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ABSTRACT. We obtained 453.2 ± 1.8 Ma and 449.4 ± 1.8 Ma (2σ) laser step-heating $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plateau ages for phengite from quartzite mylonites from the blueschist-facies Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in Inner Mongolia (northern China). These ages are within error of the inverse isochron ages calculated using the plateau steps and the weighted mean ages of total fusion of single grains. The compositional change from glaucophane in the cores to crossite in the rims of blue amphiboles, as revealed by electron microprobe analysis, points to decompression, probably caused by progressive exhumation of the subducted material. The Late Ordovician ages were not affected by excess argon incorporation because in all likelihood the oceanic sediments were wet on arrival at the trench and free of older detrital mica. The ca. 450 Ma ages are, hence, interpreted as the time of crystallization during mylonitization under high fluid activity at fairly low temperatures. This means that accretion of the quartzite mylonite unit occurred about 200 Ma before final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean, amalgamation of the Siberian, Tarim and North China cratons, and formation of the end-Permian Solonker suture zone.

We argue that the Early Paleozoic evolution of the Ondor Sum complex occurred along the northeastern Cimmerian margin of Gondwana, which was composed of micro-continents fringed by subduction-accretion complexes and island arcs. The later evolution took place during the building of the Eurasian continent following middle Devonian and younger rifting along the East Gondwanan margin and northward drift of the detached North China craton. An extensive review shows that this type of two-stage scenario probably also applies to the geodynamic evolution of other micro-continents like, South China, Tarim, a number of Kazakh terranes, Alashan, Qaidam and Kunlun, as well as South Kitakami and correlatives in Japan, and probably Indochina. Like the North China craton, these were bordered by Early Paleozoic subduction-accretion complexes, island arcs or contained calc-alkaline volcanic margins, like for example, the central Tienshan, North Qinling, North Qaidam-Altun, North Qilian and Kunlun belts in China, as well as the Oeyama and Miyamori ophiolites and Matsugadaira-Motai blueschist belt of Japan and the dismembered Sergeevka ophiolite of the southern part of the Russian Far East. This implies that a vast orogenic system, comprising an archipelago of micro-continents, seems to have existed along the Cimmerian margin of
East Gondwana in Early Paleozoic time in which the ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism that characterizes the early evolution of many of the Asian micro-continents occurred.
INTRODUCTION AND AIM

The Central Asian Orogenic Belt (CAOB) is a complex collage of island arcs, micro-continental fragments and remnants of oceanic crust, including small forearc and backarc basins, situated between the Siberian craton to the north and the Kazakhstan, North China (or Sino-Korean) and Tarim cratons in the south (Zonenshain, 1973; Zonenshain and others, 1990; Mossakovsky and others, 1994; Jahn and others, 2000; Filippova and others, 2001; Badarch and others, 2002; Kheraskova and others, 2003; Xiao and others, 2003; Jahn, 2004; fig. 1). The CAOB likely continues eastward as far as the Hida belt in Japan (fig. 1, loc. 11; Arakawa and others, 2000; Jahn and others, 2000) that was part of the Asian mainland before the Miocene (Maruyama and others, 1997). Although the southern margin of Siberia was the site of subduction-accretion tectonics from the Neoproterozoic on (Dobretsov, 2003; Dobretsov and others, 2003; Khain and others, 2003), the CAOB formed mainly during the Paleozoic by accretion of oceanic plate sediments and magmatic arcs, as well as by amalgamation of terranes of different type and derivation (Coleman, 1994; Didenko and others, 1994; Mossakovsky and others, 1994; Dobretsov and others, 1995; Buslov and others, 2001; Filippova and others, 2001; Badarch and others, 2002; Windley and others, 2002; Dobretsov, 2003; Kheraskova and others, 2003; Xiao and others, 2003, 2004a). This process was accompanied by emplacement of immense volumes of mafic and granitic magmas (Chen and others, 2000; Jahn and others, 2000; Wu and others, 2002; Jahn, 2004). The CAOB resulted from the closure of an oceanic basin (Mongolian seaway: Maruyama and others, 1997; Paleo-Asian Ocean: Xiao and others, 2003) by double subduction below the southern active margin of the Siberian craton and the northern margins of North China and Tarim (Wang and Liu, 1986). Li and Wu (1996) argued that intermixing of floras typical for the Siberian craton on the one hand and the North China and Tarim cratons on the other constrains their incipient collision as early Late Permian. Cope and others (2005) envisaged that convergence and ultimate collision resulted in a paleo-current reversal in North China due to the onset of uplift and creation of topography on the northern margin of the craton, from mid-Permian time on. The collision resulted in the formation of the Solonker suture zone, a major structure developed just north of the North China craton (Badarch and others, 2002) and Tarim craton (Xiao and others, 2004b), and that can be followed all along the CAOB from Kyrgyzstan in the west to the coastal area of the Sea of Japan in northernmost North Korea in the east (fig. 1). Different lines of evidence point to a suturing that occurred
progressively later from west to east (Dobretsov, 2003; Xiao and others, 2004b; Cope and others, 2005). During the final stages of the collision crustal shortening was partitioned into vertical, ductile, strike-slip shear zones between the micro-continents that often reactivated older sutures, during the late Carboniferous to early Permian in the western part (Buslov and others, 2001, 2004) and the late Permian in the central part (Laurent-Charvet and others, 2003) of the orogen. Early Permian A-type granites, occurring in a narrow belt along the suture zone, formed as result of post-collisional slab break-off, and Late Triassic to Early Jurassic A-type granites from subsequent lithospheric delamination (Wu and others, 2002). Triassic molassic sediments that overly weakly foliated to undeformed about 230-Ma old granite plutons (Wang and Liu, 1986; Chen and others, 2000) mark their cooling and exhumation, and herald the end of the collision and uplift of the orogen. A compilation by Wang and Liu (1986) and data obtained by the Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources of Inner Mongolia (Nei Mongol) (BGMRI, 1991) indicate that terrestrial sediments were deposited across the suture zone in early Jurassic time.

Şengör and others (1993) favored continuous, forearc subduction-accretion and arc collision along the southern margin of the Siberian craton. However, ophiolite belts in the CAOB have been interpreted alternatively as discrete suture zones between terranes, rather pointing to punctuated closure of multiple oceanic basins (Hsü and others, 1991; Mossakovsky and others, 1994; Buchan and others, 2002). Xiao and others (2003) proposed a model for the evolution of the CAOB with three main stages that are related to progressive two-way subduction of the Paleo-Asian Ocean: (1) early to mid-Paleozoic Japanese-type subduction-accretion, (2) a Permian Andean-stage when the two opposing margins became sufficiently consolidated, and (3) continent-continent collision, leading to the formation of the Solonker suture zone at the end of the Permian during the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean due to its coeval southward and northward subduction beneath the Tarim and North China cratons and Siberia, respectively.

The 60-km wide Erdaojing complex forms part of the Solonker suture zone in Inner Mongolia (fig. 2) and consists of tectonic mélanges with blocks of meta-chert, marble, and ultramafic-mafic rocks of blueschist-facies metamorphic grade (Tang, 1990; Xu and others, 2001). The latter authors obtained a \(^{40}Ar/^{39}Ar\) age of 383 ± 13 Ma (mid-Devonian) on a sodic-amphibole from a blueschist block (fig. 2, for location). Recently, late Guadalupian (middle Permian) radiolarians have been found (Shang, 2004). The subduction-accretion complex can be compared to the Jilin complex that occurs northeast of the Sino-Korean craton in northeastern China (Li, 2006). The suture has a multiple character, because it occurs
within two opposing subduction-accretion complexes formed by two-way subduction (Xiao and others, 2003). Although the former controversy about the timing of the formation of the Solonker suture zone has been resolved as end-Permian (Chen and others, 2000; Wu and others, 2002; Xiao and others, 2003; Cope and others, 2005), the age and significance of the early accretionary stages are poorly known and understood. The Ondor Sum accretion-subduction complex offers a key opportunity to constrain the timing of the early accretion along the northern margin of the North China craton. Therefore, we applied the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ dating method to well-selected samples of blueschist-facies, phengite-bearing quartzitic mylonite in the Ulan Valley in the Ondor Sum region (figs. 2 and 3), where the best section through the subduction-accretion complex is exposed.

The ca. 450 Ma $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages that we obtained on phengites imply that ductile deformation in the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex took place some 200 Ma before the formation of the Late Permian Solonker suture zone. We argue that the Late Ordovician deformation and metamorphism took place along the margin of East Gondwana, parts of which, such as Tarim and the North and South China cratons, were rifted off in Late Paleozoic time and entered the Paleo-Asian Ocean as micro-plates, in part fringed by Early Paleozoic subduction-accretion complexes and island arcs.

**THE NORTHERN MARGIN OF THE NORTH CHINA CRATON**

The basement of the North China craton consists of Archean predominantly trondhjemitic-tonalitic-granodioritic gneisses and minor mafic igneous rocks, as well as Paleoproterozoic metasedimentary and igneous rocks, which are locally overlain by Neoproterozoic passive margin sediments (Hsü and others, 1991; Zhao, 2001; Kusky and Li, 2003; Zhai and others, 2003, 2005; Jia and others, 2004). Cambrian to middle Ordovician platform carbonates are unconformably covered by Carboniferous-Permian strata (BGMRIM, 1991). Based on $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ plateau ages of amphiboles from the Bayan Obo area (fig. 2), Chao and others (1997) postulated a regional metamorphic event that started at about 425 Ma and continued until at least 395 Ma. By the end of the Carboniferous the northern side of the North China craton was an active continental margin into which Andean-type granites and granodiorites were intruded, onto which andesites, basalts, dacites, rhyolites were extruded, and tuffs were deposited (Xiao and others, 2003). The Late Carboniferous to Permian series contain detritus from both
plutonic and metamorphic sources (polycrystalline quartz, feldspar and lithic fragments) that supplied
detrital zircons with Archean-Paleoproterozoic and Late Paleozoic Sensitive High-Resolution Ion
MicroProbe (SHRIMP) U-Pb ages (Cope and others, 2005). The latter, 425-275 Ma, age group increases in
importance stratigraphically upward and reveals that the northern margin of North China was a continental
margin arc already in the Silurian and which activity would last into Permian time (Cope and others, 2005).
Late Paleozoic granite and granodiorite intrusions in the Precambrian basement of the northernmost North
China craton (BGMRIM, 1991; Chao and others, 1997; figs. 2 and 10 of Xiao and others, 2003) probably
form the source that is being progressively eroded in the terminal Paleozoic (Cope and others, 2005). The
Carboniferous-Permian strata exposed near the most northern limit of the Archean basement are deformed
into a series of tight north-vergent overturned to isoclinal folds, which are unconformably overlain by Early
Jurassic fluvial-lacustrine sediments (Cope and others, 2005).

The Chifeng-Bayan Obo fault (fig. 2) is widely regarded as the northern boundary of the North
China craton (Wang and Liu, 1986; Shao, 1989; Tang and Yan, 1993; Bai and others, 1993a, b). A number
of Early Paleozoic island arcs occur to the north of the fault. The Ulan arc formed within the Ondor Sum
subduction-accretion complex during this period, as part of a north-dipping, oceanward-directed subduction
system along the northern margin of the craton, and subsequently, the Bainaimiao arc formed as a result of
southward subduction (fig. 4; Xiao and others, 2003). The latter arc consisted of calc-alkaline tholeiitic
basalts to minor felsic lavas, alkaline basalts, agglomerates, volcanic breccias and tuffs, as well as gabbros,
granodiorites and granites (Hu and others, 1990). Zircon from a granodiorite porphyry has a U-Pb age of
about 466 Ma and a muscovite from a granite yielded a K-Ar age of ca. 430 Ma (Zhang and Tang, 1989).
Xiao and others (2003) argued that the high initial strontium isotope ratio ($^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7146$) of granites
(Shao, 1989) and the $\varepsilon\text{Nd}$ value of $2.4 \pm 1.7$ of granodiorite (Nie and Bjørlykke, 1999) imply that the
Bainaimiao arc was formed by mixing between mantle-derived and crustal rocks in an active continental
Cordilleran-type margin, rather than in an island arc, as commonly thought. A 20-30 km wide and 120 km
long east-trending belt occurring about 50 km south of Bayan Obo (fig. 2) contains ca. 455 Ma-old granitic
plutonic rocks that intrude Proterozoic metasediments (Chao and others, 1997). The ca. 430 Ma K-Ar
muscovite from a granite probably reflects the cooling of the Bainaimiao arc. The occurrence of shallow-
marine elastic sediments and carbonates (with late Silurian fossils corals) on top of early Paleozoic granites
in the western Ondor Sum region (Wang and Liu, 1986) constrains the exhumation of the Bainaimiao-type magmatic rocks, which may point to the extinction of the arc. In the Silurian, Devonian and Carboniferous no island arcs were generated and accreted to the northern margin of the North China craton (Xiao and others, 2003).

**ONDOR SUM SUBDUCTION-ACCRETION COMPLEX**

Isolated outcrops of ophiolites occur around Ondor Sum, in an area of about 70 km along strike associated with high-pressure metamorphic rocks, and further eastward in the area of Kedenshan and along the northern banks of the Xar Moron River (fig. 2). These rocks form part of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex (fig. 2), which incorporates the Ondor Sum Group (Zhang and Wu, 1998), Ondor Sum Ophiolite Belt (Wang and Liu, 1986), Wentermiao (Tang and others, 1983) or Wendurmiao (Hu and others, 1990) Group or the Ondor Sum magmatic-metamorphic complex (Zhai and others, 2003). The subduction-accretion complex comprises Early Paleozoic rocks (Xiao and others, 2003) that have been thrust onto the continental margin of the North China craton. The Mesoproterozoic amphibolite-facies orthogneisses and supracrustal rocks as well as Neoproterozoic greenstones described by Zhai and others (2003) probably belong to this basement.

