sea surface heights through analyzing free-air anomalies have improved the study of ocean circulation, maps of the continental shelves near coastlines, surveys of natural resources, predictions of tectonic plate reorganizations, and the detection of earthquakes and tsunamis.

Recent advances in technology promise geodetic analysis using satellite altimetry

on a very fine scale. This has forced scientists to evaluate their needs in light of ever tightening governmental and institutional science budgets. From community discussions, two mission scenarios, the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) project and the Gravity From Altimetry (GRAL) project, have emerged to help advance marine geophysical studies in the 21st century (see Figure 1).

The Need for a Dedicated Satellite Altimetry Mission

Satellite altimetry data are typically fed into gravity models that have been thoroughly tested against shipborne marine gravity data [*Rapp, 1998; Rapp and Yi, 1997;*

Featherstone, 2002; Denker and Roland, 2003; Small and Sandwell, 1992]. Differences between these data sets average about 12 milligals, equivalent to a few centimeters in mean sea level depending on the geographical areas, the proximity to coasts, the presence of permanent currents, etc.

Initial gravity models were able to resolve features as small as 25 kilometers. Newer models, using retracking (i.e., repicking arrival times of radar pulses and recalculating orbit heights), improved this resolution to 16 kilometers. However, this quality decreases considerably near coastal shelves or in rough bathymetric areas, where the resolution remains no better than in the initial models. These values represent the upper quality limits of the models currently available (e.g., the Sandwell V16.1 [*Sandwell and Smith, 2009*] or Andersen and Knudsen DNSC08 models).

Such limitations are partly due to altimetric technology, which prevents the exploitation of measurements close to the coastlines




Fig. 1. Standard altimetry on the TOPEX/POSEIDON satellite and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) satellites (such as the upcoming CryoSat 2) traces out discrete footprints on the Earth’s surface. The proposed Gravity From Altimetry (GRAL) project modifies this footprint approach, whereas the proposed Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) project traces out a swath. Image compiled from the European Space Agency and Rodriguez [2008].

and limits the space resolution along the satellite tracks to 7 kilometers after reprocessing. The resolution across track remains unchanged. In addition, the altimetry missions were mostly designed for oceanography purposes, which prioritized short-time repetitiveness of the orbits (i.e., large track spacing) over spatial coverage (i.e., non-repetitive orbits) and thus degraded the spatial resolution of the whole.

As a result, except for geodetic missions with drifting orbits, altimetric missions have been generally dedicated to accurate dynamic oceanic studies, with highly repetitive tracks spaced some 100 kilometers apart. Other missions are subject specific (such as the soon-to-be-launched CryoSat 2, an ESA mission to study sea ice). So despite significant improvements in the accuracy of altimeters, the spatial coverage remains largely inadequate for geodetic purposes.

In an attempt to overcome these limitations, a mission called Altimetric Bathymetry From Surface Slopes (ABYSS) was proposed in the early 2000s [Smith *et al.*, 2001], with the goal of reaching the ultimate resolution allowable by the laws of physics to predict bathymetry from space (about 8 kilometers, or twice the ocean average depth). Spurred by a white paper published in 2001 [Sandwell *et al.*, 2001] and a workshop in 2002, the geodetic community agreed that such satellite-borne radar altimetry appeared as the least expensive and most realistic way to obtain a global high-resolution gravity model over the world's oceans. However, the ABYSS proposal was rejected by funding agencies.

Spatial gravity missions such as the ESA's Gravity Field and Steady-State Ocean Circulation Explorer (GOCE), launched in March 2009 with an onboard gradiometer, will directly provide global gravity field and geoid data but with a spatial resolution no better than 100 kilometers. The GOCE data will especially improve the standardization of the world geodetic references [Johannesen *et al.*, 2003].

