Diffusion of ionic tracers in the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock using the Donnan equilibrium model and the formation factor

D. Jougnot, A. Revil, Philippe Leroy

► To cite this version:

D. Jougnot, A. Revil, Philippe Leroy. Diffusion of ionic tracers in the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock using the Donnan equilibrium model and the formation factor. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 2009, 73 (10), pp.2712-2726. 10.1016/j.gca.2009.01.035 . insu-00447360

HAL Id: insu-00447360

<https://hal-insu.archives-ouvertes.fr/insu-00447360>

Submitted on 8 Mar 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Diffusion of ionic tracers in the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock using**
2 **the Donnan equilibrium model and the electrical formation factor**

3
4 D. Jougnot (1, 2, 3), A. Revil (2, 3), and P. Leroy (4)

5
6 1. ANDRA, 1-7 rue Jean Monnet, 92298 Châtenay-Malabry, France

7 2. CNRS-UMR 5559-LGIT, Université de Savoie, Equipe volcan, 73376 Le-Bourget-du-Lac, France

8 3. Colorado School of Mines, Department of Geophysics, Golden, 80401, CO, USA

9 4. BRGM, 3 avenue C. Guillemin, BP 6009, 45061 Orléans, France

10 _____
11
12 **Corresponding author:**

13 Damien Jougnot

14 Colorado School of Mines, Dept of Geophysics

15 1500 Illinois street, Golden, CO, 80401.

16 djougnot@mines.edu

ABSTRACT

The transient diffusion of cationic and anionic tracers through clay-rocks is usually modeled with parameters like porosity, tortuosity (and/or constrictivity), sorption coefficients, and anionic exclusion. Recently, a new pore scale model has been developed by Revil and Linde (2006). This model is based on a volume-averaging approach of the Nernst-Planck equation. In this model, the influence of the electrical diffuse layer is accounted for by a generalized Donnan equilibrium model that is valid for a multicomponent electrolyte. This new model is able to reproduce a number of observations including the determination of the composition of the pore water of the Callovo-Oxfordian argillite, the determination of the osmotic efficiency of bentonite as a function of salinity, the osmotic pressure, and the streaming potential coupling coefficient of clay-rocks. This pore scale model is used here to model the transient diffusion of ionic tracers ($^{22}\text{Na}^+$, $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$, and $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) through the Callovo-Oxfordian low-porosity argillite. Using experimental data from the literature, we show that all the parameters required to model the flux of ionic tracers (especially the mean electrical potential of the pore space and the formation factor) are in agreement with a previous evaluation of these parameters using totally independent rock properties including the osmotic pressure and HTO diffusion experiments. This confirms that the pore scale model of Revil and Linde (2006) is able to model a high number of transport phenomena into a unified framework.

1. INTRODUCTION

The diffusion of ions in charged porous media like clay materials has been studied by a number of researchers for a variety of geoenvironmental applications including ground water contamination from clay-lined landfills (Malusis and Shackelford, 2003) and the spreading of contaminants from canisters containing nuclear wastes (Chatterji, 2004). The possibility to use clay-rocks as a potential host for the long-term isolation of nuclear wastes has recently driven new researches in this field. The French Nuclear Waste Agency (ANDRA) is presently studying the long-term storage of high-level long-lived nuclear wastes in the Callovo-Oxfordian (Cox) clay-rock formation in the East portion of the Paris basin (ANDRA, 2005). The Cox clay-rock is composed of clay-minerals (between 20 and 50 % in mass fraction), silica, and carbonates. Because of the very low intrinsic permeability of this formation (in the range 10^{-19} to 10^{-21} m², see Escoffier et al., 2000; Gasc-Barbier et al., 2004), diffusion of ions is considered to be the major mechanism of the potential spread of ionic species in the bentonite and in the clay-rock formation.

To understand the diffusion of ions in such a complex material, new experiments were performed recently to evaluate the diffusion and the sorption of radio-isotopic elements (Melkior et al. 2004, 2005, 2007; Bazer-Bachi et al. 2005, 2007 and references therein). However, these authors used phenomenological models and empirical parameters to explain why the diffusion coefficient of some sorbed cationic species (like Na⁺, K⁺, or Cs⁺) are higher than diffusion coefficient of anions (e.g. chloride). Their approach does not take into account explicitly the influence of the microstructure and electrochemical properties of the mineral/water interface on the diffusivity of ions.

Recently, Appelo and Wersin (2007) used a generalized Donnan equilibrium model to include the effect of the diffuse layer at the mineral/water interface of clay materials upon the diffusivity of ionic species. However, their model does not consider the existence of the Stern

layer where most of the charged counterions are located (Leroy and Revil, 2004; Leroy et al., 2007, 2008). Their macroscopic transport model is also not explicitly connected to the microscopic phenomena at the mineral/water interface.

In this paper, we are interested to test the approach developed recently by Revil and Linde (2006), Revil (2007), and Leroy et al. (2007). Revil and Linde (2006) and Revil (2007) developed a unifying model of transport properties of water and ions in charged microporous materials. This model is obtained by upscaling the local constitutive equations (Nernst-Planck and Navier-Stokes equations) using a volume-averaging operator. Consequently, the constitutive equations established some simple, and theoretically-based, relationships between the measurable material properties, the key-microstructural parameters of the porous medium (formation factor and intrinsic permeability), and to the electrochemical properties of the double layer coating the clay particles. This model was recently extended to include the effect of partial saturation upon electrokinetic properties (Linde et al., 2007; Revil et al., 2007) and the diffusion of ions in a concentration field for partially saturated media (Revil and Jougnot, 2008). Leroy et al. (2007) have also modeled the composition of the pore water of the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock using an extension of this model.

In the present paper, we adapt this modeling approach in order to study the diffusion of radioactive tracers in a clay-rock. After a rapid review of the classical diffusion models used in the literature to interpret such type of data, we will present the microscopic description and underlying assumptions of our tracer diffusion model. This model will be tested against recent experimental data using a variety of 3 radioactive tracers ($^{22}\text{Na}^+$, $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$, $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) on different samples of the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock in the porosity range 0.03-0.15. The model will show also a consistency between the mean electrical potential existing in the pore space of the clay-rock and the electrical potential needed to explain the osmotic pressure in the Cox formation.

2. STATE OF THE ART

The diffusion of ions through a porous material is classically based on the Fick constitutive equation. The flux of the species i through a porous material, $\mathbf{J}_i$ (in $\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) is usually described by the first Fick's law,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -D_i \nabla C_i, \quad (1)$$

where D_i is the effective diffusion coefficient in the medium (in $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) and C_i the concentration of species i in the porous medium (in mol m^{-3}). The concentrations, usually expressed in mol L^{-1} , are expressed below in m^{-3} in the metric system. Several models have been developed to express D_i in terms of the textural properties of the porous material (see Bourg et al., 2003, Bourg, 2004 for some phenomenological models and Melkior et al., 2007 for a short review of the literature). It is notoriously known that porosity cannot be used alone to determine the diffusion coefficient. Additional fitting parameters, such as tortuosity or constrictivity, have been introduced to account for the fact that only a fraction of the porosity is used by the migration of ions through the pore space or to account for the tortuous path of the ions during their migration through the pore space. One of the most popular models to account for tortuosity was developed by Van Brakel and Heertjes (1974). It yields,

$$D_i = \phi D_i^f \frac{\delta}{\tau^2}, \quad (2)$$

where D_i^f (in $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) is the self-diffusion coefficient of species i in the bulk pore water, ϕ is the porosity, τ is the tortuosity of the bulk pore space, and δ is the constrictivity. Further in this paper, we will propose to distinguish two components in the constrictivity parameter: an

geometrical constrictivity (δ_g) for the pore space topography and an electrostatic constrictivity (δ_{el}) for the electrostatic interactions between ions and charged mineral surfaces.

