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Abstract. Water chemical analysis of 100 springs from the Orco and the Tinée valleys (Western Italy and Southern France) and a 7 year groundwater chemistry monitoring of the 5 main springs were performed. All these springs drain from crystalline rock slopes. Some of these drain from currently active gravitational slope deformations. All groundwaters flowing through presently unstable slopes show anomalies in the sulfate concentrations compared to stable aquifers. Particularly, an increase of sulfate concentrations was observed repeatedly after each of five consecutive landslides on the La Clapière slope, thus attesting to the mechanical deformations are at the origin of this concentration change. Significant changes in the water chemistry are produced even from slow (mm/year) and low magnitude deformations of the geological settings.

Pyrite nuclei in open fractures were found to be coated by iron oxides. This suggests that the increase of dissolved sulfate relates to oxidative dissolution of Pyrite. Speciation calculations of Pyrite versus Gypsum confirmed that observed changes in the sulfate concentrations is predominantly provided from Pyrite. Calculated amounts of dissolved minerals in the springs water was obtained through inverse modelling of the major ion water analysis data. It is shown that the concentration ratio of calculated dissolved Pyrite versus calculated dissolved gneiss rock allows us to unambiguously distinguish water from stable and unstable areas. This result opens an interesting perspective for the follow-up of sliding or friction dynamic in landslides or in (a) seismic faults.

1 Introduction

The water in fractured rock is one of the major triggering factors that influence the rock stability (Keefer et al., 1987). The water saturation conditions determines the interstitial pressure. Changing interstitial pressure may cause fracture growth and landslides which, in turn, affect the infiltration conditions and the groundwater pathways (Guglielmi et al., 2005) and this potentially impacts the water transfer time and the water saturation conditions (Bonzanigo et al., 2001). During a deformation event, the combination of all these effects may affect, in turn, the interstitial pressure and, thus, the overall stability of the rock masses (Forlati et al., 2001). Thus, a good hydrogeological knowledge is necessary to realize an accurate stability evaluation.

Because of the variability of crack openings, the transfer time of individual water molecules differ strongly in these fractured areas, dependent on the chosen flow path. The heterogeneity of the fractured rocks and their perpetual displacements makes it difficult to monitor groundwater through installations of automated devices. Such devices are readily broken and/or lost when installed in unstable areas. To overcome these difficulties, hydrogeologists had to transfer
specific hydrogeochemical methods from karstic hydrology (Mudry, 1990) to the unstable fractured areas (Vengeon, 1998; Guglielmi et al., 2000; Tullen, 2002) in the aim of characterizing the groundwater flows. The results highlight – pore water pressure triggers the gravitational deformation – induce a motion of the entire rocky slope – a propagation of discontinuities in the rock material (Barla and Chirifiotti, 1996; Agliardi et al., 2001). It can generate additional cracks (Scavia, 1995) leading to a porosity and permeability changes creating a new water pressure distribution (Binet et al., 2007c).

Thus, in theory this hydro-mechanical deformation phenomenon may generate additional reactive surface areas which, in turn, can change the chemical weathering rate and the groundwater chemistry. The aim of the present study is to characterise the chemical changes of major ions of water flowing through gravitational active faults compared to inactive ones in a similar geological context. The changes in the water chemistry obtained within the framework of a long survey, indicates that sliding velocity and chemical composition are closely related. Unstable areas could be unambiguously determined by an original approach, which is based on the determination of the potentially dissolved minerals in spring water. This result opens interesting perspectives for monitoring the deformations and can provide a tool for the an eventual fracture growth monitoring.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study sites

“Tinée” (Southern Alps, France) and “Orco” (Rosone slope in the Eastern Alps, Italy) are two Alpine valleys composed of gneiss rocks (Fig. 1). These valleys are characterised by continuous landslide movements (Barla and Chirifiotti, 1996; Casson et al., 2003), which shaped the landscape from the post-glacial period (Julian and Anthony, 1996). The past and actual landslides in these valleys may mobilize several million cubic metres of rock. Due to the inherent risk, both Italian and French government authorities (Follacci, 1987; Amatruda et al., 2004) monitor the actual active slope deformations. In Fig. 1, the locations and velocities of the actual movements are symbolized by grey surfaces. In these areas, different kinds of deformation were described by Julian and Anthony (1996). Toppling, in the higher part of the slopes (1500–2500 m above sea-level), where cracks and trenches move typically some mm per year (Fig. 1d). Downhills, velocities of more than one metre per year were recorded in 1997 and 2001 by the French authorities, in the “La Clapière” landslide and about 1 to 10 cm in 2001 in the “Rosone” landslide recorded by Italian authorities. The deformation velocities are recorded using a distancemeter – tachometer, the detection limits are under 1 cm/an. More detailed methodologies of the ground deformation monitorings used in this paper are described in Follacci (1987) and Amatruda et al. (2004).

