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Abstract: The accumulation of nine heavy metals in fine-grained sediments from the mangrove fringed coast of French Guiana is evaluated. The dynamic features of the South American tropical coastline, from the Amazon to the Orinoco Rivers, result in mangrove sediments being alternately submitted to phases of erosion and net sedimentation a few tens of years long. This process influences the distribution of the heavy metals associated with these frequently re-mobilized deposits. Sedimentary cores and mangrove plant samples were collected, at different seasons, in various swamps characterized by different properties (content of sedimentary organic matter, distance from sea water and fresh water). The ranges of measured concentrations expressed in μmol g⁻¹ were the following: Cu (0.06 to 0.61), Co (0.12 to 0.68), Pb (0.08 to 0.18), Ni (0.32 to 0.76), Cr (0.61 to 1.40), Zn (1.25 to 5.94), Mn (4.36 to 45.4) and Fe (441 to 1128). No differences were found between sediments from mangroves developing upstream and downstream of urban areas, i.e. Cayenne and Kourou. This suggests that the content of mangrove sediments in heavy metals along the coastline of French Guiana is essentially the result of the continuous alternation of accumulation and transport phases occurring upstream after departing from the Amazon watershed. The sources of this heavy metals content are thus difficult to identify. However it is well known that the alluvium produced by the natural erosion of the Amazonian soils is naturally enriched in mercury. Also, the run-off from gold mining activities is known to contribute to mercury pollution. Ranges in total Hg were between 0.15 and 2.57 nmol g⁻¹, with mean values close to 0.41 nmol g⁻¹, and were clearly correlated with total organic carbon except for some outstanding high values, which may be a result of rapid geochemical changes. Heavy metal concentrations showed variations with depth. The redox conditions and the decay processes affecting the organic matter control the cycling of iron and manganese, which in turn control the concentrations and associations of heavy metals. These preliminary results suggest that the variations in heavy metal content with depth or between mangrove areas result largely from diagenetic processes rather than changes in metal input resulting from local human activities.
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Abstract

The accumulation of nine heavy metals in fine-grained sediments from the mangrove fringed coast of French Guiana is evaluated. The dynamic features of the South American tropical coastline, from the Amazon to the Orinoco Rivers, result in mangrove sediments being alternately submitted to phases of erosion and net sedimentation a few tens of years long. This process influences the distribution of the heavy metals associated with these frequently re-mobilized deposits. Sedimentary cores and mangrove plant samples were collected, at different seasons, in various swamps characterized by different properties (content of sedimentary organic matter, distance from sea water and fresh water). The total concentrations of Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, Co, Ni, Pb, Zn were determined by the microwave digestion technique combined with HR-ICP-AES detection. The total Hg concentrations were measured using the cold vapor technique coupled with an AAS detector. The ranges of measured concentrations expressed in µmol g⁻¹ were the following: Cu (0.06 to 0.61), Co (0.12 to 0.68), Pb (0.08 to 0.18), Ni (0.32 to 0.76), Cr (0.61 to 1.40) and Zn (1.25 to 5.94). No differences were found between sediments from mangroves developing upstream and downstream of urban areas, i.e. Cayenne and Kourou. This suggests that the content of mangrove sediments in heavy metals along the coastline of French Guiana is essentially the result of the continuous alternation of accumulation and transport phases occurring upstream after departing from the Amazon watershed. The sources of this heavy metals content are thus difficult to identify. However it is well known that the alluvium produced by the natural erosion of the Amazonian soils is naturally enriched in mercury. Also, the run-off from gold mining activities is known to contribute to mercury pollution. Ranges in total Hg were between 0.15 and 2.57 nmol g⁻¹, with mean values close to 0.41 nmol g⁻¹, and were clearly correlated with total organic carbon except for some outstanding high values, which may be a result of rapid geochemical changes. Heavy metal concentrations showed variations with depth. The redox conditions and the decay processes affecting the organic matter control the cycling of iron and manganese, which in turn control the concentrations and associations of heavy metals. These preliminary results suggest that the variations in heavy metal content with depth or between mangrove areas result largely from diagenetic processes rather than changes in metal input resulting from local human activities.
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**Introduction**