We have structurally subdivided the Ondor Sum complex at its type locality in the Ulan Valley (also known as: Ulangou, Wulan valley, or Wulangou) northeast of Ondor Sum into three units. These are, from south to north (fig. 3), in order of increasing intensity of deformation and structural position: Unit 1 (undeformed pillow basalt with a cover of chert and clastics), Unit 2 (a series of volcanic rocks) and Unit 3 (mylonitic meta-chert with lenses of ocean-floor derived lithologies). The three units of the subduction-accretion complex are described below in more detail.

**Unit 1**

Unit 1 comprises about 600 meters of undeformed pillow basalt, in which lensoid bodies of gabbro and limestone occur imbricated (fig. 3). Pillows are up to 30-50 cm long, have vesicular chilled margins and well-preserved amygdaoids filled wth carbonate, chlorite and occasionally albite. Pillow breccias and hyaloclastites, with centimeter-size lava fragments, are found near the bottom of the lavas.
Many flows have a shear foliation parallel to the bedding of the pillows. The basalts are considered to be of MORB-type, on the basis of their major and trace elements compositions (Tang, 1992; Wu and others, 1998). The basalts are characterized by the metamorphic assemblage: lawsonite + albite + pumpellyite + chlorite + aragonite + calcite + quartz + epidote; lawsonite occurs mainly in the cores of pillows and decreases in abundance outward (Yan and others, 1989).

The series of pillow basalt form the upper part of a locally preserved ophiolite sequence of, from bottom to top; serpentinized harzburgite and dunite, cumulate gabbro, and diabase (Wang and Liu, 1986), developed to the south of the area displayed in figure 4. The ultramafic rocks have the metamorphic mineral assemblage: talc + calcite + magnesite + chlorite + magnetite (Yan and others, 1989). Gabbros contain: omphacite (Jd% 35-25) + chlorite + epidote + pumpellyite + albite + titanite + quartz as high-pressure metamorphic assemblage (Yan and others, 1989).

The basalts in the uppermost part of unit 1 are covered by a thrust-imbricated, but stratigraphically intact succession of red to purple-colored chert and overlying sandstone (figs. 4, 5). Chert may contain m-size lenses of serpentinite and magnetite-pyrolusite-quartz rock. Chert has yielded fossil sponge spicules, Hyolithes, Monoplaco-phora, radiolaria, acritarchs and spores, indicative of a Late Precambrian to Cambrian age (Peng, 1984; Wang and Liu, 1986). Xiao and others (2003) interpreted the thrust duplex on the ophiolitic rocks as representing the ridge-trench transition in ocean plate stratigraphy.

The north-dipping contact zone with the overlying lava series of unit 2 is made up of a 200-250 m thick zone in which pillow basalts, gabbro and more or less serpentinized harzburgite occur intimately imbricated (fig. 5). At the uppermost thrust contact ultramafic rocks are transformed into talc schists or magnesite-chlorite schists, pointing to pervasive retrogressive hydration.

**Unit 2**

Unit 2 contains calc-alkaline basalts, andesites, dacites, rhyolites and bedded pyroclastic tuffs. The unit is separated from the structurally underlying unit 1 and overlying unit 3 by a north-dipping thrust faults (fig. 3). Xiao and others (2003) regarded this series of volcanic rocks as a relic of a dismembered island arc that was incorporated into the subduction-accretion complex.
Unit 3

Unit 3 occupies the structurally highest tectonic position and is the principal target of our study. It consists of a ca. 400 m-thick series of phengite-bearing quartzitic mylonites that are typically reddened due to the presence of hematite. The mylonites contain a well-developed, moderately northward plunging mineral and stretching lineation (fig. 3). The regional foliation is deformed into open and upright folds with wavelengths in the order of 50 m and axes that shallowly plunge in approximately east- and westward directions. The mylonites contain lenses, which are up to 200 meters across, of several different lithologies such as: meta-basaltic greenschist and blueschist (fig. 3), chlorite schist and chlorite-magnetite-epidote schist, meta-chert, magnetite-pyrolusite-quartz rock, quartz-pyrite-hematite rock, quartz-sericite schist, K-white mica-rich quartzitic schist and marble. Some quartzites contain deerite, glaucophane, hematite, magnetite, minnesotaite, piemontite and stilpnomelane (Yan and others, 1989). Phase equilibria point to temperatures of 250-350°C and pressures in the order of 0.60-0.75 GPa (Tang and Yan, 1993).

Xiao and others (2003) considered the quartzites of unit 3 to be derived from ocean-floor chert enriched in Fe and Mn and imbricated with slices of oceanic basalt and gabbro during subduction.

Age constraints

An number of small bodies of different leucocratic rocks, like fine-grained plagiogranite and granophytic trondhjemite, occur associated with the gabbroic rocks and pillow lavas in the Ondor Sum region between Tulinkai and Deyenqimiao to the east of the Ulan Valley (Zhao and Li, 1987; Tang, 1990; Jian and others, 2006). The latter authors obtained U-Pb SHRIMP ages on zircon from these rocks: 477 ± 10 Ma (ophiolite), 477 ± 6 Ma (low-K rhyolites), 467 ± 12 Ma (trondhjemite associated with island arc tholeiites) and 457 ± 10 Ma (adakite). These ages are much younger, but within error of the Rb-Sr whole-rock age of 509 ± 40 Ma that is often quoted for a meta-basalt of unit 1 (for example, Yan and others, 1989; Tang, 1990). However, analytical data for this particular sample are not given and it is not stated where it is taken, rendering its value somewhat uncertain.

$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ isochron ages of 446 ± 15 Ma and 426 ± 15 Ma are often quoted for glaucophane (for example, Zhang and Liou, 1987; Yan and others, 1989; Tang and Yan, 1993). In spite of the fact that these dates are the only available isotopic constraints on the timing of the high-pressure metamorphism of the
Ondor Sum complex their meaning is uncertain, because analytical data, descriptions of the samples and of their provenance are lacking.

The late Silurian shallow-marine clastic sediments and carbonates that unconformably overlie the ophiolites, blueschists and cherts in the central part of the Ondor Sum region (Tang and others, 1983) do not place tight age constraints on the timing of exposure at the surface of the subduction-accretion complex.

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION, Petrography and Mineral Chemistry**

The dated mica-bearing quartzites of unit 3 (fig. 3) are intensely foliated and lineated, fine-grained mylonites. The pronounced mineral and stretching lineation plunges moderately to the north. IM-2 has a brick red color, whereas IM-5 is more brownish due to some secondary limonitization. The main minerals in both mylonites are quartz and (minor) phengite, whereas hematite is the major accessory phase. The thoroughly dynamically recrystallized quartz has a grain size of < 200 μm. The mylonite foliation is formed by an alternation of red, hematite-rich and milky white, hematite-free quartz layers at the scale of less than a millimeter. The hematite-free layers are interpreted as quartz veins that were rotated parallel to the mylonite foliation as a result of the intense elongation of the rocks during their ductile deformation. Less deformed folded and boudinaged quartz veins that are discordant to the mylonite foliation also occur. Foliation boudinage resulted in an anastomosing foliation. Cross cutting, mm-thick quartz veins occur subperpendicular to both foliation and lineation, which contain fibrous crystals with their c-axis parallel to the stretching lineation, pointing to a crack-seal mechanism during brittle extension. The occurrence of different generations of progressively stronger deformed quartz veins points to alternating phases of brittle and ductile deformation. These are probably associated with variations in strain rate and/or fluid pressure. Yellowish green phengite occurs in lozenge-shaped aggregates of a few millimeters long between anastomosing quartz layers, but may also be extremely elongated forming several centimeter-long and highly attenuated lenses. These structures are interpreted as micro-boudins.

Blueschist sample IM-19 is taken from the foliated and lineated margin of a 70 m-diameter metab- gabbro/leucogabbro lens imbricated in the quartzite mylonite (fig. 3). It is a fine-grained rock (fig. 6) with a weakly developed planar and linear fabric, containing the following mineral paragenesis: Na-amphibole +
stilpnomelane + chlorite + plagioclase + phengite + calcite + dolomite + quartz, with accessory titanite and magnetite.

The sodic-amphiboles occur as ~0.8 mm-long, rectangular or flaky porphyroblasts with a distinctive deep blue to pale violet pleochroism. They are optically and compositionally zoned, usually with deep bluish cores, which seems to be common for the sodic-amphiboles in the area (Yan and others, 1989). Occasionally, the amphiboles have more irregular and complicated spotted and/or oscillatory zoning patterns. A small number of sodic-amphiboles have rectangular mineral inclusions, presumed to be relic igneous augite, some of which are boudinaged (fig. 6). Electron microprobe analyses show that Na-amphibole varies in composition from glaucophane in the cores to crossite in the rims (fig. 7). The cores generally have a slightly higher Fe²⁺/Mg ratio, higher M4 site Na (>1.8) and Al(VI) contents, but a lower Fe³⁺ content than the rims (table 1, fig. 7). The blue amphibole that seals the pulled-apart augite (fig. 6) has a crossitic composition.

Plagioclase is almost pure albite (Ab > 99; table 2) and forms irregular porphyroblasts. The main matrix minerals are: pale-green stilpnomelane that occurs abundantly as aggregates of tiny flakes or laths, relatively rare chlorite, and occasional K-white mica that forms tiny laths. Electron microprobe analysis demonstrates that the K-white mica in this sample is a phengite with 1.67 Al pfu and 3.64 Si pfu and a negligible paragonite component (table 2). Magnetite is the major accessory phase, whereas titanite, although rare, occurs as relatively large grains up to 1.2 mm long.

Calcite and dolomite are abundant in the matrix and occur as anhedral crystals that cement cracks and grain boundaries of other matrix minerals, suggesting secondary infiltration of a CO₂-rich fluid. Both carbonates have dolomitic rims of higher Mg composition (table 2). The Ca/(Ca+Mg) ratio in the cores of calcite and dolomite is ca. 0.01 and 0.31, respectively, whereas the ratio in the rim of both is about 0.35-0.38. Both minerals have a small siderite component (Xₘ₄ < 0.1), which shows a concomitant increase with magnesite in the rim.

The mineral paragenesis of IM-19 points to high-pressure metamorphism related to subduction, but it does not permit a quantitative estimate of its pressure-temperature condition. At first sight the maximum pressure seems constrained by the stability of albite instead of sodic-pyroxene and the lack of lawsonite. Yan and others (1989) noted that lawsonite and glaucophane never occur in the same assemblage in the high-pressure metamorphic rocks in the Ulan Valley. Absence of lawsonite might also be
partly due to the presence of an elevated CO$_2$ component in the metamorphic fluid in this particular sample, which strongly reduces the stability field of the mineral (Nitsch, 1972). Glaucophane cores of sodic-amphiboles imply relatively high-pressure conditions during the prograde stage. The increase of Fe$^{3+}$, in combination with the decrease in the alumina and Na(M4) contents and the Fe$^{2+}$/Mg ratio, toward grain rims points to decreasing pressure and increasing temperature (Brown, 1977; Maruyama and others, 1986) during advanced metamorphic stages. The decompression was most likely due to progressive exhumation of the subducted material. The sealing of pulled-apart augite (fig. 6) by blue amphibole of crossitic composition instead of glaucophane indicates that exhumation occurred during deformation.

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar DATING RESULTS

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of phengite occurred by incremental heating of 64-100 µm diameter grains with a defocused, continuous ultraviolet laser beam. Fusion was achieved in the final step by beam focusing. All grains of IM-5 were step-heated, whereas splits of sample IM-2 were step-heated separately in two experiments (IM-2a and IM-2b). A number of grains of each sample were dated by total fusion too. The $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar analytical data are listed in tables 3 and 4; the ages are summarized in table 5 and portrayed as age spectra in figures 8 and 9, respectively. The inverse of the $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar intercept yields an inverse isochron age, whereas the inverse of the $^{36}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar intercept ((40/36), in table 5) indicates the composition of the trapped non-radiogenic argon component (Roddick and others, 1980; Heizler and Harrison, 1988). With the use of IsoPlot (Ludwig, 2000) plateau ages were calculated if 55% or more of the $^{39}$Ar was released in three or more contiguous steps with a probability-of-fit of the weighted mean of more than 5%. All errors are quoted at the 2$\sigma$ level. For analytical details the reader is referred to Appendix A.

Step-heating yielded somewhat irregular age spectra. The first apparent ages are as young as about 395 Ma (IM-2a; Fig. 8) and 385 Ma (IM-5; Fig. 9) and rise to constant values over the following 20-25% of $^{39}$Ar release. Both samples yielded almost concordant plateau ages of 453.2 ± 1.9 Ma (IM-2a) and 449.4 ± 1.8 Ma (IM-5) for 79% and 57.3% of the released $^{39}$Ar, respectively. Sample IM-2b yielded a weighted mean age of 453.2 ± 2.4 Ma (46.6% of $^{39}$Ar release) for the flat upper part of the dome that is concordant to the plateau age of sample split IM-2a (Fig. 8). The decreasing apparent ages for part of the spectrum following the flat part of the dome are probably due to the observed turning of some bigger grains during
the final part of the experiment, exposing parts that had apparently not homogeneously degassed earlier, given the younger apparent ages of the last four steps. The relatively strong age variation of contiguous steps in IM-5 (Fig. 9) is probably due to the same phenomenon. The plateau ages are within error of the inverse isochronal ages calculated using the plateau steps (table 5). The weighted mean ages of total fusion of single grains are concordant to the plateau and inverse isochronal ages of each sample, but they are a couple of Ma’s older than the integrated ages of all heating steps (table 5).

INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

Although research during the last decade of the past millennium made it clear that phengite in high-pressure metamorphic rocks has often taken up excess argon, the mineral has also commonly yielded meaningful ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages (Okay and Monié, 1997; Bosse and others, 2000; Gao and Klemd, 2003; Rodriguez and others, 2003). Incorporation of excess argon by phengite has been explained by its partial recrystallization during subsequent metamorphism at lower pressure (Hammerschmidt and Franz, 1992; Hannula and McWilliams, 1995; de Jong and others, 2001), or by strongly restricted fluid mobility during the high-pressure metamorphism (Scaillet, 1996; Boundy and others, 1997; de Jong, 2003). The fluid activity in rocks depends on their pre-metamorphic history (Scaillet, 1996; Okay and Monié, 1997). In our case, the late Precambrian to Cambrian pelagic siliceous sediments that cover the ocean-floor pillow basalts (unit 1) and the Fe- and Mn-rich ocean-floor chert protoliths of the dated phengite-bearing quartzite mylonite (unit 3) were in all probability still relatively water-rich at their arrival at the trench and during early accretion. The occurrence of different generations of progressively stronger deformed quartz veins points to the presence of a fluid phase throughout the deformation history. In addition, the formation of glaucophane at the cost of magmatic minerals in the basaltic rocks may have liberated water, depending on the exact reaction by which it formed. The dated quartzite mylonites were therefore not metamorphosed under fluid-deficient conditions and were not recrystallized at a later stage. Under these metamorphic conditions, the still relatively young, pelagic sediments had, hence, not cumulated significant, if any, radiogenic argon. It is thus highly unlikely that the obtained ages of ca. 450 Ma were affected by excess argon. The concordant step-heating and single grain total fusion ages of the two samples provide additional supportive evidence against the latter phenomenon, because phengite with excess argon may show highly
different plateau ages for neighboring samples or different grains from a given sample (Ruffet and others, 1995; de Jong and others, 2001).

The amount of older detrital mica in these pelagic sediments was probably negligible, because microstructures indicative of such components, like porphyroclasts and strain shadows are lacking. In addition, straightforwardly recognizable detrital feldspar is absent. Moreover, we can argue on geochemical grounds that it is highly unlikely that the ages of about 450 Ma are due to this phenomenon. In the very first place, the presence of older detrital K-white mica would have resulted in a much greater spread in single grain total fusion ages. Secondly, white mica being a hydrous mineral; it releases Ar during step-heating under vacuum as a consequence of chemical and structural changes within the crystals, rather than by volume diffusion (see references in: de Jong and others, 2001). A major consequence of this is that $^{39}\text{Ar}_K$ and $^{40}\text{Ar}^*$ are released simultaneously from cores and rims of crystals, leading to homogenization of ages. In contrast, the presence of different generations of chemically distinct white micas in grain separates often results in complicated or dome-shaped $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ age spectra due to their differential degassing over a temperature interval (Wijbrans and McDougall, 1986; de Jong, 2003). In such cases $^{37}\text{Ar}_{Ca}/^{39}\text{Ar}_K$ ratios - proxies for the Ca/K ratios of the degassing material - are also irregular. The finding of age plateaux and constant $^{37}\text{Ar}_{Ca}/^{39}\text{Ar}_K$ ratios can thus be taken as an argument in favor of degassing of chemically homogeneous white mica, further underscoring the absence of detrital components. Finally, the phengite ages are comparable to the 460-410 Ma $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of glaucophane in high-pressure metamorphic rocks from the Ulan Valley referred to by Chinese authors.

Because the metamorphic temperature of the main tectono-metamorphic recrystallization during which the quartzite mylonites were formed was well below the blocking temperature of K-white mica (see Villa (1998) for a listing), the ca. 450 Ma age is interpreted as the crystallization age of phengite during mylonitization. White mica ages in the accretionary complexes of Japan, represented by the Sambagawa and Mikabu belts, have been interpreted in a similar way (Takasu and Dallmeyer 1990; de Jong and others 2000 and references therein). Our ca. 450 Ma phengite ages are probably a better and in any case a tighter constraint on the age of accretion-related tectono-metamorphic recrystallization than the range of 460-410 Ma $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of glaucophane quoted by Chinese authors. Glaucophane is K-poor and submicroscopic inclusions of K-rich minerals like mica, which may have formed during later recrystallization or alteration, may critically influence its age (Sisson and Onstott, 1986). The meta-gabbro/leucogabbro lens that occurs
in the quartzite mylonite of unit 3 (fig. 3) has a foliated and lineated margin from which blueschist IM-19 was sampled. The micro-boudinage of magmatic augite in IM-19 occurred in stability field of crossite that was formed at the expense of glaucophane during exhumation. This implies that the final stages of the penetrative ductile deformation during which the dated quartzite mylonites IM-2 and IM-5 were formed also occurred during exhumation. Our ca. 450 Ma phengite dates provide, hence, an age constraint on the final phase of the formation of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex.

Xiao and others (2003) pointed out that the right-way-up ocean plate stratigraphy and the upward-facing pillows of unit 1 of the Ondor Sum accretion-subduction complex, together with the consistent northward dip of the entire section, indicate that the rocks have not been overturned. Therefore, they envisaged that southward accretion took place on a north-dipping subduction zone that generated the Ulan arc. Our ca. 450 Ma plateau ages would thus constrain the dynamic recrystallization of the quartzite mylonites formed during this phase of southward accretion (fig. 4A). Accordingly, this event was of Late Ordovician age according to the time-scale of Harland and others (1990) or earliest Ashgill using the recent chronostratigraphic scale of Webby and others (2004).

The supra-subduction zone ophiolite sequence and a variety of subduction-related leucocratic rocks in the Tulinkai area that yielded zircons with U-Pb SHRIMP ages of 477 to 457 Ma, as indicated above, have highly variable $\varepsilon_{Nd(t)} (+11.9 - -0.75)$ and high initial Sr ratios ($^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}_i = 0.7043-0.7062$) (Jian and others, 2006). On the basis of these data the authors favor a near-trench setting for these magmatic rocks and generation by ridge-trench interaction. This interpretation supports our model of an early Paleozoic intra-oceanic evolution of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex and the Ulan arc (fig. 4A). Jian and others (2006) also pointed out that younger ages of ca. 437 Ma for metamorphic zircons from some of these leucocratic rocks may reflect intra-oceanic overthrusting and crustal thickening under amphibolite-facies conditions. A ca. 420 Ma age of an albite dyke in a serpentinite places an upper time constraint on this event (Jian and others, 2006). These ages strengthen our interpretation that the ca. 450 Ma age of phengite from the Ulan Valley better constrains the timing of the high-pressure metamorphism in the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex than the $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of glaucophane referred to by Chinese authors. Indeed, the youngest glaucophane age of ca. 426 Ma agrees with the timing of the post-accretion metamorphism and may be related to recrystallization caused by it.
EARLY PALEOZOIC BELTS AND TERRANES IN CHINA, KAZAKHSTAN, MONGOLIA, RUSSIA, VIETNAM, JAPAN

Our radiometric dating implies that a 200 Ma gap existed between the early accretion in the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex along the northern margin of the North China craton and the formation of the Solonker suture zone, which marks the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean. As shown below, other margins of the North China craton and of many other continental fragments in the Paleo-Asian Ocean were bordered by early Paleozoic subduction-accretion complexes, island arcs or contained calc-alkaline volcanic margins, which were formed well before the final closure of this oceanic basin.

Kazakhstan and Junggar

A number of early Paleozoic ophiolites occur along the northern margin of the Junggar terrane (Xinjiang, China; fig. 1), namely, the Tangbale-Mayile-Hongguleleng-Aermantai complexes (Wang and others, 2003). Radiometric and microfossil data show that the Tangbale ophiolite was formed in late Cambrian-Ordovician time, whereas, the age of the other complexes is less well constrained, but probably pre-middle Ordovician (Wang and others, 2003). The ophiolites are associated with volcanic and volcanoclastic sequences, as well as radiolarian cherts, turbidites and limestones of Ordovician and/or Silurian age (Wang and others, 2003). $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages of sodic-amphibole from blueschists in the Tangbale ophiolite mélangé (table 6) point to accretion and high-pressure metamorphism in middle Ordovician time along the northern margin of Junggar.

The Altai-Sayan fold belt (fig. 1) comprises terranes of different age and derivation that are separated by subduction-accretion complexes that contain ophiolites, and are cut by strike-slip faults of different age (Zonenshain and others, 1990; Berzin and Dobretsov, 1994; Buslov and others, 2001; Windley and others, 2002). The Chara ophiolite belt is located along the northeastern margin of the Kazakhstan composite terrane and was formed in Late Carboniferous-Permian time as a strike-slip zone during the collision with Siberia, but also contains older serpentine mélanges and accreted terranes (Buslov and others, 2001). The oldest subduction-accretion complex is of Early Paleozoic age and contains amongst others: cherts, eclogites, amphibolites and blueschists, which yielded K-white mica with Late Ordovician to Early Silurian K-Ar ages (table 6).
Mongolia, Russian Far East and northeastern China

Mongolia and bordering areas in Russia contain a number of crustal blocks situated along the southern margin of Siberia (Badarch and others, 2002; Dobretsov and others, 2003; Khain and others, 2003). A compilation by these authors revealed that these amphibolite- and locally granulite-facies metamorphic rocks yielded many radiometric ages in the 535-450 Ma range. They explained these data by high-grade metamorphism and anatexis related to Cambro-Ordovician ridge subduction and/or terrane collision.

Northeastern China and adjacent areas of the Russian Far East, contain a complex suite of continent-related terranes composed of rocks of in part early and middle Paleozoic age of diverse tectonic settings (Khanchuk and others, 1996; Şengör and Natal’in, 1996; Kojima and others, 2000; Nokleberg and others, 2001, 2004; Jia and others, 2004). A number of these terranes that are situated just north of the North China craton have been grouped as the Khanka superterrane (Kojima and others, 2000; Nokleberg and others, 2001, 2004).

The Khanka superterrane in the southern part of the Russian Far East (fig. 1, loc. 1) comprises four terranes that amalgamated in the Silurian (Kojima and others, 2000) and were covered by similar Devonian and Mississippian continental-rift-related volcanic and sedimentary series (Nokleberg and others, 2001). The superterrane consists of a series of related terranes of early and middle Paleozoic age, representing a continental-margin, an island arc and subduction-accretion complexes (amongst others the Voznesenka terrane) with Cambrian ophiolite, chert, clastic rocks and limestone (Khanchuk and others, 1996; Kojima and others, 2000; Nokleberg and others, 2001). The Sergeevka terrane is located along the southeastern margin of Khanka and comprises a gneissose meta-dioritic complex, granite and associated metamorphic rocks that may have been formed in an island arc (Khanchuk and others, 1996; Kojima and others, 2000; Nokleberg and others, 2001). Rocks from this long-lived and complex terrane yielded isotopic ages in the 490-530 Ma range and the dismembered Sergeevka ophiolite contains metagabbros with 430 to 470 Ma-old hornblendes (table 6) that are as old as hornblendes of some ophiolites in Japan (see below).

Jia and others (2004) argued, on the basis of whole-rock $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plateau ages of blueschists and syn-tectonic granites, that two terranes that are not part of Khanka, namely the Jiamusi and Songliao-Zhangguangcai blocks (fig. 1, loc. 2) collided along the Heilongjiang belt (also known as Mudanjiang belt)
at about 450-410 Ma. This belt is regarded as a subduction-accretion complex and contains, amongst others, serpentinized ultramafic rocks and gabbro, rare plagiogranite, pillow basalt, marble, a variety of siliceous-argillaceous metasediments and blueschists (Yan and others, 1989). The amphibolite- to granulite-facies metamorphic Mashan complex, which forms part of the Jiamusi block, has yielded ca. 500 Ma SHRIMP zircon ages (table 6).

North and South Qinling Belts

The Qinling belt of eastern central China, developed to the south of the North China craton (fig. 1, loc. 3), is divisible into northern and southern belts that are separated by metamorphic rocks with Late Carboniferous ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar hornblende ages (Zhai and others, 1998).

The northernmost part of the North Qinling belt contains sediments accumulated on the southern passive margin of the North China craton formed by latest Mesoproterozoic metamorphic rocks (Zhai and others, 2003). The youngest sediments are mid-Ordovician that were deformed and metamorphosed under greenschist-facies conditions before deposition of mid-Carboniferous strata (Xue and others, 1996a, b). The central Qinling island-arc, farther south, contains deformed, medium pressure/temperature (P/T) metag-igneous rocks with 487 to 470 Ma single-zircon ²⁰⁷Pb/²⁰⁶Pb evaporation ages, and relatively undeformed calc-alkaline granitoid plutons with 422 to 383 Ma isotopic ages (Erlangping unit: Ratschbacher and others, 2003 and references therein) that truncate older structures (Xue and others, 1996a, b). 434-404 Ma ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar hornblende ages constrain the time of metamorphism related to these intrusions (Sun and others, 2002a) or their cooling (Zhai and others, 1998). The lower Qinling unit developed farther south comprises gneisses (local granulites), amphibolites and marbles, with Paleoproterozoic and Neoproterozoic metamorphic ages, as well as early Paleozoic K-Ar mineral ages (that is 370-480 Ma see Ratschbacher and others (2003) for a compilation). The northern zone of the unit contains lenses and blocks of eclogite and gneiss that have yielded garnets with inclusions of coesite and zircons with included micro-diamonds. The ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism occurred at around 500 Ma (table 6; Yang and others, 2003).

These data have been interpreted in terms of the formation of an intra-oceanic island arc (Erlangping unit) caused by southward (Xue and others, 1996a, b) or northward (Ratschbacher and others, 2003) subduction of the oceanic basin bordering the North China craton in the early Ordovician. Following
the closure of the North Qinling back-arc basin (Sun and others, 2002a), the island arc, micro-continents (like the lower Qinling unit) and subduction-accretion complexes collided with the southern margin of North China before the intrusion of latest Silurian to Early Devonian post-collisional calc-alkaline granitoids (Xue and others, 1996a, b; Ratschbacher and others, 2003). Intrusion of these stitching plutons is part of the building of a ca. 400 Ma Andean-type continental margin arc along the amalgamated southern margin of the North China craton due to northward subduction of oceanic lithosphere (Ratschbacher and others, 2003).

