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Abstract

The spatial and temporal coverage of measurements of volcanic gas emissions remains patchy. However, over the last decade, emissions inventories have improved thanks to new measurements of some of the lesser-known volcanic areas. We report on one such region—the Vanuatu island arc, in the Southwest Pacific—for which we now have sufficient systematic observations to offer a systematic emissions inventory. Our new estimate is based on SO$_2$ flux measurements made in the period 2004–2009 with ultraviolet spectroscopy techniques for the following volcanoes: Yasur, Lopevi, Ambrym, Ambae, Gaua and Vanua Lava (from south to north). These are the first ever measurements for Lopevi, Gaua and Vanua Lava. The results reveal the Vanuatu arc as one of Earth's prominent sources of volcanic degassing with a characteristic annual emission to the atmosphere of ~ 3 Tg of SO$_2$ (representing about 20% of hitherto published global estimates). Our new dataset highlights the sustained prodigious degassing of Ambrym volcano, whose 5 Gg day$^{-1}$ mean flux of SO$_2$ represents nearly two-thirds of the total budget for the Vanuatu arc. This confirms Ambrym as one of the largest volcanic sources worldwide comparable to Etna, often considered as the most vigorous source of volcanic emission on Earth. We also report a high degassing for Ambae of ~ 2 Gg day$^{-1}$ SO$_2$, representing more than 28% of the Vanuatu arc budget. Thus, 90% of the SO$_2$ output from Vanuatu is focused in the central part of the arc (from Ambrym and Ambae) where magmas originate from enriched Indian-type mantle and where peculiar tectonic conditions could favor high magma production rates.

1. Introduction

Sulfur dioxide is a key volcanic gas species for volcano monitoring and for understanding
the environmental impacts of volcanism at both local and global scales (e.g. Oppenheimer, 2010). In contrast to H₂O or CO₂, the two major components of volcanic gases, which are also abundant atmospheric constituents, SO₂ is typically present in rather low abundances in the atmosphere. This, along with its strong ultraviolet and infrared absorption features, makes it comparatively easy to measure remotely with spectroscopic tools. Monitoring temporal variations of SO₂ flux at either dormant or erupting volcanoes provides important insights into the presence, size and degassing regimes of magma bodies in the crust (e.g. Allard et al., 1994). In the troposphere, some of the emitted volcanic SO₂ is oxidized, mainly in the aqueous phase (with H₂O₂ and O₃ acting as oxidants), forming acidic sulfate aerosol. Both wet and dry deposition of sulfur species (and associated halogen acid gases) from tropospheric volcanic plumes can have strong impacts on terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (e.g., [Delmelle et al., 2001], [Kitayama et al., 2010] and [Martin et al., 2010]). Finally, huge sulfur releases during major eruptions, whose plumes reach the stratosphere, can strongly affect the atmospheric radiative balance and climate.

Global estimates of volcanic SO₂ emissions into the atmosphere are essentially derived from ground-based and space-borne UV spectroscopic measurements, which have been applied to numerous volcanoes and eruptions since the early 1970s ([Stoiber et al., 1983], [Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998] and [Halmer et al., 2002]). Available estimates of global volcanic SO₂ emissions range between 13 Tg year⁻¹ (Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998) and 15–21 Tg year⁻¹ (Halmer et al., 2002). An important source of uncertainty in the inventory is the lack of SO₂ emissions measurements for many volcanoes that remain unstudied, often located in remote parts of the world. For instance, there are rather few data representing emissions from volcanoes in Indonesia and Papua New Guinea. The same can be said of the Vanuatu arc, a group of 80 islands and islets in the Southwest Pacific. In fact, the first ground based estimates of SO₂ emissions from Vanuatu volcanoes were only made in 2004. Their contribution is therefore substantially underrepresented in the databases for global volcanism, which in turn has implications for the global volcanic source strength, since estimates have not attempted to extrapolate to Vanuatu unmeasured volcanoes ([Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998] and [Halmer et al., 2002]). The few reported estimates of SO₂ emissions prior to 2004 that are included, for instance, in the Andres and Kasgnoc (1998) database, were derived merely by comparing visual appearances of plumes with those for other measured volcanoes [12/1988 SEAN; 11/1990 BGVN].

