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We describe structural data from a 2000 km N-S dextral strike-slip zone extending from northern Sakhalin to the southeast corner of the Japan Sea. Satellite images, field data, and focal mechanisms of earthquakes in Sakhalin are included in the interpretation. Since Miocene time the deformation in Sakhalin has been taken up by N-S dextral strike-slip faults with a reverse component and associated en échelon folds. Narrow en échelon Neogene basins were formed along strike-slip faults and were later folded in a second stage of deformation. We propose a model of basin formation along extensional faults delimitating dominos between two major strike-slip faults, and subsequent counterclockwise rotation of the dominos in a dextral transpressional regime, basins becoming progressively oblique to the direction of maximum horizontal compression and undergoing shortening. The association of both dextral and compressional focal mechanisms of earthquakes indicates that the same transpressional regime still prevails today in Sakhalin. We present fault set measurements undertaken in Noto Peninsula and Yatsuo Basin at the southern end of the Sakhalin-East Japan Sea strike-slip zone. Early and middle Miocene formations recorded the same transpressional regime as observed along the west coast of NE Honshu. During the early and middle Miocene the strike-slip regime was transpressional to the north in Sakhalin and Hokkaido, and transtensional to the south along the west coast of NE Honshu as far as Noto Peninsula and Yatsuo basin. Dextral motion accommodated the opening of the Japan Sea as a pull-apart basin, with the Tsushima fault to the west. The opening of the Japan Sea ceased at the end of the middle Miocene when transtension started to change to E-W compression in the Japan arc. Subduction of the Japan Sea lithosphere under the Japan arc started 1.8 Ma ago. The evolution of the stress regime from transtensional to compressional in the southern part of the strike-slip zone is related to the inception of the subduction of the young Philippine Sea Plate lithosphere under the Japan arc during the late Miocene. Subduction related extension is a necessary condition for the opening of the Japan Sea. Two possible mechanisms can account for dextral shear in this area: (1) counterclockwise rotation of crustal blocks due to the collision of India with Asia, (2) extrusion of the Okhotsk Sea block squeezed between the North America and Eurasia plates.

INTRODUCTION

Deformation resulting from the India-Asia collision is taken up by crustal thickening in the Himalaya-Tibet collision zone and by geometric reorganization of continental blocks accommodated by strike-slip motion along major faults [Molnar and Tappinomier, 1975; Tapponnier and Molnar, 1976; Zonenshain and Savostin, 1981; Cobbold and Davy, 1988; England and Molnar, 1990; Holt et al., 1991]. Along the southeastern limit of Asia, strike-slip faults accommodated the opening of two marginal basins: the Andaman Sea along the extension of the Red River fault [Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1986], and possibly the South China Sea along the extension of the Red River fault [Tapponnier et al., 1982, 1986; Briais et al., 1993].

In northeast Asia, at the end of the Tien Shan-Baikal-Stanovoy deformation zone, the Japan and Okhotsk Seas (Figure 1) opened in the Early and Middle Miocene. The oldest oceanic basalts drilled on the eastern margin of the Japan Sea during Ocean Drilling Program leg 127 have a radiometric 
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youngest dredged basaltic volcanics were dated 11 Ma [Kaneoka et al., 1990]. These ages are in good agreement with an earlier determination of the age of Japan Sea basement based on geophysical data between 30 and 10 Ma [Tamaki, 1986, 1988]. Similar average heat flow value and basement depth in both Japan and Kuril Basins led Tamaki [1988] to conclude to a simultaneous opening of the two basins in the late Oligocene to middle Miocene. Otsuki and Ehiro [1978] first outlined the role of strike-slip faults in the opening of the Japan Sea and proposed a drawerlike model of opening between the left-lateral Tanakura tectonic line (TTL) to the east and the right-lateral Tsushima fault (TF) to the west. Kimura et al. [1983] and Jolivet and Miyasaka [1985] described onland transpressional deformation associated with N-S dextral strike-slip motion in Sakhalin and Hokkaido during the Oligocene and Miocene. Lallemant and Jolivet [1985] proposed a model of opening of the Japan Sea as a simple dextral pull-apart basin between this strike-slip zone to the east, and the Tsushima fault to the west. Jolivet et al. [1991], using analogue laboratory modelling, refined the pull-apart model by introducing blocks rotations in the dextral shear zone, that only partly fit the paleomagnetic data. Jolivet [1986] associated the dextral motion to southward extrusion of the Okhotsk Sea block squeezed between the North America and the Eurasia plates. Kimura and Tamaki [1986] and Jolivet et al. [1990] finally related the opening of the Japan and Okhotsk Seas to the motions of Asian microplates as a consequence of the India-Asia collision.
The eastern guide of the Japan Sea dextral pull-apart is a 2000-km-long strike-slip zone extending from the northern end of Sakhalin island to the north, to the southeast corner of the Japan Sea to the south (Figure 2) [Kimura et al., 1983; Lallemant and Jolivet, 1985]. The central part of the strike-slip zone from Hokkaido to the west coast of NE Honshu is described by Lallemant and Jolivet [1985], Jolivet and Huchon [1989] and Jolivet et al. [1991]. In Sakhalin, field studies showed that the island is cut by a N-S trending faults system [Zanyukov, 1971; Rozhdestvensky, 1982] which is responsible for the intense shallow seismicity of the island [e.g., Oskorbin, 1977; Savostin et al., 1983; Tarakamov and
Fig. 2. Tectonic map of the Sakhalin-East Japan Sea strike-slip zone. Solid arrows represent early and middle Miocene main stress field directions, transpressional to the north in Sakhalin and Hokkaido, and transtensional the south along the eastern margin of the Japan Sea (with dextral en echelon basins). Open arrows represent upper Miocene and Pliocene main stress field directions, still transpressional in Sakhalin and purely compressional in the Japan arc. Changes of stress field directions in the south of the strike-slip zone correspond to change of subduction regime from stress-free to compressional during late Miocene. The possible clockwise rotation of the stress field in Sakhalin is discussed in the text. TTL is Tanakura tectonic line.