The continuity equation for the species i , in a porous medium, can be expressed by the second Fick's law:

$$\phi \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} + (1 - \phi) \rho_g \frac{\partial C_i^S}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_i, \quad (3)$$

with C_i^S the concentration of ions i that are sorbed onto the mineral surface (in mol kg⁻¹), ρ_g the grain density (in kg m⁻³), and t the time (in s). The second term of Eq. (3) corresponds to a source / sink term that is associated with the interactions of the solution with the surface of the minerals. Sorption of solutes in a porous medium can be modeled by simple isotherms (see Limousin et al., 2007 for a recent review) or models accounting for electrical double or triple layer theory (Leroy and Revil, 2004; Leroy et al., 2007). Assuming that the ratio between the sorbed concentration and solution concentration is constant with time, it is customary to introduce a distribution or partitioning coefficient defined by $K_d^i = C_i^S / C_i$ (in m³ kg⁻¹) (e.g., Limousin et al., 2007). Using this definition, Eq (3) can be written as follow:

$$[\phi + (1 - \phi) \rho_g K_d^i] \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_i. \quad (4)$$

Introducing the effective sorption and the first Fick's law in Eq. (4) yields the classical diffusion equation in this equation,

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (\eta_i \nabla C_i) \quad (5)$$

where η_i , the apparent diffusivity of ion i , is defined by,

$$\eta_i = \frac{D_i}{[\phi + (1 - \phi) \rho_g K_d^i]}. \quad (6)$$

Note that in this paper, we use the expression “diffusion coefficient” to describe the material properties arising in first Fick law (which is the constitutive equation) and the term

“diffusivity” to describe the material properties arising in the diffusion equation obtained by combining the first and second Fick’s law. If sorption can be neglected for a given tracer, the diffusivity of this tracer is equal to the ratio between the effective diffusion coefficient and the porosity $\eta_i = D_i / \phi$ (Revil and Leroy, 2004; Revil et al., 2005).

The previous model is however too simplistic. It does not account for the concentrations of the ionic species in the micropores because of the existence of the electrical diffuse layer. To account for this effect, Muurinen et al. (1988) proposed the introduction of an effective porosity ϕ_{eff} in the mass conservation equation. This yields:

$$\phi_{eff_i} \frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_{eff_i} D_i^f}{\tau^2} \nabla C_i \right). \quad (7)$$

For anions, this effective porosity can also be modeled by using a negative value of the distribution coefficient. This very popular approach is however phenomenological in nature and ϕ_{eff} is a fitting parameter that takes different values for different ions.

Bourg (2004) proposed a diffusion model in bentonite. This model divides the medium in three parallel pore networks: a macroporous one and two microporous (a two-layer and a three-layer water molecule in clay’s interlayer). Each pore diffusion is described with a tortuosity τ (purely geometrical) and a constrictivity δ (which take into account pore section variability, steric effect, viscosity effect). He considered the same tortuosity for the three networks. The total diffusion flux is the sum of the fluxes for each pore network.

Other authors consider the division of the connected porosity into compartments: one for the sorbed species and the bulk water. According to Kim et al. (1993) and Eriksen et al. (1999), these two compartments contain mobile charges. Therefore two diffusion coefficients have to be considered: the bulk diffusion coefficient D_i and the surface diffusion coefficient

D_i^S (in $\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$). Introducing this surface diffusion coefficient in the constitutive equation yields,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -[D_i + (1 - \phi)\rho_g K_d^i D_i^S] \nabla C_i. \quad (8)$$

This model was used by Muurinen (1994) to model the diffusion of cations in charged porous media. He found that D_i is generally stronger than D_i^S by at least one order of magnitude. This result is consistent with the fact that the electromigration mobility of the counterions in the Stern layer is usually smaller than the mobility of the ions in the bulk pore water by one order of magnitude (Revil et al., 1998; Revil, 1999). However, there is no reason that surface diffusion would act in parallel to the bulk diffusion. We know, from electrical conductivity models, that the electromigration of the ions follows very different paths between the bulk pore space and the surface of the pores (Bernabé and Revil, 1995). In addition, there is no clear picture of surface diffusion in the Stern layer. Models for the electromigration of the counterions in the Stern layer predict no migration of the counterions in this layer at zero frequency (Leroy et al., 2008). Because of the intrinsic connection between diffusion and electromigration, this implies that the diffusion of the counterions in the Stern layer is physically not possible because it is not possible to build surfaces concentration gradients in the Stern Layer. In addition, the fraction of counterions between the Stern Layer and the diffuse layer is relatively independent on the salinity of the pore water (see Leroy and Revil, 2004).

The main problem with the previous approaches is that they do not take in consideration the influence of the electrical diffuse layer upon the concentrations of the ionic species in the micropores (see Leroy et al., 2007). Some diffusion models, however, are partially based on the properties of the electrical double layer. Several authors proposed to divide the pore space into three compartments (i) the Stern layer with immobile sorbed ions, (ii) the diffuse layer with mobile ions (but with concentrations determined by solving the

Poisson-Boltzmann differential equation), and (iii) the bulk water of the pore which contains free ions. Sato et al. (1995) proposed for example to introduce the contribution of ion located in the diffuse double layer with an electrostatic constrictivity δ_{el} :

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\delta_{el} D_i \nabla C_i. \quad (9)$$

This electrostatic constrictivity is the ratio between the average concentration of ion in the diffuse layer $C_i^d(x)$ and the concentration in the bulk water C_i (Sato et al., 1995),

$$\delta_{el} = \frac{1}{RC_i} \int_0^R C_i^d(x) dx, \quad (10)$$

where R is the mean pore radius, x is the distance normal to the surface of the pores, and $C_i^d(x)$ is the local concentration of species i determined by solving the Poisson-Boltzmann equation at the interface solution scale (local scale). Ochs et al. (2001) used however electrostatic constrictivity as a fitting parameter. We will show in Section 3 that our approach yields a much better expression to determine the electrostatic constrictivity, which will be based on an extension of Donnan equilibrium theory.

Molera and Eriksen (2002) use a partition coefficient f between the species located in the diffuse-layer and those located in the Stern layer. This fraction is assumed to have no dependence with C_i and C_i^S . This yields another expression for the constitutive equation,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\left\{ D_i \left[1 + f \frac{(1-\phi)}{\phi} \rho_g K_d^i \right] \right\} \nabla C_i. \quad (11)$$

However, they do not provide a way to estimate this parameter from the underlying electrical double layer theory.

In all the models discussed previously, the parameters involved in the generalized Fick's law (like the electrostatic constrictivity δ or the coefficient f) have to be determined empirically. In the next section, we use a ionic diffusion model based on a volume averaging approach of the Nernst-Planck equation and related to the electrical double layer theory (Revil

and Linde, 2006). We start with the work of Leroy et al. (2007) who modeled the pore water composition of the Callovo-Oxfordian argillite (COx) accounting for the micro- and macroporosity. We extend their work to the modeling of the diffusion of ionic tracers through clay-rocks. This model will be used to interpret the experimental results obtained by Melkior et al. (2007) and Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) who characterize the diffusion of alkaline cations and anions through Callovo-Oxfordian argillite core samples.

3. A NEW MODEL

3.1. Underlying Assumptions

In this section, we develop a model for the Cox clay-rock (see Figure 1). We consider a charged porous medium fully saturated by a multicomponent electrolyte with Q species. In contact with water, this surface of the solid phase of the clay particles is assumed to carry a net electrical charge density because of the complexation of the surface sites with the elements of the pore water and isomorphic substitution in the crystalline framework. This surface charge density is responsible for the formation of an electrical triple layer (Figure 2) that includes the Stern layer and the diffuse layer (Hunter, 1981).