Here, we report the first assessment of the volcanic SO₂ budget for the Vanuatu arc, based on ultraviolet spectroscopic measurements of SO₂ fluxes from the six principal active volcanoes of the archipelago in the period 2004–2009: Yasur, Lopevi, Ambrym, Ambae, Gaua and Vanua Lava, from south to north (Fig. 1). This includes both erupting volcanoes and persistently degassing ones. We provide new data for Yasur, Ambrym and Ambae and the first measurements for Vanua Lava, Gaua and Lopevi.
Fig. 1: The Vanuatu island arc with the 6 studied active volcanoes (highlighted in grey). Note the position of Ambae and Ambrym, two large basaltic volcanoes in the central part of the arc, located at the collision zone between the arc and the d'Entrecasteaux ridge, an extension of the New Caledonia and Loyalty ridges [Laporte et al., 1998]. The mean SO$_2$ emission rate from each volcano (Table 1) is indicated, except for Gaua where measurements were made during an ongoing explosive eruption.
2. Measurement locations

The southern-most active volcano of Vanuatu is Yasur (Fig. 1). It is also the most accessible edifice of the archipelago. Yasur is the most active volcano of Vanuatu along with Ambrym. Its spectacular and ongoing strombolian activity attracts thousands of visitors each year. However unlike the other volcanoes of Vanuatu, Yasur is a small volcanic edifice reaching only 361 m (a.s.l.). Its crater hosts three vents from which magmatic gases are continuously released. The plume generally rises to 700–900 m (a.s.l.) and is then carried typically to the northwest by the trade winds. The spectroscopic measurements reported here were performed by road vehicle mostly on ash plain that lies ~ 1 km northwest of crater (Fig. 2).

![Map of Tanna Island showing the location of Yasur and Lopevi volcanoes.](image)

Fig. 2.: Yasur volcano is located on the eastern part of Tanna Island and occupies the western portion of the Yankahe resurgent block. This block went through a rapid uplift (156 mm/year) in the last 1000 years (Chen et al., 1995). DOAS measurements were performed in general northwest of the Yasur—highlighted in grey.

About 350 km NNW of Yasur is Lopevi (Fig. 1), another active volcano of Vanuatu with a near-perfect cone shape. It reaches 1413 m (a.s.l.). Lopevi was inhabited in the past but frequent eruptions ultimately forced the population out in 1967. There are two craters at the summit, aligned NW-SE...
(Fig. 3) but the present day vulcanian to sub-plinian eruptions occur only on the SE crater (~ 1300 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 3). This crater is also the principal source of degassing. Lava flows often occur following vigorous explosions. They originate along a NW-SE fracture zone that cuts through the volcanic edifice (paralleling the crater alignment) (Lardy and Bani, 2004). During explosions, plumes generally rise a few km above the crater, but for most of the time (between eruptions) the plume is weak and only rises a few tens of meters above the crater. Ultraviolet spectroscopic measurements were performed both from an aircraft flying at 700–1000 m (a.s.l.) and by boat, northwest of the crater (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3: Lopevi volcano with its perfect cone shape. Its summit is occupied by 2 craters, an old inactive and a new active crater. Most of the present-day discharges occur on this new southeast crater. DOAS measurements zone is highlighted in grey.

Ambrym is situated ~ 30 km NW of Lopevi (Fig. 1). Its summit is occupied by a 12-km-wide caldera that hosts the two main active craters, Benbow and Marum. Benbow has a well defined crater while Marum consists of three subcraters including Mfbelesu, Niri Mfbelesu and Maben Mfbelesu (Fig. 4). All these craters are active and sustain volatile emissions into the atmosphere. Recent activity has included the appearance of lave lakes and strombolian phases, as well as sporadic and ephemeral explosions at Mfbelesu. Lava lakes have been seen in all craters but they are most often present in Mfbelesu and Benbow’s vent B (Fig. 4). The highest point of the volcano is 1270 m (a.s.l.), while the active craters reach around 1000 m. Benbow and Marum generate two distinct plumes that typically rise 1–2 km above the craters before being bent over by the trade winds to the northwest. They ultimately merge downwind. In fair weather conditions (no rain) the merged plume typically drifts around 2000–3000 m (a.s.l.) and can be traced for several hundreds of km downwind. Spectroscopic measurements were generally performed from an aircraft flying at 700–1500 m (a.s.l.) to the northwest of the caldera.
The largest volcano in Vanuatu is Ambae, also known as Aoba. Situated ~ 100 km north of Ambrym, it rises 3900 m above the sea floor. Its summit is occupied by two concentric calderas that host three crater lakes, including Manaro Ngoru, Manaro Lakua and Voui. The last of these has been the focus of recent volcanic activity (Fig. 5). It is also one of the largest acid lakes on Earth ($40 \times 10^6$ m$^3$). Amba's summit lakes collectively contain $50 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ of water at an elevation of ~ 1400 m above sea level. These perched lakes represent a potential hazard for thousands of people who live along the coast. The recent eruptive manifestations include a phreatic eruption in 1995 and surtseyan eruption in 2005–2006, and led to the evacuation of one third of the population living in the central part of the island. The formation of the new islet in Lake Voui during the 2005–2006 eruption isolated the active vent from the lake, allowing magmatic volatiles to discharge directly into the atmosphere (previously they were mostly condensed in the lake). The plume generally rises up to few hundred meters above the lake before being carried northwest by the trade winds. The downwind plume remains generally around 1500–2000 m (a.s.l.) and spectroscopic airborne measurements were generally performed at an altitude of ~ 1000 m to the northwest of the caldera.
Fig. 5: Ambae has a lozenge like shape. Its summit is occupied by concentric calderas that host 3 lakes: Manaro Laka, Voui and Manaro Ngoru. Voui is the main point of volcanic degassing. The active vent is circumscribed by the eroded new islet. DOAS measurements generally performed northwest of the caldera, in grey.