In contrast in the South Qinling belt, most of the isotopic dates that refer to the age of the (ultra) high-pressure metamorphism that formed the local coesite- and diamond-bearing eclogites span the late Carboniferous to late Triassic (references in: Gao and others, 1995; Chang, 1996; Zhai and others, 1998; Sun and others, 2002a, b; Roger and others, 2003; Liou and others, 2004). Recently, however, Qiu and Wijbrans (2006) obtained Late Ordovician to Silurian 40Ar/39Ar ages from eclogites in the Dabieshan by stepwise crushing of garnet (table 6) and they suggest that these ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic rocks were first formed in the early Paleozoic. Also eclogite in the northwest Dabieshan yielded early Paleozoic isotopic ages that were interpreted by Yang and others (2003) as dating the amphibolite-facies recrystallization of the (ultra) high-pressure metamorphic rocks (table 6).

**Tianshan Belt**

The ca. 400 km wide and 2500 km long Tianshan belt similarly records about 200 Ma of tectonic history of collision of the Tarim craton and intervening smaller crustal fragments with the southern Angaran active margin of the Siberian continent (Shu and others, 2002, 2004; Laurent-Charvet and others, 2002, 2003; Xiao and others, 2004b). A central belt is separated from the northern and southern Tianshan belts by suture zones (Windley and others, 1990) that contain mélanges with a schistose meta-pelitic matrix that surrounds blocks of (ultra) mafic magmatic rocks, tholeiitic pillow lavas, siliceous rocks and limestones of Ordovician to Late Silurian age, as well as blueschists, eclogites and (mafic) granulites (Gao and others, 1998; Shu and others, 2002, 2004; Xiao and others, 2004b).

The Central Tianshan belt (fig. 1, loc. 4) is considered as an Early Ordovician to Early Silurian magmatic arc (table 6) formed on Proterozoic basement and overlain by Late Paleozoic platform sediments
The immature, intra-oceanic Harlik-Dananshu arc, situated in the central Asian archipelago to the north of the central Tianshan arc, was also initiated in the Early Ordovician (Xiao and others, 2004b, and references there-in).

Despite the formation of an Ordovician-Silurian magmatic arc due to subduction of oceanic lithosphere isotopic ages for blueschists and eclogites from the mélanges along the Central Tianshan’s northern limit in western China cluster closely around 350 Ma (Gao and others, 1998; Gao and Klemd, 2003). Geochronologic indications for early Paleozoic high-pressure metamorphism in this area and correlative belts farther west in Kyrgyzstan (Atbashy eclogite belt) and in Tajikistan (Fan-Karategin blueschist belt) are not conclusive (Wang and others, 2006). However, the latest Neoproterozoic to earliest Early Cambrian Weiya granulite from the northern margin of the eastern Central Tianshan belt experienced an early Paleozoic retrograde thermo-tectonic event (Shu and others, 2004; table 6).

The Makbal coesite-grade eclogite in the northern Tianshan belt in Kyrgyzstan may have been formed around Cambrian Ordovician boundary times (table 6).

**Kunlun Belt**

The Kunlun belt occurs to the south of the Tarim craton and the Qaidam block and is divided into a western and an eastern range that are offset along the sinistral Altyn Tagh strike-slip system (fig. 1). The Kunlun belt contains the remnants of superimposed early Paleozoic and late Paleozoic-Triassic arcs. In both the western and the eastern Kunlun belt the older suite of batholiths shows a pronounced concentration of 450-490 Ma radiometric ages, pointing to the presence of an Early to Late Ordovician magmatic arc (Yuan and others, 2002; Cowgill and others, 2003; Schwab and others, 2004). The youngest isotopic ages obtained by Cowgill and others (2003) on batholiths in both belts are identical (384 ± 2 and 389 ± 5 Ma, that is Middle Devonian). Ca. 405 Ma-old lamprophyres and a 384 ± 2 Ma-old pluton are post-tectonic (Schwab and others, 2004).

Based on data from the Kudi area (fig. 1, loc. 5) Xiao and others (2002) proposed a model of an early Paleozoic arc-accretionary prism for the western Kunlun belt that has resemblance to the model for the early Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex, outlined earlier in
this paper. In agreement with Mattern and Schneider (2000), they envisaged that in the Late Cambrian to earliest Ordovician an oceanward-dipping intra-oceanic subduction zone was generated to the south of Tarim. This led to the formation of the earliest Ordovician intra-oceanic Yixieke arc that was emplaced northward onto the margin of Tarim (Xiao and others, 2002). This process gave rise to a flip in the subduction polarity, and consequent northward subduction beneath the southern margin of the accreted Yixieke arc led to the formation of an Early to Mid-Ordovician Andean-type continental margin on the southern side of Tarim (Xiao and others, 2005). The end of northward subduction may be related to the docking of the Kudi terrane, a Precambrian continental fragment with gneisses with Late Ordovician to Silurian isotopic ages (table 6) that probably record the collision (Matte and others, 1996; Zhou and others, 1999). Yuan and others (2002) argued that the end of the collision is constrained by the 405 ± 2 Ma single-grain zircon U-Pb age of the post-dynamic, A-type North Kudi pluton and coeval lamprophyre dikes, indicating the beginning of extensional deformation that would last until the Early Permian. Schwab and others (2004) underlined the similarities in Paleozoic tectonic evolution between the Kunlun belt and the Qinling belt, 2500 to 3000 km farther to the east. They compared the formation and accretion of the intra-oceanic Yixieke arc, with that of the Erlangping unit, and the docked Kudi terrane with the Qinling microcontinent.

Liu (ms) obtained 440-360 Ma hornblende and mica 40Ar/39Ar ages from Proterozoic gneisses from both sides of the central Kunlun fault in the eastern Kunlun range. He interpreted these ages to reflect the age of amphibolite-facies metamorphism during collision of the South and North Kunlun blocks that followed the closure of the small oceanic or marginal basin between them.

**North Qilian Belt**

The North Qilian Belt (fig. 1, loc. 6) comprises (1) a northern terrane with middle Cambrian sediments (Wu and others, 1993), (2) a ca. 1000 km long, discontinuous belt composed mainly of greenschist-facies metamorphic felsic and mafic volcanic rocks interpreted as an Ordovician volcanic (island) arc (Yin and Harrison, 2000; Wang and others, 2005), and (3) a southern terrane accretion-subduction complex with abyssal and bathyal sedimentary rocks, an imbricated ophiolite (Qian and Zhang, 2001), with blueschists, blocks of eclogite, gabbro, and serpentinitized and carbonated ultramafic rocks (Liu,
ms; Wang and others, 2005). Phengite and glaucophane from the southern terrane yielded 460-440 Ma K-Ar and $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages (Xiao and others, 1986; Song and Wu, 1992; Wu and others, 1993; Zhang and others, 1997; Liu, ms). Granodiorite and associated skarn formed in Proterozoic continental fragments present in the southern terrane yielded Late Ordovician isotopic ages (table 6). Ordovician to Early Silurian (table 6) rhyolites and basalts (Wang and others, 2005) and intrusive rocks were emplaced in an island-arc setting (Zhang and others, 2006).

Wang and others (2005) interpreted the geochemistry of the volcanic rocks as pointing to an Ordovician volcanic arc formed on the southern margin of the North China craton above a northward subduction zone, possibly evolving into an island arc separated from the continent by a back-arc basin. The northward drifting of the Central Qilian microcontinental fragment, with Archean basement rocks (Liu, ms), eventually resulted in the amalgamation of both terranes and their collision with the North China craton in Silurian times (Wang and others, 2005).

**North Qaidam-Altun Eclogite Belt**

The North Qaidam-Altun eclogite belt occurs over almost the entire length of the northern margin of the Qaidam block (constituted by Precambrian metamorphic rocks with a Paleozoic sedimentary cover), and is displaced by the Altyn Tagh fault (fig. 1). The belt comprises quartz-feldspathic and pelitic gneisses with local garnet peridotites and eclogite lenses and layers, which may contain ultrahigh-pressure minerals (Song and others, 2003; Zhang and others, 2004; Liou and others, 2004).

Metamorphic zircon from eclogites and garnet peridotites yielded U-Pb TIMS (Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry) and SHRIMP ages that span 436 to 504 Ma; eclogites have whole-rock-garnet-omphacite Sm-Nd isochrons in the 500-435 Ma range (Zhang and others, 2001; 2004; 2005; Yang and others, 2002; Song and others, 2003), which according to these authors date the (ultra) high-pressure metamorphic conditions. Exhumation to lower-crustal depths is constrained by $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages of 477 to 407 Ma (Zhang and others, 2005; table 6). I-type granites in the region yielded SHRIMP ages of 496-445 Ma (Yang and others, 2002). The North Qaidam-Altun eclogite belt probably constituted a tectonic collage of multiple (ultra) high-pressure metamorphic units formed as a result of early Paleozoic (that is 500-445 Ma).
subduction and subsequent collision between the Qilian and Qaidam blocks, which were probably both Precambrian micro-continents (Song and others, 2003; Zhang and others, 2005).

Bian and others (2004) regarded the entire Kunlun-Qilian-Qinling system during the Early Ordovician to latest Silurian as constituted by an number of colliding micro-continents fringed by subduction-accretion complexes and island arcs developed as a result of northward subduction and closure of oceanic basins.

South China and Indochina

Although the tectonic significance and geodynamic setting are unclear, scarce information implies that the Indochina block (or Annamia) and the southern part of the Cathaysia block (that is, the southern South China craton) were affected by Silurian magmatism, regional sub-greenschist facies metamorphism and deformation. This is indicated by U-Pb zircon ages (table 6) and by a lack of Silurian sediments, a regional angular unconformity between unmetamorphosed Late Devonian and younger deposits and folded Neoproterozoic to early Paleozoic metasediments of the South China craton with isotopic ages of about 440 to 415 Ma (Zhao and Cawood, 1999; Roger and others, 2000, and references therein). The Dai Loc and Kontum massifs in the Indochina craton of central Vietnam (fig. 1, loc. 9) contain early Paleozoic, in part granulite-facies gneisses (Carter and others, 2001; Lan and others, 2003) and granodiorite (Nagy and others, 2001) (table 6).

Japanese Belts

The Japanese terranes oceanward of the Hida belt (fig. 1, loc. 11), the geotectonic element the closest to the Asian continent and that can be regarded as the eastward continuation of the CAOB, are generally interpreted as subduction-accretion complexes (Isozaki, 1997a, b). Only a small number of terranes have been considered as derived from a mature island arc or a micro-continent (for example, Faure and Charvet, 1987; Aitchison and others, 1991; Maruyama and others, 1997; Hada and others, 2001; Takagi and Arai, 2003), and occur isolated in the Japanese islands due to late Triassic and younger tectonism. These are the Kurosegawa terrane (western Honshu and Kyushu; indicated in fig. 1 as H and K, respectively), the Hida Gaien (or Marginal) terrane (central Honshu), the South Kitakami terrane (central
and northeastern Honshu; fig. 1, loc. 10) and parts of the Paleo-Ryoke terrane (in restricted areas from Kyushu to the Kanto Mountains of central Honshu). These four terranes can be correlated on the basis of similarities in litho- and bio-stratigraphy of Late Silurian to early Middle Devonian and Late Palaeozoic sedimentary series, isotopic ages and petrochemistry of late Ordovician and Permian granitoids (Umeda, 1998; Ehiro, 2000; Hada and others, 2000; Takagi and Arai, 2003; Kurihara, 2004; Kawajiri, 2005). The Hida Gaien terrane as used in this paper refers to the recently redefined Hida Gaien belt by Tsukada and others (2004) that crops out along the southern margin of the Hida belt (fig. 1, loc. 11), which it structurally underlies. These authors pointed out that part of the tectonic elements of the classic belt can be regarded as belonging to the Paleozoic Renge, Suo, Akiyoshi and Maizuru subduction-accretion complexes.

The Oeyama suite is interpreted as an early Paleozoic ophiolite nappe that occupies the highest structural position in the stack of superimposed subduction-accretion complexes of southwest Japan (Ishiwatari and Tsujimori, 2003), and is here regarded as belonging to the Renge belt, as defined by Nishimura (1998). A ca. 560 Ma Sm-Nd age of a gabbro dyke with magmatic clinopyroxene, plagioclase and (?) hornblende, and MORB-like affinities, suggests that the Oeyama ophiolite formed during the Cambrian (Hayasaka, 1995, in: Tsujimori and Itaya, 1999). The peridotite of the Oeyama suite may have been formed in a supra-subduction zone mantle beneath an intra-oceanic arc (Tsujimori and Itaya, 1999; Ishiwatari and Tsujimori, 2003). The Osayama serpentinite mélangé, which is associated with the Oeyama belt, contains tectonic blocks of kyanite- and staurolite-bearing high-pressure metamorphic gabbros and a rare blueschist with an eclogite-facies mineral assemblage that yielded early Paleozoic isotopic ages (table 6), which match those from the Sergeevka ophiolite of the southern part of the Russian Far East (fig. 1, loc. 1; table 6).

Ishiwatari and Tsujimori (2003) and Sakashima and others (2003) have considered the South Kitakami terrane as an early Paleozoic active continental margin or mature island arc, on the basis of late Cambrian to Ordovician isotopic ages from ophiolite, blueschists, as well as calc-alkaline and granitic rocks (table 6). Metamorphic rocks with hornblendes that have 400-445 Ma K-Ar ages furthermore occur in a serpentinite mélangé in the Kurosegawa terrane (Tsujimori and Itaya, 1999; Ishiwatari and Tsujimori, 2003).
Şengör and others (1993) considered all Precambrian crustal blocks present in the CAOB as fragments derived from the margin of the Laurentian part of the former Meso-Neoproterozoic supercontinent Rodinia (that is from Siberia and, probably, North China). These were emplaced as a result of the separation of a single magmatic arc from this margin in latest Neoproterozoic-Early Cambrian time (namely, the Kipchak arc), rather than as micro-continents. In contrast, based on paleomagnetic data that point to predominant migration of such continental fragments from Gondwana toward Siberia, Mossakovsky and others (1994) and Didenko and others (1994) regarded these fragments as Gondwana-derived micro-continents. Other authors like for example, Dobretsov and others (1995) and Buslov and others (2001, 2004), however, underlined the heterogeneity of these fragments and inferred that they were composite micro-continents with Gondwanan and “Laurentian” fragments. The evolution of micro-continental fragments can be quite complicated as illustrated by the Altai-Sayan terrane, for which Fortey and Cocks (2003) proposed that it rifted from Siberia around Cambrian-Ordovician boundary times and subsequently drifted to obtain a peri-Gondwanan position in the Caradoc.