Nonetheless, a dedicated satellite altimetry mission still remains the best means to bridge the resolution gap between spacecraft and shipborne gravimetry. It can usefully take advantage of the long-wavelength gravity from the GOCE mission and fill in short-wavelength information. Scientists are now seeking to rally the scientific community around an altimetric mission with the primary objective being to provide a high-resolution mean sea surface and geoid, with SWOT and GRAL as the leading candidates.

Requirements and Inherent Limitations

The objective of a new high-resolution altimetry mission is to reach the quality level of shipborne gravity surveys and get as close as possible to a resolution of 1 kilometer. This spatial resolution corresponds to the size of the smallest cell within gravity models. Thus, a high resolution is directly linked to a small altimeter footprint. Most current

missions have a ground footprint of nearly 60 square kilometers, whereas frequency delay-Doppler and single-aperture radar technologies or data retracking provide a better resolution.

Currently, the smallest achievable measurement cell size for gravity models ranges from 4.2 to 5.3 kilometers on a side, depending on the specifications of the satellite altimetry data that feed the model. This size may be reduced to a promising 1 kilometer using swath altimetry [Fu and Ferrari, 2008], but the signal still needs to be integrated over a larger surface to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Moreover, the ranging precision has to be improved by a factor of 2. A requirement of 1 milligal roughly corresponds to the instrument being able to measure 1 centimeter in height over as much as 10 kilometers in distance [Sandwell *et al.*, 2001].

The data coverage, required to be as uniform as possible over the whole oceanic surface, depends on the repeatability of the satellite orbits and on their inclination. Considering a classical altimeter, only a nearly drifting orbit with low repetitiveness will produce ground tracks not spaced more than the size of the footprint. Further, the orbit inclination must be as high as possible ($>85^\circ$) to cover the polar regions.

The SWOT Project

Given these requirements and limitations, the SWOT project [Fu and Ferrari, 2008] may represent a unique opportunity for solid Earth sciences. Similar to how swath bathymetry echo sounding revolutionized seafloor surveys in the early 1980s, the ambitious and revolutionary SWOT project seeks to perform swath altimetric measurements. Hence, instead of averaging over the whole footprint surface, it will rake the oceans and determine the height along the width of the swath. The principle of swath altimetry relies on across-track measurements of radar reflectance and interference patterns. By illuminating a wide strip perpendicular to the satellite's track and by receiving the signal on two antennas sufficiently distant, the altimeter will be able to measure in stereo the height of the ocean surface.

Such technology would allow scientists to reach a kilometeric resolution for the first computed cells of gravity models [Rodriguez, 2008]. SWOT's expected performance of 1-centimeter resolution in height for every 5 kilometers on the ocean heavily depends on how precisely the distance and the attitude between the antennas, about 20 meters apart, can be monitored. The instrument's proposed 78° orbital inclination will ensure coverage of most of the polar regions and will leave no gaps in track coverage while ensuring convenient time sampling, with an approximate repetitiveness of 11 days. However, the first 3 months will be a calibration and validation phase, in which spatial coverage will be ignored but repetitiveness will be tested at 3 days to improve resolution

calibration [Rodriguez, 2008]. SWOT's launch is envisioned for 2016.

The GRAL Proposal

SWOT is ambitious, and given current tight science budgets for government institutions and universities, a simpler alternative might be necessary. Fortunately, there is a less expensive and technologically robust alternative to the ambitious SWOT project to measure accurately the mean sea surface. Reviving the Water Inclination Topography and Technology Experiment (WITTEX) [Raney and Porter, 2001], the GRAL mission concept consists of a constellation of three nanosatellites weighing less than 10 kilograms each and equipped with classical footprint altimeters that follow each other along the same near-polar orbit. The principle is to obtain instantaneous differential altimetric or slope measurements, both along and across track, thanks to the constellation configuration.

The expected performance of GRAL matches that of SWOT. These satellites would be launched together, and the high ratio of performance to cost would make GRAL a tractable alternative for acquiring a high-resolution geoid (Figure 1).