The electroneutrality of a representative elementary volume of the rock is written as:

$$\overline{Q}_v + \frac{S}{V_f} Q_s = 0, \quad (12)$$

where $\overline{Q}_v$ is the total charge of the diffuse layer per unit pore volume of the connected porosity, $Q_s = Q_0 + Q_\beta$ is the total surface charge density (in $C\ m^{-2}$) on the surface of the clay particles. This charge density includes the charge density due to the active sites covering its surface Q_0 and the charge density of the Stern Layer Q_β (Figure 2), S (in m^2) is the surface area of the interface separating the solid and the liquid phases in a representative elementary

volume of the material, and V_f is the pore volume (in m^3) of the same representative elementary volume. The volumetric charge density $\bar{Q}_v$ corresponds to the net amount of charge of the diffuse layer per unit pore volume (in C m^{-3}). It is defined by:

$$\bar{Q}_v = (1 - f_Q) Q_v, \quad (13)$$

where f_Q is the fraction of charge carried by the counterions located in the Stern layer or, in other words, the partition coefficient of the countercharge between the Stern and the diffuse layers, and Q_v represents the total charge density associated with the cation exchange capacity of the material (Revil et al., 2002)

$$Q_v = \rho_g \left(\frac{1 - \phi}{\phi} \right) \text{CEC}, \quad (14)$$

where ρ_g the solid grain density (in kg m^{-3}) and the CEC is the cation exchange capacity of the medium (in mol kg^{-1}). Using an electrical triple layer model, Leroy et al. (2007) obtained $f_Q = 0.94 \pm 0.02$ at 25°C for the COx clay-rock. Gonçalves et al. (2007) obtained $f_Q \approx 0.85$ from filtration efficiency experimental data for a compacted bentonite. This means that a large fraction of the counterions are located in the Stern layer.

In thermodynamic equilibrium, the Donnan equilibrium model is based on the equality between the electrochemical potential of the ions in the pore space of the charged porous material and in a reservoir of ions in contact with the charged porous material. In terms of concentrations, the concentration of the species i in the pore space of the material, $\bar{C}_i$, is related to the concentration of the species i in the reservoir, C_i , by (e.g., Revil and Linde, 2006)

$$\bar{C}_i = C_i \frac{\gamma_i}{\bar{\gamma}_i} \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \phi_m}{k_B T}\right), \quad (15)$$

where $q_i = (\pm e)z_i$ represents the charge of the ion i (in C) with z_i the valence of the ion and e the elementary charge (1.6×10^{-19} C), k_B the Boltzmann constant (1.381×10^{-23} J K⁻¹), T the absolute temperature in K, γ_i and $\bar{\gamma}_i$ are the activity coefficients of ion i in the macropores and micropores, respectively, the ionic concentration in micropores, and φ_m is the mean electrical potential in the pore space of the medium. Leroy et al. (2007) showed that the ration of the activity coefficient can be neglected ($\gamma_i / \bar{\gamma}_i \approx 1$).

The potential φ_m can be determined from the volumetric charge density $\bar{Q}_V$ by solving numerically the following charge balance equation (see Revil and Linde, 2006; Leroy et al., 2007),

$$\bar{Q}_V = \sum_{i=1}^Q q_i C_i \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \varphi_m}{k_B T}\right). \quad (16)$$

To perform these computations, we need the macropore water composition proposed for example by the THERMOAR model (Gaucher et al., 2004) at 25°C. Following Leroy et al. (2007), we took $\text{CEC} = 0.18 \text{ mol kg}^{-1}$, $\rho_g = 2700 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, $T = 298.15 \text{ K}$ (25°C), and $f_Q = 0.94 \pm 0.02$. With these parameters, we will show later that the mean electrical potential of the pore space of the Cox clay-rock is typically in the range from -20 mV to -40 mV.

From Eqs. (10) and (15) and using $\gamma_i / \bar{\gamma}_i \approx 1$, the mean electrical potential can be also related to the electrostatic constrictivity introduced by Sato et al. (1995) (see section 2):

$$\delta_{el} = \frac{\bar{C}_i}{C_i} = \exp\left(-\frac{q_i \varphi_m}{k_B T}\right). \quad (17)$$

For the COx clay-rock, surface properties are dominated by the reactivity and specific surface area of smectite. Using the triple layer model, we can determine the distribution coefficient $K_d^i = C_i^s / C_i$ using the calculated surface site density of sorbed counter-ions in the Stern layer Γ_{Xi}^0 (in sites m⁻²). The subscript “X” refers to the surface sites resulting from

isomorphic substitutions into the mineral lattice and situated on the basal planes of the smectite particles (Leroy et al., 2007). In most of experimental studies, the distribution coefficient K_d^i is obtained by batch or column experiment for each type of tracer.

The concentration of sorbed species C_i^S is given by:

$$C_i^S = \Gamma_{Xi}^0 S_{sp}, \quad (18)$$

where S_{sp} is the specific surface (in $\text{m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$ of mineral). Gaucher et al. (2004) proposed an average specific surface for the COx: $S_{sp} = 5 \times 10^4 \text{ m}^2 \text{kg}^{-1}$. In the case respectively of monovalent and bivalent counterions, the surface site density of sorbed counterions in the Stern layer Γ_{Xi}^0 is determined by Leroy et al. (2007):

$$\Gamma_{Xi}^0 = \frac{\Gamma_X^0 a_i}{K_i} \exp\left(-\frac{e\varphi_\beta}{k_B T}\right), \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma_{Xi}^0 = \frac{\Gamma_X^{0^2} a_i}{-2K_i \frac{Q_0}{e}} \exp\left(-\frac{2e\varphi_\beta}{k_B T}\right), \quad (20)$$

where φ_β is the electrical potential at the Stern plane and Γ_X^0 the surface site density of the “X” sites. Leroy et al. (2007) have determined an average of these two parameters for the COx medium: $\varphi_\beta = -95.3 \text{ mV}$, $\Gamma_X^0 = 9.1 \times 10^{16} \text{ sites m}^{-2}$. The parameter a_i is the activity of the species i in the macropores, Q_0 (in C m^{-2}) the surface charge density at the surface of mineral, and K_i the speciation constants associated with the adsorption/desorption of the counterion i . This model will be used to compute a priori value for K_d^{Na} of the $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ tracer in section 5.

3.2. A Model for the Diffusion of Tracers

We consider the ionic tracer diffusion through the clay-rock. Revil and Linde (2006) proposed a multi-ionic diffusion model in which flux of species i is driven by the gradient of

its electrochemical potential. In the present case, there is no macroscopic electrical field because of the concentration of the tracer is much smaller than the ionic strength of the pore water. In appendix A, we show that this model yields an apparent Fick's law,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -D_i \nabla C_i, \quad (21)$$

$$D_i = \frac{\beta_i \bar{C}_i k_B T}{q_i C_i F}, \quad (22)$$

where D_i is the effective diffusion coefficient of the ionic species in the microporous charged medium, β_i is the ionic mobility, and F is the electrical formation factor. Note that D_i is the product of three terms: (i) the self-diffusion of the ionic tracer in the water D_i^f , which is expressed by the Nernst-Einstein relation,

$$D_i^f = \frac{\beta_i k_B T}{q_i}, \quad (23)$$

(ii) the electrical formation factor F which can be related to the porosity by Archie's law $F = \phi^{-m}$ (Archie, 1942), where m is called the cementation exponent and with $1 \leq m \leq 3$ for most of all media (m has been determined equal to 1.95 ± 0.04 in the COx by Revil et al., 2005; and comprises between 2 and 3 by Descostes et al., 2008), and (iii) the $\bar{C}_i / C_i$ ratio, which is given by Eq. (15).