In the following section we will point out that micro-continents that currently form part of Asia as a result of latest Paleozoic to earliest Mesozoic collision were at least in part peri-Gondwanan terranes. These terranes were situated close to the northeastern Cimmerian margin of the Gondwana supercontinent in the early Paleozoic, and fringed by subduction zones, accretionary complexes and calc-alkaline volcanic margins, before they separated from this margin after the middle Devonian and subsequently drifted northward. The early Paleozoic tectonic cycle was preceded by, and a consequence of, the break-up of Rodinia. This event is the rationale behind the accumulation of late Neoproterozoic to earliest Paleozoic passive margin sediments of the eastern margin of Gondwana, the North China craton and many of the micro-continents of mainland Asia.

The Early Paleozoic Gondwanan Margin

Paleogeographical reconstructions by Li and Powell (2001) imply that during the Early Cambrian, the North and South China cratons (the latter forming part of a continental ribbon with northwest Tasmania in its southern tip) were located adjacent to the Australia-New Zealand-Antarctica continental margin of
eastern Gondwana, close to or in the Paleo-Pacific oceanic basin. The magmatic and tectonic evolution of the eastern Gondwanan margin is related to different subduction stages of the Paleo-Pacific Ocean and associated marginal basins (Li and Powell, 2001; Veevers, 2004; Foster and others, 2005), as a result of which the Terra Australis Orogen was formed that continued into South America (Cawood, 2005). Oblique subduction was initiated in the East Gondwana segment in late Neoproterozoic time (580-560 Ma; Cawood, 2005), or in the earliest Phanerozoic (ca. 550 Ma; Veevers, 2004). The main pulse of convergence started at about 530-520 Ma (Cawood, 2005) and enduring westward subduction occurred from 490 Ma onward (Veevers, 2004). Ultrahigh-pressure metamorphic conditions were attained during the Ross orogeny in Antarctica that are dated by Sm-Nd and $^{238}\text{U}-^{206}\text{Pb}$ methods at about 500 Ma, whereas the amphibolite-facies overprinting is constrained by $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages of 490-486 Ma for Ca-amphibole (Liou and others, 2004).

Paleomagnetic data suggest that the northwestern Tasmania part of the ribbon continent that also contained South China, may have accreted to the Pacific margin of Gondwana by the Late Cambrian (Li and Powell, 2001). Due to the oblique subduction of Paleo-Pacific oceanic lithosphere below the eastern Gondwanan margin in Early Cambrian time, the South and North China micro-continents traveled along the active margin, reaching their position near the Cimmerian re-entrant by the Early Ordovician (Li and Powell, 2001; fig. 10). The Arabia-Iran-Himalayan-part-of-India segment of this re-entrant may have been an active margin from the terminal Neoproterozoic to at least the Early Cambrian, characterized by magmatic arc complexes and possibly a back-arc zone of attenuated continental crust, developed above a continent-ward dipping subduction zone (Ramezani and Tucker, 2003). Research by many workers has revealed the Himalayan part of the Gondwanan margin experienced widespread early Paleozoic tectonism. Its manifestations in various regions of the Himalayas include Late Cambrian to Early Ordovician large-scale thrusting, crustal thickening and ductile deformation; medium- to high-grade regional metamorphism and the generation of granitic crustal melts; uplift and erosion of metamorphic rocks, as well as accumulation of thick sequences of synorogenic sediments (DeCelles and others, 2000; Miller and others, 2001; Gehrels and others, 2003, 2006a, b; Schwab and others, 2004, and references therein). Rolland and others (2002) regarded the Masherbrum Greenstone Complex of the southern Karakoram (NE Pakistan) as a dismembered ophiolite comprising relics of an Early Ordovician subduction-accretion complex, a volcanic arc and a back-arc system formed along the southern margin of a Northern Karakoram micro-
continent. The Karakoram micro-continent, together with the Helmand block of central Afghanistan and the Lhasa block of Tibet, belonged to the peri-Gondwanan Cimmerian domain during the Early Ordovician (Le Fort and others, 1994). The Cimmerian re-entrant is regarded as composed of a number of semi-independent blocks during the Cambro-Silurian and not as a single entity (Fortey and Cocks, 2003), although for clarity it is depicted as such in figure 10.

As the oceanic lithosphere that contained North and South China and other micro-continents that now form part of Asia interacted with these active margins rimming East Gondwana, subduction zones and island arcs formed on the rims of different blocks that, in some cases, subsequently may have collided, like for example, the Qilian and Qaidam blocks. Taking into account the age constraints outlined in earlier in this paper, the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex probably records this evolution as the entire process of creating the oceanic lithosphere (unit 1), the accretion of oceanic sediments (unit 3), the flip of the subduction polarity and the formation of the Bainaimiao arc in the margin of the North China craton seems to have been accomplished between the earliest Cambrian to the early Silurian. These basalts and ocean floor sediments may hence be relics of the Paleo-Pacific oceanic crust.

As outlined in the previous section, retrograde metamorphism was superimposed on high-pressure assemblages of a number of belts around micro-continents present in the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana and related to the exhumation of subducted rocks and their final cooling. Therefore, orogenic activity may have lasted into the Devonian. The clockwise rotation of the supercontinent in Ordovician to early Devonian time might have attributed to tectonic activity in micro-continents along its margins (Li and Powell, 2001).

It is well accepted that by the Early Cambrian (ca. 530 Ma) Tarim had broken away from the Gondwana supercontinent and formed an independent micro-continent, like North and South China (for example, Meert and others, 2001; Li and Powell, 2001 and references there-in). Nevertheless, because of their close trilobite affinities, the three micro-continents are inferred to have had a position close to Australia until the Late Cambrian (references in: Li and Powell, 2001; Metcalfe, 2002; Choi and others, 2003). Plate reconstructions show that the main continents of Gondwana, Laurentia, Baltica and Siberia were widely separated in the Ordovician (Li and Powell, 2001; Fortey and Cocks, 2003; fig. 10). In the Cambrian to Ordovician the North China and Tarim micro-continents occupied a position close to the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana, thus far from the Siberian craton with which they were to collide in Late 28

The above paleomagnetic and paleogeographic reconstructions imply that the formation of Late Cambrian to Early Ordovician island arcs, subduction-accretion complexes and high-pressure metamorphic belts in Ondor Sum and the Solonker orogen, the Central Tianshan, some of the Kazakh terranes, the North Qinling, the North Qaidam-Altun belt, the North Qilian Mountains as well as the Kunlun range all formed in a geodynamic setting that was drastically different from that of the Late Paleozoic Central Asian orogenic belt. Silurian granitic magmatism, metamorphism and deformation that affected the southern part of the South China craton implies that this micro-continent probably was part of this orogenic zone along the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana too (fig. 10). Although Carter and others (2001) explained the Late Ordovician to Silurian magmatic event in central Vietnam by an extensional event related to the rifting of Indochina from Gondwana, Nagy and others (2001) pointed out that it cannot be ruled out that the calc-alkaline rocks originated in a magmatic arc related to subduction. This would imply that the Indochina micro-continent was located in the orogenic system along the Gondwanan margin as well (fig. 10). Indochina and some of the terranes involved, like the Alashan, Qaidam and Kunlun with their Early Paleozoic stratigraphic, faunal and floral affinities to Tarim, North and South China are widely interpreted as being peri-Gondwanan terranes in pre-middle Devonian times (Metcalfe, 1996, 2002; Fortey and Cocks, 2003).

Middle Paleozoic Rifting and Drifting from the Gondwanan Margin

Paleomagnetic reconstructions by Li and Powell (2001, their figs. 12, 13) show that the North and South China cratons as well as Tarim began to separate from the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana after the Early Devonian, opening the Paleo-Tethyan Ocean, and giving rise to lack of faunal and floral affinities from that time onward (Metcalfe, 1998, 2002). The evolutionary trend of Late Devonian tholeiitic volcanic rocks to Early Carboniferous alkali basalts observed in the Youjiang Basin of the southernmost part of the South China craton, reflects a lithospheric thinning in a passive continental margin related to the opening of the Paleo-Tethys (Guo and others, 2004). Also the present-day northern margin of Tarim records the
transition from a passive margin to a rifted margin during the same period (Xiao and others, 2004b). After
the Early Carboniferous these micro-continents began their northward drift that led to collision with the
Angaran active margin of Siberia (fig. 14 of Li and Powell, 2001) by means of subduction of the Paleo-
Pacific (Metcalf, 1996, 1998; Li and Powell, 2001) or Paleo-Asian Ocean (Xiao and others, 2003; fig. 11).
By the end of the Carboniferous the northern sides of the Tarim and North China cratons had evolved into
active continental magmatic margins (Xiao and others, 2003, 2004b).

Provenance of Terranes North of the Solonker Suture

The preceding paragraphs revealed that many micro-continents to the south of the Solonker suture
have an East Gondwana provenance. For some of the crustal blocks situated north of this suture a similar
case can be made, but for other terranes Gondwana derivation is less clear.

The early Paleozoic Siberian margin.---

The southern part of the Siberian craton changed from a terminal Neoproterozoic to middle
Cambrian passive margin to a complex active continental margin (Khain and others, 2003). This margin
contains a number of amalgamated cratonic and metamorphic terranes, around which Late Cambrian to
middle Ordovician subduction-accretion complexes and late Neoproterozoic and younger island arcs
accreted and ophiolite complexes obducted, before the assemblage collided with Siberia (Badarch and
others, 2002; Windley and others, 2002; Dobretsov and others, 2003; Khain and others, 2003; Xiao and
and others (2003) proposed that in the 550 to 490 Ma period oceanic islands and Gondwana-derived micro-
continents (like for instance, Kokchetav, Tuva-Mongolia, Central Mongolia) collided with a Cambrian to
Early Ordovician island arc system present to the south of the Siberian continent, as a result of which many
(ultra) high-pressure rocks formed. In Early Ordovician time this system collided with the Siberian
continent, leading to attenuation of the island arc volcanism (Buslov and others, 2001; Kheraskova and
others, 2003). Subsequently, the southern active margin of Siberia moved progressively southward and in
the Ordovician a two km-thick series of calc-alkaline volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks was laid down (Hsü
and others, 1991).
Kazakhstan.---

Kazakhstan is regarded as a composite terrane made up of a number of continental fragments, terranes, island arcs and oceanic crust remnants of different age, and that formed as the result of prolonged accretion and collision (Dobretsov, 2003; Fortey and Cocks, 2003; Khain and others, 2003; Kheraskova and others, 2003; Buslov and others, 2004). Amalgamation of the Kazakh terranes appears to have been accomplished at least by Early Carboniferous time (fig. 11; Li and Powell, 2001; Dobretsov, 2003; Fortey and Cocks, 2003; Torsvik and Cocks, 2004), but continental assemblies as old as Early Devonian (Buslov and others, 2004) or Late Ordovician (Filippova and others, 2001; Kheraskova and others, 2003) have been envisaged too. The collision of Kazakhstan with Siberia took place in the middle Carboniferous (Dobretsov, 2003); Gondwana-derived Tarim appears to have collided with Kazakhstan by mid-Permian time (Şengör and Natalin, 1996).

In southern Kazakhstan several micro-continental fragments with Precambrian granitic gneisses have been identified (Abdulin and others, 1995) that are considered as derived by Neoproterozoic rifting from the margins of Siberia (Berzin and Dobretsov, 1994) or East Gondwana (Mossakovsky and others, 1994; Kheraskova and others, 2003). The latter view is based on similarities in the late Neoproterozoic and early Paleozoic stable margin sequences between Kazakhstan and Australia, China and Tarim (Eganov and Sovetov, 1979). On the basis of faunal considerations Fortey and Cocks (2003) considered a number of the Kazakh terranes (like Chingiz and Chu-Ili) as peri-Gondwanan, which like Tarim, would have drifted across the paleoequator into the northern hemisphere by Ordovician-Silurian boundary times. Also paleomagnetic data indicate that elements of the Kazakhstan composite terrane have consistently drifted northward from at least the Early Ordovician through the Permian (Bazhenov, 2003; Collins and others, 2003; Buslov and others, 2004).

Mongolia, Russian Far East and northeastern China.---

Badarch and others (2002) showed that only the Hutag Uul terrane and its western correlative the Tsagaan Uul terrane of southernmost Mongolia contain Silurian-Devonian strata that lack fossils of Siberian affinity, in contrast to other Mongolian terranes with Precambrian basement. The Hutag Uul terrane is equivalent to the Baolidao arc-accretion complex (fig. 2; Xiao and others, 2003).
Wilde and others (2000) speculated that the ca. 500 Ma-old metamorphism in the Jiamusi block in northeastern China (fig. 1, loc. 2) occurred while the rocks were located at the margin of Gondwana. However, in the absence of paleomagnetic and paleontological data, the origin of these high-grade metamorphic blocks and the geodynamic setting in which their metamorphism took place, hence, remain unclear. It is therefore not excluded that the Cambro-Ordovician metamorphism of some terranes north of the Solonker suture zone might well have been generated in subduction-arc-accretion complexes fringing Siberia’s southern margin, and or during the subsequent collision of the system with this continent, as outlined in an earlier section.

