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[1] It is widely accepted that the Central and Eastern Mediterranean are remnants of the Neo-Tethys. However, the orientation and timing of spreading of this domain remain controversial. Here, we present time migrated and pre-stack depth migrated NW-SE oriented Archimede (1997) lines together with the PrisMed01 (1993) profile to constrain the evolution of the Ionian basin. Our interpretation allows us to identify a large-scale set of SW-NE striking reverse faults beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. These primarily NW vergent faults have a characteristic spacing of 10 to 20 km and a dip ranging from 60 to 65°. Following very recent paleogeographic reconstructions, we propose that the set of N°55 features initially formed as normal faults during NW-SE trending seafloor spreading of the Ionian basin after its late Triassic-early Jurassic rifting. Based on geometric comparisons with the intraplate deformation observed beneath the Central Indian Ocean, we show that the inherited oceanic normal faults were reactivated under compression as reverse faults. Well-developed Tortonian syntectonic basins developed NW of the major faults and the base of the Messinian evaporites (Mobile Unit) is slightly folded by the activity of the faults. We show that 3–4 km of total shortening occurs over a 80 km wide area beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain, resulting in a bulk shortening of 3.5–5%. We propose a link between the Tortonian-early Messinian inversion of the fault pattern and a plate tectonic reorganization prior to the main phase of back-arc opening of the Tyrrenian domain.


1. Introduction and Geological Setting

[2] The Central Mediterranean area is characterized by wide-spread pattern of weak to moderate seismicity, indicating a region undergoing diffuse deformation (Figure 1) [Chiarabba et al., 2005; Pondrelli et al., 2006]. The seismotectonic setting of this region is the consequence of the long-term African-Eurasian convergence, which is one of the primary controls on the deformation in the region [Di Bucci et al., 2010]. Geodetic studies conclude that the overall Nubia-Eurasia convergence continues at very slow rates, ranging from 6 mm/yr near Greece oriented N to NNW to 5–7 mm/yr near Sicily oriented NNW to NW [DeMets et al., 1994; Hollenstein et al., 2003; Serpelloni et al., 2007; D’Agostino et al., 2008]. Regional Global Positioning System (GPS) measurements can only be explained by the presence of a mosaic of microplates in the Central Mediterranean, which move almost independently [Serpelloni et al., 2007; D’Agostino et al., 2008, 2011].

[3] Within the Ionian Sea, the Mediterranean Ridge and the Calabrian prism are interpreted as two large accretionary complexes, which formed in response to the subduction of the African plate beneath Eurasia (s.l.) (Figure 1) [Finetti, 1982; Reston et al., 2002; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010]. The deep Ionian Abyssal Plain (IAP) is the foreland of the Calabrian prism to the NW and of the western Mediterranean Ridge to the NE (Figure 1). It is a deep triangular basin roughly 5000 km² in area [Hieke et al., 2003], well-defined by the 4000 m depth isobath and bounded to the South by the Medina Seamounts (Figure 2). The Victor Hensen Seamount 2 (VHS 2) and the Victor Hensen Seamount 2 (VHS) rise above the South-East of the IAP [Hieke, 1978; Hieke and Wanninger, 1985; Hieke et al., 2003]. The VHS is interpreted as a roughly 10 km long and 2 km wide SW-NE elongated tectonic structure [Hieke and Wanninger, 1985; Hieke et al., 2006]. North-West
Figure 1. Seismotectonic map of the Central Mediterranean area (topography and bathymetry are from GEBCO 1 min data set and Medimap Group Bathymetry compilation [Loubrieu et al., 2007]). Black lines show major fault systems (modified from the Geodynamic Map of the Mediterranean (Commission for the Geological Map of the World, http://ccgm.free.fr) and offshore regions beneath the Pelagian Sea from Raulin et al. [2011]). Earthquake hypocenters are from the National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC) catalog (http://neic.usgs.gov). Magenta arrows show the convergence between Africa and Eurasia according to the NUVEL-1A model [DeMets et al., 1994] and the GPS pole of rotation proposed by D’Agostino et al. [2008]. White point surrounded by curved white arrow shows the Eulerian pole of rotation $\Omega_{Nu,Ap+Hy}$, which indicates the poles of rotation relative to Nubia (Nu), obtained considering Apulia (Ap) and the Hyblean Plateau (Hy) as a single microplate [D’Agostino et al., 2008]. Thin white lines show the position of the Archimede seismic cruise (1997, R/V Le Nadir), and thin orange line shows the position of the line PrisMed01 acquired during the PrisMed cruise (1993, R/V Le Nadir). Study area (shown in Figures 2 and 6) is indicated by the white square.
of the VHS, three SW/NE subbottom structures were imaged: the Nathalie Structure (NaStr.), the Valvidia Structure (VaStr.) and the Victor Hensen Seabed Structure (VHStr.), which was interpreted as the SW-NE oriented subbottom continuation of the Victor Hensen Seabed [Finetti, 1982; Hieke et al., 2003] (Figure 2). In situ heat flow measurements show very low values beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain (30 to 40 mW/m²) (Figure 2) [Pasquale et al., 2005]. South-West of the IAP, close to the Medina Seamounts, measured heat flow values increase (values range from 45 to 55 mW/m²) (Figure 2) [Pasquale et al., 2005].