The flow and geological conditions are as follows: In the Tinée valley, the rainwater infiltrates through the fractured gneiss rocks at the top of the hillslope around 1500–2000 m above sea level (m a.s.l.) and moves to the valley (1000 m a.s.l.) (Cappa et al., 2004; Binet et al., 2007b). Water flows through the cracks, until it reaches 100 m under the ground surface where it arrives at the saturated zone where it increases the pore pressure and, thus, participates in the landslide triggering (Cappa et al., 2004). Local high permeable Triassic and Jurassic deposits are pinched in gneiss rock (see T in the Fig. 1), for example under the foot of the La Clapière landslide (Gunzburger and Laumonier, 2002). Such deposits were observed in the Tinée valley close to the S15 springs and at the top of the Mounier mount (Fig. 1).

In the Orco valley, water flows through a 10 m deep subsurface aquifer (developed in the weathered gneiss rocks and decompressed cracks of the slope. The groundwater recharge occurs locally in the upper part of the slope by infiltration at about 2500–1900 m a.s.l. In this valley, the groundwater will not be in contact with gypsum-rich Triassic formations. Instead, some of the areas are covered by glacial deposits which may be the principal aquifers for specific sources. The main outflow occurs downhill from the Rosone unstable area (around 1000 m a.s.l) (Binet et al., 2007a).

2.2 Sampling and analysis of the Alpine crystalline rocks

Our own field trips (Binet et al., 2007a, b), combined with previous investigations (Mazeran and Féraud, 1974; Féraud et al., 1975; Gunzburger and Laumonier, 2002) lead to the establishment of the list of the major rocks and associated minerals determined in the investigated areas (Table 1).

During the field trips, the cracks retained particular attention, especially when sliding patterns of rock were observed inside these fractures. Figure 4a represents an example of fractures (located by a cross in Fig. 1c) dipping West in the slope direction reactivated by gravity deformation. The fractures, dipping East (i.e. perpendicular to the sliding direction), are non-reactivate (Guglielmi et al., 2005). Four Samples from these reactivated and non-reactivated cracks were carefully recovered to preserve the surfaces exposed to air and water. 20 mm-sized particles were analysed with a Jeol 35 CF Scanning electronic microscope. Spot chemical analysis were obtained froma X-ray Fondis microprobe analyser.
Fig. 1. (a) Structural map of the Alps with detailed map of (b) the Rosone slope (Grande Paradiso) and (c) the Tinée Valley (Mercantour massif) (d) schematic geological cross-section through the “La Clapière” landslide and localization of the monitored springs.
level periods (recession stage). In the Tinée valley, 92 springs of the two valleys.

2.3 Spatial variability of groundwater chemistry

2.3.1 Spatial variability of groundwater chemistry

The water composition of a large number of the Tinée and the Orco valley springs was determined during the low water-periods (recession stage). In the Tinée valley, 92 springs were analyzed during an intensive campaign in August 2003 (Fig. 1c). On the Orco valley, 22 springs were analyzed in June 2004 (Fig. 1b).

Each sample was classified depending on the geological environment of catchment (soil, gneiss rock, glacial or Tertiary deposits in Fig. 1 based on geological map described in Faure-Muret, 1955) and on the stability of the corresponding formations (are some rock deformations known in the catchment or not?). The stable/unstable classification was based on geomorphological observations and on prior slope stability studies performed in these two valleys (Forlati et al., 2001; Julian and Anthony, 1996). The “La Clapière” region and the Rosone slope are known to be a very unstable area. In all these sites, the water flows from soil to the gneiss rock but in different environments of slope stabilizations: Unstable for S15 and S5 La Clapière (Tinée) and Bertodosca Rosone (Orco) springs, and stable for Luicetta (Tinée) and Moglia (Orco) springs.

Corresponding sulfate and calcium data of all springs are given in Fig. 3, and Table 2 gives the complete water chemical analysis for the main springs draining through gneiss rock of the two valleys.

2.3.2 Temporal variability of groundwater chemistry

Previous studies pointed to the S15 spring, situated downstream of the landslide, drains the water flowing through this unstable area (Guglielmi et al., 2000, 2002). The water composition and the temporal variability of this spring were consequently studied in the framework of a nine year survey (1995 to 2004). Table 3 presents the complete water chemical analysis of the S15 spring situated at the foot of the landslide for ten dates that characterise the surrounding data, and Fig. 4 represents the sulfate concentrations of the whole survey.