Khanchuk and others (1996) regarded the Voznesenka terrane of the southern part of the Khanka superterrane in the Russian Far East (fig. 1, loc. 1) as element of the early Paleozoic continental margin of Gondwana, on the basis of affinities of Cambrian microfossils with the Australian paleobiogeographic province. These authors also regarded the Sergeevka terrane as Gondwana-derived. Khanchuk and others (1996) envisaged that the Khanka superterrane was rifted from Gondwana in Devonian time, resulting in the formation of bimodal volcanic rocks. In contrast, Şengör and Natal’in (1996) considered the Khanka as part of the northern margin of the North China craton, which we regard as peri-Gondwanan (fig. 10). The amalgamation of the different terranes of Khanka occurred during the early Paleozoic before the deposition of Middle and Late Devonian and younger volcanic rocks and clastic sediments that overlap the entire superterrane (Nokleberg and others, 2004). This would imply that the entire early Paleozoic accretion evolution of the Khanka superterrane took place in a peri-Gondwanan setting. Collision with the North China craton probably occurred in the Early Triassic (Zonenshain and others, 1990; Wu and others, 2004).

Terranes in Japan

The South Kitakami terrane of northeastern Japan (fig. 1, loc. 10) can be regarded as a Gondwana-derived terrane that documents the entire Paleozoic geodynamic evolution. It comprises a nearly complete and coherent Silurian to Early Cretaceous sedimentary succession that rests on the Miyamori ophiolite and possibly on minor granitic and metamorphic basement of Ordovician age (Tazawa, 2002; Ishiwatari and Tsujimori, 2003; Sakashima and others, 2003; Yoshida and Machiyama, 2004). The Paleozoic strata contain abundant fossil fauna and flora, which document that South Kitakami was part of Gondwana in the Silurian and Devonian, then broke away from this supercontinent and subsequently drifted northward (for
instance, Ehiro, 2000, and references in Tazawa, 2002. On the basis of middle Permian Boreal-Tethyan mixed brachiopod fauna Tazawa (1999, 2002) positioned South Kitakami in the northern hemisphere associated with the North China micro-continent. Using ammonoids, Ehiro and others (2005), however, favored an equatorial position for the terrane during this time slice, closer to the South China micro-continent. South Kitakami has been considered as having formed part of a single system in the early Paleozoic with geologic entities of the Russian Far East: the Khanka superterrane (Şengör and Natal’ in, 1996; Tazawa, 2002) or more specifically the Sergeevka terrane (Kojima and others, 2000). The similar Ordovician radiometric ages of some granitoids, the Sergeevka, Miyamori and Oeyama ophiolites, as well as the Matsugadaira-Motai blueschists (table 6) lend some support to this correlation. A Gondwana derivation has also been advocated for the Kurosegawa terrane (Aitchison and others, 1991; Maruyama and others, 1997; Hada and others, 2001).

South Kitakami’s clastic series of Permian age contain substantial input from andesitic and granitic sources, and their contact metamorphic aureoles (Takeuchi, 1994), which point to the proximity of island arcs or an active magmatic margin (Yoshida and Machiyama, 2004). Diorite pebbles in middle Permian (Usuginu-type) conglomerates yielded hornblende with 240-270 Ma K-Ar ages (references in: Takeuchi, 1994) and tonalite pebbles contain zircon and monazite with 240-260 Ma CHIME ages (Takeuchi and Suzuki, 2000). Similar conglomerates of Permian age with similarly aged granitic clasts are known from the Kurosegawa terrane (Hada and others, 2000; Takagi and Arai, 2003). The latter authors argued that the source area was formed by the Paleo-Ryoke terrane that contains a number of suites of Permian granitic rocks that yielded hornblende with K-Ar ages in the 250-277 Ma range, and that were generated in an immature volcanic arc or active continental margin. A granodiorite body that probably belongs to the Usukigawa suite in eastern Kyushu yielded zircon with a SHRIMP U-Pb age of 292 ± 12 Ma (Sakashima and others, 2003). The Hida Gaien terrane experienced widespread deposition of mainly felsic pyroclastic rocks during the Late Carboniferous and Early Permian in a volcanic arc, and local bimodal volcanism in Early Permian time (Takeuchi and others, 2004). Kawajiri (2005) pointed out that the diversity of magma types suggests that the terrane was situated in an island arc or back-arc basin setting in latest Permian time.

Permian gabbro intrusions, local tonalite and plagiogranite, as well as basalt, andesite and rhyolite of Permian to early Triassic age (Jia and others, 2004), occur in northeastern China and the Russian Far
East (Nokleberg and others, 2001, 2004). These rocks form the Laoelin-Grodekovsk terrane that the latter authors interpret as a magmatic arc. Younger, collision-related, Late Permian granitic plutons intrude the terrane and are co-magmatic with Permian volcanic rocks in the Khanka superterrane. Nokleberg and others (2004) suggests that this relation shows that the Laoelin-Grodekovsk magmatic arc accreted to the Khanka superterrane at the end of the Paleozoic. The occurrence of such terminal Paleozoic magmatic and volcanic activity, the presence of a Late Permian-Early Triassic subduction-accretion complex in the Jilin area of northeastern China (Li, 2006) and the Chongjin subduction-accretion complex in northernmost North Korea, which contains late Paleozoic ophiolites, chert and limestone (Nokleberg and others, 2001), all imply that the Solonker suture zone continues eastward to the Sea of Japan (fig. 1).

Principally on geochemical grounds Arakawa and others (2000) and Jahn and others (2000) regarded the Hida belt in Japan as an eastward continuation of the CAOB, rather than as the eastern margin of the North China craton with which it has chemical and geochronological dissimilarities. They arrived at this conclusion on the basis of the age and isotopic characteristics of the Paleozoic to Mesozoic granitic rocks, the young Sm-Nd (Dm) model ages for metapelitic Hida gneisses, implying a sedimentary protolith not older than Early Paleozoic, and finally the 250-220 Ma age for the main metamorphism. Indeed, the range of radiometric ages from the Hida belt is comparable to ca. 240 Ma U-Pb zircon ages (F.-Y. Wu, unpublished data in: Wu and others, 2004), ca. 225 Ma ⁴⁰⁴⁰Ar/³⁹³⁹Ar biotite and muscovite ages from amphibolite-facies rocks and synorogenic granites from the Hulan group (Xi and others, 2003) located in the easternmost part of the CAOB in China. These early Mesozoic isotopic dates agree with the progressively younger age of suturing signaled in the Introduction of this paper.

The picture that emerges is that the Japanese islands contain remnants of a Gondwana-derived terrane, comprising parts of the present-day South Kitakami, Hida Gaien, Paleo-Ryoke and Kurosegawa terranes made up of early and late Paleozoic sedimentary and volcanic series, as well as late Ordovician and Permian granitoids. As a result of subduction of oceanic lithosphere below this proto-Japan superterrane, early and late Paleozoic high-pressure metamorphic rocks and several subduction-accretion complexes of Permian age were formed. The subduction-accretion complexes contain fragments of ocean floor possibly as old as Cambrian (Oeyama ophiolite, Renge belt) and Late Devonian (early Famenian red chert lenses in basalt of the Hayachine belt in the northern margin of South Kitakami terrane (Hamano and others, 2002)). This points to prolonged subduction-accretion tectonism before the proto-Japan superterrane
collided with the East Asian active margin, formed by the eastern continuation of the CAOB. The timing of this collision is ill constrained but occurred before the intrusion of the 170-200 Ma-old Funatsu granites in the Hida belt, which can be correlated with similar middle Jurassic Daebotype granitoid intrusions in Korea (Nakajima, 1997). The 250-220 Ma-old main metamorphism in the Hida belt (Arakawa and others, 2000) may be related to the collision. Takeuchi (1994) noted a fundamental change in the source areas of sedimentary basins of South Kitakami and other terranes in Triassic-Jurassic boundary time. He regarded detritus in Jurassic sandstones as derived from medium- to high-grade metamorphic rocks. Such type of metamorphic rocks are only widespread in the Hida belt, suggesting deep erosion of the collision belt between the proto-Japan superterrane and the East Asian margin in early Jurassic time.

Implications

As outlined above, we view the evolution of suture zones and orogenic belts between the blocks that make up present-day China and surrounding areas in a two-stage tectonic scenario: 1. The early Paleozoic evolution occurred near the margin of East Gondwana, 2. The late Paleozoic geodynamic development and crustal evolution occurred within a framework for the accretionary building of the Eurasian continent. This explains the 200 million year time-span between the early accretion in the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex and the Late Permian age of the Solonker suture zone.

Our two-stage model implies that a vast orogenic system existed along the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana, comprising an archipelago of sometimes colliding micro-continental fragments that were bordered by subduction-accretion complexes, island arcs or contained calc-alkaline volcanic margins. Part of these micro-continents may have been derived by rifting from the Australian part of the Gondwanan margin (for example, South China, Tarim, a number of Kazakh terranes, and Indochina), whereas others may have had a Siberian provenance before their peri-Gondwanan history (like North China; Li and Powell, 2001). This view implies that the early Paleozoic ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism that characterizes the early evolution of many of the Asian micro-continents occurred in a peri-Gondwana orogenic system. It is unclear in how far the early Paleozoic tectono-metamorphic evolution of the peri-Gondwanan terranes is actually related to accretion to the Cimmerian margin. Accretion might have occurred following closure of a marginal basin that could have been created by the same extensional event that could have rafted continental fragments from the Gondwana margin. Cawood (2005) invoked such a
model for the early Paleozoic tectonic evolution of the Andean segment of the West Gondwanan margin.

The tectonic evolution of the CAOB has certain parallels with those of the Variscan belt in Europe and the Appalachians in the eastern USA, which both contain much older micro-continental fragments that are derived from the margin of West Gondwana (Ziegler, 1989; Pickering and Smith, 1995), like for example, Armorica and Avalonia (figs. 10 and 11). These terranes experienced an important late Neoproterozoic to Early Cambrian crust-forming phase, may contain relics of volcanic island arcs and subduction-accretion complexes, experienced an Ordovician orogenic event prior to collision, and were separated from Gondwana at different times (Schaltegger and others, 2003; von Raumer and others, 2003; Murphy and others, 2004).

A two-stage tectonic scenario in which the tectonic evolution related to accretionary building of Eurasia in latest Paleozoic to earliest Mesozoic time is preceded by earlier tectonic phases not directly related to this event can similarly explain ambiguities in the timing of the collision of the South and North China cratons. A number of authors (like for example, Gao and others, 1995; Chang, 1996; Yang and others, 2003; Liou and others, 2004; review by Roger and others, 2003) assumed that early Paleozoic tectono-metamorphic and magmatic events in the North Qinling belt might be due to episodic collision or collision phases between the North and South China cratons. However, Sun and others (2002a) pointed out that because the Late Silurian to Early Devonian metamorphism and magmatism have been recorded exclusively in the North Qinling belt and are absent in the South Qinling belt, it is not related to the collision between both cratons. Collision between the North and South China cratons in the (early) Permian, or even late Carboniferous, and that climaxed in the Late Triassic, associated with local ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism in the South Qinling belt (for example, Zhai and others, 1998; Li and Powell, 2001; Sun and others, 2002a, b; Roger and others, 2003; Liou and others, 2004), preceded by early Paleozoic accretion against these micro-continents in a peri-Gondwanan setting, seems to explain most of the available data.

CONCLUSIONS

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating of phengite has shown that dynamic recrystallization of quartzitic mylonite and ductile deformation in the higher part of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex along the northern
margin of the North China craton occurred at about 450 Ma. That was about 200 Ma before the final closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean and the formation of the Solonker suture zone. In all likelihood the oceanic sediments were free of older detrital mica, and unconsolidated and hydrated when they arrived at the trench and they were accreted and metamorphosed under fluid-sufficient conditions. Consequently, we argue that the 200 million year age difference was neither due to the incorporation of excess argon, which often plagues dating of this high-pressure mica, nor to the presence of older detrital mica. Instead, we propose that the Ondor Sum complex formed part of an early Paleozoic orogenic system that involved a number of drifting micro-continents fringed by subduction-accretion complexes and island arcs along the northeastern Cimmerian margin of Gondwana. This might have been preceded by an earlier evolution in the Paleo-Pacific Ocean. Northward drift of the detached North China craton and closure of the Paleo-Asian Ocean by double subduction finally resulted in collision of the micro-continent with the southern part of the Central Asian Orogenic belt and Siberia, and the formation of the Solonker suture zone by the end-Permian. This type of two-stage scenario probably also applies to the geodynamic evolution of other micro-continents that currently form part of Asia due to collision in the late Paleozoic to early Mesozoic (South China, Tarim, a number of Kazakh terranes, Alashan, Qaidam, South and North Kunlun, Khanka, South Kitakami, and probably Indochina), and which were bordered by early Paleozoic subduction-accretion complexes, island arcs or contained calc-alkaline volcanic margins while located in the Cimmerian margin of East Gondwana. It might be possible that these events in some blocks have been preceded by an earlier evolution in Cambrian time associated with the subduction of the Paleo-Pacific Ocean below the Australia-New Zealand-Antarctica continental margin of the Gondwana supercontinent. Finally, this two-stage model implies that an archipelago of micro-continents existed along the Cimmerian margin of Gondwana and that the early Paleozoic ultrahigh-pressure metamorphism that characterizes the early evolution of many of the Asian micro-continents occurred in this vast, peri-Gondwanan orogenic system.
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APPENDIX A

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar Dating

Phengite separates were prepared from the 64-100 µm sieve fraction by means of handpicking under a binocular zoom microscope and subsequent ultrasonic cleaning in demineralized water for five minutes. The mineral separates were wrapped in high-purity aluminum foil and irradiated for 30 hours along with irradiation standard biotite LP-6 (Odin and others, 1982) in the VT-C position of the Tsing-Hua University Open-Pool Reactor, Taiwan. A weighted mean of five fusions of irradiation standard LP-6 Biotite with a calibrated $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age of 128.4 ± 0.2 Ma, based on Fish Canyon Sanidine (28.02 ± 0.28 Ma) (Baksi and others, 1996; Renne and others, 1998) resulted in an average J value of 0.003440063 ± 0.000020617, which is used in the age calculations. The neutron flux gradient across the top and bottom of the irradiation canister was about 3.6%, as indicated by the variation of J values from the flux monitor.