From Eqs. (15), (22), and (23), we obtain the following relationship between the effective diffusion coefficient and the diffusivity:

$$\eta_i = \frac{D_i^f}{\phi + (1 - \phi) \rho_g K_d^i} \left(\frac{1}{F} \right) \exp \left(- \frac{q_i \varphi_m}{k_B T} \right). \quad (24)$$

$$D_i = \frac{D_i^f}{F} \exp \left(- \frac{q_i \varphi_m}{k_B T} \right). \quad (25)$$

Therefore the diffusivity of an ionic tracer depends only upon three key-parameters: K_d^i , F , and φ_m . The formation factor can be obtained by a variety of methods like the measurement

of the electrical conductivity of the porous material at different salinities of the brine to separate the contribution from the brine conductivity from the surface conductivity contribution (note that F is NOT the ratio of the brine conductivity to the effective conductivity of the rock as written in a number of papers). The formation factor can also be obtained by steady-state HTO (tritiated water) diffusion experiments. HTO is considered to be a non-reactive species with the mineral/water interface. Therefore the model of Revil (1999) yields $F = D_{HTO}^f / D_{HTO}$ where D_{HTO}^f is the value of self-diffusion coefficient of HTO in water and D_{HTO} represents the value of the effective diffusion coefficient of HTO through the porous material.

4. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS AND SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The previous system of equations was solved by a PDE solver based on the finite-element method (the Earth Science module of COMSOL MultiphysicsTM 3.4). We have checked the accuracy of the solver by comparing the results with known analytical solutions (e.g. Crank, 1975). The problem can therefore be solved in 1D, 2D, or 3D accounting for the heterogeneity in the distribution of the material properties (e.g., the formation factor) or the physicochemical parameters associated with the clay content and the clay mineralogy.

To keep our numerical test simple in this paper (and to compare our model to experimental data), we consider the 1D problem of a tracer through-diffusion experiment. The through diffusion technique is common laboratory method to determine the diffusion properties of consolidated clay material (e.g., Melkior et al., 2004). A small cylinder of the medium is placed between two reservoirs filled with water in chemical equilibrium with this medium. In order to study diffusion properties of a considered ionic species i , a trace concentration of a radioactive isotope is placed in the upstream reservoir. As the tracer

concentration is very low, there is no real concentration gradient in the medium and therefore no electroosmotic flow and no macroscopic electrical field. Tracer concentrations in each reservoir are managed and kept as constant as possible: trace concentration in the upstream reservoir and null in the downstream reservoir. In general, diffusion properties of medium are determined by tracer influx in the downstream reservoir (Melkior, 2000 and Melkior et al., 2004). We use constant boundary conditions: $C_T = 10^{-14} \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ (trace level) in the upstream reservoir and $C_T = 0 \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ in the downstream reservoir (Figure 3). We note L (in m) the length of the core sample, which is divided into 120 elements.

We compute the evolution of normalized ionic fluxes $\mathbf{J}_N$ in the downstream reservoir as a function of time. The flux of the ionic tracer in the downstream reservoir is normalized by the tracer concentration in the upstream reservoir and by the length L of the core sample. Thus the normalized flux $\mathbf{J}_N$ is expressed in $\text{m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Time axis will be expressed in days for convenience (the computations are all performed in SI units).

We discuss now the sensitivity of the model to its parameters described in section 3. This synthetic case was implemented with the properties of the COx and the pore water chemistry obtained by Leroy et al. (2007). The porosity $\phi = 0.164$ yields $F = \phi^{-1.95} = 34.0$. The density $\rho_g = 2700 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$, the CEC = 0.18 mol kg^{-1} , and the partition coefficient $f_Q = 0.94$ yield $\varphi_m = -14.9 \text{ mV}$ using Eqs. (13)-(16). If the partition coefficient f_Q takes the values 0.92 and 0.96, φ_m is equal to -18 and -11 mV, respectively. We consider a radioactive metal cation tracer M^+ with a total concentration (tracer and stable isotope) in the medium $C_{M^+} = 31.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$, the mobility $\beta_{M^+} = 5.19 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ V}^{-1}$ and the following distribution coefficient $K_d^{M^+} = 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. Figure 4 shows the sensitivity of the model to these four important parameters.

By definition (see section 3), the formation factor F and the electrical mean potential φ_m (which depends on f_Q) influence the effective diffusion coefficient, while the distribution coefficient K_d affects only the apparent diffusion coefficient. Figure 4a, 4b, 4c and 4d show the sensitivity of the model to F , φ_m , f_Q , and K_d respectively. The model is very sensitive to these parameters. Lower is the formation factor F , higher is the diffusion flux. The parameters φ_m and f_Q are related to each other. For a cation, lower is the mean electrical potential φ_m , higher is the normalized flux.

5. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA

5.1. Laboratory Experiments

The model presented in Section 4 is compared to tracer through-diffusion experiments in Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock samples. We consider the following tracers (i) $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ (data from Melkior et al., 2007), (ii) $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$, and (iii) $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (data from Bazer-Bachi et al., 2007). The core samples used by these authors have been extracted from different locations in the COx formation. The properties of the core samples are summarized in Table 1. The samples from K100 in Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) corresponds to an end-member of the overall formation in term of clay content and porosity (see Table 1).

Through-diffusion experiments were performed in two different core samples for $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ and $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$. This could explain the small differences in porosities and formation factors for the two experiments. Experiments were run with a synthetic water of a composition as close as it possible to the chemical equilibrium with the initial medium (see Table 2). Samples were put in contact with this synthetic water for several weeks to reach equilibrium. Diffusion results are presented as normalized ionic out-flux J_N measured in the downstream reservoir

versus time. This allows the comparison between results for different values of the thickness and the diameter of the samples.

In order to compare the model with the experimental data, we first determine a priori values for the three key-parameters (the formation factor, the mean electrical potential of the pore space, and the sorption coefficients). For each sample, HTO diffusion data are used to determine the a priori value of the formation factor using $F = D_{HTO}^f / D_{HTO}$. Results are given in Table 3. Then, from the synthetic porewater composition and the model described in section 3.1, we determine the a priori, value of the mean electrical potential φ_m (see Eqs 13 to 16). They are given in Table 3 using the value $f_Q = 0.94$ discussed above. We use the distribution coefficients K_d^i given by Melkior et al. (2007) and Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) for each sample. These distribution coefficients have been determined by batch test or column test experiments. The a priori values of the distribution coefficient of cations (counterions) can also be obtained from Eq. (18) to (20). Therefore, we will compare this result for $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ in the sample HTM102 (-464 m deep), to the K_d value obtained by batch experiment from Melkior et al. (2007).

For each data set, we fit the data with the Simplex algorithm (Caceci and Cacheris, 1984) to obtain the a posterior values of the key-parameters F , φ_m , and K_d^i . The forward problem solved by COMSOL MultiphysicsTM 3.4 is coupled to an optimization routine written in MatLab[®] routine (Figure 5). Our algorithm looks for the minimum of the cost function G ,

$$\text{Min } G \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{\mathbf{J}_{N\text{Exp}}^i - \mathbf{J}_{N\text{Model}}^i}{\mathbf{J}_{N\text{Model}}^i} \right| + 2/3 R, \quad (26)$$

$$R \equiv \left| \frac{F^{\text{opt}} - F^{\text{ap}}}{F^{\text{ap}}} \right| + \left| \frac{\varphi_m^{\text{opt}} - \varphi_m^{\text{ap}}}{\varphi_m^{\text{ap}}} \right| + \left| \frac{K_d^{\text{opt}} - K_d^{\text{ap}}}{K_d^{\text{ap}}} \right| \quad (27)$$

where N is the number of the experimental data i , and R a regularization term (see Tikhonov, 1963). The superscripts “opt” and “ap” mean optimized and a priori parameters, respectively. Figure 6 shows that the cost function G has an unique minimum.

Figure 7 presents fitted formation factors of the investigated samples versus the porosity. We have also plotted F from bibliographic data on the Callovo-Oxfordian and Archie’s law $F = \phi^{-m}$ (for $m = 1.95$ and $m = 3$) on this figure to show the consistency of the fitted values. We notice that $m = 3$ correspond to the proposed value of Mendelson and Cohen (1982) for long smectite minerals, like montmorillonite. Note that these formation factors results also from different evaluations: Revil et al. (2005) obtained their formation factors (Figure 7a) from electrical conductivity measurement at different salinities while the values proposed by Descostes et al. (2008) are based on HTO diffusion data (Figure 7b).