Kim, 1983]. Field observations by Rozhdestvensky [1982] described dextral Miocene strike-slip motion along the faults. In this paper we describe both the north and the south ends of the strike-slip zone, respectively, in Sakhalin and Central Japan.

We first present a study of the strike-slip zone in Sakhalin based on the structural interpretation of Landsat images, results of field work in 1989 and 1990 along the Tym-Poronaysky fault and in the East Sakhalin Mountains, and fault plane solutions for major earthquakes since 1960 [this study; Fukao and Furumoto, 1975; Dziewonski et al., 1985; 1987]. We confirm the conclusions of Rozhdestvensky [1982]: the island is a right-lateral strike-slip zone of Neogene age. Dextral motion is inferred from large-scale geological structures observed on the satellite images. Small-scale fault measurements in Neogene deposits provide maximal horizontal stress directions compatible with the dextral motion along the N-S faults. Seismological data show that the dextral motion is still active today. We propose a model of progressive strike-slip deformation with block rotations about vertical axes to explain the later shortening of the Neogene dextral en echelon basins. In a second part we infer the Miocene paleostress field from fault measurements undertaken in Noto peninsula and Yatsuo basin (central Japan, Figure 1) at the southern extremity of the Sakhalin-East Japan Sea strike-slip zone. Miocene formations recorded there the same transtensional deformation as observed along the west coast of NE Honshu [Jolivet et al., 1991]. We conclude by discussing the evolution of the strike-slip zone from the Miocene to the present, e.g., from the pull-apart opening of the Japan Sea to its present-day incipient closure.

**PRESENT-DAY GEODYNAMIC SETTING**

The Japan island arc lies above the old Pacific slab to the east which is subducted westward at a high rate of 10 cm/yr, and above the younger slab of the Philippine Sea Plate to the south which underthrusts Japan at a slower rate of a few centimeters per year (Figure 1) [Matsukata et al., 1991]. The Philippine Sea plate (PHSP)/Eurasia (EUR) rotation pole is located immediately north of the PHSP/EUR/Pacific (PAC) triple junction [Seno, 1977; Ranken et al., 1984; Huchon, 1985]. The slightly oblique subduction of the PHSP in the Nankai trench is partitioned between compressional deformation in the trench and slow dextral motion along the median tectonic line (MTL) in southwest Japan. A large accretionary prism is progressively built up in the Nankai trench at the expense of trench turbidites [Le Pichon et al., 1987; Le Pichon, Kobayashi et al., 1992]. Close to the triple junction the Bonin arc collides with Central Japan giving rise to active thrusting north of the Izu Peninsula with a fan-shaped stress pattern [Huchon, 1985]. Active compression propagates southward inside the Bonin arc and Shikoku Basin with the formation of crustal scale shortening thrust (Zenisu ridge) [Lallemand et al., 1989; Chamot-Rooke and Le Pichon, 1989].

The Pacific plate is consumed in the Bonin, Japan and Kuril trenches which are almost devoid of turbidites infill, and where fast erosion of the inner wall and tectonic erosion are the major phenomena [Cadet et al., 1987a, 1987b; Von Huene and Lallemand, 1990]. A compressional stress field dominates in northeast Japan with an E-W direction of compression almost parallel to the PAC/EUR motion vector. Active shortening is observed along the eastern margin of the Japan Sea as a zone of shallow seismicity (Figure 1) with large
earthquakes \( M > 7.5 \) evidencing E-W compression [Fukao and Furumoto, 1975] taken up by thrust faults [Tamaki and Honza, 1984; Lallemand and Jolivet, 1985]. This active deformation has been interpreted as the incipient subduction of the young Japan Sea lithosphere under northeast Japan [Nakamura, 1983]. The seismogenic zone extends northward in Sakhalin where both pure compressional and pure strike-slip events are recorded [Chapman and Solomon, 1976; Savostin et al., 1983; this study]. Seismicity activity also occurs in the western part of the Japan Sea displaying dextral strike-slip events along NE trending faults in the Tsushima strait [Jun, 1990]. Within the Asian continent dextral motions along NE trending faults control the formation of pull-apart basins in the Bohai Gulf region [Chen and Nabelek, 1988].

**TRANSPRESSIONAL DEFORMATION IN SAKHALIN**

In order to get information at the scale of the entire shear zone located east of the Japan Sea, the precise geometry of the whole strike-slip system in Sakhalin has to be known. For

---

![Fig. 3. (a) Sketch of Landsat images of Sakhalin. (b) Seismotectonic map of Sakhalin. For fault plane solution (lower hemisphere), \( T \) quadrants (compressional quadrants) are shown in shaded areas and \( P \) quadrants (dilatational quadrants) are open areas. Solid \( T \) quadrants correspond to fault plane solutions determined by Oscorbin, vertically ruled \( T \) quadrants correspond to fault plane solutions determined by Fukao and Furumoto [1975], and horizontally ruled \( T \) quadrants correspond to centroid moment tensor determined by Dziewonski et al. [1985, 1987]. Paleo-stress field horizontal directions deduced from fault set analysis are plotted as convergent (\( \sigma_1 \)) or divergent (\( \sigma_3 \)) arrows. B is bay, F is fault, GYR is Gyrygylar-Ossoy fault. (c) Geological map.](image-url)
Fig. 3. (continued)
this purpose we studied Landsat Thematic Mapper images with natural color on 240-mm paper at the scale of 1/1000000. The mosaic covers the entire island except the northern Schmidt peninsula (Figure 3c). Our map shows geological objects such as sedimentary layers with indication of dips, folds, faults, major volcanic intrusions and sedimentary basins. Despite a dense vegetation cover, the morphological signature of the geological formations allowed simple large-scale mapping. Working with the 1/1000000 geological map of Sakhalin [Geology of the USSR, 1970], it was possible to draw a large-scale geologic map consistent with our observations. The results are presented in Figure 3a, the Landsat image mosaic, Figure 3b, a data map showing the structures observed on the images, the paleo-stress field directions measured on the field and the focal mechanisms of earthquakes, and Figure 3c, an interpretative geological map. The geometry of the major dislocation, the Tym-Poronaysk fault, is detailed. Using six SPOT images we studied at a smaller scale three regions located along the Tym-Poronaysk fault (see location in Figure 3c). For each region we present a sketch of two SPOT images with its structural interpretation. In the central region, the geologic map is compiled from K. F. Sergeyev (unpublished data, 1980), the geologic map of Sakhalin, and our new observations.