Next Steps: Picking a Project

It is clear that improvements in both the accuracy and the spatial resolution needed to uniformly describe short-wavelength anomalies of the mean sea surface, geoid, or derived gravity field require a new high-resolution altimetric mission as a complement to the GOCE mission. For a comparison of SWOT and GRAL with past and future missions, in terms of repeat cycles, inclination, and data resolution, please see the electronic supplement to this *Eos* issue (http://www.agu.org/eos_elec/).

Of the two leading satellite altimetry mission scenarios, SWOT is the most likely to meet community requirements, but GRAL has the benefit of being a simpler, lower-cost alternative. GRAL perhaps could be a first guess to high-resolution gravity modeling, with SWOT following later, depending on new technology, designs, and financial costs.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by France's Centre National d'Études Spatiales (CNES). We acknowledge Thalès Alénia Space, D. Sandwell, W. Smith, and two anonymous reviewers for their input. The authors helped to develop the idea of GRAL.

References

- Andersen, O. B., and P. Knudsen (1998), Global marine gravity field from the ERS 1 and Geosat geodetic mission altimetry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **103**(C4), 8129–8137.
- Calmant, S., M. Berge-Nguyen, and A. Cazenave (2002), Global seafloor topography from a least-squares inversion of altimetry-based high-

resolution mean sea surface and shipboard sounding, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 151(3), 795–808.

Denker, H., and M. Roland (2003), Compilation and evaluation of a consistent marine gravity data set surrounding Europe, paper presented at the 23rd General Assembly of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics, Sapporo, Japan, 30 June to 11 July.

Featherstone, W. E. (2002), Comparison of different satellite altimeter-derived gravity anomaly grids with ship-borne gravity data around Australia, in *Proceedings of the Third Meeting of the International Gravity and Geoid Commission*, edited by I. N. Tziavos, pp. 326–331, Ziti Ed., Thessaloniki, Greece.

Fu, L. L., and R. Ferrari (2008), A summary of the workshop on Mesoscale and Submesoscale Oceanic Processes: Explorations With Wide-Swath Interferometry Radar Altimetry, Scripps Inst. of Oceanogr., La Jolla, Calif., 28–30 April.

Johannessen, J. A., et al. (2003), The European Gravity Field and Steady-State Ocean Circulation Explorer Satellite mission: Its impact on geophysics, *Surv. Geophys.*, 24(4), 339–386.

Ramillien, G., and A. Cazenave (1997), Global bathymetry derived from altimeter data of the ERS-1 geodetic mission, *J. Geodyn.*, 23(2), 129–149.

Raney, R. K., and D. L. Porter (2001), WITTEX: An innovative three-satellite radar altimeter concept, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 39(11), 2387–2391.

Rapp, R. H. (1998), Comparison of altimeter-derived and ship gravity anomalies in the vicinity of the Gulf of California, *Mar. Geod.*, 21(4), 245–259.

Rapp, R. H., and Y. Yi (1997), Role of ocean variability and dynamic ocean topography in the recovery of the mean sea surface and gravity anomalies from satellite altimeter data, *J. Geod.*, 71(10), 617–629.

Rodriguez, E. (2008), SWOT technology and expected performances: Synthesis of the workshop at the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, Calif., 28–30 April.

Sandwell, D. T., and W. H. F. Smith (1997), Marine gravity anomaly from Geosat and ERS 1 satellite altimetry, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(B5), 10,039–10,054.

Sandwell, D. T., and W. H. F. Smith (2009), Global marine gravity from retracked Geosat and ERS 1 altimetry: Ridge segmentation versus spreading rate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, B01411, doi:10.1029/2008JB006008.

Sandwell, D. T., W. H. F. Smith, S. Gille, S. Jayne, K. Soofi, and B. Coakley (2001), Bathymetry from space, in *Report of the High-Resolution Ocean Topography Science Working Group Meeting*, edited by D. B. Chelton, p. 87, Oreg. State Univ., Corvallis.