Irradiated phengite grains were loaded into a high-vacuum sample housing fitted with a sapphire UHV window, and were incrementally heated to fusion with a defocused, continuous ultraviolet (266 nm) laser beam. Experiments were monitored with a coupled Nikon SMZU microscope equipped with a CCD camera and a US laser up collimator/objective lens. The laser probe comprises a US laser 403TQ A.O. quadrupled Nd:YAG laser, an all-metal extraction line and a high resolution VG3600 noble-gas mass spectrometer, operated in static mode, and outfitted with a Nier-type 'Bright' ion source and a Johnston electron multiplier. Chemically active gases were removed with Zr-Al and Fe-V alloy getters operated at 400°C. Each heating step lasted for 3 minutes followed by 1 minute of gettering. Extraction line blanks were measured at the start and finish of an experiment and repeated typically every third to fifth step.

Apparent $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages of individual steps were calculated from the corrected isotopic ratios using decay constants and isotopic abundance ratios given by Steiger and Jäger (1977), and were corrected for blanks, isotopic decay and interference by irradiation-induced contaminant Ar-isotopes derived from Ca, K and Cl. The ($^{36}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca}$, ($^{39}$Ar/$^{37}$Ar)$_{Ca}$, ($^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K}$ and ($^{38}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar)$_{K}$ ratios used in the corrections for irradiation-induced contaminant Ar-isotopes derived from Ca, K and Cl (determined from irradiated
 aliquots of K$_2$SO$_4$, CaSO$_4$ and KCl) are: $2.3992 \pm 1.0487 \times 10^{-4}$, $5.6997 \pm 0.1649 \times 10^{-4}$, $2.9393 \pm 0.2463 \times 10^{-2}$ and $0.5183 \pm 0.0530$ respectively, with errors quoted on the 1σ level. Integrated dates were obtained from the sum total of the peak heights and their errors from the square root of the sum square of the peak-height errors for all steps. Regression lines in $^{36}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar versus $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar correlation diagrams were obtained by cubic least-squares fitting according to York’s 1969 procedure. Decay constant and isotopic abundance ratios used: $^{40}$K$_{tot} = 5.543 \times 10^{-10}$ a$^{-1}$; $^{40}$K/K = 0.01167 atom % (Steiger and Jäger, 1977). A $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar of 292.4 ± 0.5 was measured on aliquots of air Ar. For further details on data correction and age calculations the reader is referred to Lo and Lee (1994).
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**Figure captions**

**Fig. 1.** Tectonic map of Asia with the main terranes, the Central Asian Organic Belt, and the Solonker suture zone (string of black elongated dots, modified after Badarch and others (2002) and Xiao and others (2004b)). White star indicates Ondor Sum region; numbered stars locations discussed in the text: 1). Sergeevka ophiolite, 2). Jiamusi and Songliao-Zhangguangcai blocks, 3). North Qinling belt, 4). Central Tianshan, 5). Western Kunlun range, 6). North Qilian Mountains, 7). Zhuguangshan batholith, 8). Song Chay complex, 9). Dai in Loc and Kontum massifs, 10). South Kitakami terrane, 11). Hida belt, with small stars: main occurrences of the Oeyama ophiolite and correlatives. K: Kyushu; H: Honshu. The boundary between South China and Indochina follows the Song Ma zone, allowing early Paleozoic sedimentary series (Findlay, 1998) and Permian Emeishan flood basalts (Chung and others, 1997) to be on the South China craton for the time slice considered. AT: Altyn Tagh fault; RR: Red River segment of the Ailao Shan-Red River shear zone. Modified after: Chang, 1996; Chung and others, 1997; Arakawa and others, 2000; Jahn and others, 2002; Ota and others, 2002; Wilde and others, 2000; Khain and others, 2003; Song and others, 2003; Jahn, 2004; Jia and others, 2004; Oh and others, 2005). Azimuthal equal-area projection.

**Fig. 2.** Tectonic sketch map of central Inner Mongolia from which late Mesozoic-Cenozoic strata are omitted for clarity (modified after Xiao and others, 2003). Arrow indicates the sampling area in the Ulan Valley (fig. 3).

**Fig. 3.** Geological map of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley based on Xiao and others (2003), showing its main litho-tectonic units and structures. Samples for radiometric dating (phengite-bearing quartzite mylonites IM-2 and IM-5) and microprobe analysis (glauconaphane-bearing foliated margin of meta-gabbro/leucogabbro IM-19) are indicated. Position indicated in figure 2. The position of the blow-up map of the contact between units 1 and 2 (fig. 5) is outlined.
Fig. 4. Cartoon-like profiles demonstrating the tectonic evolution of the northern margin of the North China craton in Inner Mongolia (A. Cambrian-Late Ordovician; B. Ordovician-Silurian) in the present-day geographic reference frame, modified after Xiao and others (2003).

Fig. 5. Detailed geological map of the contact zone between the pillow basalts of unit 1 (footwall) and the arc volcanic rocks of unit 2 (hanging wall) of the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. Position indicated in figure 3.

Fig. 6. Photomicrograph of boudinaged augitic (Aug) relics within a sodic-amphibole (Na-Amp) crystal in IM-19, the foliated margin of a meta-gabbro/leucogabbro. Note the filling of pull-aparts by amphibole with a crossite composition.

Fig. 7. Composition diagrams for sodic-amphiboles in meta-gabbro/leucogabbro IM-19 from the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. A. Na(M4) versus Al/(Al+Fe3+) for cores and rims of zoned amphibole crystals, B. Fe2+/(Fe2++Mg) versus Al/(Al+Fe3+) ratios for cores and rims of zoned amphiboles. For EPMA data, see table 1; for analytical details, see footnote to table 1.

Fig. 8. 40Ar/39Ar age spectra of phengite from quartzite mylonite IM-2 obtained by step-heating of about 10 (IM-2a) and 20 (IM-2b) grains with a defocused laser beam.

Fig. 9. 40Ar/39Ar age spectrum of phengite from quartzite mylonite IM-5 obtained by step-heating of about 90 grains with a defocused laser beam.

Fig. 10. Geodynamic reconstructions of the major lithospheric plates for the Late Ordovician (modified after Li and Powell, 2001). Positions of micro-continent (those discussed in the text are dark shaded) and subduction zones modified mainly after: Pickering and Smith (1995), Li and Powell (2001), Fortey and Cocks (2003), Torsvik and Cocks (2004). Ar = Armorica; Av = Avalonia; I = Indochina; NC = North China Craton; Q = Qaidam-Qilian block; SC = South China Craton; T = Tarim.
Fig. 11. Geodynamic reconstructions of the major lithospheric plates for the Early Carboniferous (modified after Li and Powell, 2001). Positions of micro-continents (those discussed in the text are dark shaded) and subduction zones modified mainly after: Metcalfe (1998), Filippova and others (2001), Li and Powell (2001), Torsvik and Cocks (2004). Ar = Armorica; I = Indochina; Kaz = Kazakhstan; NC = North China Craton; Q = Qaidam-Qilian block; SC = South China Craton; T = Tarim.
### Table 1

**Representative mineral analyses: Na-amphibole**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gln core</th>
<th>Gln rim</th>
<th>core core</th>
<th>core rim</th>
<th>core rim</th>
<th>rim rim</th>
<th>rim core</th>
<th>rim rim</th>
<th>rim core</th>
<th>rim rim</th>
<th>rim core</th>
<th>rim rim</th>
<th>rim core</th>
<th>rim rim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wt%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>55.94</td>
<td>57.27</td>
<td>56.62</td>
<td>55.93</td>
<td>54.80</td>
<td>55.67</td>
<td>55.08</td>
<td>56.67</td>
<td>56.40</td>
<td>55.58</td>
<td>56.25</td>
<td>57.11</td>
<td>56.50</td>
<td>56.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>7.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>18.76</td>
<td>20.95</td>
<td>16.89</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>17.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>7.04</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>7.92</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>7.23</td>
<td>7.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>95.32</td>
<td>96.21</td>
<td>96.05</td>
<td>95.02</td>
<td>94.85</td>
<td>95.13</td>
<td>94.78</td>
<td>96.17</td>
<td>95.05</td>
<td>95.68</td>
<td>95.72</td>
<td>96.02</td>
<td>96.05</td>
<td>97.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pfu</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al(IV)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(VI)</td>
<td>1.160</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>1.360</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>1.197</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.544</td>
<td>1.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe3+</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td>0.345</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2+</td>
<td>1.539</td>
<td>1.543</td>
<td>1.494</td>
<td>1.390</td>
<td>1.993</td>
<td>1.561</td>
<td>1.487</td>
<td>1.476</td>
<td>1.720</td>
<td>1.557</td>
<td>1.596</td>
<td>1.576</td>
<td>1.580</td>
<td>1.554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>1.681</td>
<td>1.601</td>
<td>1.613</td>
<td>1.897</td>
<td>1.191</td>
<td>1.518</td>
<td>1.871</td>
<td>1.685</td>
<td>1.530</td>
<td>1.626</td>
<td>1.546</td>
<td>1.507</td>
<td>1.536</td>
<td>1.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(M4)</td>
<td>1.865</td>
<td>1.835</td>
<td>1.750</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>1.942</td>
<td>1.890</td>
<td>1.707</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>1.929</td>
<td>1.812</td>
<td>1.880</td>
<td>1.871</td>
<td>1.882</td>
<td>1.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na(A)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Representative electron probe microanalyses of sodic-amphibole (Na-Amp) in meta-gabbro/leucogabbro IM-19 from the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. The analyses were made with a JEOL-8800 electron probe X-ray micro-analyzer (Department of Earth and Planetary Science, Tokyo Institute of Technology), operated at 15 kV with a beam current of $1.20 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Å}$ and a $< 4 \mu \text{m}$ beam diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvi+Ti+Fe3+</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>1.638</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>1.550</td>
<td>1.691</td>
<td>1.845</td>
<td>1.517</td>
<td>1.728</td>
<td>1.555</td>
<td>1.697</td>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>1.775</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>1.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XFe3+</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe/Mg</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.963</td>
<td>0.926</td>
<td>0.733</td>
<td>1.674</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>1.124</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>1.046</td>
<td>1.028</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XMg</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.577</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.511</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.489</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td>0.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A site</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Iron calculated as FeO cation (O=23)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Phengite</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Plagioclase</th>
<th>Dolomite-core</th>
<th>Dolomite-rim</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>wt%</td>
<td>wt%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>55.86</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>28.64</td>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>69.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>TiO2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>21.93</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>17.23</td>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>19.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Ox*</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>Fe-Ox*</td>
<td>21.95</td>
<td>Fe-Ox+</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>P2O5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98.26</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>85.45</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pfu</th>
<th>pfu</th>
<th>pfu</th>
<th>pfu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>3.642</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>6.293</td>
<td>Si</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Ti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(IV)</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>Al(IV)</td>
<td>1.705</td>
<td>Al(IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al(VI)</td>
<td>1.327</td>
<td>Al(VI)</td>
<td>2.759</td>
<td>Al(VI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>1.685</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>4.464</td>
<td>Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>Fe2+</td>
<td>4.033</td>
<td>Fe3+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>Mn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>5.564</td>
<td>Mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>Na</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>Na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8.681</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mg/(Fe+Mg)</th>
<th>Mg/(Fe+Mg)</th>
<th>A site</th>
<th>K/(K+Na)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.713</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>An</td>
<td>0.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>Ab</td>
<td>99.369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>Or</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fe-Ox*  Iron calculated as FeO
Fe-Ox+  Iron calculated as Fe2O3
Phengite  cation (O=11)
Chlorite  cation (O=28)
Plagioclase  cation (O=8)

Tab. 2.  Representative electron probe microanalyses of various minerals in meta-gabbro/leucogabbro IM-19 from the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. Abbreviations according to Kretz (1983); Phn: phengite. Analytical details, see footnote to table 1.