Numerous seismic reflection and refraction studies have imaged the IAP and the adjacent crystalline basement [Finetti, 1982; Makris et al., 1986; de Voogd et al., 1992; Sioni, 1996; Catalano et al., 2001; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005; Hieke et al., 2003]. There is an ongoing debate regarding the nature of the crust underlying the Ionian Abyssal Plain. Some authors consider that the high-amplitude layered band seismic signature near the base of the crust differs from other old oceanic reflection images (e.g., eastern Atlantic Ocean) [Cernobori et al., 1996]. Other authors invoke the structural continuity between the Medina Seamounts (known to be of continental affinity [Finetti, 1982; Hieke and Wanninger, 1985]) and the Victor Hensen Seabed as an argument in favor of a thinned continental crust underlying the IAP [Hieke et al., 2003]. However, all the Expanding Spreading Profile (ESP) results conclude that the Ionian Abyssal Plain is floored by a more than 5 km thick Mesozoic-Cenozoic sedimentary cover, deposited over a thin crust [Makris et al., 1986; de Voogd et al., 1992; Le Meur, 1997]. These refraction results show a typical oceanic velocity gradient [Makris et al., 1986; de Voogd et al., 1992; Le Meur, 1997] and a 7 to 9 km crustal thickness [Le Meur, 1997; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005], compatible with the world-wide compilation of typical oceanic thicknesses [White et al., 1992]. The depth of the Moho is 16 to 18 km beneath the IAP and the mantle is characterized by a high refraction velocity of 8.5 km/s [de Voogd et al., 1992].

Paleogeographic reconstructions of the Central and Eastern Mediterranean basins are based on geological data from the surrounding areas of the Mediterranean Sea (e.g., in Sicily, Tunisia, Western Desert, Syria and Oman), and on the geometry of the paleo-passive and transform margins (focused mainly on the Levant margin) (see references given by Stampfli et al. [1991], Ricou [1994], Garfunkel [1998], Golonka [2004], Stampfli and Borel [2004], Guiraud et al. [2005], Frizon de Lamotte et al. [2011], Raulin et al. [2011], and Schettino and Turco [2011]). These paleogeographic studies all conclude that the Central and Eastern Mediterranean basins correspond to a relic of the Neo-Tethys [Sengör, 1979]. But it is interpreted either as the easternmost continuity of the Neo-Tethys [Stampfli et al., 1991; Stampfli and Borel, 2004] or as a secondary southern branch connected to it [Dercourt et al., 1986; Ricou, 1994; Garfunkel, 1998; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011]. In the Central Mediterranean, the geometry and timing of spreading of the Ionian basin is controversial [Stampfli et al., 1991; Ricou, 1994; Handy et al., 2010; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011].

Some authors proposed that during the Cretaceous, the 30° counter-clockwise rotation of Apulia with respect to Africa led to the opening of the Central and Eastern Mediterranean [Dercourt et al., 1986; Golonka, 2004]. This rotation was interpreted to be coeval with the main phase of opening, deepening and widening of the Ionian basin [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005]. These authors proposed that the Ionian basin ceased its spreading in late Cretaceous time, contemporary with the Alpine collision with Eurasia, North of Apulia, as a consequence of the northward motion of Africa [Dercourt et al., 1986; Golonka, 2004].

Based on the presence of Permian marine basins from Sicily to Syria, many workers concluded that the Neo-Tethys opened synchronously in the late Paleozoic across the entire Eastern Mediterranean [Robertson et al., 1991; Stampfli et al., 1991; Stampfli and Borel, 2004]. Within this framework, the Ionian basin may have formed during the late Paleozoic, either in response to rifting process [Stampfli et al., 1991; Stampfli and Borel, 2004] or to back-arc extension as a result of a southward-dipping subduction zone [Sengör, 1979; Sengör et al., 1984; Schettino and Turco, 2011]. The cessation of the spreading center in the basin is interpreted to occur during the late Triassic, associated with the presence of the first important rift structures in Italy [Schettino and Turco, 2011].

Very recently, it was suggested that the Eastern Mediterranean corresponds to a secondary branch of the Neo-Tethys, that opened NW-SE following the late Triassic-early Jurassic rifting [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011; Raulin et al., 2011]. In the easternmost Mediterranean region, the presence of Triassic-Jurassic NE-SW veering to E-W normal faults led workers to interpret the Levant margin and the
Western Desert as passive margins, in direct relation with the Neo-Tethyan rifting [Garfunkel, 1998; Guiraud et al., 2005; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011]. In southern Tunisia, the Jeffara basin (Figure 1) is a very proximal margin of the Ionian basin, where similar late-Triassic-early Jurassic extensional deformation is observed [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011; Raulin et al., 2011]. This tectonic model features the Central Mediterranean opening in a NW-SE direction, during the late Triassic-early Jurassic [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011; Raulin et al., 2011]. These authors further suggest that the Malta escarpment (Figure 1) is a NW-SE oriented transform fault bounding this Neo-Tethyan domain toward the West [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011].

Although the post-Oligocene evolution of the Mediterranean (including the Ionian basin) is well understood in the framework of a back-arc opening above a retreating subduction zone [Faccenna et al., 2001; Rosenbaum et al., 2002], its prior paleogeographic evolution is still controversial, in particular the geometry of rifting and spreading in the Ionian basin [Dercourt et al., 1986; Robertson et al., 1991; Stampfli et al., 1991; Garfunkel, 1998; Golonka, 2004; Guiraud et al., 2005; Handy et al., 2010; Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011; Raulin et al., 2011; Schettino and Turco, 2011]. To address this question, we present the most relevant time-migrated lines of the Archimede multichannel seismic survey (1997, R/V Le Nadir) and the first pre-stack depth migrated profiles crossing the Ionian Abyssal Plain: the Archimede 19 line and the PrisMed01 line, acquired during the PrisMed survey (1993, R/V Le Nadir). We first present the data set used to study the Ionian Abyssal Plain and the processing sequence applied, including details on the sophisticated pre-stack depth migration. In a second part we propose a stratigraphy of the sediments deposited beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain, based on seismic correlations and new knowledge of the Messinian sediments in the Mediterranean basins. We thus focus on the deformation affecting the sediments and discuss the possible link with the Messinian deposition and the subbottom structures and structural basement highs present beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. An interpretation of the origin of these structures and timing of their reactivation and the kinematic implications is then proposed in the framework of the evolution of the IAP.