The cycling of heavy metals, because of their toxicity, bio-accumulation capacity and persistence, is a serious question recently addressed by many studies on mangrove environments (Harbison, 1986; Lacerda et al., 1988; Mackey and Hodgkinson, 1995; Tam and Wong, 1995, 1997, 2000; Clark et al., 1998). In contrast with organic pollutants, heavy metals cannot be biologically or chemically degraded, and thus may either accumulate locally or be transported over long distances. In natural environments, the associations of metals and their distributions depend on various parameters including redox conditions (Guo et al., 1997) and organic contents (Nissenbaum and Swaine, 1976; Mounier et al., 2001). These parameters may influence the toxicity of metals through processes like mercury methylation (Mason et al., 1994), and by controlling their availability for living organisms. In addition, metals can be adsorbed onto the surface of minerals, like clay minerals, Fe and/or Mn oxihydroxides (Dong et al., 2000; Quémerais et al., 1998). Consequently, high concentrations of heavy metals can accumulate in sediments, and especially in fine-grained oozes, which present high mineral specific surfaces. Mangrove ecosystems, developing in the intertidal zone of most tropical and subtropical regions, are characterized by major contrasts in redox conditions and high rates of organic carbon accumulations (Huc, 1980). They may act as a sink or a source of heavy metals in coastal environments because of their variable physical and chemical properties (Harbison, 1986). Moreover, many countries have decided to use mangroves in the treatment of sewage effluents. Mangroves of French Guiana are unique due to their proximity to the Amazon River and the highly dynamic feature of their coastline. The Amazon discharge partly migrates northwestward in the form of mudwaves moving towards the Orinoco River, following the direction of both the current of the Guianas (Allison et al., 2000) and the coastal dynamic influences. The components of mangrove deposits can be stabilized for a few decades, like sand grains in a major dune, until they are reset in suspension when erosion reaches their settling place. Many studies, regarding heavy-metal pollution, have been done to the south of the Amazon River (Silva et al., 1998; Lacerda et al., 1999; Machado et al., 2002 a,b).
However few data concern the coastline of the Guianas and its specific system. The present study aims at determining the distribution of various heavy metals (Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, Co, Ni, Pb, Zn and Hg) in mangrove sediments and plants, and to estimate a possible local human input by comparing sediments upstream and downstream of urban areas, i.e. Cayenne and Kourou. Our second intent was to assess the relationships of these heavy metals with sedimentary organic matter and pore water properties (redox, pH), which were measured in a previous study (Marchand et al., 2004). Quantitative analyses were carried out on samples of mangrove species and sedimentary cores collected in 7 mangrove areas of French Guiana selected as a function of both their species and the stages of their development, i.e. young, mature, senescent and recolonized areas.

Materials and Methods

Study site

The mangroves studied are located: i) at the mouth of the Kaw River, 30 km southeast of Cayenne, ii) on the right bank of the Sinnamary River, 50 km northwest of Kourou, French Guiana (Fig. 1). These mangroves develop on huge mud banks, 30 km long (total length of one bank) and can be up to 5 km wide during low tide. These mangrove forests, not subject to anthropogenic exploitation, are predominantly colonized by *Avicennia germinans*; however scarce *Laguncularia racemosa* fringe the seashore as also does *Crenea maritima*. Some *Acrostichum aureum* ferns develop in the senescent mangroves, and *Rhizophora mangle* stands develop in sheltered areas (K2 and K3). The higher topography of the mature mangrove area prevents all but spring tides flooding their ground and so reaching the soil of the mature (S4) and senescent mangroves (S3). As a consequence, daily tidal inundation only reaches the outer margin, i.e. the younger stages of these mangrove swamps (S1 and S2). Due to the systematic erosional features of this coastline, adult *Avicennia* trees are frequently submitted to a sudden accretion that buries their pneumatophores system with a several tens of centimeters thick mud cover. This choking process results in a dead standing forest. These areas are subsequently recolonized and young live trees co-exist with standing dead trees, a situation called "recolonized cemetery mangrove" (S2).
Field Sampling

Cores were collected at low tide with an Eijkelkamp gouge auger in 7 mangroves defined in terms of vegetation-species composition and stages of development, and in shoreface sediments, i.e. sediments devoid of vegetation. Work was carried out during two fieldtrips, in July 2001 and January 2002. S1, S2 and S3 were collected in July, i.e. the end of the rainy season; S4, K1, K2 and K3 were collected in January, i.e. the beginning of the rainy season. In the *A. germinans* forests, core locations were chosen equidistant from trees, in consideration of the large extension of the radial cable roots. In *R. mangle* forests, cores were collected under prop roots. After being collected, cores were wrapped in plastic film and aluminum foil in order to limit gaseous exchanges. Samples were kept refrigerated before analyses. Measurements of physicochemical parameters (pH, redox, dissolved sulphides) and pore water extraction were performed in an air-conditioned laboratory, on the day of coring. Briefly, probes were inserted in the center of the core, in order to avoid modification resulting from contact with atmospheric gas, and left until equilibrium was reached as described in Marchand et al. (2004). In mangrove sediments, pore water extraction may be very laborious due to the fine-grained nature of the sediment and the roots density. In order to precisely define the depth intervals, pore waters were extracted using centrifuge rather than in situ peepers (Gribsholt and Khristensen, 2002). Centrifugation was done on the very day of coring as recommended by Albéric et al. (1996) in order to avoid enrichment in colloidal material (Chin and Gschwend, 1991). Centrifugation was done at 5000 rpm for 20 min. All samples were filtered through 0.45 µm Sartorius® filters under pressure and acidified to pH 2 with Suprapur® HNO₃. Samples were stored in cleaned 14 ml polypropylene tubes, in a cold room (T= 4°C) until analyses.