Small, C., and D. T. Sandwell (1992), A comparison of satellite and shipboard gravity measurements in the Gulf of Mexico, *Geophysics*, 57(7), 885–893.

Smith, W. H. F., and D. T. Sandwell (1997), Global sea floor topography from satellite altimetry

and ship depth soundings, *Science*, 277(5334), 1956–1962.

Smith, W. H., et al. (2001), The ABYSS mission: Satellite altimetry optimized for seafloor geophysics, *Eos Trans. AGU*, 82(47), Fall Meet. Suppl., Abstract OS12C-0438.

Author Information

Gilles Louis, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Brest, France; also at Université de Brest, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Domaines Océaniques, Plouzané, France; Marie-Françoise Lequentrec-Lalancette, Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine/Groupe de Recherche en Géodésie Spatiale, Brest, France; E-mail: lalancette@shom.fr; Jean-Yves Royer, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Brest, France; also at Université de Brest, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Domaines Océaniques, Plouzané, France; Didier Rouxel, Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine/Groupe de Recherche en Géodésie Spatiale, Brest, France; Louis Géli, Marine Geosciences Department, Ifremer, Plouzané, France; Marcia Maña, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Brest, France; also at Université de Brest, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique Domaines Océaniques, Plouzané, France; and Mathilde Failot, Service Hydrographique et Océanographique de la Marine, Brest, France

NEWS

McNutt Outlines Priorities for the U.S. Geological Survey

PAGE 22

For Marcia McNutt, the new director of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) and science advisor to the secretary of the interior, the clock is ticking. “Everyone feels that we have perhaps 3 years in which a very ambitious agenda needs to be accomplished,” McNutt told *Eos* in a recent exclusive interview. “We want to make sure by the end of [U.S. President Obama’s] first term we have got significant accomplishments on issues such as climate change, environment, and energy, and other things that are important to the president.”

“Everything is on a fast track to move quickly,” she said. “Everything had to be done yesterday, if not last week.” While the political process often requires immediate scientific information, the scientific process can take a bit longer, McNutt said, noting that it is fortunate research at the USGS has persisted in many areas.

McNutt comes well prepared for the task to head the USGS. She was president and chief executive officer of the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, Moss Landing, Calif., from 1997 to 2009. In addition, she chaired the Ocean Exploration Panel convened by former U.S. President Bill Clinton; was a professor of geophysics at the

Massachusetts Institute of Technology and at Stanford University, California; worked at the USGS on earthquake predictions from 1979 to 1982; and was chief scientist on many oceanographic expeditions. Her education includes a doctorate in Earth sciences from the Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, Calif., and completion of a U.S. Navy SEALs underwater explosives training course.

In addition, she was AGU president from 2000 to 2002 and received AGU’s Macelwane Medal in 1988 for research accomplishments by a young scientist and the Maurice Ewing Medal in 2007 for her significant contributions to deep-sea exploration.

McNutt has indicated that her priorities at the USGS include making progress regarding climate change and renewing the agency’s workforce. “The time is right to make climate change a top priority within the USGS,” McNutt said. Even with the restrictions on resources due to the federal deficit and the war in Afghanistan, “this is going to be one of the very few areas where we will see some growth in the budget and some creative ways that we can work with other agencies to get some traction on this problem.” McNutt said the two parts of the USGS science strategy that mesh best with the White House’s and the



Marcia McNutt

interior secretary’s priorities concern climate change and developing renewable energies.

Renewing the USGS workforce is important, she said, because about 40% of the workforce will be at or beyond retirement age in the next 5 years.

“The erosion in the USGS has been because over the years, [the agency] has been strangled by year after year after year of flat budgets in the face of increasing costs, and the inability to hire,” McNutt told *Eos*. “To put us back on top again, we need to be able to renew our workforce, and we need the budget to execute our mission.”

McNutt said the workforce needs to better reflect the nation’s diversity and the “full