2. Multichannel Seismic Reflection Data and Processing

2.1. The 96-Channel Archimede Survey and Processing of the Data

The Archimede survey was conducted from 9 to 24 April 1997 onboard R/V Le Nadir (Figure 1). The objectives were to image the deep structures of the Mediterranean Ridge and the Calabrian wedge and the fracturing of the basement beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. The Archimede seismic data set consists of 35 seismic reflection profiles with a total length of 2500 km. Data were recorded by a 96-channel 2.4 km long streamer. The shot spacing of 50 m and the 25 m between each hydrophone provide a 24 fold coverage, with a CMP spacing of 12.5 m. The seismic data were acquired with 4 ms sampling rate (250 Hz). The source was an air gun array consisting of ten air guns for a total volume of 1220 cu.in, operating in a single-bubble mode [Avedik et al., 1996] and placed at a depth of 20 m. In contrast to tuned arrays, this kind of system exploits the strong energy contained in the first bubble pulse delaying the firing of guns as a function of their volume in order to synchronize the output of the guns with respect to this first pulse [Avedik et al., 1996]. The single-bubble method generates a powerful low frequency signal (10 to 15 Hz), which allows a better penetration of signal to image the deep structures [Avedik et al., 1996; Bartolome et al., 2005].

[11] During data processing, with the GeoVector Software© developed by CGG (Compagnie Générale de Géophysique), particular attention was paid to improve the continuity of the deep reflectors beneath the IAP. A 6/8/55/65 passband filter and a 49/50/51 notch filter, as well as a spherical divergence correction were generally applied after a spectral analysis of the near trace of the profiles. Before stacking, a predictive deconvolution was applied to the signal with a typical window of 5 s TWT. This deconvolution attempts to predict and remove repetitions in the recorded seismograms, it also acts like an anti-multiple on many profiles [Yilmaz, 1987]. For each Archimede line, a velocity analysis based on a semblance computation was performed each 300 Common Mid Point (CMP) (equal to 3.75 km) to constrain the lateral velocity variations. The velocity libraries obtained after interactive picking of these semblances are used to compute a normal moveout (NMO) correction. The NMO corrected CMP gather were stacked in order to increase the signal/noise ratio. To correct numerous diffractions, in particular in the deformed Messinian units in the wedges, different migration velocities were tested. After some tests, we conclude that the diffractions are well corrected with a 2900 m/s constant velocity Kirchhoff migration [Hubral, 1977], representative of the average of the root-mean square velocities in the full seismic section. This sequence was applied to entire Archimede data set, and was chosen for its efficiency as it considerably improves the strength and the continuity of the deep reflectors beneath the IAP.

2.2. The 96-Channel PrisMed Cruise and Processing of the Data

The PrisMed seismic survey was conducted from February 27 to March 27 1993 and acquired 3600 km of seismic lines. Here, we will present only part of the PrisMed01 profile, acquired from the Calabrian prism to the Ionian Abyssal Plain (Figure 1). The PrisMed01 line and the Archimede 19 line were processed using the Pre-Stack Depth Migration (PSDM) technique. The PrisMed seismic data were acquired with an AMG 96-channel streamer. The SERCEL 358 acquisition laboratory registered data with a sample rate of 4 ms. Six air guns were immersed at a depth of 10 to 15 m. The signal was recorded with a 2.4 km long 96-channel streamer. The shotpoint interval of 19.4 s corresponding to a shot interval of 50 m yields a 24-fold coverage, at a CMP spacing of 12.5 m.

Before performing depth-focusing analysis during Pre-Stack Depth Migration, several processing steps were applied with the commercial processing software OMEGA2© from WesternGeco to the raw seismic data (frequency filter, spherical divergence correction, shot gather consistent deconvolution...
with 36 ms prediction lag and 200 ms operator length for the shallow sediment structures and 72 ms prediction lag and 600 ms operator length for the deeper structures, respectively). Special attention was paid to the water bottom multiple attenuation. A combination of Wave-Equation demultiple (modeling, gather-match, subtraction) and Frequency-Wave number (FK) demultiple (NMO over correction, filter, inverse NMO) gave the best result. The Archimede 19 and PrisMed01 profiles were then processed, with the commercial Kirchhoff migration package KirPack (SIRIUS, GX Technology), to perform a Pre-Stack Depth Migration (PSDM). The PSDM not only produces a section in depth, but also corrects for the refraction and bending of the rays at velocity interfaces and gradients [Hubral, 1977]. A combination of iterative PSDM and focusing analyses each 200 Common Depth-Point (CDP) yielded a geologically plausible internal velocity model for the entire Archimede 19 and PrisMed01 lines. Both profiles underwent a series of 5 iterative migrations using a velocity model, from the top of the seismic section to the bottom. It is necessary to construct the velocity model layer by layer from top to bottom, as the upper layer velocities affect those determined for deeper units [Reston et al., 1996]. To begin with, the initial water velocity model is estimated for the seismic section, and a first iteration is run. The result is tested and the velocity model is updated adding the presumed uppermost sedimentary layers through depth-focusing error analysis. Then, a second iteration is run, and the procedure is repeated until the entire section from the top to the bottom has been analyzed for velocity. This procedure was repeated five times and the basement velocity was fixed at 6 km/s, according to the results given by the seismic refraction studies [de Voogd et al., 1992]. The PSDM is particularly efficient because the orientation of the Archimede 19 and PrisMed01 profiles (Figure 1)

Figure 3. Stratigraphy beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain, identified by published multichannel seismic reflection profiles from (c) Minelli and Faccenna [2010], (d) Finetti and Morelli [1972], and (e) Hieke et al. [2003], correlated with the ESP 5 velocity model from (b) de Voogd et al. [1992] and (f) Le Meur [1997]. Note that the stratigraphy shown is the original interpretation and these are presented for this study at the same vertical scale in s TWT. (a) Example of the line Archimede 21 (Arc-21), with stratigraphy and main reflectors identified in this study (the D1-reflector and D2-reflector defined by Sioni [1996]). PQ, Plio-Quaternary unit; ME, Messinian unit; PM, Pre-Messinian unit; PM, Pre-Messinian.
Figure 4
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is optimized depth section migrated and an interval velocity model which is both detailed and geologically meaningful.