The periods of freshwater intrusion into the S15 aquifer downstream needs to be identified. The presence of groundwater Nitrate levels above the detection limit (>0.001 mmol/L) correlated to meteorological events (snow melting and rainfall), whereas in the recession stages (low water period) showed that nitrate contributions decreased below the detection limit. The recession stages where consequently associated with periods of null nitrate concentration. The sulfate measurements realized during the low water period are plotted in Fig. 4 with a square symbol. Such exhaustive long-time surveys are not available for the other sites.

2.3.3 Analytic protocol

Aqueous K, Na, Ca and Mg concentrations were measured after acidification on a Perkin Elmer A Analyst 100 atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS); SO$_4^{2-}$, Cl$^-$ and NO$_3^-$ were obtained from High Pressure Ionic Chromatography (HPLC,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>% molar</th>
<th>Log (K)</th>
<th>Dissociation equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>−3.98</td>
<td>SiO$_2$+2H$_2$O ⇔ H$_4$SiO$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kிச்கு</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.63</td>
<td>KAl$_5$Si$<em>3$O$</em>{10}$ (OH)$_2$+9H$_2$O+H$^+$ ⇔ K$^+$+3H$_4$SiO$_4$+3Al(OH)$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phlogopite</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>−35.19</td>
<td>KMg$_3$AlSi$<em>3$O$</em>{10}$ (OH)$_2$+7H$^+$+3H$_2$O ⇔ K$^+$+3Mg$^{2+}$+3H$_4$SiO$_4$+Al(OH)$_3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plagioclase solid solution:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>% molar</th>
<th>Log (K)</th>
<th>Dissociation equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albite</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$+H$^+$+7H$_2$O ⇔ Na$^+$+3H$_4$SiO$_4$+Al(OH)$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorthite</td>
<td>8.21</td>
<td></td>
<td>CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$+2H$^+$+6H$_2$O ⇔ Ca$^{2+}$+2H$_4$SiO$_4$+2Al(OH)$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase An 70</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Na$<em>{0.3}$Ca$</em>{0.7}$Al$_{1.7}$Si$_3$O$_8$+6.3H$_2$O+1.7H$^+$ ⇔ .3Na$^+$+.7Ca$^{2+}$+1.7Al(OH)$_3$+2.3H$_4$SiO$_4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>% molar</th>
<th>Log (K)</th>
<th>Dissociation equations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>−18.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe$_2$+3.75 O$_2$+3.5 H$_2$O ⇔ 4 H$^+$+Fe(OH)$_3$+2 SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe oxides</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fe(OH)$_3$+3H$^+$ ⇔ Fe$^{3+}$+3H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Al(OH)$_3$+3H$^+$ ⇔ Al$^{3+}$+3H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halite</td>
<td>−4.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca SO$_4$ 2H$_2$O ⇔ Ca$^{2+}$+SO$_4^{2-}$+2H$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>NaCl ⇔ Na$^+$+Cl$^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>−8.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ca CO$_3$ ⇔ Ca$^{2+}$+CO$_3^{2-}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Spatial variability of water quality recorded on the six major springs from the two studied valleys. S5 refers to the spring of the La Clapière (Tinée) site. Calculated values of the saturation index (SI) and inverted values of the amount of dissolved minerals (positive values means dissolution, negative values means precipitation, inverted O2 values exceed the saturation limit, this means that the system is open for oxygen).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>Lucetta</th>
<th>Gallery</th>
<th>Bertod.</th>
<th>Moglia</th>
<th>Gera</th>
<th>Soil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample ID</td>
<td>S5(1)</td>
<td>S5(2)</td>
<td>S5(3)</td>
<td>S5(4)</td>
<td>S5(5)</td>
<td>S5(6)</td>
<td>L(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling date</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>04.99</td>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>06.02</td>
<td>08.03</td>
<td>04.04</td>
<td>05.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquifer type</td>
<td>Toppling zone</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>Soil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Results: field measurements of water quality and correlation with unstabilities

3.1 Analysis of in situ gneiss matrix and fractures walls

Gneiss rock is the dominant rock found in both valleys. The mineralogical composition, of Tinée gneiss rock was previously determined by Mazeron and Féraud (1974) and Féraud (1975): 66% quartz, 3% Biotite, 4% Kmica, 8% Plagioclase solid solution with 70% of Anorthite and 30% of Albite. The global mineralogical composition of the Rosone gneiss rock described in Amatruda et al. (2004) appears to be similar to the Tinée gneiss rock.

Inside cracks, secondary minerals such as pyrite, calcite and amorphous Ferric oxides were found beneath the essential primary minerals forming the gneiss rock found everywhere.