Carbon and sulphur analyses

Carbon and sulphur analyses were conducted by combustion at 1100°C with a CNS–2000 LECO® apparatus. Due to the nearly total lack of carbonates (samples were tested with HCl), total carbon can be attributed to the total organic carbon. Samples were taken at the following depth-intervals (in cm): 2 cm from 0 to 20 cm, 5 cm from 20 to 60 then every 20 cm and kept refrigerated.
TOC are reported in Marchand et al. (2004) Samples for heavy metals analyses were chosen in relation to TOC profiles. TOC and TS given herein are mean values from duplicate cores.

**Heavy metal determinations**

Sediment and plant samples were dried and ground with an agate pestle and mortar. The concentrations of Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, Co, Ni, Pb, and Zn were determined on a Jobin-Yvon® HR-ICP-AES after microwave digestion. A 10 ml volume of a mixture of Suprapur® HCl, HNO₃ and H₂O was added to 100 mg of sample. The whole was placed in Teflon vessels, previously washed with concentrated nitric acid. Samples were digested in a MDS 2000 (CEM Corp.®) microwave at 100 % power with pressure set at 120 psi for 20 min, the overall digestion time for the one cycle was 40 min. Blank mixture acid was digested in the same way. HR-ICP-AES detection limits are expressed as three times the standard deviation determined from numerous analyses of blanks (Tab. 1). Each sample was measured in triplicate with mean standard deviation for Fe, Mn, Cr, Cu, Co, Ni, Pb, Zn less than 2, 1, 2, 5, 5, 10, and 2 % respectively. Quality control were performed by analysis of certified reference materials of estuarine sediments (LGC 6137). Analytical results obtained for references materials differed by less than 15 % from the certified values (Tab. 1). Dissolved Fe and Mn concentrations were also measured in triplicate on acidified pore water samples with the same Jobin-Yvon® HR-ICP-AES.

Total Hg (HgT) from sediment and plant samples were determined using an Altec® Advanced Mercury Analyzer (AMA 254). This analyzer is a single purpose atomic absorption spectrophotometer. 30 mg of sample is burned at 550°C under oxygen flow, mercury effluents are trapped in an amalgamator containing gold, subsequently released and transferred to measuring cuvettes. The detection limit and mean standard deviation are close to 0.035 nmol g⁻¹, and 7 % respectively (Cossa et al., 2002).

**Results and Discussion**
Accumulation of heavy metals in sediments

The average concentrations of some heavy metals (Cu, Co, Cr, Ni, Pb, Zn, Hg, Fe and Mn) from mangrove sediments in Sinnamary and Kaw mangroves, and from unvegetated sediments are given in Tab. 2 along with their respective ranges. In Sinnamary, mean heavy metal concentrations were (µmol g⁻¹): Fe (789.29) > Mn (9.82) > Zn (2.51) > Cr (1.15) > Ni (0.54) > Co (0.32) > Cu (0.28) > Pb (0.13) > Hg (0.41 nmol g⁻¹). Hong Kong mangroves, receiving industrial, livestock and domestic sewage were considered as seriously contaminated by Tam and Wong (2000), reporting higher concentrations in Pb and Cu but similar concentrations in Zn and lower concentrations in Cr. Along the industrial Brisbane estuary (Australia), mangroves were considered as moderately polluted with similar concentrations of Ni, Cu, Pb and lower concentrations in Cr and Zn (Mackey and Hodgkinson, 1995). Close to the same mangroves and near a refuse tip, Clark et al. (1998) reported similar or higher concentrations in Pb, Cu and Ni, and lower concentrations of Zn and Cr. All these mangrove swamps were moderately contaminated, but pollution levels can be far more serious. For example, in a Brazilian mangrove submitted to landfill run-off during two decades, Machado et al. (2002a) reported metal levels that were up to 4.4 nmol g⁻¹ dry wt Hg, 13 µmol g⁻¹ dry wt Zn, and 0.91 µmol g⁻¹ dry wt Cu. Consequently, heavy metal concentrations of Guiana's mangroves, which were not used as refuse tip, were surprisingly similar to mangroves located close to anthropogenic sources of pollution and considered by some authors as moderately contaminated.

Results also revealed that mean values of heavy metal concentrations in mangrove sediments were similar in Sinnamary and in Kaw, which respectively are upstream and downstream of urban areas (Cayenne and Kourou) (Tab. 2). This second result suggests that local anthropogenic metal input from French Guiana was insignificant or that this input was rapidly diluted into the huge mass of sediments migrating along the coast.