3. Results

3.1. Stratigraphy Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain

[14] The sedimentary sequences are particularly well-imaged beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. This is a consequence of the powerful source used during the Archimede and PrisMed seismic surveys and the nearly complete lack of deformation affecting the shallow sediments.

[15] The upper seismic unit corresponds to the unconsolidated Plio-Quaternary sediments. On the Archimede and PrisMed01 profiles, the Plio-Quaternary unit is characterized by a velocity gradient of 1.8 to 2 km/s [de Voogd et al., 1992; Le Meur, 1997] and by sub-parallel high-frequency and low-amplitude reflectors [Hieke et al., 2003; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010] (Figures 3b and 3d). The thickness of these sediments is about 0.4 s TWT (about 400 m) (Figures 3a and 4). They have been drilled at DSDP site 374 (Figure 1), which allowed identification of a 300 m thick layer of Plio-Quaternary (PQ) sediments and a 60 to 80 m thick Pliocene sequence [Hsü et al., 1978], mostly composed of transparent seismic layered turbidites, called “homogenites” [Hieke, 1984; Cita and Rimoldi, 1997; Hieke and Werner, 2000]. At the base of the Plio-Quaternary sequence, the strong continuous high-amplitude reflector corresponds to the A-reflector (Figures 3a and 3c~3e) [Finetti and Morelli, 1972] and the E1-horizon (Figure 3b) [de Voogd et al., 1992].

[16] This A-reflector corresponds to the top of the Messinian unit [Finetti and Morelli, 1972], except when morphological highs, associated with structural basement highs, are present (e.g., Figure 4 (s.p. 6300 to 6420)). On the Archimede (Figure 3a) and PrisMed01 lines (Figure 4), the Messinian unit is composed of a weakly reflective sequence (about 0.25 s TWT thick), characterized by continuous reflectors, deposited over a reflection-free 0.35 s TWT thick unit. The base of this reflection-free unit is marked by a planar high-amplitude reverse polarity reflector, which corresponds to the B-reflector (Figures 3a and 3d) [Finetti and Morelli, 1972] or the S1-horizon (Figure 3b) [de Voogd et al., 1992]. The ESP 5 allows identification of an intra-Messinian reflector (E2-horizon, Figure 3b). Based on the seismic facies, an “Upper Evaporites” unit and a “Lower Evaporites” unit (composed of halite) were already differentiated [Hsü et al., 1978]. The Messinian unit consists of two layers, with a velocity inversion at its base (B-reflector) around 6.27 s TWT or 6.55 s TWT (from 4.5 to 4.2 to 3.0~3.2 km/s), depending on the ESP 5 model chosen (respectively, Figures 3b and 3e) [de Voogd et al., 1992; Le Meur, 1997].

[17] Below the B-reflector, a sequence, characterized by a propagation velocity of 3 to 3.2 km/s (Figures 3b and 3e) and by a succession of high-amplitude and low frequency reflectors (Figure 3a) was interpreted as Tortonian in age [Cernobori et al., 1996; Chomat-Rooke et al., 2005]. A Tortonian age has been proposed due to its stratigraphic position related to the previously described “Lower Evaporites” [Chomat-Rooke et al., 2005]. However, a recent Atlas, dealing with seismic markers of the Messinian Salinity Crisis, proposed that a “Messinian trilogy” [Ryan et al., 1973] was deposited in the deep basins of the Western Mediterranean area during the Crisis [Lofi et al., 2011a]. It is based on seismic facies and the geometric relationship of the units with respect to the evaporitic layer [Lofi et al., 2011a], previously called the Lower Evaporites [Ryan et al., 1973; Hsü et al., 1978]. The Messinian sequence is then composed of a Messinian Upper Unit, characterized by a group of parallel and relatively continuous reflections, underlain by a reflection-free seismic facies Messinian Mobile Unit and finally a Messinian Lower Unit [Lofi et al., 2011a]. Based primarily on observations in the deepest part of the Gulf of Lions, the Messinian Lower Unit is described as a group of continuous high-amplitude reflections that onlap the margin [Lofi et al., 2005; Lofi and Berne, 2008; Lofi et al., 2011a, 2011b]. These authors do however caution that the extension and the thickness of this Messinian Lower Unit remains poorly known [Lofi et al., 2011a]. On the Algerian margin, the reflectivity of this Messinian Lower Unit is slightly lower than in the Gulf of Lions [Lofi et al., 2011a]. On top of this unit of a planar high-amplitude reflector has been identified and described as the B-reflector in the deep Ionian Abyssal Plain (Figure 3). Based on seismic facies correlation and observation of the B-reflector, we propose adopting this “Messinian trilogy” to the Ionian Abyssal Plain (Figure 3a). In this case, the Messinian Upper Unit and the reflection-free Messinian Mobile Unit lie above the B-reflector and the Messinian Lower Unit below the B-reflector. The base of the Messinian Lower Unit is characterized by a continuous high-amplitude reflector (Figure 3a, D2-reflector [Sioni, 1996; Figure 3e, PM1-reflector [Hieke et al., 2003]].

[18] This reflector corresponds to the top of a syntectonic unit, characterized by a group of high-amplitude low-frequency reflections (Figure 3a). We proposed a Tortonian age for this unit, present in sub-basins beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain (Figure 4). Below this unit, an undifferentiated Tertiary sequence has been interpreted due to its stratigraphic position compared to the position of the K-reflector. The K-reflector was interpreted as a planar and continuous reflector at a 7.5 s TWT constant depth, corresponding to the top of the Mesozoic sediments (Figure 3a) [Finetti and Morelli, 1972] (Figures 3a and 3e, PM2-reflector [Hieke et al., 2003]).