The microprobe analysis confirmed the presence of S in active fractures, and the presence of Fe and O beneath the usual Si, Al, O, Na, Mg, K, Ca gneiss rock constituting elements composition. Image analysis revealed that the corresponding sulfur (Fig. 2b) and Fe oxide minerals (Fig. 2b), of active and inactive fractures, are localized.
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3.2 Water chemistry composition

3.2.1 Dependent on geological formations

The water (four samples) from the soil has a 580–650 mV/H2 redox value and the bicarbonate concentration is 0.1 mmol/L ± 0.01. The calculated log of the CO2 pressures ranges from −1.7 to −1.9. Average values are presented in Table 2.

The water from gneiss rock (quartz, biotite, K-mica, plagioclase and pyrite) is characterised by low Mg2+ concentrations, (0.02 to 0.18 mmol/L) low electric conductivity (<500 µS/cm), and high Mg2+ concentrations (0.03 to 0.79 mmol/L, Table 2).

The water collected from downstream Triassic-Jurassic formations is characterised by high SO42− concentrations (>1 mmol/L, “Mont Mounier” downhill springs and S15, Fig. 3a insert), high electrical conductivities (>500 µSiemens/cm) and high Mg2+ concentrations (>1.5 mmol/L). This suggests the dissolution of Ca-Mg-SO4 gypsum dolomite Triassic formations (Fig. 1). This applied, for example, to the S15 spring (Table 3). Such water interacted supposedly with limestones, gypsum and pyrite.

Figure 3 presents the SO42− versus Ca concentration ratios of the Tinée (Fig. 3a) and the Rosone slope (Fig. 3b) springs. The data presented are dependent on geological and geomorphological conditions. In both valleys, the water from gneiss rock type are characterised by a constant SO42−/Ca ratio of 0.2–0.3. Triassic rock presents a ratio of 1, but with an offset of around 0.8 mmol/L of calcium for 0 mmol/L of sulfate. Water flowing through Triassic rocks is, thus, characterised by a ratio of 1 with high concentrations in calcium and sulfate. A ratio smaller than 0.1 corresponds to the glacial deposit types, which was only observed in the Rosone slope.

3.2.2 Dependent on stable/unstable zones

In the Tinée valley, the “La Clapière” (S15, S5, S6), “Noyere”, “Pra” and “Saubieras” springs drain from currently unstable areas (displacements of >1 mm/year, gray shaded in Fig. 1). The three Rosone slope springs, 14, Bertodasco 5 and Perrebelas 4, are known to be located in the moving zone (Fig. 1b, (Amatruda et al., 2004)). The SO42−/Ca concentration ratios of all these waters from unstable slopes are higher than 0.5 (big circles in Fig. 1), contrary to all water from stable zones (Fig. 3). This single parameter allows the discrimination of moving versus non-moving zones. This means that the ground movements correlates with excess sulfate concentrations in the water compared to calcium.

3.2.3 Dependent on landslide motion

The S15 spring is characterised by a particularly high SO42− concentration during the recession stage. The long observation period of the S15 spring (Fig. 4) shows that the sulfate changes with time and relates to the landslide acceleration (strong accelerations are grey shaded in Fig. 4). Thus, in 4/1996, a 8.5 mmol/L concentration (see S15(0) in Table 3) after an high acceleration is observed. It then decreases to 7.9 in the low movement period until 12/1996. Similarly in 1999, after a relatively long low movement period (concentration at 6.2 mmol/L in S15 (1 and 2) in May 1999), the concentrations increased to 7 in December 1999. Two short increases of the concentrations from 6.8 to 8.8 and to 6 to 6.5 mmol/L is also observed in 2000. The 02/2001 acceleration of the landslide is correlated with 7.4 sulfate concentration (S15(4)). Then an overall decrease from 2002 (see S15 (7, 8 and 9)). These movements correlate qualitatively and positively to the landslide acceleration. Note that the S15(5) analysis is an example of a no null nitrate value. The water is influenced by fresh water intrusion.
Table 3. Temporal variability of water quality recorded on the S15 springs draining the la Clapière landslide. Calculated values of the saturation index (SI) and inversed values of the amount of dissolved minerals (positive values mean dissolution, negative values mean precipitation, inversed O2 values exceed the saturation limit, this means that the system is open for oxygen).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aquifer type</th>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>Measured values</th>
<th>Calculated values</th>
<th>Inversed values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moving zone: La Clapière landslide</td>
<td>04.96</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>05.99</td>
<td>07.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity (mm/mo)</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rain (mm/mo)</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (l/s)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity (μS/cm)</td>
<td>1496</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>1331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh (mV/H2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>515</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO3 (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO4 (mmol/L)</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca2+ (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg2+ (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na+ (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K+ (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3 (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO4/Ca</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical balance %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2E-6</td>
<td>2E-06</td>
<td>8E-06</td>
<td>2E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCO2 (log(atm))</td>
<td>−3.36</td>
<td>−2.75</td>
<td>−2.58</td>
<td>−2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eh (mV/H2)</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI pyrite</td>
<td>−181</td>
<td>−184</td>
<td>−192</td>
<td>−185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI gypsum</td>
<td>−0.50</td>
<td>−0.49</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>−0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI calcite</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrite (mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gypsum (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagioclase = An70. (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiogypse + Klnca (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomitite (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−2.96</td>
<td>−1.15</td>
<td>−2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibbsite (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−3.72</td>
<td>−4.69</td>
<td>−3.25</td>
<td>−4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(OH)3(a) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>−1.51</td>
<td>−1.83</td>
<td>−1.28</td>
<td>−1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2(g) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2(g) (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gneiss = ΣPla. + Phlo. (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Py/Gneiss (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.7371</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.6531</td>
<td>0.4885</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In such a system, the gneiss rock dissolution becomes the dominant process. The pH will gradually increase when the primary minerals consume H\(^+\) ions. The increasing pH leads finally to precipitation of insoluble mineral phases, and especially the precipitation of Al(OH)\(_3\) and Fe(OH)\(_3\). It can be deduced from Table 1 that seven, two, or respectively one H\(^+\) moles are consumed when dissolving one molecular unit of phlogopite, anorthite and albite or K\(_2\)O (in considering that silica is released as silicic acid Si(OH)\(_4\), and Al as Al(OH)\(_3\)).