Figure 8 shows the fitted mean electrical potential versus porosity compared to the model of Revil and Linde (2006) described in Eqs. (13)–(16), using the porewater chemistry given by the bibliography (Table 2).

The distribution coefficient K_d^{Na} studied by Melkior et al. (2007) can be calculated following our approach by Eq. (18)–(20) and use as an a priori parameter. This computation use COx parameters: $\phi_\beta = -95.3 \times 10^{-3}$ V, $\Gamma_x^0 = 9.1 \times 10^{16}$ sites m^{-2} (from Leroy et al., 2007), and $S_{sp} = 5 \times 10^4$ m^2 kg^{-1} (from Gaucher et al., 2004). Leroy et al. (2007) have also determine $K_{Na} = 0.80 \pm 0.05$, which is consistent with the value proposed by Avena and De Pauli (1998) ($K_{Na} = 0.77$). Using the pore water composition proposed by Melkior et al. (2007) ($C_{Na^+} = 3.44 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L^{-1}), Eq. (19) yields the surface site density of counterions in the Stern layer equal to $\Gamma_{xNa}^0 = 1.72 \times 10^{17}$ sites m^{-2} .

5.1.1. Diffusion of $^{22}Na^+$

We ran an optimization for the $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ tracer diffusion data for sample HTM102 (464 m deep) (Melkior et al., 2007). The value of the distribution coefficient they found by a batch experiment is equal to $0.41 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. And using the TLM model of Leroy et al. (2007), we determine an a priori value of $K_d^{Na^+} = 0.414 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ which corresponds to an excellent agreement between the TLM model and the experimental value by a batch experiment. A priori values for the formation factor and the mean electrical potential are $F = 89.6$ and $\varphi_m = -23.2 \text{ mV}$, respectively.

The fitted normalized flux curve and experimental data are presented in Figure 9. The best fit yields the following a posteriori values: $F = 82.6$, $\varphi_m = -23.5 \text{ mV}$, and $K_d = 0.704 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. The correlation coefficient between the fitted model and experimental data is very good ($R^2 = 97.4 \%$). The fitted and the computed formation factor F are very close ($RE = 7.9 \%$). The mean electrical potential fitted corresponds pretty well to the computed one ($RE = 2.6 \%$). But the distribution coefficient presents a difference but is still acceptable ($RE = 41.8 \%$). The differences seen between fitted and computed parameters can easily be explained by both the uncertainties on the experimental data, the porosity, and the value of F resulting from HTO diffusion data.

5.1.2. Diffusion of $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$

We ran the simulation for $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ tracer diffusion in the EST205 K100. Figure 10 shows the confrontation between the fitted normalized diffusion flux following our model and experimental data from Bazer-Bachi et al (2007). The chloride $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ is a non-sorbed tracer, so we consider $K_d = 0 \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. The other a priori value are $F = 772.3$ and $\varphi_m = -32.1 \text{ mV}$.

The minimization of the cost function G yields the following a posteriori values of the model parameters: $F = 772.3$ and $\varphi_m = -47.0$ mV ($R^2 = 75.5$ %). Computed and fitted formation factor F are equal but the a posteriori value of mean electrical potential φ_m presents a slight difference with the a priori value ($RE = 46.9$ %).

5.1.3. Diffusion of $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$

We have also simulated the $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ diffusion data through a clay-rock sample from the EST205 K100 core (Bazer-Bachi et al., 2007). As SO_4^{2-} can be sorbed onto the mineral surface (Bazer-Bachi et al., 2007; Descostes et al., 2008), Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) have performed a column test to determine the value of this parameter. They found $K_d = 1.80 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$. The a priori values of formation factor and mean electrical potential are $F = 717.1$ and $\varphi_m = -29.1$ mV, respectively.

The minimization of the cost function G yields the following a posteriori values: $F = 718.3$, $\varphi_m = -29.2$ mV, and $K_d = 1.60 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3 \text{ kg}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 46.9$ %) (Figure 11). The strong dispersion of the experimental data yields a low R^2 fit value. However, the fitted and computed formation factors F are very close to each other ($RE = 0.2$ %). The same applies for the mean electrical potential ($RE = 0.3$ %). The fitted K_d is also pretty close from the value resulting from the column experiment ($RE = 12.5$ %).

5.2. Field Data

In a recent study, Descostes et al. (2008) determined the diffusion coefficients of several anions (Cl^- , I^- , SO_4^{2-} , and SeO_3^-) in a set of the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock samples formation and in the Oxfordian limestones which is formation lying just above the Cox

formation in the Paris Basin. From the top of the Oxfordian formation to 399 m deep, the formation is composed by several calcareous facies (called C3b, L1a, L1b, L2a, L2b, L2c) with 80 to 95 % of carbonates (ANDRA, 2005). Then, between 399 and 417 m deep, the Oxfordian present important vertical mineralogy variations (facies C3a). The carbonate fraction decreases roughly from 80 % (399 m deep) to less than 40 % (417 m deep) while the clay fraction increase from 15 % to 45 % (illite, mica and interstratified illite/smectite). The rest contains principally by quartz. The upper part the COx formation (facies C2d, 417-437 m deep) presents important spatial variations of mineralogy in the same order of magnitude than C3a. Then below 437 m deep, the COx become more homogeneous with 40 to 50 % of clay minerals, 20-35 % of carbonates and 35-25 % of quartz (facies C2b1, C2b2, and C2c) (ANDRA, 2005).

The measurements of the diffusion coefficients were performed with the through-diffusion technique using a collection of core samples at depths from 166 m to 477 m. For each sample where anionic diffusion was made, except for SeO_3^- , the HTO diffusion coefficient was also measured. We use first the HTO diffusion coefficients to determine the values of the formation factor $F = D_{HTO}^f / D_{HTO}$ (see Figure 7b). Then, using Eq. (23), we determine the mean electrical potential φ_m from F and D_i :

$$\varphi_m = -\frac{k_B T}{q_i} \log \left(\frac{D_i F}{D_i^f} \right). \quad (28)$$

Figure 12 shows the values of F and φ_m as a function of depth. The calculated formation factor in the upper part of the Oxfordian limestone formation is quite low (from 177 to 360 m deep), then it becomes more important and reach 10^3 between 400 and 425 m just above the Callovo-Oxfordian argillites. The C3a layer has been particularly studied by Descostes et al. (2008) with six samples between 399 and 417 m deep. In the middle of the COx formation, F is comprised between 40 and 140, which is consistent with the electrical

conductivity measurements presented by Revil et al. (2005) and performed at different salinities with NaCl brines.

The values of φ_m are very low in the Oxfordian limestone formation. In this formation, we have,

$$\lim_{\varphi_m \rightarrow 0} D_i / D_i^f = 1/F, \quad (29)$$

which means that the electrostatic constrictivity δ_{el} is equal to one. The C3a layer (399 to 417 m deep) presents more important values of the mean potential in the microporosity φ_m . The values of φ_m are in the range between -40 mV to -20 mV.

In the COx formation, the calculated mean electrical potential is comprised between -56 mV and -23 mV. Considering the experimental uncertainties and the local variation of parameters (ϕ , CEC) between two samples, the computed φ_m are quite consistent with the average of computed electrical potential: -40.7 mV in the COx. This electrical potential value appears to be stronger than the model predictions by Eqs. (13)-(16).