[19] Published interpretations of refraction seismic data (ESP 5) allow identification of the top of the Ionian oceanic crust at 8.1 s TWT depth (about 9.0 km depth), characterized...
by a velocity gradient of 4.7 to 5 km/s [de Voogd et al., 1992] (Figure 3b). This 8.3 km thick crust is interpreted to be oceanic, due to the typical velocity gradient of an oceanic crust [White et al., 1992]. However, a re-interpretation of the ESP 5 (Figure 3e), using seismic profiles to correlate the deeper refraction arrivals observed on this ESP (Figure 3d), concluded that a 4.7–4.8 km/s layer at 8.4 s TWT depth (9.4 km depth) may have a sedimentary origin because of its layered facies [Le Meur, 1997]. Analysis of the Archimede (Figure 3a) and PrisMed01 profiles (Figure 4) confirms this layered facies and the 4.7–5 km/s velocity layer (Figure 3b), called ambiguous unit, may have a sedimentary origin. The thickness of the resulting oceanic crust recognized beneath the IAP is then 7.2 km thick (Figure 3f) [Le Meur, 1997], more consistent with the thickness of typical oceanic crust [White et al., 1992].

3.2. Deformation of the Sediments Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain

[20] Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain, the entire sedimentary sequence is deformed. However, this deformation is the consequence of two decoupled tectonic processes. On the one hand, thin skinned SE vergent thrust faulting affects the Messinian Mobile and Upper Units and the Plio-Quaternary deposits, which are accreted to the Calabrian prism. On the other hand, thick skinned (crustal scale) NW vergent reverse faulting affected the pre-evaporitic deposits.

[21] Northwest of the Ionian Abyssal Plain, we observe closely spaced SE vergent thrusts (Figure 4a, s.p. 4000 to 5300). These lead to anticlinal folding and thrusting of the Messinian Mobile and Upper Units and of the Plio-Quaternary sediments (Figure 6) [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010]. The base of the Messinian Mobile Unit was interpreted as the basal decollement in the frontal part of the post-Messinian Calabrian wedge, thus the post-evaporitic (Messinian Mobile Unit) sediments are accreted to the Calabrian prism above the B-reflector (Figure 4a) [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010]. Successive imbricate thrusting and some back thrusting within the Calabrian prism allow a doubling of the thickness of this sequence within 30 km of the deformation front (Figure 4a).

[22] Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain, large-scale anticlines affect the entire sedimentary cover from the oceanic basement to the B-reflector, at the base of the Messinian Mobile Unit (Figures 4–6). The geometry of these anticlines with short and steep forelimbs (Figures 4 and 5) and a significant vertical offset of the top of oceanic basement (e.g., fault F5 (Figure 4, s.p. 5750, and Figure 5, s.p. 3060)) allows us to define a set of reverse crustal faults. The activity of these 60 to 65° dipping faults led to the development of basins over 1 km in thickness (Figures 4c and 5c, the dark red reflectors). They are filled with the Tortonian syntectonic unit, which exhibits an onlapping growth strata facies (Figures 4 and 5). These Tortonian sediments were deposited synchronously to the fault activity. The seismic velocities for these sediments (2.6 to 2.8 km/s) are particularly low for strata at 6 km depth, overlain by more than 1.5 km thick Messinian and Plio-Quaternary layer (Figures 4b and 5b). This low velocity could be caused by high porosity and fluid overpressure in the sediments, which may reduce the velocities of the sediments below the undisturbed impermeable evaporitic layer. This layer (Messinian Mobile Unit) acts like a caprock, which may lead to stagnation and subsequent overpressure in the sediment below. We note that the internal velocities obtained during the PSDM (Figures 4b and 5b) are slightly lower than the velocities obtained with the ESP 5 results (Figures 3b and 3d). This may be explained by anisotropy of sedimentary units in response to the near-vertical propagation path in reflection seismic compared to the near horizontal propagation path in refraction seismic, with approximately 10% higher horizontal velocities [Bartolome et al., 2005]. On the depth seismic lines, the base of Messinian Mobile Unit is slightly folded on top of the main NW vergent faults (F4, F5, F6 and F7, Figures 4 and 5). This implies that these crustal faults may have been active from the Tortonian, characterized by syntectonic sedimentation to the early Messinian times.

[23] The large vertical offsets (reaching 1.5 km) of the top of the basement along major faults allow us to establish a line to line correlation through the Ionian Abyssal Plain (Figure 6). 100 km long SW-NE trending faults are interpreted as crustal reverse faults, mostly NW vergent and with a typical spacing of 10 to 20 km (Figures 4–6). A series of NE-SW trending morphologic highs (VHStr., VSH 2, NaStr., VaStr., Figure 2) and their control on the depocenters of evaporites were pointed out by earlier seismic studies [Hieke et al., 2003, 2006]. The VHS Structure, the northward SW-NE trending prolongation of the VHS 2, was interpreted as an extensional structure (a horst), formed by extension of continental crust [Hieke et al., 2003, 2006]. On the profiles, no Messinian sediment is observed over the VHS 2, and a 880 m thick small evaporitic basin develops between the Nathalie Structure and the VHS 2 (Figures 4 and 5). We confirm that the VHS 2 corresponds to a structural high formed mainly in the pre-Messinian times [Hieke et al., 2003]. Our seismic data clearly show that the VHS 2 and the Nathalie Structure are tectonic pop-up structures, formed in response to the activity of the NW and SE associated vergent faults F1/F2 and F3/F4, respectively (Figures 4–6). In this compressive framework, the Valvidia Structure corresponds to an anticlinal fold, formed in response to the activity of the major 65° dipping and NW vergent fault F5 (Figures 4 and 5). After examination of