This basis of the hypothesis is inferred from the experimental data set, as all spring water have higher pH and higher ion concentrations than the soil water. In concordance to field observations (Fig. 2), Fe-oxide and Al-oxyde precipitation will occur. To note that the few OH\(^-\) ions bound to dissolved Al(OH)\(_4\) and Fe(OH)\(_4\) species are not significant compared to the mass of dissolved OH\(^-\) ions.

The increase in pH will also lead to a decrease of the PCO\(_2\) through the transformation of dissolved CO\(_2\) into HCO\(_3^-\). This mechanism, associated with Ca from the dissolution of primary minerals, may lead to calcite precipitation. Table 2 shows that 2 of the 13 reported springs have calcite saturation index \(>0.5\). The observed calcite in fractures and the other study on hydrochemistry of gneiss rock (Appelo and Postma, 1996) indicates that calcite precipitation occurs in these systems and calcite was added as an equilibrium phase in the model.

The presence of pyrite, attested from field observations, is considered to be a source of Fe ions. The oxidation to sulfate and Fe\(^{+3}\), with the associated precipitation of Fe(OH)\(_3\) is a strongly proton-producing process (Eq. 1)

\[
FeS_2 + 3.75O_2 + 3.5H_2O \leftrightarrow Fe(OH)_3 + 2SO_4^{2-} + 4H^+ \tag{1}
\]

Finally, the presence of Gypsum is also attested in the Triassic deposits. Gypsum is add in the model only for S15 presented in Table 3. This mineral increases \([SO_4^{2-}]\) and

---

**Fig. 3.** Spatial variability of solute concentration measured in the springs of gneiss rock aquifers: (a) in the Tinée valley (S15 is in an insert) and (b) in the Rosone slope. The symbol form is related to the geological context, the black symbols are related to spring draining a unstable slope.

**Fig. 4.** Temporal evolution of the sulfate concentration measured in a spring draining from an active unstable area (La Clapière landslide). The circles present the whole measurement. The squares present the measurements realized when nitrate concentration is null (recession stage). The sulfates, changed during the recession stage, are related with the landslide velocity (strong accelerations are grey shaded). Sulfate concentration of year 1998 are not exploitable. The S15(1, 2, ...) annotations, refer to complete analysis of the S15 trough time, presented in the Table 3.
increases the saturation index of calcite, i.e. promotes its precipitation. Concerning pH, the dissolution of the primary minerals increases pH, whereas precipitation of calcite (through deprotonation of HCO$_3^-$ ions), Oxidation of pyrite and precipitation of iron oxides decreases the pH. Dissolution of gypsum has no direct effect on pH.

The source and sink terms of individual ions can be outlined as follows: SO$_4^{2-}$ is provided from pyrite and gypsum. silica, Al and OH$^-$ are finally released from all primary minerals. On the side of precipitation, calcite binds excess Ca and alkalinity, Fe precipitates as Fe(OH)$_3$, and Al precipitates as Al(OH)$_3$. As silica gel and amorphous phases saturation index are negatives, and as quartz and phlogopite have low kinetics of precipitation, these minerals can be oversaturated.