Finally, the most surprising result was that concentrations in mangrove sediments were similar, e.g. Ni, Co, or lower than in the unvegetated sediments, e.g. Mn 9.7 and 18.9 µmol g⁻¹ respectively. Hg and Zn were the only metals that were more concentrated in mangroves sediments (see next chapters for Hg). This result suggests that mangrove did not act like a trap in this specific system. The uniqueness
of the coastline of the Guianas results from its dynamic features. The Amazon discharge partially migrates in the form of mudwaves northwestward to the Orinoco River, following the current of the Guianas (Allison et al., 2000). The mudbanks on which mangroves develop move rapidly along the coast, being eroded on their windward side and accreting on their lee side. During this process, mangrove deposits can be stabilized during a few decades and then be eroded, put in suspension and re-sedimented downstream, carrying with them their heavy metal content. Gaillardet et al. (1997), in a study concerning the Amazon River basin, presented concentrations in the suspended sediments of the Amazon mainstreams close to, or a bit higher than our values (Tab. 2). We thus suggest that the heavy metal contained in unvegetated and in mangrove sediments along the French Guiana coastline results from the continuous addition in upstream regions, including the Amazon watershed, and transport. This mobile mud belt can be considered as a massive, suboxic bed reactor (Aller, 1998), the repetitive redox successions and multiple reoxidation may induce dissolution of some forms of heavy metals and then may explain the lower concentrations in sediments from French Guiana than in the Amazon River suspended material. In addition, the specific redox conditions (suboxic to oxic) occurring in the A. germinans swamps may also explain the lower concentrations in mangrove sediments than in unvegetated sediments (see next paragraphs). However, rivers flowing along urban areas, may bring the corresponding pollutants to the downstream estuarine sediments, from where they are incorporated in the mudbank cycle. This process may result downstream in an increased accumulation and in higher concentrations. In contrast with metal distribution in shoreface sediments, which were stable with depth, the concentrations in mangrove swamps occasionally showed large changes. The subsequent discussion is focused on vertical trends in four Avicennia mangrove swamps from the Sinnamary area, each characterized by a different stage of forest evolution, sedimentary organic content, distance from shore, and collection season. The various developmental stages of the Avicennia-dominated mangrove of Sinnamary have been previously described relative to their structural and biological features (Fromard et al., 1998).

Reox sensitive element Fe, Mn.
The geochemical behaviors of iron and manganese are coupled since these elements are both highly redox sensitive (Lacerda et al., 1999; Mortimer and Rae, 2000). Mean Fe and Mn concentrations in the solid phase were lower in mangrove sediments than in the shoreface ones while the mineralogical composition was essentially the same (cf. next chapter), suggesting that the geochemical processes, which happened in mangrove sediments, induced dissolution of some forms of Fe and Mn.

In the young *Avicennia* and the "recolonized cemetery" mangrove swamps of French Guiana suboxic to oxic processes continuously lead to Eh values averaging 300 mV between 5 and 30 cm depth and reaching up to 450 mV (Marchand et al., 2004) (Fig. 2, 3). These conditions are associated with a low content in sedimentary organic matter, daily tidal inundation and exchange and by the high propensity of young *Avicennia germinans* to aerate the sediment at the root level between 5 and 30 cm deep (Scholander et al., 1955). In this level, dissolved concentrations of Mn and Fe decreased dramatically, while they increased in the solid phase, probably through precipitation in the form of oxi-hydroxides (Fig. 3 d, f). In the anoxic upper 5 cm, in which Eh values were close to 100 mV, Fe and Mn were present in the soluble form, reaching concentrations of up to 180 µmol l⁻¹ and 1120 µmol l⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 2 c, d). These high concentrations reflect the reduction of Mn and Fe oxi-hydroxides by bacteria, using them as electron acceptors in the reaction of organic matter decay. Below 30 cm deep, sediments were again anoxic and non-sulphidic; accordingly, concentrations in Mn and Fe in the dissolved phase slightly increased in comparison with the upper level.

In the "recolonized cemetery" mangrove, results in physico-chemical properties largely differ from what has been described before. At the time of the field work, below 30 cm deep, the buried roots of standing dead trees were found to be slowly degrading in an anaerobic environment in which sulphate reduction occurred (Marchand et al., 2004). Sulphate reduction leads to sulphide precipitation in this zone, with total solid sulphur reaching more than 1 % (Fig. 3 c). As soon as sulphate-reduction began, dissolved Fe concentrations decreased, reflecting the co-precipitation of Fe and S in the form of framboïdal pyrite (optically observed in a previous study, Marchand et al., 2003) and probably also greigite. Pyrite is an important sink for trace metals since many of them are incorporated during its formation (Boulègue et al., 1982). Huerta-Diaz and Morse (1992), in their study of the pyritization of
trace metals in anoxic marine sediments found that incorporation into pyrite was high for Hg, moderate for Co, Mn, Cu, and Ni and low for Cr, Pb and Zn. We may suppose that similar behavior prevail in mangrove sediments (Aragon and Miguens, 2001). Mn, in spite of being present in the soluble form, did not precipitate at the buried root level so that no correlation with S were observed, possibly because Mn-sulphides minerals such as alabandite are undersaturated or another phase, for example Mn-carbonate, is more stable. In contrast, solid Mn increased in the low Eh zone beneath the sulphate reducing zone, i.e. 50 cm deep, probably in the form of carbonates.