Figure 5. Zoom on the pre-stack depth migrated Archimede 19 profile (no vertical exaggeration). (a) On the line drawing, see (1) the thin skinned SE vergent thrust faulting caused by the accretion of post-evaporitic (Messinian Mobile Unit) deposits, forming the Calabrian prism (s.p. 2220 (deformation front) to 1500), and (2) the thick skinned (crustal scale) NW vergent reverse faulting (s.p. 3750 to 1900). (b) Portion of the profile Archimede 19 line in depth (with the internal velocity model obtained during depth migration). (c) Line drawing of the extract showing the geometry of reverse faults, with dips ranging from 60 to 65°. Note the presence of well-developed Tortonian syntectonic basins (dark red reflectors, s.p. 2750 to 2900 and s.p. 2800 to 3100) and their link with the morphologic highs (Nathalie and Valvidia Structures and VHS 2).
the seismic lines published on the VHS [see Hieke et al., 2003, Figure 4e; Hieke et al., 2006], we interpret it as a purely diapiric salt structure. Using trigonometry, the dip angle of the faults and the offset of the top of oceanic crust, we estimate the shortening accommodated beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. We show that on the PrisMed01 line, 4.1 km of shortening is accommodated over a 82 km long section, corresponding to a bulk shortening of 5%. On the

**Figure 6.** Portions of the Archimede 05 and 21 profiles (time migrated) showing the morphologic highs and their link to the mostly NW vergent reverse faults (vertical exaggeration of 6, see position in Figure 2). Note the homogenous geometry of the fault pattern through the IAP, which allows the line to line correlation.
the paleogeographic reconstructions of the Ionian basin, we will now discuss the origin of these reverse faults and the timing of their activity.

4. Discussion

4.1. Origin of the Deep Reverse Faults Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain

[26] A major question raised by this study: What is the origin of the faults, which have been reactivated beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain? Within the framework of existing paleogeographic models for the evolution of the Mediterranean basins, different hypotheses can be proposed: (a) flexural bending faults, (b) transform faults or (c) normal faults related to seafloor spreading of the Ionian basin.

[27] (a) Outboard of subduction zones, a flexural bulge develops on the subducting plate, which can produce extensional bending faults. Such normal faults typically form approximately 50 to 100 km away from the trench. We show that the reactivation of the 55°N trending faults occurred in the Tortonian times, with the development of syntectonic basins (see section 3.2). During the Tortonian, the front of the Ionian subduction was located several hundred km further to the NW (Figure 7) [Faccenna et al., 2001]. Any normal bending faults, which may have formed on the downwelling plate during the Tortonian would have been already consumed by the subduction processes. Thus, this hypothesis can be discarded.

[28] (b) In Central Mediterranean, the Malta escarpment (Figure 1) was for a long time considered as part of a passive margin separating the continental domain of Sicily and of the Pelagian Sea from the deep Ionian oceanic basin [Scandone et al., 1981; Jongsm et al., 1985, 1987; Groupe Escarméd et al., 1988; Catalano et al., 2001; Argnani and Bonazzi, 2005]. Some researchers interpreted the Apulian escarpment (Figure 1) to be the conjugate of the Malta escarpment and thus that the Ionian Sea had opened in a NE-SW direction [Catalano et al., 2001; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005]. According to this framework, the 55°N trending set of faults we have identified would be almost parallel to the opening direction and could correspond to a pattern of transform faults. We show that the pattern of faults beneath the IAP dips at 60–65° and was reactivated. Oceanic transforms faults are typically sub-vertical faults [De Long et al., 1977], and thus would be very difficult to reactivate in a perpendicular compressive stress field. So both the geometry of the fault pattern beneath the IAP and its reactivation are in disagreement with the hypothesis of a transform fault origin.

[29] (c) Very recently, it was proposed that the Ionian basin opened NW-SE following a late Triassic-early Jurassic rifting [Fizion de Lamotte et al., 2011; Raulin et al., 2011]. The SW-NE orientation of the faults beneath the IAP suggests that they could have initially formed as normal faults during the spreading of the Ionian basin. After comparison of this fault pattern with the Atlantic and Indian oceanic fabrics, the oceanic nature of the Ionian basin [de Voogd et al., 1992] together with fault dip (60–65°) are clearly consistent with a normal fault origin [Sykes, 1967; Choukroune et al., 1984; Bull and Scrutton, 1992]. The fault spacing (20 km) is also in good agreement with the observed oceanic
fabric morphology observed in the Canary basin [Ranero and Banda, 1997] and in the West Philippine basin, adjacent to an abandoned spreading axis [Deschamps et al., 2002]. We propose that the fault pattern beneath the IAP was initially set the SW-NE trending oceanic normal faults, formed during the NW-SE opening of the Ionian basin [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011]. The abrupt crustal thinning across the escarpment (from 30 to 10 km) [Makris et al., 1986] and the presence of only few titled blocks [Nicoliche et al., 2000] along this escarpment is consistent with a transform fault origin [De Long et al., 1977; Mascle and Basile, 1988]. The ocean/continent transition is considered to occur 50 km eastward of the Malta escarpment [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005], this suggests that the crustal thinning toward the Ionian basin occurs across a very narrow region. This is also in good agreement previous observations of continental transform margins [Benkhelil et al., 1995; Mascle and Basile, 1988; Edwards et al., 1997; Lamarche et al., 1997; Sage et al., 1997; Sallarès et al., 2011]. The NNW-SEE trending Malta escarpment may be the expression of an initial rifting setting as a transform margin during the Triassic-Jurassic rifting of the Ionian basin (Figure 8). The increase of the heat flow measurements at the northern edge of the Malta escarpment [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2011]. The abrupt crustal thinning across the escarpment (from 30 to 10 km) [Makris et al., 1986] and the presence of only few titled blocks [Nicoliche et al., 2000] along this escarpment is consistent with a transform fault origin [De Long et al., 1977; Mascle and Basile, 1988]. The ocean/continent transition is considered to occur 50 km eastward of the Malta escarpment [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005], this suggests that the crustal thinning toward the Ionian basin occurs across a very narrow region. This is also in good agreement previous observations of continental transform margins [Benkhelil et al., 1995; Mascle and Basile, 1988; Edwards et al., 1997; Lamarche et al., 1997; Sage et al., 1997; Sallarès et al., 2011]. The NNW-SEE trending Malta escarpment may be the expression of an initial rifting setting as a transform margin during the Triassic-Jurassic rifting of the Ionian basin (Figure 8). The increase of the heat flow measurements at the northern edge of the Malta escarpment [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2011].