Our tracer diffusion model is directly related to the generalised transport model in microporous media described by Revil and Linde (2006). That implies that parameters like the electrical factor F and the mean electrical potential in the diffuse layer φ_m , can be applied to determine some other rock properties. The osmotic pressure in a medium is one of them. Therefore, in order to test further the range of computed φ_m values, we have decided to compute the value of the osmotic pressure in the COx from the diffusion test data. Revil and Linde (2006) proposed the following relationship between the mean electrical potential φ_m and the osmotic pressures π_m in a microporous medium:

$$\pi_m = k_B T \sum_{i=1}^Q C_i \left[\exp \left(-\frac{q_i \varphi_m}{k_B T} \right) - 1 \right]. \quad (30)$$

Considering the pore water presented in Descostes et al. (2008), it becomes possible to determine π_m in the COx at several depth from the previously determined φ_m by Eq. (28) and

to show them on Figure 13. The predicted values can be compared to the measured fluid overpressure (above the hydrostatic level) in the Callovo-Oxfordian argillites layer (see Gueutin et al., 2007). The measured excess hydraulic heads are in the range 20–60 m (0.2 to 0.6 MPa). Comparison between measured overpressure and computed osmotic pressure π_m in the medium are displayed on Figure 13. The computed ϕ_m from Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} diffusion tests (Descostes et al., 2008) are in a fairly good agreement with the measured overpressures. This result will be explored further in a future work but it shows the model presented by Revil et Linde (2006) can explain very different material properties inside a unified framework.

6. CONCLUSION

We have used a model based on a volume-average of the Nernst-Planck equation to model the diffusion of ionic tracers ($^{22}\text{Na}^+$, $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$, $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$) through the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock. The model developed by Revil and Linde (2006) is used to compute the diffusion of tracers in these materials using a generalized Donnan equilibrium model and the electrical formation factor. This model is able to explain tracer diffusion experiments performed by different authors in the COx formation and to determine the profile of these parameters in the formations. In addition, the mean electrical potential allows the determination of the osmotic pressure in the medium. The next step will be to develop this model to unsaturated conditions and to connect this diffusion model to geophysical measurements of complex resistivity such as modeled recently by Leroy et al. (2008).

Acknowledgement. We thank the French National Research Council (CNRS) and the French National Agency for Radioactive Waste Management (ANDRA) (S. Altmann and D. Coelho)

for their support. J. Lancelot is thanked for his support through the GDR FORPRO. The Ph.D. thesis of Damien Jougnot is supported by ANDRA. A. Revil strongly thanks T. Young for his support at CSM. D. Jougnot thanks A. Jardani J.C. Robinet. This paper is Contribution FORPRO 2008/XXX.

Appendix A

In this Appendix, we estimate the influence of the activity coefficient upon the diffusion of the tracers through a clay-rock. From Revil and Linde (2006), the constitutive equation of the diffusion flux of a single ion is written as:

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\frac{\bar{\sigma}_i}{q_i^2 F} \nabla \mu_i \quad (\text{A1})$$

with F , the formation factor, $q_i = (\pm e)z_i$, the charge of species i and z_i its valence ($e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C is the elementary charge), and $\bar{\sigma}_i = \beta_i \bar{C}_i q_i$ the contribution of species i to the overall electrical conductivity of the pore water defined from β_i the ionic mobility and $\bar{C}_i$ the concentration of species i in the pore space. The chemical potential μ_i is related to the ionic activity a_i by $\mu_i = k_B T \ln a_i$. This yields,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\frac{k_B T \beta_i \bar{C}_i}{q_i F} \nabla \ln a_i. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The Fick law defines the ionic flux as a function of the concentration gradient. The relationship between the activity and the concentration is $a_i = \gamma_i C_i$ where γ_i is the activity coefficient. This yields,

$$\nabla \ln a_i = \frac{\nabla(\gamma_i C_i)}{\gamma_i C_i} = \frac{\nabla C_i}{C_i} \left(1 + \frac{C_i}{\gamma_i} \frac{d\gamma_i}{dC_i} \right). \quad (\text{A3})$$

From (A1) to (A3), we obtain,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\frac{k_B T \beta_i \bar{C}_i}{q_i F C_i} (1 + \varepsilon) \nabla C_i, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{C_i}{\gamma_i} \frac{d\gamma_i}{dC_i} = C_i \frac{d \ln \gamma_i}{dC_i}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

where ε is a correction term. For a ionic strength I lower than 0.5 mol L^{-1} , γ_i can be computed by the Davies equation:

$$\log_{10} \gamma_i = -\frac{1}{2} z_i^2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{I}}{1 + \sqrt{I}} - 0.3I \right). \quad (\text{A6})$$

This yields,

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\ln 10}{2} z_i^2 C_i \left[\frac{d}{dI} \left(\frac{\sqrt{I}}{1 + \sqrt{I}} \right) - 0.3 \right] \frac{dI}{dC_i}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\ln 10}{2} z_i^2 C_i \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{I}(1 + \sqrt{I})^2} - 0.3 \right] \frac{dI}{dC_i}. \quad (\text{A8})$$

From the definition of the ionic strength,

$$I \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^Q z_k^2 C_k, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$\frac{dI}{dC_i} = \frac{1}{2} z_i^2. \quad (\text{A10})$$

The correction parameter becomes:

$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\ln 10}{4} z_i^4 C_i \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{I}(1 + \sqrt{I})^2} - 0.3 \right] \quad (\text{A11})$$

The correction term $(1 + \varepsilon)$ in Eq. (A5) is always negligible $\varepsilon \ll 1$ when the ionic strength is close to 0.1 mol L^{-1} and bigger. Neglecting the term ε , Eq. (A3) yields an apparent Fick's law,

$$\mathbf{J}_i = -\frac{\beta_i \bar{C}_i k_B T}{q_i C_i F} \nabla C_i. \quad (\text{A12})$$

References

- ANDRA (2005) Dossier 2005 argile—Référentiel du site Meuse/Haute-Marne, International report ANDRA n°C.RP.ADS.04.0022.
- Appelo C.A.J., Wersin P. (2007) Multicomponent diffusion modeling in clay systems with application to the diffusion of Tritium, Iodide, and Sodium in Opalinus Clay. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **41**, 5002 -5007.
- Archie G.E. (1942) The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir characteristics. *Trans. AIME* **146**, 54–62.
- Avena M.J., De Pauli C.P. (1998) Proton adsorption and electrokinetics of an Argentinean montmorillonite. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **202**, 195–204.
- Bazer-Bachi F., Tevissen E., Descostes M., Grenut B., Meier P., Simonnot M.-O., Sardin M. (2005) Characterization of iodide retention on Callovo-Oxfordian argillites and its influence on iodide migration. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **31**, 517–522.
- Bazer-Bachi F., Descostes M., Tevissen E., Meier P., Grenut B., Simonnot M.-O., Sardin M. (2007) Characterization of sulphate sorption on Callovo-Oxfordian argillites by batch, column and through-diffusion experiments. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **32**, 552–558.
- Bernabé Y., Revil A. (1995) Pore-scale heterogeneity, energy dissipation and the transport properties of rocks. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22**(12), 1529-1552.
- Bourg I.C., Bourg A.C.M., Sposito G. (2003) Modeling diffusion and adsorption in compacted bentonite: a critical review. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **61**, 293–302.
- Bourg I.C. (2004) Caractérisation du comportement d'une bentonite sodique pour l'isolement des déchets : Transport diffusif des traceurs ioniques (Na⁺, Sr²⁺, Cs⁺ et Cl⁻) dans la bentonite sodique compactée saturée, et titration acide-base de la montmorillonite. Ph.D. thesis, Université de Pau et des Pays d'Adour, Pau.
- Bourg I.C., Sposito G., Bourg A.C.M. (2006) Tracer diffusion in compacted, water-saturated bentonite. *Clays and Clay Minerals* **54**, 363–374.
- Caceci M., Cacheris W.P. (1984) Fitting curves to data. The simplex algorithm is the answer. *Byte* **9**, 340–362.