In the older mangroves, characterized by a higher organic content and exempt from daily tidal inundation, the depth evolution of redox potentials was clearly different than in the younger stages and controlled by seasons (Marchand et al., 2004). During the rainy season, below 5 cm depth, the whole depth profile was anoxic, with Eh values < 100 mV (Fig. 4 b). Nevertheless, the concentrations of dissolved Fe, far from increasing as a consequence of the reduction of Fe in soluble form, decreased with depth (Fig. 4 c). In addition, soluble sulphides were below the detection limit except between 30 and 40 cm depth of the older swamps (Marchand et al., 2004). This phenomenon probably reflected the co-precipitation of Fe with the sulphides produced by the reduction of sulphate (Fig. 4 c), as observed in the lower part of the core collected in "recolonized cemetery" mangrove.

During dry conditions, the desiccation of the upper sediment adds its oxidation effects to those of root activity. As a result, oxic to suboxic processes dominate in the upper 20 cm, with Eh values ranging from 200 to 500 mV (Marchand et al., 2004) and a zone of sulphate reduction underlies a zone of sulphide oxidation. When the rainy season comes again, a three layer diagenetic column is rapidly formed (Fig. 5 b). The oxidation of sulphides leads to very low pH and induces a release of Fe and Mn in the soluble phase, resulting in Mn dissolved concentrations up to 200 µmoll\(^{-1}\), and in huge dissolved Fe concentrations up to 5000 µmoll\(^{-1}\), reflecting the oxidation of pyrite. Below, 25 cm depth, the sediment was anoxic and iron precipitated in the layer of sulphate reduction, while manganese increased in the solid phase by the depth of 60 cm, with a maximum higher than 0.2 % (Fig. 5 d). Consequently in all the mangrove swamps studied here, solid phase Mn increased at depth. Considering the anaerobic conditions characterizing these layers and the likely high alkalinity, with high salinity (Marchand et al., 2004), we suggest that Mn was in the form of carbonates. Zhu et al.
(2002) described mixed Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn authigenic carbonates upstream the mobile muds of the coast of the Guianas. Subtidal and intertidal deposits of the Sinnamary mudwave are often supersaturated with respect to rhodochrosite a few centimeters below the sediment surface (Aller et al., 2004). It is difficult to distinguish between in situ precipitation at depth and dissolution in the upper layer of inherited carbonate from shoreface sediments, both may occur. However, considering that Mn concentrations were higher in the unvegetated sediment than in the upper sediment from every mangrove swamps, we suggest that the oxic to suboxic and low pH (sulphide oxidation) conditions that may occur in the upper layer, induces dissolution of carbonates. The Mn concentrations at depth may reflect in part the nature of the original sediments before mangrove development. This process may also explain lower concentrations of some heavy metals in mangrove than in shoreface sediments. However in the older swamps, we suggest that both dissolution and precipitation may occur since the depth of the boundary between suboxic and anoxic layers strongly migrates during the year. It is noteworthy that the higher solid Mn concentrations were correlated with the lower solid S values, and that below this Mn rich layer, solid Mn decreased while solid S increased again. This observation suggests that the possibility of methanogenesis, which can occur when sulphate is consumed, has to be also considered, although it has been rarely described in mangrove environments (Giani et al., 1996). The cycling of Fe, Mn, and S are summarized in a schematic diagram (Fig. 6) describing their evolution with respect to seasons and forest development.

*Diagenetic evolution of the other metals*

Many factors may influence the ways in which heavy metals are incorporated in mineral species, as well as distributed and concentrated in mangrove swamps. In the following discussion we will try to analyze the respective influences of the redox driven Fe, Mn cycling, the mineralogical composition of the substrate, and the organic matter content.

On the one hand, in the senescent swamps, many metals were peaking in the solid phase at the maximum of sulphur precipitation, a point easily explained by co-precipitations with sulphides. (Fig. 4 e, f, g). On the other hand, in the upper oxic layer of the "recolonized cemetery", co-precipitation with oxi-hydroxides may explain that many metals were at a maximum in the solid phase (Fig. 3 d, f, g).
Many authors have reported the strong links between Fe and Mn cycling and trace metals. Under suboxic conditions, Pb, Ni and Co can be easily adsorbed on Mn oxides (Lienemann et al., 1997; Zwolsmann and van Eck 1999; Dong et al., 2000). On the contrary, in anaerobic conditions, active sulphide co-precipitation rapidly removes Co, Cu, Ni, Pb, Zn from the dissolved phase (Balistrieri et al., 1994; Clark et al., 1998; Schlieker et al., 2001). In sediments from the oxic layers of the mature and senescent mangroves, both increasing and stable concentrations were recorded, depending on the metals. These layers, opposite to those of the young swamps, were characterized by the intense re-oxidation of sulphides as reflected by very low pH. This re-oxidation probably led to heavy metals being released in the dissolved phase (and loss of inherited carbonate). Depending on the kinetics of reactions, some heavy metals increased in these layers (Cu, Zn), probably because they were rapidly re-precipitated with iron oxihydroxides (Fig. 5 e, f, g). At the same time, the solid concentrations of the other metals (Cr, Co) decreased because they were probably released from sulphides and not re-precipitated (Fig. 5 e, g). The rapid chemical changes may induce really high metal concentrations in the solid phase as observed in Australia (Clark et al., 1998). Accordingly, the highest HgT concentrations, close to 2 nmol g\(^{-1}\) dry wt, were found in the young mangroves, at the maximum of Eh values.