### 4.2 Inverse modelling

Dissolved ions in spring water are considered originating from rocks and gases. The necessary quantities of minerals and gases to be dissolved in the soil water to obtain the spring water composition, were obtained from inverse modelling with PHREEQC, by specifying the measured concentration of major ions (Ca, Mg, K, Na, SO$_4$, Cl, NO$_3$, alkalinity), pH, temperature (fixed to 8°C for all conditions) of both, the soil and the target spring water. The minerals considered for dissolution in the water are halite (for Cl), quartz, plagioclase, phlogopite, K-feldspar and pyrite. Minerals considered potentially precipitating dependent on the calculated saturation index were calcite, dolomite, ferrihydrite, gibbsite. The model considers CO$_2$ and O$_2$ as two dissolved phases. The calculated amount of consumed gas will open the discussion about open/close reservoir conditions. The proton balances are involved in these calculations. The calculations are applied to the 6 major springs (13 samples) draining gneiss fractures from the two study valleys (Table 2). The uncertainty on the calculated ionic balance, is fixed to 15% because the model is a simplification using only 5 minerals to describe the gneiss rock.

For the S15 spring (10 samples; approximately one per year) the same minerals list are considered, adding dolomite, and gypsum. The modelled amounts of gas and mineral dissolved needed to reproduce the spring water compositions are reported in Table 3.

The system is considered at the equilibrium, however, the spring water composition is controlled by a continuous dissolution process. The equilibrium model results represents a momentum analysis of kinetically driven reaction during the transfer of water in the subsurface, which did not arrive at its end.

### 4.3 Dominant processes controlling the water quality

#### 4.3.1 Origin of sulfates in silicate system water deduced from pH buffering processes

Figure 5a shows the results of a direct model used to discuss the inverse modelling. The evolution in pH and Ca$^{2+}$ for variable amounts of dissolved gneiss rock (continuously increasing basis curve starting from the soil water), with or without contributions from dissolved pyrite and gypsum in calcite undersaturation conditions. Sulfate increases are induced from either a 0.1 mmol of pyrite, either a 0.2 mmol/L of gypsum dissolution, in a Phlogopite+Plagioclase contents fixed to 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 mmol/L, in an undersaturated water in respect to calcite. The model is confronted to S5 springs measurements dissolving approximately 0.6 mmol/L of gneiss rock, presented in Table 2. 

(b) sulfate increases are induced from either a 0.1 mmol of pyrite dissolution in gneiss rock, either a 2 mmol/L of gypsum dissolution in gneiss rock buffered context (fixed alkalinity = 1 mmol/L), phlogopite + K-feldspar + albite + anorthite content = 0.2 mmol/L) in saturated water in respect to calcite. The model is confronted with S15 spring measurements presented in Table 3.
or from a 0.2 mmol/L of gypsum dissolution, in a Phlogopite + Kmicite + plagioclase contents, respectively, fixed to 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 mmol/L. The dissolution of equivalent amounts of sulfate from pyrite or gypsum results in a decrease of pH (in the case of pyrite) or in an increase of [Ca$^{2+}$] (in the case of Gypsum). These different effects allow us to determine the origin of sulfate (pyrite versus gypsum) in the S5 spring. The inverse modelling suggests that S5(2), S5(3) and S5(6) dissolve 0.6 mmol/L of gneiss rock (Table 2). These data plotted in Fig. 5a shows that for fixed amounts of dissolved gneiss rock the spring water pH decreases with time but not [Ca$^{2+}$]; more precisely between 0.1 and 0.8 mmol/L of pyrite needs to be dissolved, versus less than 0.1 mmol/L of Gypsum. The observed changes through time in sulfate concentration (S5(2), S5(3) and S5(6)) plotted in Fig. 5 indicate that the sulfate essentially changes originates from pyrite.

The interference between gypsum and pyrite, in calcite saturated conditions observed in the S15 spring, are more complex (Fig. 5b). Dissolved Ca$^{2+}$ will partly contribute to increase [Ca$^{2+}$], and partly precipitate as calcite, decreasing by the way the alkalinity and the pH (through deprotonation of precipitating HCO$_3$) ions. In Fig. 5b, Gypsum dissolution curves are thus no longer vertical. Concerning pyrite, the protons released (Eq. 1) will (as for Gypsum) displace the saturation pH of calcite to lower pH values, which means dissolution of calcite. In this case, both [Ca$^{2+}$] and alkalinity increase, different to Gypsum dissolution. Parts of the protons contribute to lower the pH, and parts contribute to protonate the CO$_3^{2-}$ ions released to the solution from calcite. Thus, pyrite dissolution curves in Fig. 5b have also a negative slope. The S15 spring, saturated with respect to calcite, flows through gypsum and calcite. The sulfate content is very high (8 mmol/L). The pH versus Ca plot of the S15 time series (Fig. 5b) shows that 2 to 5 mmol of Gypsum and 0 to 0.5 mmol/L of pyrite contributed to the sulfate measured in the spring. This shows that Gypsum dominantly contributed to the sulfate composition in this case, but pyrite is necessary to explain the recorded time evolution.