Geological Setting

Sakhalin island is 1000 km long and 30 to 180 km wide. It is structurally divided in two parts by the Tym-Poronaysk fault that bounds to the west a central depression filled with quaternary deposits (see geological map Figure 3c). To the east of the depression, the East Sakhalin Mountains (in the north) and the Susunai metamorphic complex (in the south) display a Paleozoic basement metamorphosed during Mesozoic time, with eclogitic and high-pressure/low-temperature parageneses. The basement is overlain by a pile of Mesozoic (Jurassic-Cretaceous) deep sea sediments consisting of a folded and schistosed tectonic alternation of cherts, blackshales and basalts, described as an ancient accretionary complex [Zonenshain et al., 1990; Kimura et al., 1992]. These formations are cut by N-S trending faults bounding narrow basins in which Neogene sediments were unconformably deposited and later folded. To the west, the Tym-Poronaysk fault bounds the West Sakhalin Mountains, folded strata of Upper Cretaceous to Quaternary age. The thick Upper Cretaceous (Cenomanian to Danian) sequence lays unconformably over a Paleozoic and Mesozoic basement similar to that of the East Sakhalin mountains [Melnikov, 1987; Melnikov and Roshdestvovsky, 1989]. Three transgressive cycles are recognized during the Late Cretaceous in the northern part of the west Sakhalin basin (Figure 4), with deepening sequences of terrigeneous deposits showing successively coal-bearing continental deposits, sandstones and clays. The base of the Paleogene is marked by a conglomerate of variable thickness (up to 600 m), overlain by two transgressive sequences of terrigeneous deposits, with a thick volcanogenic layer at the top (Takarada and Maruyama formations). To the east of the Tym-Poronaysk fault (Figure 4)

![Fig. 4. Detailed stratigraphic and lithological section of the west Sakhalin Mountains and the Central Depression at Vakrouchev latitude.](image-url)
the Neogene deposits lay directly on the Paleozoic-Mesozoic basement as shown by drilling in the central Neogene-Quaternary depression [Rozhdestvensky, 1982]. The Cretaceous sequence to the west and Neogene formations to the east are in contact across the fault. Basic sills and dykes of Neogene age are emplaced in the vicinity of the fault.

An E-W synthetic cross section of Sakhalin island is presented in Figure 5 after Rozhdestvensky [1982], Pushcharovskiy et al. [1983], and our field observations (see location of the cross section in Figure 3c). The Tym-Poronaysk fault is localized along the boundary between a Mesozoic accretionary complex to the east and a sedimentary basin of Upper Cretaceous age to the west. The sedimentary Upper Cretaceous and Cenozoic sequence in this basin is about 10 km thick. The Tym-Poronaysk fault might have reworked an inherited Cretaceous structure as a strike-slip reverse fault.

Seismic data show that the off shore structure in the vicinity of the island is similar to the onland structure. Along the east coast of Sakhalin Gnibidenko and Svarichevsky [1984] and Gnibidenko [1985] described N-S trending faults parallel to the Tym-Poronaysk fault. The northeast coast of Sakhalin is limited by offshore faults several hundred kilometers long, bounding narrow basins filled with Cenozoic sediments more than 5000 m thick. The southwest coast is bordered by the West Sakhalin fault parallel to the Tym-Poronaysk fault, and which is observed onland in Boshnyakovo region (Figures 2 and 3c). Along this coast Anipov et al. [1979] described en échelon narrow basins with a north-northeast trend filled with 2000-m-thick middle Miocene and younger sediments. Such basins similar to these described by Lallemend and Jolive [1985] along the northwest coast of Honshu may be interpreted as dextral en échelon structures associated with the West Sakhalin fault.

Active Strike-Slip Motion Along the Tym-Poronaysk Fault

The Tym-Poronaysk fault is seismically active and has caused most of the onland main earthquakes of Sakhalin [Oscorbin, 1977; see Chapman and Solomon, 1976; Savostin et al., 1983]. In contrast, the faults cutting through the East Sakhalin Mountains are seismically inactive. Fault plane solutions (Table 1) of shallow earthquakes (depth less than 30 km) determined in this study (by L. S. Oscorbin), Fukao and Furumoto [1975], and centroid moment tensors determined by Dziewonski et al. [1985, 1987] are plotted in Figure 3b. The focal mechanisms were determined by utilizing P wave first motions detected by a Soviet regional seismological network. The radius of the focal mechanisms is a function of the magnitude (surface waves) of the earthquakes except for those motions detected by a Soviet regional seismological network.