Clays have high specific surface area and can directly trap heavy metals, but they also may act as a substrate for OM flocculation (Keil et al., 1994) that in turn adsorbs metals. Roulet et al. (2000), found an excellent relationship between Hg and aluminosilicates. Thus, the chemical and mineralogical compositions of the substrate can influence concentrations and distributions of metals and may explain differences in concentrations with depth or between sites. However, 98 % of mangrove sediments in French Guiana originally come from the Amazon River and are mainly composed of illite, chlorite, kaolinite and smectite (Para and Pujos, 1998), and thus whatever the location of the sediment studied, the mineralogical composition remains essentially the same. Carbonate binding cannot be totally ruled out since the sediment may contain authigenic carbonates in the basal anaerobic layer, inherited from the unvegetated sediments (Zhu et al., 2002).

Taking into account its high specific surface area, organic matter (OM) can form complexes with heavy metal and consequently influence their distributions. Along the mangrove fringe coast of French
Guiana, the total organic carbon (TOC) in sediments ranged from 0.7 to 20 % depending on the evolution of the forest considered (Marchand et al., 2003). However, no correlation was found between bulk OM and heavy metals concentrations except for mercury \( (r^2 = 0.77) \) (Fig. 7). Many studies have highlighted that the binding of Hg with organic colloids is significant (Cossa et al., 1996; Stordal et al. 1996; Mounier et al., 2001) and that OC concentrations can influence Hg cycling. The higher concentrations in Hg in mangrove than in unvegetated sediments result from some "hot spot", which origin were not evidenced, and the fact that mangrove sediments were richer in OM than the shoreface sediment. Specific sources of heavy metals are very difficult to identify. However, concerning Hg pollution, recent studies (Roulet et al., 1996, 2000) highlighted the role played by the erosion of Amazonian soils that are originally rich in mercury, and the influence of run-off from gold mining activities.

**Accumulation of heavy metals in mangrove plants.**

Mangrove plants require certain heavy metals as essential nutrients; however an excess in these nutrients may potentially have adverse, ecotoxicological consequences for mangrove communities. Each mangrove plant species has specific adaptation systems, which may control their behavior towards heavy metals. To cope with high salinity, *Avicennia* can exclude salt from leaves through glandular excretion; this system can also eliminate excess metals (MacFarlane and Burchett, 1999). Mangrove roots may act as a barrier for metal translocation: higher concentrations were found in roots compared to the aerial parts in many mangrove plants (Tam and Wong, 1997; MacFarlane and Burchett, 2002). Tam and Wong (1997) also suggested that the role of mangroves in retaining metals depend on the ages of plants and on their biomass production. In French Guiana, we have examined heavy metals content of 5 mangrove species. The average concentrations are given in Tab. 3. Cr, Cu, Co, Ni ranged between 42 and 509, 0 and 207, 7 and 41, 3 and 108 nmol g\(^{-1}\) respectively. Pb concentrations were below the detection limit in all mangrove plant species. No specific differences in concentrations were found between leaves and stem. Results do not show any specific correlation with the heavy metal content of the sediment, as have been previously reported. Even at high concentrations in sediments, heavy metals may or may not be available for plants. DOC in soils, forming soluble
organic metallic complexes, has been suggested to increase the availability of metal to plants (Antoniadis and Alloway, 2002; Hamon et al., 1995), whereas the precipitation of metal sulphides under anoxic conditions lowers the availability (Lacerda, 1998). Low mercury concentrations were found in all plants except in Rhizophora leaves, for which concentration occasionally reached more than 0.54 nmol g\(^{-1}\). This high concentration is more likely to have an atmospheric origin than to be the result of an uptake by plant roots followed by translocation and accumulation in the leaves of the plants. At this time, the concentrations in the sediment beneath Rhizophora stands were low, close to 0.25 nmol g\(^{-1}\) dry wt. The biological effects that heavy metals might cause are difficult to assess. However, on the island of Trinidad, a mutation in a local population of Rhizophora was reported in coincidence with elevated mercury levels in the underlying sediment (Klekowski et al., 1999).

Crenea maritima, which is an angiosperm dicotyledon, appeared to be the most contaminated mangrove plant, with concentrations up to 1988 nmol g\(^{-1}\) of Zn. These small shrubs develop on the seashore of the mangrove swamps and are totally submerged, leaf and stem included, at high tide, possibly explaining the high heavy metals concentrations found both in leaves and hardwood. The fern Acrostichum aureum, had the lowest heavy metal concentrations although it developed on the same substrate as the other plants. These results highlight how variable the metal bio-accumulation behaviors of mangrove communities are with respect to the heavy metal content of their sedimentary substrate.