- 625 Chatterji S. (2004) Ionic diffusion through thick matrices of charged particles. *J. Colloid*
626 *Interface Sci.* **269**, 186-191.
- 627 Descostes M., Blin V., Bazer-Bachi F., Meier P., Grenut B., Radwan J., Schlegel M.L.,
628 Buschaert S., Coelho D., Tevissen E. (2008) Diffusion of anionic species in Callovo-
629 Oxfordian argillites and Oxfordian limestones (Meuse/Haute-Marne, France). *Appl.*
630 *Geochem.* **23**, 655–677.
- 631 Eriksen T.E., Jansson M., Molera M. (1999) Sorption effects on cation diffusion in compacted
632 bentonite. *Eng. Geol.* **54**, 231–236.
- 633 Escoffier S., Homand F., Giraud A. (2000) Perméabilité et coefficient de Biot des argilites de
634 MHM, in *Recherches pour le Stockage des Déchets Radioactifs à Haute Activité et à Vie*
635 *Longue, Bilan des Etudes et Travaux 2000* (eds. The French Nuclear Waste Agency) 206-
636 216.
- 637 Gasc-Barbier M., Chanchole S., Bérest P. (2004) Creep behavior of Bure clayey rock. *Appl.*
638 *Clay Sci.* **26**, 449-458.
- 639 Gaucher E., Robelin C., Matray J.M., Negrel G., Gros Y., Heitz J.F., Vinsot A., Rebours H.,
640 Cassabagnere A., Bouchet A. (2004) ANDRA underground research laboratory:
641 interpretation of the mineralogical and geochemical data acquired in the Callovo-
642 Oxfordian Formation by investigative drilling. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **29**, 55–77.
- 643 Gaucher E., Blanc P., Barot F., Braibant G., Buschaert S., Crouzet C., Gautier A., Girard J.P.,
644 Jacquot E., Lassin A., Negrel G., Tournassat C., Vinsot A., Altmann S. (2006) Modeling
645 the porewater chemistry of the Callovo-Oxfordian formation at a regional scale. *C. R.*
646 *Geosciences* **338** (12–13), 917–930.
- 647 Gonçalves J., Rousseau-Gueutin P., Revil A. (2007) Introducing interacting diffuse layers in
648 TLM calculations: A reappraisal of the influence of the pore size on the swelling pressure
649 and the osmotic efficiency of compacted bentonites. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **316**, 92-99.
- 650 Gueutin P., Altmann S., Gonçalves J., Cosenza P., Violette S. (2007) Osmotic interpretation
651 of overpressures from monovalent based triple layer model, in the Callovo-Oxfordian at
652 the Bure site. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **32**, 434–440.

- 653 Hunter R.J. (1981). *Zeta Potential in Colloid Science: Principles and Applications*. Academic
654 Press, New York.
- 655 Jacquot E. (2002) Composition des eaux interstitielles des argilites du Callovo-Oxfordien non
656 perturbées: état de la modélisation à Juillet 2002. *ANDRA Report D NT ASTR 02-041*.
- 657 Kim H., Suk T., Park S., Lee C. (1993) Diffusivities for ions through compacted Na-bentonite
658 with varying dry bulk density. *Waste Manag.* **13**, 303–308.
- 659 Crank. J. (1970) *The Mathematics of Diffusion*. Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- 660 Leroy P., Revil A. (2004) A triple-layer model of the surface electrochemical properties of
661 clay minerals. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **270** (2), 371–380.
- 662 Leroy P., Revil A., Coelho D. (2006) Diffusion of ionic species in bentonite. *J. Colloid*
663 *Interface Sci.* **296** (1), 248–255.
- 664 Leroy P., Revil A., Altmann S., Tournassat C. (2007) Modeling the composition of the pore
665 water in a clay-rock geological formation (Callovo-Oxfordian, France). *Geochim. et*
666 *Cosmochim. Acta* **71** (5), 10.1016/j.gca.2006.11.009, 1087-1097.
- 667 Leroy P., Revil A., Kemna A., Cosenza P., Ghorbani A. (2008) Complex conductivity of
668 water-saturated packs of glass beads. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **321**, 103–117.
- 669 Limousin G., Gaudet J.-P., Charlet L., Szenknect S., Barthès V., Krimissa M. (2007) Sorption
670 isotherms: A review on physical bases, modeling and measurement. *Appl. Geochem.* **22**,
671 249-275.
- 672 Linde N., Jougnot D., Revil A., Matthäi S., Arora T., Renard D., Doussan C. (2007)
673 Streaming current generation in two phase flow conditions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **34**,
674 L03306, doi:10.1029/2006GL028878.
- 675 Malusis M.A., Shackelford C.D., Olsen H.W. (2003) Flow and transport through clay
676 membrane barriers. *Eng. Geol.* **70**, 235-248.
- 677 Melkior T. (1999) Etude méthodologique de la diffusion de cations interagissants dans des
678 argiles. Ph.D. Thesis. Ecole Centrale de Paris.
- 679 Melkior T., Mourzagh D., Yahiaoui S., Thoby D., Alberto J.C., Brouard C., Michau N. (2004)
680 Diffusion of an alkaline fluid through clayey barriers and its effect on the diffusion
681 properties of some chemical species. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **26**, 99–107.

- 682 Melkior T., Yahiaoui S., Motellier S., Thoby D., Tevissen E. (2005) Cesium sorption and
 683 diffusion in Bure mudrock samples. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **29**, 172–186.
- 684 Melkior T., Yahiaoui S., Thoby D., Motellier S., Barthes V. (2007) Diffusion coefficients of
 685 alkaline cations in Bure mudrock. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **32**, 453–462.
- 686 Mendelson K.S., Cohen M.H. (1982) The effect of grain anisotropy on the electrical
 687 properties of sedimentary rocks. *Geophysics* **47**, 257–263.
- 688 Molera M., Eriksen T. (2002) Diffusion of $^{22}\text{Na}^+$, $^{85}\text{Sr}^{2+}$, $^{134}\text{Cs}^+$ and $^{57}\text{Co}^{2+}$ in bentonite clay
 689 compacted to different densities: experiments and modeling. *Radiochim. Acta* **90**, 753–
 690 760.
- 691 Muurinen A., Penttilä-Hiltunen P., Uusheimo K. (1988) Diffusion of chloride and uranium in
 692 compacted sodium bentonite. In *Scientific Basis of Nuclear waste Management XII* (Eds.
 693 Lutze W. and Erwing R.C.), Materials Research Society, Pittsburg, PA, 743-748.
- 694 Muurinen A. (1994) Diffusion of anions and cations in compacted sodium bentonite. *VTT*
 695 *Publication* **168**, Espoo Technical Centre, Finland.
- 696 Ochs M., Lothenbach B., Wanner H., Sato H., Yui M. (2001) An integrated sorption–
 697 diffusion model for the calculation of consistent distribution and diffusion coefficients in
 698 compacted bentonite. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **47**, 283–296.
- 699 Revil A., Cathles L.M., Losh S., Nunn J.A. (1998) Electrical conductivity in shaly sands with
 700 geophysical applications. *J. Geophys. Res.* **103**, 23,925–23,936.
- 701 Revil A. (1999) Ionic diffusivity, electrical conductivity, membrane and thermoelectric
 702 potentials in colloids and granular porous media: A unified model. *J. Colloid Interface*
 703 *Sci.* **212**, 503– 522.
- 704 Revil, A., Hermitte, D., Spangenberg, E., Cochémé J.J. (2002) Electrical properties of
 705 zeolitized volcanoclastic materials. *J. Geophys. Res.* **107** (B8), 2168.
 706 doi:10.1029/2001JB000599.
- 707 Revil, A., Leroy P. (2004) Governing equations for ionic transport in porous shales. *J.*
 708 *Geophys. Res.* **109**, B03208, doi : 10.1029/2003JB002755, 2004.