Focal mechanisms were determined by utilizing P wave first motions detected by a Soviet regional seismological network. The radius of the focal mechanisms is a function of the magnitude (surface waves) of the earthquakes except for those motions detected by a Soviet regional seismological network.
TABLE 1. List of Source Parameter Results for 24 Earthquakes Plotted in Figure 3b.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Depth, km</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>P Axis</th>
<th>T Axis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>March 12, 1962</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>143.2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>April 7, 1963</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>May 10, 1964</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 1964</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dec. 24, 1967</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>143.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Dec. 25, 1967</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>143.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1970</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Jan. 6, 1970</td>
<td>49.6</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>074</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Jan. 10, 1971</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>142.1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Sept. 5, 1971</td>
<td>46.45</td>
<td>141.24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>099</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Feb. 6, 1973</td>
<td>49.2</td>
<td>141.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>069</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>April 4, 1973</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>025</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Aug. 17, 1974</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Aug. 17, 1974</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>144.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>July 25, 1977</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>July 28, 1979</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>July 28, 1979</td>
<td>49.48</td>
<td>142.41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>March 14, 1980</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>March 19, 1982</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>March 20, 1982</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>142.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>March 17, 1983</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>July 22, 1983</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1984</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>142.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>088</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Dec. 22, 1984</td>
<td>50.17</td>
<td>142.79</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>084</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References are (1) L. S. Oscorbin (this study), (2) Dziewonski et al. [1985, 1987], and (3) Fukao and Furumoto [1975].

Neogene Strike-Slip Deformation Along the Tym-Poronaysk Fault

The Tym-Poronaysk fault is the major geological feature of Sakhalin. It is 600 km long and disappears to the north under Quaternary deposits and to the south in the La Pârouse Strait between Sakhalin and Hokkaido. It extends in Hokkaido as the Horonoba fault and the Hidaka mountains [Kimura et al., 1983; Jolivet and Miyashita, 1985]. In Sakhalin, the fault trends roughly N-S, bracketed between 142øE and 143øE. The fault trace consists of a succession of nearly linear segments, the longer ones trending N160øE to N180øE are shifted dextrally by shorter ones trending N010øE to N045øE (Figures 3b and 3c). The ratio of long and short segments determines the mean trend of the fault: N-NW from north of the island to Poronaysk city in the central part with only three short N010øE to N045øE trending segments (Figure 3c), and N-NE to the south with many dextrally shifting short segments. Bedding traces are parallel to the long segments and are strained by dextral shear along the short ones. This is observed in the Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk region to the south, and in the Poronaysk region in the central part of the island (Figures 3b and 3c). The same geometry is observed with the axes of en échelon folds affecting the whole sedimentary section (upper Cretaceous to upper Miocene) on each side of the fault. The fold axes trend N140øE to N180øE, roughly parallel to the long segments of the fault, and are strained by dextral shear along the short segments. There is a single exception in the Vostochnyy region where a fold trends northwesterly. This fold could be older than the others as it affects only Cretaceous and Paleogene formations. Figure 7 shows a detail of the Landsat image of the southwestern peninsula of the island. Four dextral en échelon folds in Miocene formations are strained by dextral shear along the Tym-Poronaysk fault. Neogene deposits of
northern Sakhalin are affected by similar N-NW trending folds [Rozhdestvensky, 1982, 1986]. The fold axes direction provides an east-northeast (N050°E to N090°E) trend of maximal horizontal stress, which is consistent with dextral motion along the Tym-Poronaysk fault, as well as the dextral en échelon pattern of the folds and their sigmoidal shape. In the field, the fault is a steep structure dipping sometimes to the east and to the west, always showing a reverse motion component. This is illustrated in Figure 8 with compressive structures, drag folds, and ramp and flat structures in Cretaceous formations along the fault. The overall structure, a N-S strike-slip fault with a reverse component associated with N140°E to N180°E trending folds, results from deformation in a transpressional regime along the N-S discontinuity, with \( \sigma_{Hmax} = \sigma_1 \) trending E-NE (\( \sigma_{Hmax} \) is the maximal horizontal stress). The deformation is partitioned between pure compression along the N160°E to N180°E segments, and almost pure strike-slip shear along the N010°E to N045°E segments.

To the north, in the Aleksandrovsk-Sakhalinskiy area, two dextral en échelon Miocene basins trend NNE (Figure 3c). These basins are similar to those described below in the East Sakhalin Mountains and are consistent with Miocene dextral motion along the Tym-Poronaysk fault. As we will see later, the formation of these basins preceded their sublatitudinal shortening. Assuming that they formed parallel to \( \sigma_{Hmax} \) as for extensional cracks, their present trends provide a direction for \( \sigma_{Hmax} \) between N000°E and N030°E. Thus the maximal horizontal stress apparently rotated clockwise during the late Miocene from N000°E to N050°E to N090°E. The significance of this apparent rotation will be discussed later.

Our interpretation of the SPOT images of the Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk region in the southern part of the fault (location in Figure 3c), is shown in Figure 9. The city is located in the central Quaternary depression (Figure 3c) bounded to the east by the Susunai metamorphic complex and to the west by the Tym-Poronaysk fault. The fault, parallel to bedding traces in its northern part, is twice shifted dextrally by NE trending segments in the vicinity of Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk and obliquely cut Cretaceous formations (Figure 3c). Dextral shear is also observed along a NE trending fault in the Susunai metamorphic complex to the east, where lineaments, probably representing the surface traces of the metamorphic foliation, are curved in a dextral sigmoid.

Figure 10 shows the interpretation of the two northern SPOT images along the fault (see location in Figure 3c). The sharpness of the Tym-Poronaysk fault is pronounced to the south, along the NE trending segment cutting through Lower
Late Cretaceous formations. The hanging wall to the west shows degraded triangular facets evidencing recent activity.