**Conclusions**

Accumulation of heavy metal in mangrove sediments collected in French Guiana appeared to be moderate. Since no differences were evident between samples collected in swamps located upstream and downstream of urban areas, we propose that the metal content originated largely further upstream of the mangrove swamps studied. The origin of the sediment is from the Amazon watershed and it has been transported northwestward through the movement of mudbanks, a movement which is the result of the continuous alternation of accumulation and transport phases.
Variations of metal concentrations with depth or between mangroves appear to result from diagenetic processes rather than from anthropogenic inputs. Iron and manganese cycling were strongly correlated with redox conditions, which in turn depended on mangrove plant species, seasonal fluctuation of boundary conditions, and quantity and reactivity of organic matter. Fe and Mn concentration resulted from balances between precipitation and mobilization in oxic and anaerobic layers. In anaerobic conditions, sulphate reduction processes mediated by bacteria, induced sulphur precipitation. Reduced Fe was frequently associated with sulphur and precipitated as pyrite. The high content of solid phase Mn at depth probably reflects inherited carbonate from shoreface sediments, the carbonate content being dissolved in the upper oxic, acidic layer. During dry seasons, the older parts of the swamps were characterized by a zone of sulphide oxidation overlying a zone of sulphate reduction. Sulphide oxidation probably induced metal release in the dissolved phase, and carbonate dissolution. Metals could then be subsequently co-precipitated as oxi-hydroxides. Two sites of higher metal concentrations in the solid phase were found, one at the maximum of sulphate-reduction and the second at the higher redox values. Lower concentrations of metals were found in the sulphide oxidation zone of the senescent mangrove. Although the organic content may have a strong influence on metal cycling, a good correlation was found only with HgT (except at highest values). Crenea maritima was the mangrove plant species with highest metal accumulation, probably because this small shrub was totally covered at high tides. The fern Acrostichum aureum was the less contaminated, probably because it thrives in rear mangroves and is less frequently submerged by flood-tide waters.

This preliminary study concerning heavy metal distribution along the mangrove fringed coast of French Guiana highlighted some points that have to be confirmed in a future research effort. Oxidized mineral species have to be searched for in the young Avicennia mangrove swamps, whereas sulphide species have to be searched for in the old swamps during the rainy season. The study of the relationships between Hg and OM has to be developed, and the methylated forms of Hg searched for, mainly in the older swamps since HgS may serve as substrate for methylation. Finally, denitrification process should be studied since this process can occur before Fe, Mn, S reduction.
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Figures captions:

Fig. 1: Map of the studied area showing the location of cores (adapted from Fromard et al., 1998)

Fig. 2: Depth distribution of various parameters from sediment cores collected in young *A. germinans* mangrove (S1) (low sedimentary organic content, flooded at each tide) in Sinnamary at the end of the rainy season, a: total organic carbon (TOC) content (%), b: Eh (mV) and pH values, c: dissolved iron (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid sulphur (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), d: dissolved manganese (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid manganese (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), e: total nickel and lead (µµmol g\(^{-1}\)), f: total solid iron (µmol g\(^{-1}\)) and total mercury (nmol g\(^{-1}\)), g: total solid zinc and chromium (µmol g\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 3: Depth distribution of various parameters from sediment cores collected in "recolonized cemetery" *A. germinans* mangrove (S2) (low sedimentary organic content, flooded at each tide, buried roots) in Sinnamary at the end of the rainy season, a: total organic carbon (TOC) content (%), b: Eh (mV) and pH values, c: dissolved iron (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid sulphur (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), d: dissolved manganese (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid manganese (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), e: total solid copper, cobalt, nickel and lead (µµmol g\(^{-1}\)), f: total solid iron (µmol g\(^{-1}\)) and total mercury (nmol g\(^{-1}\)), g: total solid zinc and chromium (µmol g\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 4: Depth distribution of various parameters from sediment cores collected in senescent *A. germinans* mangrove (S3) (high sedimentary organic content, flooded only at spring tide) in Sinnamary at the end of the rainy season, a: total organic carbon (TOC) content (%), b: Eh (mV) and pH values, c: dissolved iron (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid sulphur (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), d: dissolved manganese (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid manganese (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), e: total solid copper, cobalt, nickel and lead (µµmol g\(^{-1}\)), f: total solid iron (µmol g\(^{-1}\)) and total mercury (nmol g\(^{-1}\)), g: total solid zinc and chromium (µmol g\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 5: Depth distribution of various parameters from sediment cores collected in mature *A. germinans* mangrove (S4) (high sedimentary organic content, flooded only at spring tide) in Sinnamary at the beginning of the rainy season, a: total organic carbon (TOC) content (%), b: Eh (mV) and pH values, c: dissolved iron (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid sulphur (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), d: dissolved manganese (µmol l\(^{-1}\)) and total solid manganese (µmol g\(^{-1}\)), e: total solid copper, cobalt, nickel and lead (µµmol g\(^{-1}\)), f: total solid iron (µmol g\(^{-1}\)) and total mercury (nmol g\(^{-1}\)), g: total solid zinc and chromium (µmol g\(^{-1}\)).