[30] According to this framework, the Malta escarpment would be part of a continental transform margin separating the continental domain of Sicily and of the Pelagian Sea from the deep Ionian oceanic basin [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011]. The abrupt crustal thinning across the escarpment (from 30 to 10 km) [Makris et al., 1986] and the presence of only few titled blocks [Nicoliche et al., 2000] along this escarpment is consistent with a transform fault origin [De Long et al., 1977; Mascle and Basile, 1988]. The ocean/continent transition is considered to occur 50 km eastward of the Malta escarpment [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005], this suggests that the crustal thinning toward the Ionian basin occurs across a very narrow region. This is also in good agreement previous observations of continental transform margins [Benkhelil et al., 1995; Mascle and Basile, 1988; Edwards et al., 1997; Lamarche et al., 1997; Sage et al., 1997; Sallarès et al., 2011]. The NNW-SEE trending Malta escarpment may be the expression of an initial rifting setting as a transform margin during the Triassic-Jurassic rifting of the Ionian basin (Figure 8).

[31] Following all these points, we conclude that the SW-NE trending faults beneath the IAP are remnant crustal faults of the NW-SE Ionian oceanic spreading, that developed in a continental transform margin framework along the Malta escarpment.

### 4.2. Intraplate Deformation Beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain

[32] We show that the set of deep reverse faults present beneath the IAP is a remnant of the seafloor spreading fabric formed during the Jurassic-Cretaceous NW-SE opening of the Ionian basin (Figure 8) [Frizon de Lamotte et al., 2011]. But we also clearly show that on the seismic lines, the activity of these crustal scale features led to the formation of anticlines and Tortonian syntectonic basins. Below we will discuss the possibility of inverting an oceanic domain under compressive stress and the timing of the inversion.

[33] Based on the plate tectonic model, it was assumed that the rigid oceanic lithosphere, with respect to continental lithosphere, would remain relatively undeformed during its growth and destruction. However, during tectonic inversion normal and transform faults originally formed at spreading centers may be reactivated in areas of diffuse intraplate deformation [Delescluse et al., 2008]. This reverse reactivation of normal faults during basin inversion has been studied by analog modeling studies [Koopman et al., 1987; Mandal and Chattopadhyay, 1995; Konstantinovskaya et al., 2007]. These studies conclude that the inversion of normal faults is feasible and depends on their initial geometry and orientation with respect to the direction of compression [Mandal and Chattopadhyay, 1995; Konstantinovskaya et al., 2007]. Theoretical analyses show that the fluid pressure may greatly enhance reactivation by lowering the resistance along the fault zone [Etheridge, 1986]. We consider that the high-amplitude of the reflectors beneath the IAP and the low internal velocity are also a sign of the presence of fluids, which may have played a role during the reactivation of the original oceanic fabric (see section 3.2).

[34] The Central Indian Ocean basin is the best documented area, where intraplate deformation occurs under compressive stress [Bull and Scrutton, 1992; Delescluse et al., 2008]. Multichannel seismic images of the Central Indian Ocean basin allow the identification of reactivated paleo-normal faults, with a characteristic fault-block width of 5 to 20 km [Bull and Scrutton, 1992]. A set of north-dipping reverse basement faults is observed with associated hanging wall anticlines. Their dips range from 30 to 40° in the basement with a rapid increase to dips >65° in the sedimentary cover. This fault pattern is interpreted to be the result of reactivation of pre-existing normal faults originally formed at the spreading center [Bull and Scrutton, 1992]. In the Ionian basin, the fault spacing (10 to 20 km) together with the steep fault dips of the fault (60 to 65°) allow us to propose a similar evolution: an Ionian seafloor spreading fabric, acquired during the Jurassic-Cretaceous opening of the basin and reactivated later during the Tortonian-early Messinian times (Figure 8). Recent numerical modeling shows that the entire fault network formed at the Central Indian Ocean ridge axis was initially reactivated, but that only a small fraction of these faults remained active through time and accumulated significant displacements [Delescluse et al., 2008]. These long-lived major, active faults bound blocks, which are modeled at a spacing of 20 to 30 km [Delescluse et al., 2008], in good agreement with seismic lines [Bull and Scrutton, 1992]. The 20 km spacing faults pattern of the IAP fits well with modeling results obtained for the Central Indian Ocean [Delescluse et al., 2008]. Beneath the IAP, our data set suggests that the overall set of faults may have been active during the early stage of the reactivation during the Tortonian (Figures 4 and 5). Later, it seems that a selective abandonment of the faults called “F…bis” occurs in early Messinian times during the deposition of Messinian Lower Unit (Figure 7). In fact, on top of these faults, the base of Messinian Mobile Unit is not folded. Only some major faults (faults “F…” show significant evidence of long-lived activity. The compression along the major faults (referred as F4, F5 and F6) led to the development of 1 km thick Tortonian syntectonic basins, and folding of the base of the Messinian Mobile Unit (Figures 4 and 5). The fault activity seems to stop or slow down after the early Messinian, since no deformation is observed in late Messinian sediments.

### 4.3. Causes of Intraplate Deformation of the Ionian Basin

[35] In this section, we will discuss the possible causes of this intraplate deformation and its significance within the framework of the evolution of the Central Mediterranean.
Figure 8. (bottom) Tectonic sketches of the NW-SE rifting of the Ionian basin during the Triassic-Jurassic times and the subsequent spreading and (top) seven schematic SE-NW cross-sections showing the evolution the Ionian basin, north of the Gondwana (Africa) margin from the Jurassic-Cretaceous to the Present.
Based on the evolution of the regions adjacent to the Ionian sea, different causes can be invoked to explain the compressive reactivation of oceanic fabric of the Ionian basin: (a) the Alpine collision, (b) the Southeastward drift of Calabria, (c) the motion of an independent Ionian microplate.