Small-scale field work was undertaken in the central region located on the east coast of the island, between Gastello and Vostochnyy (SPOT images detailed in Figures 11a, 11b, and 11c, location on Figure 3c). On the SPOT images, the most prominent feature is the Santonian-Campanian cuesta marked by the thick terrigenous Campanian deposits to the west (see Figure 11c). To the south this limit makes the Vostochnyy fold (NE trending axis) stand out on the images. To the west, the discordance of the Paleogene basal conglomerate (Figure 12a) onto Cretaceous strata is clearly observed on the images: the conglomerate cuts through the underlying Cretaceous bedding traces. Along the east coast the Tym-Poronaysk fault is a sharp feature particularly clear in its southern part where it divides the relief of Miocene terrigenous and volcanic formations to the east from a depression filled with Cretaceous argilites (Bikov formation) to the west. As already noticed on the Landsat images, the Tym-Poronaysk fault consists of long roughly N-S segments dextrally shifted by short NE trending segments. Evidence for dextral Miocene motion along the fault is especially remarkable in the north where three en échelon folds in Miocene formations are strained by dextral shear. A similar fold in the Neogene Kholmsk formation is shown in Figure 12b outcropping along the coast line, south of Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk. Figure 13 shows two cross sections through the Tym-Poronaysk fault (see location of the cross sections in Figure 11c): the folds close to the fault evidence the transverse shortening associated with strike-slip motion (see also Figure 10c showing vertical Miocene sedimentary beds). The upper Miocene and Pliocene deposits are weakly folded and the younger part of the section (the late Miocene-Early Pliocene Maruyama formation) is even less folded with limbs dipping not more than 20°. Thus these folds experienced a continuous deformation during the Miocene and the Pliocene. Three Quaternary terraces are superimposed on the west coast of the island, the highest one (probably 500,000 years old by analogy with Hokkaido) is about 500 m high, and there is only one low terrace or no terrace at all on the east coast. This is an indicator of relative uplift of the west coast with respect to the east coast. The Tym-Poronaysk fault is likely to localize relative motion between the east and the west coasts, which would imply an intense Quaternary activity with a high reverse component of motion [Zakharov and Yakushko, 1972]. However, it was not possible to observe whether the Quaternary marine terraces are folded or not. In some places the Tym-Poronaysk fault splits in several parallel segments and could be the surface manifestation of a deep compressional flower structure. Volcanic rocks mapped in Figure 11b were emplaced during the Miocene as dykes and sills in the vicinity of the fault, with a NNE trending direction slightly oblique to the fault, compatible with dextral shear along it. Large sills in Cretaceous formations are also mapped in Figure 11a. These sills make the topographic crests and determine the geometry of the hydrographic network. One such sill is shown in Figure 12c in Miocene deposits.

Volcanic rocks recorded well the brittle deformation and are good candidates for stress orientation measurements along the Tym-Poronaysk fault in both Cretaceous and Miocene formations. Four stereograms used to calculate the paleostress field directions plotted in Figure 12c are shown in Figure 14. The tensor analysis follows the method developed by Angelier [1984]. In the field we mostly observed compatible strike-slip and reverse faults. The direction of $\sigma_1$ is bracketed between
Fig. 9. Structural interpretation of a mosaic of two SPOT images of the Yuzhno-Sakhalinsk region along the Tym-Poronaysk fault (localized in Figure 3c).

N050°E and N090°E and it is always perpendicular to the fold axes. We therefore assume that the measured stress field is associated with folding. The sites TPF7, TPF8 and TPF9 are located on an almost pure strike-slip segment of the fault, along which the fold axes are curved and suffered at least a 30° clockwise rotation (Figures 12c). The σf rotated clockwise with the fold axes near the fault. Thus the true trend of σf associated with folding is likely to be bracketed between N020°E and N060°E. The superposition of two distinct stress fields discernable chronologically was seldom observed. When observed, it showed that σf was always horizontal and trended first E-W and secondly NE-SW, which can be accommodated by a progressive clockwise rotation of structures under a single stress field with σf trending NE-SW. This NE-SW trend is consistent with dextral strike-slip motion along the Tym-Poronaysk fault.

Paleostress fields inferred from fault measurements undertaken in other parts of the island are plotted in Figure 3b and the corresponding stereo plots are shown in Figure 15. We first focus on the southeast peninsula of the island northeast of Aniwa bay where faults were measured in Jurassic, Cretaceous and Paleogene rocks (see geological map in Figure 3c). Two sites (T3 and T5) have recorded two successive episodes with roughly perpendicular σf (site T4 also recorded two successive episodes but it is disturbed by the emplacement of a Paleogene granite in its vicinity). No obvious chronology has been observed in the field; the chronology...
Fig. 11. (a) Mosaic of two SPOT images along the Tym-Poronaysk fault (central region localized in Figure 3c) and (b) structural interpretation. Same legend as Figure 9. Thick lines are fault traces and the main sills are mapped with thin lines. The arrows on the images show the Tym-Poronaysk fault trace. (c) Geological map of the central region after K. F. Sergeyev (unpublished data, 1980) and our new observations. Key shows (1) Maruyama formation and upper Pliocen-Quatemary deposits, (2) Verkneduyska formation, (3) Tcheckhov formation, (4) Khomska formation, (5) Gastello formation, (6) Paleogene basal deposits, (7), (8), and (9) Bikov suite, (10) Miocene volcanics, (11) mud volcano, (12) fault and suspected fault (dashed line), (13) fold axis (syncline and anticline, respectively), (14) geological contour and suspected geological contour (dashed line), (15) paleo-stress field direction. The Santonian-Campanian cuesta is shown as the boundary between formations 7 and 8.
given in Figure 3c is based on the study area being analogous to NE Japan [Jolivet et al., 1990]. Figure 15 illustrates phase separation for the three sites T3, T4 and T5. Phase 1 has $\sigma_1$ trending N020°E in good agreement with most of the sites of the peninsula, and also with direction of $\sigma_1$ determined in the central region (see above). If we generalize these observations to the whole island, it is possible to interpret all the computed paleo-stress-directions in one single stress system with horizontal $\sigma_1$ trending NE-SW, and with local rotations along the Tym-Poronaysk fault. Phase 2 with $\sigma_1$ roughly E-W can be related either to late sublatitudinal shortening as observed in Hokkaido [Jolivet et al., 1990] or to local rotations as observed along the Tym-Poronaysk fault.