Fig. 6: Schematic diagram of Fe, Mn, S, Corg geochemistry in an *Avicennia* mangrove swamp, with respect to forest development and season.

Fig. 7: Total solid mercury concentrations versus total organic carbon measured on sediment cores from Kaw and Sinnamary (all values including except those from hot spot, S3 core : 50 cm and S1 core)
Table list

Table 1: Comparisons of our measurements with the certified values. Detection limits are expressed as three times the standard deviation determined from numerous analyses of blanks. HgT comparisons from Cossa et al. 2002.

Table 2: Concentrations of heavy metals in shoreface sediment and cores collected in Sinnamary and Kaw mangroves. Average, standard deviation (SD), maximum and minimum values.
* Data from Gaillardet et al., 1997, concentrations in the suspended sediments of the Amazon mainstreams.
a: n=3

Table 3: Concentrations of heavy metals in mangrove plant species (Pb concentrations were below the detection limit).
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- Pioneer Mangrove
- Young Mangrove (S1)
- Young Mature Mangrove
- Mature Mangrove (S4)
- Mixed Mangrove
- Senescent Mangrove (S3)
- Standing Dead Recolonized Mangrove (S2)
- Dead Mangrove

Study sites:
- French Guiana
- Brazil

Map showing locations such as Sinnamary River, Atlantic Ocean, and study sites labeled with K1, K2, K3.
Fig. 2
A/ Young Avicennia mangrove swamp
Flooded at each tide

A1/ Normal

Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes + OM → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + Inorganic C

OM + O$_2$ → CO$_2$

Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + O$_2$ → Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes

Fe, Mn carbonates → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + CO$_3^{2-}$

A2/ Recolonized

Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes + OM → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + Inorganic C

OM + O$_2$ → CO$_2$

Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + O$_2$ → Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes

Fe, Mn carbonates → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + CO$_3^{2-}$

B/ Old Avicennia mangrove swamp

B1/ Rainy season

OM + O$_2$ → CO$_2$

Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + O$_2$ → Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes

FeS$_2$ + O$_2$ → Sulphate + Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + x H$^+$

Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes + OM → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + Inorganic C

Sulphate + OM → Sulphides + CO$_3^{2-}$

Sulphides + Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ → FeS

FeS + S → FeS$_2$

FeS$_2$ + O$_2$ (locally, from roots) → Sulphate + Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + x H$^+$

B2/ Dry season

OM + O$_2$ → CO$_2$

Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + O$_2$ → Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes

FeS$_2$ + O$_2$ → Sulphate + Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + x H$^+$

Fe, Mn oxy-hydroxydes + OM → Mn$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ + Inorganic C

Sulphate + OM → Sulphides + CO$_3^{2-}$

Sulphides + Fe$^{2+}_{aq}$ → FeS

FeS + S → FeS$_2$

A. germinans radial cable root system

Red.

Oxic to Suboxic conditions

Suboxic to Anoxic conditions
$\text{HgT} = 0.027 \text{ C}(\%) + 0.205$

$R^2 = 0.77$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>HR-ICP-AES detection limit (nmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>LGC 6137 Certified values (nmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured concentrations (nmol g⁻¹) (n=6)</th>
<th>AMA 254 detection limit (nmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>MESS-2 Certified values (nmol g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Measured concentrations (nmol g⁻¹) (n=6)</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>1182</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3538</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>3715</td>
<td>270</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Cr (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Ni (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Pb (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Zn (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Hg (nmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Fe (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Mn (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td>Cu (µmol g⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinnamary</td>
<td>Mean (n=31)</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>789.29</td>
<td>9.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>96.43</td>
<td>9.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1128.57</td>
<td>45.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>441.07</td>
<td>21.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaw</td>
<td>Mean (n=32)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>846.43</td>
<td>9.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>73.21</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1073.21</td>
<td>16.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>712.5</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoreface sediments</td>
<td>Mean (n=4)</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>898.21</td>
<td>18.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>10.71</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>919.64</td>
<td>19.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>887.50</td>
<td>18.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon River*</td>
<td>Mean (n=12)</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.21 a</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1187.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>205.11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1587.72</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Min</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>972.66</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant species</td>
<td>Cr (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Cu (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Co (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Ni (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Zn (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>Hg (nmol g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizophora</em> leaves</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizophora</em> stem</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Avicennia</em> leaves</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Avicennia</em> stem</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crenea</em> leaves</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>668</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Crenea</em> stem</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Laguncularia</em> leaves</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Laguncularia</em> stem</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acrostichum</em></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>