4.3.2 O$_2$ and CO$_2$ conditions, and implications for open/closed model assumption

In the model, the introduced pyrite is entirely oxidized, as an excess oxygen concentration is introduced. This ensures that oxygenated conditions prevail at the spring outlet as experimentally determined (Table 2 and 3). A priori this introduced “reactive pyrite” represents only a minor fraction of the FeS minerals existing in the field. Potentially, much more pyrite may be really present, but associated with an insufficient contact time with water and/or surface area. The very low saturation index of the pyrite (from −160 to −200) for a redox reaction confirm that pyrite is controlled by kinetics processes (Stefansson et al., 2005).

Following the pyrite oxidation (Eq. 1), 3.75 oxygen atoms are needed to oxidise two sulphur atoms to sulfate. O$_2$ saturated water at 5°C (Saturation [O$_2$]$_{sat}$ = 0.4 mmol/L) may thus produce a maximum of 0.21 mmol/L sulfate from pyrite in a closed system. Most of the inverse $O_2$ values presented in Table 2 exceed this theoretical value by a factor of one or two. This means that the system is open for oxygen. Some surface oxygen supposedly diffuses into the groundwater, thus, contributing to enhance the dissolved oxygen content needed for the oxidation of pyrite. Here, the groundwater flows mainly in the unsaturated zone in contact with gases (Binet et al., 2007b). Hydrogeological context is coherent with an open system. Note that the regular decrease of sulfate (Fig. 4) between 2002 and 2003 may discard a seasonal evolution of $O_2$ or CO$_2$ pressures or of the temperature that controlled the pyrite oxidation. In other areas, the dissolution of pyrite is insensitive to seasonal changes (Domenech et al., 2002).

PCO$_2$ values at the spring outlet (Table 2 and 3) range between −3.32 and −2.7 and are superior to the atmospheric PCO$_2$ pressure (PCO$_2$=10$^{-3.5}$ atm.). In the given system of open fractures, we consider that the system is opened to the atmosphere. This means that CO$_2$ (together with oxygen) diffuses continuously to the groundwater providing the necessary acid for the neutralization of the base generated through the dissolution of the gneiss rock. This generates the alkalinity (i.e. the HCO$_3^-$ concentration) measured at the spring outlet. The Inversed modelling indicates that low CO$_2$ exchanges with the atmosphere occurred. Realized a model without CO$_2(g)$, to simulate a closed system do not modify the calculated solutions presented in Table 2. The soil alkalinity input (0.1 mmol/L) is sufficient to explain the bicarbonates evolution with the pH increase, but errors range caused by the alkalinity measurements (±0.1) do not enable to exclude possible CO$_2$ exchanges with the atmosphere.

More importantly, this shows that respiration processes observed in soil, did not occur in the open fractures as these processes are known to disturb the groundwater PCO$_2$ values compared to the atmospheric pressure. This indicates that the organic matter of soil water was effectively outfiltered and/or entirely respirated into the soil before flowing through the fractures.

4.4 Pyrite availability in unstable areas

Table 2 and 3 show the amounts of dissolved minerals used to explain the groundwater chemistry. Plagioclase and phlogopite well explain the water chemistry acquisition (Table 2) and are considered the main reactive minerals in gneiss rock. The sum of these minerals is considered to represent the amount of dissolved gneiss rock. The chemistry of water presented in Table 2 is explained with a dissolution from 0.062 to 2.73 mmol of gneiss rock and from 0.008 to 0.38 mmol of pyrite per litre. The water from S15 dissolves from 1.9 to 2.9 mmol of gneiss rock, 2.9 to 5.7 mmol of Gypsum, 1.7 to 4 mmol of dolomite and 1.5 to 1.8 mmol of pyrite per litre.
The dissolved amount of pyrite normed to the gneiss rock amount (Py/Gn) is an indicator of the relative pyrite weathering rate. (Table 2 and 3). The Py/Gn ratio range from 0.04 to 0.13 in a stable aquifer and from 0.16 to 0.74 in unstable aquifers. The significant difference in the relative Py/Gn ratio suggests that the relative weathering rate of the pyrite is higher in the active fractures.

5 Discussion

5.1 Relationship between physical erosion and chemical weathering

SO$_4$ time evolution could originate from a mix process, with water from a pyrite rich reservoir. However, the other ions in the water are not correlated with the accelerations. When the sulfate concentrations decrease, the Mg, Cl, Ca and HCO$_3^-$ concentrations can increase (for example see S15(7) and S15(8) in Table 3) or can decrease (see S15(0) and S15(1)). Thus, the mix process between water from the landslide and a sulfate-rich water can be reasonably discarded.

To discuss the origin of SO$_4$ time evolution requires taking into account an internal modification of the dissolution rate of the pyrite correlated with landslide velocity. Within the fractures, the crystalline rock materials were found to be covered by iron oxide coatings (Fig. 2c) inferred weathered.