Geometry of the Neogene Strike-Slip Deformation in the East Sakhalin Mountains

In the East Sakhalin Mountains three parallel submeridian en-échelon faults cut through the Paleozoic and Mesozoic basement (Figures 3b and 3c): from west to east they are the Central, Pribrezhnaya (coastal) and Liman (estuarine) faults [Rozhdestvensky, 1982]. These faults are well expressed on the Landsat images in their northern parts (see a detail of the Landsat mosaic in Figure 16) as they put into contact contrasted lithological formations, a high block to the west made of Mesozoic rocks cut by deep valleys, and a low one to the east made of Neogene deposits with smooth topography.

Fig. 11. (continued)
Fig. 12. (continued)
The faults are indeed bordered to the east by narrow basins with a NNE trend, filled with Miocene and Pliocene deposits overlying unconformably the Paleozoic and Mesozoic basement [Rozhdestvensky, 1982]. The present trends of the basins (N000°E to N030°E), assumed to be parallel to the maximal horizontal stress direction, and their dextral en échelon pattern are consistent with dextral strike-slip motion along N-S faults. A Neogene pull-apart basin along the Central fault is also an indicator of dextral motion, and to the south the sigmoidal shape of the Mesozoic crests between the Pribrezhnaya and the Liman fault supports dextral shear along these faults. The three faults therefore experienced dextral strike-slip motion with a normal component in Neogene time. An evaluation of the displacement along the Central fault is given by the 25-km offset of the metamorphic complex in the southern part of the fault (Figure 3c). The Langeri graben (Figure 16) is filled with Mio-Pliocene formations up to 600 m thick lying unconformably either on Paleozoic or Mesozoic rocks [Rozhdestvensky, 1982]; the Miocene deposits are folded and stand almost vertically on the east side of the basin. A late sub-latitudinal shortening thus affected the Miocene basin. Similar observations are made along the southern coast of the Aniva peninsula to the south, where the Mesozoic basement was thrust eastward over Paleogene basins folded into synclines (cross sections of Melnikov and Rozhdestvensky [1989], and Rikhter [1986]).

North of the East Sakhalin Mountains, the submeridian Gyrgylan’i-Ossoy, Ekhabi-Pil’tun and Okha faults continue the above described structures until the Schmidt Peninsula through Neogene formations. We observed on the Landsat images that the northern part of the Gyrgylan’i-Ossoy fault shifts dextrally a ridge for about 10 km (Figure 3b). According to Rozhdestvensky [1982], en échelon folds with sigmoidal bends evidence dextral motion along these faults. In the Schmidt Peninsula the Cretaceous-Neogene contact is dextrally offset by a strike-slip fault for 5.5 km, and another strike-slip fault cut through Neogene sediments with a displacement of up to 7 km [Rozhdestvensky, 1982]. A summation of the strike-slip offsets of faults from the East Sakhalin Mountains to the Schmidt peninsula therefore gives a minimum value of dextral N-S relative motion of about 50 km since Miocene time.

Discussion: Progressive Strike-Slip Deformation From Miocene to Present

The observations made at all scales reveal the juxtaposition and succession in time and space of pure strike-slip structures, pure compressional structures, and extensional structures. At island scales, the western side of the Tym-Poronaysk fault is characterized by en échelon folds and the fault itself has a thrust component which indicates a transpressional regime along 600 km from south to north. While the Upper Cretaceous to Neogene sediments on the west side of the fault displays a distributed deformation symptomatic of a relatively soft medium, the more competent Mesozoic basement on the eastern side of the fault displays en échelon narrow basins localized along strike-slip faults (in the East Sakhalin Mountains), which had been in turns folded showing an apparent rotation of \( \sigma_1 \). Small-scale observations shows that compression is always perpendicular to the fold axes and that the E-W compression seen in the microtectonic
analyses is the result of clockwise rotations close to the Tym-Poronaysk fault. Thus at this scale, only one stress regime is required. It is possible to reach the same conclusion at the scale of the island with large-scale structures.

The overall structure of the East Sakhalin Mountains can be described as a domino system in a shear zone between two master faults, the Tym-Poronaysk fault to the west and an offshore fault observed on seismic data along the northeast coast of Sakhalin to the east [Gnibidenko and Svarichevsky, 1984; Gnibidenko, 1985], the Central, Pribrezhnaya, and Liman faults being cross faults which bound crustal blocks (Figure 17). Such geometry implies block rotations about vertical axes [Ron et al., 1984; Nur et al., 1986; Scotti et al., 1991]. Assuming that the cross faults appear first as extensional cracks parallel to $\sigma_{H}^{max}$ and are later rotated, the sense of rotation depends upon the sign of the stress tensor component perpendicular to the plane of the master faults. An overall transtensional stress field would lead to a widening of the shear zone and favors clockwise rotation of blocks accommodated by sinistral motion along the cross faults. Extra space in the shear zone would be accommodated by the formation of grabens along the cross faults. However, if transpression prevails, the shear zone tends to narrow and counterclockwise rotations will be accommodated by dextral motion along the cross faults. E-W shortening will be taken up by counterclockwise rotations of the blocks and compressional structures along their borders. Applying this model to the East Sakhalin Mountains where the stress regime is transpressional and the cross faults are dextral, we should expect counterclockwise rotations of the dominos.