Table 3
$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ analytical data of phengite from IM-2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>power (watts)</th>
<th>cum.39</th>
<th>Atm. %</th>
<th>$^{36}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{38}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{38}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser step-heating IM-2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.000620</td>
<td>0.012820</td>
<td>0.142300</td>
<td>78.26</td>
<td>126200</td>
<td>429.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.001185</td>
<td>0.080930</td>
<td>0.013180</td>
<td>82.17</td>
<td>69370</td>
<td>447.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.002291</td>
<td>0.198100</td>
<td>0.011940</td>
<td>83.08</td>
<td>36260</td>
<td>450.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001500</td>
<td>0.234300</td>
<td>0.013760</td>
<td>83.98</td>
<td>55990</td>
<td>455.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.000473</td>
<td>0.008534</td>
<td>0.011600</td>
<td>82.88</td>
<td>175300</td>
<td>451.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001457</td>
<td>0.012630</td>
<td>0.012260</td>
<td>83.75</td>
<td>57480</td>
<td>454.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.001132</td>
<td>0.009586</td>
<td>0.012350</td>
<td>83.99</td>
<td>74200</td>
<td>456.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.002173</td>
<td>0.183500</td>
<td>0.015870</td>
<td>84.77</td>
<td>39010</td>
<td>458.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.002484</td>
<td>0.372000</td>
<td>0.014540</td>
<td>83.78</td>
<td>33730</td>
<td>453.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.904</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001424</td>
<td>0.027380</td>
<td>0.014380</td>
<td>82.93</td>
<td>58230</td>
<td>450.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser step-heating IM-2b</td>
<td>20 grains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02 0.059 2.0 0.00493 0.01806 0.19010</td>
<td>72.51 14710 394.4 7.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 0.138 2.1 0.00535 0.03831 0.01120</td>
<td>73.79 13800 400.2 5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09 0.207 1.3 0.00347 0.01717 0.01135</td>
<td>81.43 23490 440.4 5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12 0.313 0.3 0.00090 0.01125 0.01048</td>
<td>81.17 90180 442.8 5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15 0.370 1.4 0.00412 0.01636 0.00863</td>
<td>85.08 20650 457.2 6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.19 0.437 0.8 0.00229 0.13870 0.00937</td>
<td>84.02 36660 454.7 7.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22 0.496 0.3 0.00077 0.03814 0.01113</td>
<td>82.69 107300 450.4 6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25 0.533 0.7 0.00215 0.33010 0.01181</td>
<td>84.76 39360 458.6 7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.29 0.571 4.1 0.01188 0.16160 0.01200</td>
<td>85.82 7224 449.8 6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32 0.635 0.4 0.00118 0.01872 0.01091</td>
<td>83.39 70850 453.2 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35 0.715 1.0 0.00289 0.08763 0.01110</td>
<td>83.33 28850 450.5 5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.39 0.782 0.5 0.00132 0.01772 0.01161</td>
<td>81.16 61640 442.2 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43 0.856 0.7 0.00203 0.00315 0.01180</td>
<td>81.32 40100 441.9 5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.53 0.883 2.3 0.00670 0.13430 0.01203</td>
<td>84.57 12620 451.1 17.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00 1.000 0.8 0.00214 0.01404 0.01252</td>
<td>79.30 37090 432.0 5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grain</th>
<th>cum.39</th>
<th>Atm. %</th>
<th>$^{36}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{37}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{38}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{36}\text{Ar}$</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Laser fusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.00204</td>
<td>0.02230</td>
<td>0.00713</td>
<td>85.53</td>
<td>41880</td>
<td>462.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.02290</td>
<td>0.06805</td>
<td>0.01414</td>
<td>88.49</td>
<td>3865</td>
<td>446.9</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.00125</td>
<td>0.01491</td>
<td>0.00936</td>
<td>84.41</td>
<td>67320</td>
<td>458.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00282</td>
<td>0.01589</td>
<td>0.00852</td>
<td>82.57</td>
<td>29270</td>
<td>446.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00277</td>
<td>0.09582</td>
<td>0.00985</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>30280</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.00568</td>
<td>0.01567</td>
<td>0.01043</td>
<td>83.04</td>
<td>14610</td>
<td>445.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.01241</td>
<td>0.01814</td>
<td>0.01130</td>
<td>87.55</td>
<td>7054</td>
<td>457.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.00067</td>
<td>0.03113</td>
<td>0.00917</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>122600</td>
<td>448.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
<td>0.01818</td>
<td>0.00888</td>
<td>83.34</td>
<td>425500</td>
<td>454.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.00426</td>
<td>0.04947</td>
<td>0.00853</td>
<td>84.68</td>
<td>19860</td>
<td>455.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tab. 3.  

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar analytical data of phengite from quartzite mylonite IM-2 from the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. a. Step-heating experiment on about 10 (IM-2a) and 20 (IM-2b) grains. b. Total fusion of 10 single grains. $^{40}$Ar* is radiogenic argon from natural K-decay; $^{37}$Ar, $^{38}$Ar, and $^{39}$Ar are Ca-, Cl- and K-derived argon during irradiation. Uncertainty for $^{40}$Ar* and $^{39}$Ar volumes is ± 5%.

Table 4  

$^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar analytical data of phengite from IM-5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>power (watts)</th>
<th>cum.39</th>
<th>Atm. %</th>
<th>$^{36}$Ar/39Ar</th>
<th>$^{37}$Ar/39Ar</th>
<th>$^{38}$Ar/39Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/39Ar</th>
<th>$^{40}$Ar/38Ar</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Laser step heating</td>
<td>90 grains</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.00431</td>
<td>0.01313</td>
<td>70.18</td>
<td>16280</td>
<td>383.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.00417</td>
<td>0.01408</td>
<td>77.69</td>
<td>18620</td>
<td>421.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00271</td>
<td>0.01316</td>
<td>82.63</td>
<td>30530</td>
<td>447.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.00368</td>
<td>0.01307</td>
<td>84.44</td>
<td>22970</td>
<td>454.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.00292</td>
<td>0.01249</td>
<td>81.45</td>
<td>27930</td>
<td>441.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.00434</td>
<td>0.01071</td>
<td>84.59</td>
<td>19480</td>
<td>454.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.00558</td>
<td>0.01294</td>
<td>84.74</td>
<td>15200</td>
<td>453.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.00626</td>
<td>0.01179</td>
<td>83.68</td>
<td>13370</td>
<td>447.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.00329</td>
<td>0.01227</td>
<td>83.51</td>
<td>25400</td>
<td>450.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.00413</td>
<td>0.01275</td>
<td>82.40</td>
<td>19950</td>
<td>444.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.00485</td>
<td>0.01252</td>
<td>83.59</td>
<td>17230</td>
<td>448.9</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.581</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.00059</td>
<td>0.01298</td>
<td>81.38</td>
<td>13860</td>
<td>444.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>0.01367</td>
<td>82.56</td>
<td>98950</td>
<td>449.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.00136</td>
<td>0.01344</td>
<td>82.43</td>
<td>60830</td>
<td>448.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.00026</td>
<td>0.01209</td>
<td>80.39</td>
<td>309700</td>
<td>440.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.00507</td>
<td>0.01273</td>
<td>83.63</td>
<td>16500</td>
<td>448.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00279</td>
<td>0.01333</td>
<td>83.58</td>
<td>29950</td>
<td>451.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.00099</td>
<td>0.01078</td>
<td>80.83</td>
<td>81440</td>
<td>441.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.00048</td>
<td>0.01185</td>
<td>83.55</td>
<td>174100</td>
<td>455.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.00159</td>
<td>0.01261</td>
<td>83.88</td>
<td>52750</td>
<td>455.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>grain</th>
<th>cum.39</th>
<th>Atm. %</th>
<th>³⁶Ar/³⁹Ar</th>
<th>³⁷Ar/³⁹Ar</th>
<th>³⁸Ar/³⁹Ar</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar</th>
<th>⁴⁰Ar/³⁸Ar</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>0.968</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.00222</td>
<td>0.01000</td>
<td>0.01163</td>
<td>84.36</td>
<td>38020</td>
<td>456.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00285</td>
<td>0.00603</td>
<td>0.01438</td>
<td>84.52</td>
<td>29670</td>
<td>456.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00282</td>
<td>0.01589</td>
<td>0.00852</td>
<td>82.57</td>
<td>29270</td>
<td>446.9</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.00277</td>
<td>0.09582</td>
<td>0.00985</td>
<td>83.93</td>
<td>30280</td>
<td>453.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.00568</td>
<td>0.01567</td>
<td>0.01043</td>
<td>83.04</td>
<td>14610</td>
<td>445.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.01241</td>
<td>0.01814</td>
<td>0.01130</td>
<td>87.55</td>
<td>7054</td>
<td>457.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.00067</td>
<td>0.03113</td>
<td>0.00917</td>
<td>82.20</td>
<td>122600</td>
<td>448.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.00020</td>
<td>0.01818</td>
<td>0.00888</td>
<td>83.34</td>
<td>425500</td>
<td>454.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.00426</td>
<td>0.04947</td>
<td>0.00853</td>
<td>84.68</td>
<td>19860</td>
<td>455.0</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 4.** ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar analytical data of phengite from quartzite mylonite IM-5 from the Ondor Sum subduction-accretion complex in the Ulan Valley. **a.** Step-heating experiment on about 90 grains. **b.** Total fusion of 4 single grains.
Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Age and error (Ma)</th>
<th>MSWD</th>
<th>40/36 ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IM2</td>
<td>Total fusion 10 grains</td>
<td>Weighted mean</td>
<td>452.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse Isochron</td>
<td>450.4 ± 3.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>345 ± 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM2-a</td>
<td>Stepheating 10 grains</td>
<td>Weighted mean all steps</td>
<td>450.1 ± 4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau ages (79% 39Ar release)</td>
<td>453.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse Isochron plateau steps</td>
<td>446.8 ± 10.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>470 ± 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM2-b</td>
<td>Stepheating 20 grains</td>
<td>Weighted mean all steps</td>
<td>442.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau ages (46.6% 39Ar release)</td>
<td>453.2 ± 2.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse Isochron plateau steps</td>
<td>407.3 ± 49.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>450 ± 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM5</td>
<td>Total fusion 4 grains</td>
<td>Weighted mean</td>
<td>448.6 ± 5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stepheating 90 grains</td>
<td>Weighted mean all steps</td>
<td>438.4 ± 4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plateau ages (57.3 % 39Ar release)</td>
<td>449.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse Isochron plateau steps</td>
<td>449.3 ± 6.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>344 ± 31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 5. Summary of the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age results of phengite IM-2 and IM-5. MSDW = SUMS/(n-2), with SUMS = minimum weighted sum of residuals; n = number of points fitted. (40/36), inverse of the $^{36}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar intercept in an isotope correlation diagram, that is the composition of the trapped component.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terrain/Belt</th>
<th>Tectonic units</th>
<th>Minerals</th>
<th>Rocks</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Ages (Ma)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan and Junggar</td>
<td>Tangbale ophiolite mélange</td>
<td>sodic amphibole</td>
<td>blueschist</td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>458 to 470</td>
<td>Zhang, 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chara ophiolite belt</td>
<td>phengite</td>
<td>K-white mica</td>
<td>blueschist</td>
<td>K-Ar</td>
<td>440-445</td>
<td>Baslov and others, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chara ophiolite belt</td>
<td>phengite</td>
<td>mélange</td>
<td>blueschist in serpentinite mélange</td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>449 ± 1 and 450 ± 5</td>
<td>Volkova and others, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chara ophiolite belt</td>
<td>barroisite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>449 ± 6 and 450 ± 3</td>
<td>Volkova and others, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia Far East</td>
<td>Sergeevka terrain</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>metagabbro</td>
<td>TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>528 ± 3</td>
<td>Khanchuk and others, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeevka terrain</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>foliated diorite</td>
<td>TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>504 ± 3</td>
<td>Khanchuk and others, 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeevka terrain</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>493 ± 12</td>
<td>Khanchuk and others, 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeevka terrain</td>
<td>K-white mica</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>492 ± 2</td>
<td>Khanchuk and others, 1996</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergeevka terrain</td>
<td>hornblende</td>
<td>metagabbro</td>
<td>K-Ar</td>
<td>430 to 470</td>
<td>Ishiwatari and Tsujimori, 2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiamusi block (Northeast China)</td>
<td>Mashan complex</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>gneiss, schist</td>
<td>U-Pb and Pb-Pb SHRIMP</td>
<td>496 ± 8 to 507 ± 12</td>
<td>Wilde and others, 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Qinling Belt</td>
<td>northern zone lower Qinling unit</td>
<td>zircon (rim)</td>
<td>diamond eclogite</td>
<td>SHRIMP</td>
<td>482 ± 6 to 560 ± 9</td>
<td>Yang and others, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Qinling Belt</td>
<td>Dabieshan garnet</td>
<td>Bixiling eclogites</td>
<td>Ar/Ar plateau</td>
<td>427 ± 20 to 444 ± 10</td>
<td>Qiu and Wijbrans, 2006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabieshan garnet</td>
<td>Bixiling eclogites</td>
<td>Ar/Ar isocehron</td>
<td>448 ± 34 to 459 ± 58</td>
<td>Qiu and Wijbrans, 2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Tianshan Belt</td>
<td>Makbal coesite eclogite</td>
<td>paragonite eclogite</td>
<td>K-Ar</td>
<td>482 ± 5</td>
<td>Tagiri and others, 1995</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Tianshan Belt</td>
<td>Bayinbulak</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>granite</td>
<td>TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>421 ± 11</td>
<td>Han and others, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kunlun Belt</td>
<td>Kudi terrain</td>
<td>hornblende</td>
<td>gneiss</td>
<td>Ar/Ar plateau</td>
<td>432 ± 1</td>
<td>Shu and others, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Qilian Belt</td>
<td>Yeniutan</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>granodiorite</td>
<td>TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>460 ± 3</td>
<td>Mao and others, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xiaoliugou</td>
<td>zircon</td>
<td>granodiorite</td>
<td>Re-Os</td>
<td>462 ± 13</td>
<td>Mao and others, 1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stock</td>
<td>molybdenite</td>
<td>skarn</td>
<td>isochron</td>
<td>475 ± 10</td>
<td>Wang and others, 2005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Dating Method</td>
<td>Age ± Error (Ma)</td>
<td>Authors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Qaidam-Altun Eclogite Belt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuka eclogite amphibole eclogite</td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>477 ± 8</td>
<td>Zhang and others, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuka eclogite phengite eclogite</td>
<td>Ar/Ar</td>
<td>466 ± 5</td>
<td>Zhang and others, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South China craton (China)</strong></td>
<td>Zhuguangshan batholithircon granite TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>427 ± 3</td>
<td>Roger and others, 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South China craton (Vietnam)</strong></td>
<td>Song Chay complex zircon granite TIMS U-Pb</td>
<td>428 ± 5</td>
<td>Roger and others, 2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japanese Belts</strong></td>
<td>Osayama serpentinitemélange hornblende metagabbro K-Ar</td>
<td>470-400</td>
<td>Tsujimori and Liou, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osayama serpentinitemélange zircon blueschist SHRIMP U-Pb</td>
<td>472 ± 9</td>
<td>Tsujimori and Liou, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renge belt, Kyushu hornblende metagabbro Miyamori ophiolite Matsugadaira-Motai blueschist tonalite dyke in schist K-Ar fusion</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>Tsujimori pers comm, 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Kitakami belt hornblende Daidouin granitoids SHRIMP U-Pb</td>
<td>491 ± 6</td>
<td>Sakashima and others (2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Kitakami belt hornblende Hikawa granitoids SHRIMP U-Pb</td>
<td>503 ± 10</td>
<td>Sakashima and others (2003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 6.** TIMS = Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry; SHRIMP = Sensitive High-Resolution Ion MicroProbe.