- Revil A., Leroy P., Titov K. (2005) Characterization of transport properties of argillaceous sediments. Application to the Callovo- Oxfordian Argillite. *J. Geophys. Res.* **110**, B06202. doi:10.1029/2004JB003442.
- Revil A., Linde N. (2006) Chemico-electromechanical coupling in microporous media. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **302**, 682–694.
- Revil A., Linde N., Cerepi A., Jougnot D., Matthäi S., Finsterle S. (2007) Electrokinetic coupling in unsaturated porous media. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **313**(1), 315-327, 10.1016/j.jcis.2007.03.037.
- Revil, A. (2007) Thermodynamics of transport of ions and water in charged and deformable porous media. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **307**(1), 254-264.
- Revil A., Jougnot D. (2008), Diffusion of ions in unsaturated porous materials, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **319**(1), 226-235, doi: 10.1016/j.jcis.2007.10.041.
- Sato H., Yui M., Yoshikawa H. (1995) Diffusion behavior for Se and Zr in sodium-bentonite. *Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings* **353**, 269–276.
- Soudek A., Jankhe F.M., Radke C.J. (1983) Ion exchange equilibria and diffusion in engineered backfill. NUREG CP-0052, *Proceedings of the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission*. NUREG, Washington, D.C.. 171-203.
- Tikhonov, A. N. (1963) Resolution of ill-posed problems and the regularization method (in Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **151**, 501–504.
- Van Brakel J., Heertjes P.M. (1974) Analysis of diffusion in macroporous media in terms of a porosity, a tortuosity, and a constrictivity factor. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer* **17**, 1093–1103.

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of the through-diffusion samples

	HTM102 (-464m)	EST205 K100
Porosity, ϕ [-]	0.15	0.030 / 0.037 ^a
Grain density, ρ_g [kg m ⁻³]	2670	2700 ^b
Cation exchange capacity		
CEC [meq g ⁻¹]	0.18 ± 0.04 ^c	0.111 ± 0.03 ^d
Temperature, T [K]	296.15 (23°C)	294.15 (21°C)
Depth [m]	464	424
Lithofacies	C2b2	C2d

(a) HTO apparent porosity for tracer ³⁶Cl⁻ / ³⁵SO₄²⁻ disk respectively

(b) Leroy et al. (2007)

(c) Gaucher et al. (2004), Leroy et al. (2007)

(d) ANDRA (2005)

746 **Table 2.** Ionic compositions of the synthetic ground water

	Concentration [mol L ⁻¹]	
	<i>Melkior et al. (2007)</i>	<i>Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007)^a</i>
Na ⁺	3.44×10 ⁻²	4.17×10 ⁻²
K ⁺	1.34×10 ⁻⁴	5.40×10 ⁻³
Ca ²⁺	2.87×10 ⁻³	9.74×10 ⁻³
Mg ²⁺	5.26×10 ⁻³	7.68×10 ⁻³
Cl ⁻	5.00×10 ⁻²	7.19×10 ⁻²
SO ₄ ²⁻	7.00×10 ⁻⁵	4.40×10 ⁻³
HCO ³⁻	6.20×10 ⁻⁴	1.44×10 ⁻³
I ^(b)	5.70×10 ⁻²	1.03×10 ⁻¹
pH	8.0	7.2

747 (a) from Jacquot (2002)

748 (b) Ionic strength

749

750 **Table 3.** Computed a priori parameters for tracer diffusion simulation

	Tracer	Porosity,	Formation	Electrical mean	Distribution
		ϕ [-]	factor, F [-]	potential, φ_m [V]	coefficient, K_d [m ³ kg ⁻¹]
HTM102 (-464m)	²² Na ⁺	0.150	89.6	-23.2×10 ⁻³	0.41×10 ⁻³ ^a
EST205 K100	³⁶ Cl ⁻	0.030 ^b	772.3	-32.1×10 ⁻³	0
	³⁵ SO ₄ ²⁻	0.037 ^b	717.1	-29.1×10 ⁻³	0.018×10 ⁻³ ^c

751 (a) Measured by batch experiment by Melkior et al. (2007)

752 (b) Apparent porosity from HTO diffusion

753 (c) Measured by column experiment by Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007)

754

Figure 1. Picture of a COx sample by scanning electron microscopy (credit: J.C. Robinet).

The silica and carbonate grains are embedded into a clay matrix.

Figure 2. A charged porous material: the Callovo-Oxfordian clay-rock. (a) Sketch of the COx at a micro scale. This medium comprises a macroporosity space around grains (e.g. carbonates and quartz) isolated by microporosity induced by clay minerals. (b) Sketch of the electrical triple layer extending from the surface of the clay minerals to the center of the pore. M^+ represents metal cations and A^- the anions.

Figure 3. Implementation sketch of our model for tracer diffusion simulation. The subscripts i and T refer for the considered ionic species and the used tracer isotope.

Figure 4. Model sensitivity of four important parameters on the normalized diffusion flux $\mathbf{J}_N$ expressed as a function of time (in days, d): (a.) influence of the formation factor F , (b.) influence of the mean electrical potential φ_m in the microporosity (c.) Influence of the partition coefficient f_Q of the countercharge between the Stern and the diffuse layers, and (d.) Influence of the distribution coefficient K_d . Note that in the steady-state regime, the normalized flux is independent of the value of K_d . The value of K_d influences the characteristic time of the transient period but not the steady state value of the flux.

Figure 5. Optimization algorithm for model parameters determination from experimental normalized flux. The COMSOL multiphysicsTM program provides the normalized diffusion flux data and the Simplex algorithm minimize a cost function G to fit of these data, and then determine the best value of the formation factor, the mean electrical potential in the microporosity, and the partition coefficient for sorption.

Figure 6. Shape of the logarithm of the cost function with a regularization term for the optimization of the $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ diffusion experiment (HTM102 -464 m). The cost function G has a unique minimum corresponding to the position of the filled circle.

806 **Figure 7.** Formation factor versus porosity in the COx argillite. The formation factor data
 807 have been obtained: (a) by electrical measurement for Revil et al. (2005), (b) by the ratio
 808 $F = D_{HTO}^f / D_{HTO}$ for Descostes et al. (2008), and by fit for HTM102-464 and EST205-K100
 809 in the present study (a posteriori value). Archie's law for $m = 1.95$ and $m = 3$ have been
 810 proposed by Revil et al. (2005) and Descostes et al. (2008), respectively (Oxf stand for
 811 Oxfordian).

Figure 8. Fitted mean electrical potential in the three samples and model's prediction versus porosity. The mean electrical potential models are computed from the pore water chemistry used for the diffusion experiments in Melkior et al. (2007) (solid line), and in Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) (dashed line). The data represent the best fit of experimental data of Melkior et al. (2007) and Bazer-Bachi et al. (2007) are obtained by the algorithm presented in Figure 6 on the experimental set of data (a posteriori values).

Figure 9. Simulation of a $^{22}\text{Na}^+$ tracer diffusion in COx (HTM102 -464m deep).

829 **Figure 10.** Simulation of a $^{36}\text{Cl}^-$ tracer diffusion in COx (EST205 K100).
 830

834 **Figure 11.** Simulation of a $^{35}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ tracer diffusion in COx (EST205 K100).
 835

836

837

Figure 12. Computation of F (a) and φ_m (b) in the Bure site as a function of the depth from Descostes et al. (2008) experimental data. Samples have been collected in the well EST-205. This borehole cross the formation of Oxfordian limestones (160–417 m below ground level) and the Callovo-Oxfordian argillites (417–550 m).

Figure 13. Comparison between the osmotic head π_m in the Oxfordian Limestones and Callovo-Oxfordian Argillites and the measurements of the excess pore fluid pressure head (above hydrostatic). The values of π_m have been determined from the values of φ_m (Figure 13) and synthetic pore water described in Descostes et al. (2008). The overpressure data (+) (here expressed in hydraulic head above hydrostatic) come from ANDRA (Gueutin et al., 2007).