The evolution of the East Sakhalin Mountains can be explained as follows: the narrow basins first formed along the cross faults which were parallel to the maximum horizontal stress and then later progressively rotated in a counterclockwise manner. The trend of the basins thus becomes oblique to the maximum horizontal stress and they were subjected to shortening and folding. Takeuchi et al. [1992] recently presented paleomagnetic results from Hokkaido and southern Sakhalin, including several sites on the western side of the Tym-Poronaysk fault. They obtained...
Middle to Late Miocene dextral rotations, compatible with the progressive dextral shear of the fold axes along the fault. They unfortunately have no data from the East Sakhalin Mountains, so the block model there cannot be tested with the paleomagnetic results obtained so far. The expected counterclockwise rotations are however small and might not be easily detected.

Figure 17 shows the precise geometry imposed by this model. Figure 17a shows the simplified fault pattern in Sakhalin, which is isolated from the coast lines in Figure 17b. The continuity of geological structures in western Sakhalin shows that this region behaved as a single elongated block between the West Sakhalin fault and the Tym-Poronaysk fault. Continuous strain within the block was accommodated by en échelon folds leading to oblique shortening. In the East Sakhalin mountains, however, the basement was cut by several parallel faults and was divided into several dominos which we predict have rotated counterclockwise. Figure 17c is a simplification of the fault pattern with a block model. The E-W shortening coeval with dextral strike-slip involves a component of thrusting along the blocks boundaries, since the total width of the shear zone decreases. One can see that the counterclockwise rotation of blocks along the dextral cross faults can lead to the distribution of pure strike-slip segments and mostly compressional segments actually observed along the Tym-Poronaysk fault. This geometry can be obtained provided that some of the cross faults can be connected to some of the dextral offsets along the Tym-Poronaysk fault through the basement under the Quaternary depression as shown in Figure 17b.

The observed clockwise rotations of fold axes caused by dextral simple shear along the Tym-Poronaysk fault can explain the E-W direction of compression deduced from fault set analysis: $\sigma_1$ was initially NE-trending and has been rotated with the rocks. The block model with counterclockwise rotations in the East Sakhalin Mountains can explain the apparent succession of tectonic stages (basins formation followed by transverse shortening) in the single transpressional stress field [Scotti et al., 1991]. This model integrates in a single stage a succession of superimposed structures of various style and explains the geometry of the Tym-Poronaysk fault, but it still needs to be tested with paleomagnetic data. However, we cannot eliminate the possibility of a change in stress conditions from $\sigma_1$ trending NE-SW to nearly E-W (see Figure 2).
We have described Sakhalin Island as a Neogene N-S dextral strike-slip zone. This continues to the south in the Hiddaka Mountains (Hokkaido) reworking a major Mesozoic suture zone that localized part of the strain during the Cenozoic [Kimura et al., 1983]. Strike-slip ductile deformation in high-temperature conditions [Jolivet and Miyashita, 1985] during late Oligocene and early Miocene is associated with en échelon folds and thrusts in the upper brittle crust [Jolivet and Huchon, 1989]. Fault set analysis in lower and middle Miocene formations provides a direction of horizontal compression compatible with folding that trends NE-SW. The same dextral transpressional stress field therefore characterizes Sakhalin and Hokkaido during the early and middle Miocene.

Further south Miocene strike-slip extends along the eastern margin of the Japan Sea. It is distributed in a transition zone between the oceanic crust of the Japan Sea basin and the continental crust of the Japan arc. It is expressed offshore by dextral en échelon basins with a NNE trend [Lallemand and Jolivet, 1985; Tamaki, 1988; Jolivet et al., 1991] and onland by the dextral transpressional deformation described by Otsuki [1989, 1990] and Jolivet et al. [1991], distributed all along the west coast of NE Honshu down to Sado island to the south. Yamaji [1990] described basins in the Uetsu district (along the west coast of NE Honshu) formed between 18 and 15 Ma with the same dextral en échelon pattern. To the south the Tanakura Tectonic Line (Figure 2) localized part of the dextral motion during the Miocene [Otsuki and Ehiro, 1978; Koshiya, 1986]. The transition from transpression to transtension is observed at the latitude of southwest Hokkaido. In order to see the transition between the dextral shear zone and the southern extensional margin of the Japan Sea [Tamaki, 1988], we studied the brittle deformation in Noto peninsula and Yatsuo basin (Figure 18) at the southeast corner of the Japan Sea (see location in Figure 1). Volcanic and pyroclastic rocks of Miocene age observed in Noto peninsula and Yatsuo basin lay directly on the Upper Cretaceous basement. Upper Miocene formations did not record any significant brittle deformation. Lower and middle Miocene formations are affected by conjugate strike-slip faults associated with compatible normal faults: fault set analysis provides a direction of maximal horizontal compression trending N030°E associated with a perpendicular extension (Figure 18). On a regional scale, the
Yatsuo basin is bordered to the east and to the south by large normal faults that probably have some strike-slip component in this transtensional context. The middle Miocene transtensional deformation corresponds to a late stage of opening of the Japan Sea.

Our results are in agreement with previously published paleostress fields [Otsuki, 1989, 1990; Jolivet et al., 1991] determined along the eastern margin of the Japan Sea from northern Honshu to Sado island: we observed in Noto peninsula and Yatsuo basin the same association of strike-slip and normal faults as in Sado, with similar principal stress directions. The same transtensional conditions prevailed all along the margin during opening of the Japan Sea and the deformation of the strike-slip margin continued during oceanic accretion.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Nakamura and Uyeda [1980] showed that stress regime evolved in NE Japan during late Miocene from an extensional regime with \( \sigma_{H\text{max}} = \sigma_2 \) trending NNE to a compressional regime with \( \sigma_{H\text{max}} = \sigma_1 \) trending E-W. The late E-W compression is recorded in late Miocene and Pliocene rocks [Yamagishi and Watanabe, 1986; Jolivet and Huchon, 1989]; it is related to an uplift of western northeast Japan since 10 Ma [Sugi et al., 1983; Jolivet and Tamaki, 1992]. The offshore Miocene extensional structures have been reworked as compressional ones [Tamaki and Honza, 1984; Tamaki, 1988], and Tamaki et al. [1992] showed that thrusting and convergence along the eastern margin began 1.8 Ma ago. The margin is seismically active and focal mechanisms of earthquakes indicate compression along N-S reverse faults [Fukao and Furumoto, 1975; Tamaki, 1988] (see also Figure 3 of Jolivet et al. [1992]).