Sulfate anomalies were observed in all the water flowing through active landslides in the two study valleys (Fig. 3). These sulfate increases were observed after 5 landslide accelerations, and indicate that a mechanical deformation can (re) activate sulfate production. Thus, a mechanical effect can refresh the surfaces, reinitialize the dissolution or create new fresh fractures (Fig. 2b). This mechanical effect can be linked to mechanical deformation of the slope, characterised in these valleys by complex progressive failure propagation (Barla and Chiriotti, 1996).

During laboratory experiments of pyrite leaching, it appears that the dissolution rate decreases with time (Williamson and Rimstidt, 1994; Igarashi et al., 2003; Weisener et al., 2003). With time, the aging of mineral decreases the contact surface and the original behaviour of pyrite dissolution cannot be re-established (Jerz and Rimstidt, 2004). For instance, with a 10 year observation scale, modifications of the groundwater chemistry in an acid moorland in the Welsh Uplands is attributed to a decrease in the weathering rate of pyrite (Forti et al., 1996). On the other side, at the continental scale, a theoretical model coupling chemical weathering and physical erosion in landslide-dominated landscapes is proposed to explain the refreshment of the weathering rate (Gabet, 2007). Here, long-term decrease of the weathering rate and the theoretical refreshment are suggested at the landslide scale.

5.2 Implication for landslide management

A comparison of the weathering rates shows the possibility to localize current unstable areas with instantaneous measurements. This method enables us to demonstrate the presence of a current unstable zones in the catchment. In these unstable mountainous contexts, the catchments of subsurface flows are localized. The water infiltrates in the upper part of open fractures and a part of it discharges downhill from the bottom of these fractures (Binet et al., 2007). By performing sampling very close to the potential unstable area, it is possible to deduce if the sampled zone is moving or not.

If a potentially unstable slope is monitored, water chemistry is like a syn-deformation signal that can be used to recorded the fracture growing or the sliding evolution. With time, the fracture growing can lead to a catastrophic rupture (Scavia, 1995) and water chemistry can be a help to the prediction of this catastrophic rupture.

Stable zones record a 0.13 to 0.4 Py/Gn ratio. In the moving zones, Py/Gn ratio ranges between 0.16 to 0.74. Considering error range in the weathering rate values, a 0.15 value can be considered as a threshold value to discriminate between stable and unstable area. This ratio is establish from two different alpine valleys (Tinée and Rosone). Extrapolate this ratio for other slopes means that the water/rock interaction surfaces and the weathering state in the stable areas are similar. Such hypothesis can be tested using a well-know stable aquifer before testing the potentially unstable zones.

In the topped area of the S5 spring, the two high ratios of the relative weathering rates of pyrite (0.4 for 1998 and 2004) suggest that the two last accelerations of the La Clapière landslide (1997 and 2000) have affected the entire hillslope. In this area, mm/year displacements are estimated by stability calculations (Guglielmi et al., 2005). The hydrochemical signature is produced even from slow (mm/year) and low magnitude (toppling) deformations.

The accelerations and the ruptures in the rock deformation have a very short time scale (form a second to a day). The changes in chemical signature of groundwater are related to transport of water (from a day to months in these subsurface context). If the dissolution rate is changed, it will take some time for sulfate to reach a new steady state concentration. No experimental data is available about the refreshed rates of pyrite. Figure 4 suggests that the changes in transport delay and in the dissolution rates take from 1 to 6 month to reach the spring.

The rock deformations are often drive by crack propagations producing precursor slow movements (Scavia, 1995) that can be recorded by the water chemistry. In the case where we can sample water close to (or in) a deformation area, the transport delay will be reduced and precursor slow movements could be detected.
6 Conclusions

Wet chemical analysis, from the Orco and the Tinée valleys (Western Italy and Southern France) were performed. Some of these springs drain currently active gravitational slope deformations. The groundwater sulfate concentrations increased from 0.1 to 1 mmol/L in the weeks following major displacement periods of a landslide. This study shows that unstable areas may potentially be detected by associating major ions analysis to inverse modelling of chemical equilibria: the calculation of the dissolved pyrite to dissolved gneiss rock ratio allows an unambiguous determination of the water flowing through unstable zones. Here, gneiss rock and gypsum are the principal dissolving compound, and pyrite is the minor compound, which is rapidly dissolved, and consequently increasingly present in cases its reactive surface is "refreshed" through the movement of rock masses. The discrimination of stable and unstable areas is possible even in the case of slow (mm/year) and low magnitude deformations. This result opens an interesting perspective as for a cost-effective follow-up of sliding or friction dynamics in the landslides or in the seismic and aseismic faults.
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