[36] (a) On the basis of seismic correlations from the Gulf of Sirte to the Ionian Abyssal Plain, the refracted arrivals at 6.7 s TWT depth were proposed to correspond to the top of the Mesozoic [de Voogd et al., 1992]. These authors outlined that these correlations were made with “less certainty” for the data located beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain [de Voogd et al., 1992; Sioni, 1996]. This led other authors to propose the reflector at 6.6 s TWT to be the top of the Mesozoic sequence, and to imply that the syntectonic sediments have to be older than the Tortonian [Sioni, 1996; Minelli and Faccenna, 2010]. Then, the tectonic reactivation was proposed to be caused by a narrowing of this Mediterranean domain in response to the Alpine collision in the pre-Miocene times [Sioni, 1996]. However, we follow the first seismic interpretation proposed for the top of the Mesozoic (section 3.1), identified at 7.25 s TWT depth [Finetti and Morelli, 1972]. So we discard the Alpine collision hypothesis for the observed deformation beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain.

[37] (b) Other seismic facies correlations, established East of the Malta escarpment [Cernobori et al., 1996; Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005] led to an interpretation of the Messinian Lower Unit together with the Tortonian syntectonic unit of this study (see section 3.1) as a single syntectonic Tortonian-Serravallian unit [Chamot-Rooke et al., 2005]. After careful interpretations, we propose that the unit below the Messinian Mobile Unit also corresponds to Messinian sediments (Figure 3a) [Lofi et al., 2011a]; and that only the sediments deposited in sub-basins are syntectonic. The consequence is the same age of initial reactivation (Tortonian) (Figure 8), but a reduced sedimentary record of the inversion of the Ionian basin. We also show that the base of the Messinian Mobile Unit is folded. Therefore, the tectonic inversion has continued at least until the early Messinian times (Figure 8). The timing of this inversion is consistent with the geodynamic evolution of the Western Mediterranean domain.

[38] After the cessation of the counterclockwise rotation of the Corsica-Sardinia block around 15 Ma, a period of tectonic reorganization occurred [Malinverno and Ryan, 1986; Faccenna et al., 2004]. Soon afterwards more rapid NW subduction and related to the SE directed roll-back of the Ionian slab occurred together with the opening of the Tyrrenhenian Sea in the Miocene [Malinverno and Ryan, 1986; Gueguen et al., 1998; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000; Faccenna et al., 2001; Chiarabba et al., 2008]. We propose that the Tortonian-Early Messinian inversion occurred during this reorganization phase (Figure 8). Then the accommodation of both the compression due to the slab roll-back of the Calabrian block and the subduction of the Ionian lithosphere was partly transferred to the Ionian basin, reactivating the inherited oceanic fabric.

[39] (c) Based on GPS results, a current intraplate deformation of an Ionian microplate cannot be excluded, in particular North of the Ionian Abyssal Plain [Anzidei et al., 1996]. Based on the lack of seismicity and the absence of deformation in Plio-Quaternary deposits along the Apulian escarpment, newly published GPS data support the interpretation that Apulia together with the Ionian Sea form a single microplate [D’Agostino et al., 2008, 2011]. This Ionian-Apulian microplate is rotating relative to Nubia, around the GPS-derived Eulerian pole located in the Gulf of Sirte (Figure 1) [D’Agostino et al., 2008]. This rotation accommodates a fraction of the convergence between Africa and Eurasia and may still drive limited intraplate compression beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain [Jongsma et al., 1985, 1987]. We are not able to discard the hypothesis of persistent activity of this network of faults beneath the IAP on the basis of multichannel seismic data. Further GPS measurement may offer a chance to better discuss the present-day activity of these faults.

[40] To conclude, we wish to emphasize that the proposed timing of the inversion of the Ionian basin is controlled by the answer to the crucial question: “What is the age of the syntectonic unit?” without direct evidence from drill hole. We argue that the syntectonic units are Tortonian sediments, and suggest the inversion of the Ionian basin may be linked to a plate reorganization at that times. On the basis of the recent GPS, ongoing but less vigorous compression cannot be ruled out.

5. Conclusions

[41] In this study, we analyzed a set multichannel seismic profiles (Archimede and PrisMed cruises). These seismic images reveal a set of well-developed N55°E striking large-scale, reverse faults primarily NW vergent and linked to hanging wall anticlines beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. A comparison with the best-documented oceanic intraplate deformed area, the Central Indian Ocean leads us to interpret that this set of 10 to 20 km spaced crustal reverse faults as reactivated normal faults. In agreement with very recent paleogeographic studies, we propose that the fault pattern of the Ionian basin formed as a seafloor spreading fabric acquired after the late Triassic-early Jurassic rifting setting as a transform margin along the Malta escarpment. This study also leads to the interpretation of a new stratigraphic succession over the oceanic crust beneath the Ionian Abyssal Plain. In the Central Mediterranean domain, the identification of a Messinian Lower Unit, previously identified as Tortonian in age, leads to a revision in the timing of the inversion of the Ionian basin. We propose that these 60 to 65° dipping inherited normal faults were reactivated as reverse faults during the Tortonian, forming syntectonic basins. The folding of the base Messinian Mobile Unit suggests continued but reduced activity of some major faults until the early Messinian. Our results indicate a total shortening of 3–4 km distributed over a NW-SE 80 km wide and a NE-SW 200 km long zone with a bulk shortening of 3.5–5%, values characteristic for diffuse intraplate deformation. This deformation of the Ionian domain is interpreted to be related to a plate tectonic reorganization prior to the rapid southeastward drift of Calabria, associated with the main phase of back-arc opening of the Tyrrenhenian domain. Based on recent GPS studies, the independent rotation of a Ionian-Apulian microplate in the Central Mediterranean may cause residual minor deformation beneath the IAP.
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