The stress field and the associated deformation evolved along the strike-slip zone (Figure 2). In early and middle Miocene time the stress field was transpressional to the north in Sakhalin and Hokkaido, and transtensional to the south where the Japan Sea opened behind the Pacific subduction zone. During the late Miocene the stress orientation changed: in the south \( \sigma_{H\text{max}} \) rotated clockwise from NE-SW to E-W (we have seen before that we do not necessarily need a rotation of
Fig. 17. (a) Simplified fault pattern in Sakhalin. CF is central fault, LF is Liman fault, PF is Pribrezhnaya fault, TPF is Tym-Poronaysk fault, WSF is West Sakhalin fault. Dashed lines represent fold axes. (b) Fault pattern isolated from the coast lines. West of the Tym-Poronaysk fault, the West Sakhalin Mountains (WSM, dark shaded) behaved as a single block. E-W shortening is taken up by the internal deformation of the block (en échelon folds). East of the Tym-Poronaysk fault, the East Sakhalin Mountains (ESM) behaved as a domino blocks system in a dextral transtensional shear zone. E-W shortening is taken up by counterclockwise rotation of the blocks and compressional structures along their borders. (c) Simplification of the fault pattern with a domino block model.
Fig. 18. Geological map of Noto Peninsula and Yatsuo basin and fault set measurements in lower and middle Miocene deposits. Strike-slip and normal faults are often associated, paleostress field is transtensional with \( \sigma_{max} \) trending NE.
\(\sigma_{ij}^{\text{max}}\) in Sakhalin), and \(\sigma_{ij}^{\text{max}}\) became \(\sigma_{ij}\) all along the strike-slip zone. In the Japan arc the recent deformation is purely compressional with folds and reverse faults, while dextral motion is still active in Sakhalin. We therefore observe a different behavior between the northern intracontinental part of the strike-slip zone, transpressional since the Late Oligocene, and the southern part neighboring the subduction zone. The Japan Sea opened in a transtensional regime when the subduction boundary was stress-free. It began to close when subduction imposed a compressional regime perpendicular to the trenches [Nakamura and Uyeda, 1980] probably linked with the inception of subduction of the young oceanic lithosphere of the Philippine sea plate under the Japan arc during the late Miocene [e.g., Jolivet et al., 1989]. The geometry of the strike-slip zone is controlled by the variations of stress regime in the back arc region due to changing geodynamic conditions along the subduction zone. Thus the extensional component of the Japan Sea opening is likely to have been provided by back arc rifting probably due to trench retreat [Chase, 1978; Molnar and Atwater, 1978; Uyeda and Kanamori, 1979], and the strike-slip component is linked to some other mechanism such as the India-Asia collision as discussed by Kimura and Tamaki [1986] and Jolivet et al. [1990] (Figure 19). The model presented by Jolivet et al. [1990] is derived from Davy and Cobbold's [1988] experiments: it involves a wide left-lateral shear zone connecting the Pamir-Tien Shan ranges to the Baikal-Stanovoy regions which evolves from transpression to transtension. In the transtensional domain left-lateral shear is accommodated by rotation of large-scale dominos and dextral motion between them. An additional component can be added: the North America-Eurasia pole of rotation is located in east Siberia between the Laptev Sea and the Okhotsk Sea [Chapman and Solomon, 1976; Savostin et al., 1983; Cook et al., 1986] and has always been in this region since the early Eocene. This situation leads to extensional strain north of the rotation pole along the Nansen Ridge and Lena river mouth, and compressional strain south of it. The compression might be partly taken up by the southeastward extrusion of the Okhotsk block producing transpressional dextral shear in Sakhalin [Jolivet, 1986; Riegel et al., 1993].

To conclude, the Sakhalin-NE Japan strike-slip zone is a 2000-km-long crustal scale structure that penetrates inside Asia to the north and has guided the opening of the Japan Sea to the south along the Pacific subduction zone. Jolivet and Tamaki [1992] presented new reconstructions of the opening of the Japan Sea that allow a crude quantification of the finite dextral offset since 25 Ma: at least 400 km of relative motion are necessary to accommodate the opening (Figure 20). It seems unlikely that this large offset has been accommodated only by the Tym-Poronaysk fault: most of the offset was probably taken up by offshore faults in the Tartary strait between Sakhalin and mainland Asia where the crust is the thinnest, and further south along the transition from continental (NE Japan arc) to oceanic crust (Japan basin).

In NE-Asia, dextral motion associated with extension is also evidenced by Chen and Nabelek [1988] along the Tanlu fault in the Bohai Gulf (Figure 19). It is not yet possible to...
quantify the total amount of dextral offset taken up along the eastern border of Asia at the latitude of Japan during Neogene, but a minimum of 400 to 500 km is likely. This makes this cluster of dextral shear zones a first-order feature of Asia, as important as the Altyn Tagh fault or the Red River fault which accommodated also several hundreds of kilometers of left-lateral offset during Cenozoic (Figure 19) [Tapponnier and Molnar, 1977; Tapponnier et al., 1990]. If the strike-slip deformation is mostly localized along left-lateral faults immediately north and east of Tibet, close to the Indian indenter, it is mostly accommodated by dextral motion along antithetic N-S trending faults far from the indenter near the Pacific subduction. This emphasizes the role played by the Pacific subduction as an active extensional boundary, and implies that the geometry of deformation in Asia is controlled by two equally important lateral conditions: shortening along the Himalaya, and extension along the western Pacific subduction.
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