Further north, at ~ 125 km from Ambae is Gaua, whose activity in 2009–2010 prompted evacuation of more than 1500 inhabitants of the island from their villages. Gaua is a 40-km-wide volcano rising ~ 3000 m from the sea bed. The summit caldera (6 × 8 km$^2$) is filled by a large crater lake (Lake Letas) in the middle of which rises Mt. Garet (797 m, a.s.l.), the active cone. This cone has two distinct craters (Fig. 6) and, since 1991, the activity has focused on the southeast crater, which was also the site of the 2009–2010 explosions (Fig. 6). This southeast crater (680 m, a.s.l.) is the main source of degassing. During the 2009–2010 eruption, the plume rose a few kilometers above the crater and spectroscopic measurements were performed from an aircraft flying at 1000 m (a.s.l.) to the northwest of the cone.
Fig. 6. : Gaua is a big volcano with a summit caldera and large crater lake, Letas. Present-day volcanic activity occurs on Mount Garet cone. The summit of this cone is occupied by two craters, but the main point of gas discharges is the southeast crater. DOAS measurements zone is highlighted in grey.

Fig. 7. : Vanua Lava is the least accessible volcano of Vanuatu. Its activity is mainly fumarolic with at least 5 solfatara zones. The Frenchman solfatara, where DOAS measurements were performed (highlighted in black) is the largest solfatara.
3. Ultraviolet spectroscopic measurements

SO$_2$ flux measurements were made using ultraviolet differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS). At Ambrym, Ambae, Gaua and Lopevi volcanoes, spectroscopic measurements were performed onboard of an aircraft (Brittan-Norman Islander or U206G Cessna), following the traverse method (Bani et al., 2009a), flying just below the volcanic plumes in the cross-wind direction, and with the spectrometer’s fiber-coupled telescope pointing to zenith. Flight altitudes ranged from 500 m to 2000 m above sea level. At Lopevi, traverses were also carried out from a boat. At Yasur, the most accessible volcano, SO$_2$ fluxes were repeatedly measured from a 4WD vehicle, whereas on Vanua Lava, the least accessible volcano, fluxes were measured via on-foot traverses (McGonigle et al., 2002 and Mori et al., 2006).

In total, 358 SO$_2$ flux measurements were made at the six active volcanoes of Vanuatu: 293 at Yasur, 33 at Ambrym, 19 at Ambae, seven at Gaua, four at Lopevi and two at Vanua Lava. A total of 55 days of fieldwork were necessary to assemble this dataset. We integrate here data that have been presented in our previous studies (Bani and Lardy, 2007, Bani et al., 2009a and Bani et al., 2009b) but more than two-thirds of the flux measurements reported here are new (Table 1). Measurement frequency has been strongly determined by access conditions, available funding and serendipity. Over the study period (2004–2009), we have used 86 h of flights for the airborne measurements (including time to reach and return from the volcanoes).

Table 1. SO$_2$ emission rates from Vanuatu's volcanoes (Yasur, Ambrym, Ambae, Gaua, Lopevi and Vanua Lava) measured between 2004 and 2009. Passive and eruptive sporadic episodes of strong degassing are distinguished. The mean SO$_2$ emission rate for each volcano is derived from the total number of DOAS traverses during the period of investigation, excluding the strongest sporadic volcanic phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcano</th>
<th>Volcanic activity during traverses</th>
<th>Date of measurements</th>
<th>Traverse platform</th>
<th>Traverse number</th>
<th>Start time (UT)</th>
<th>Traverse distance from source (km)</th>
<th>Full plume width (km)</th>
<th>Plume altitude (m)</th>
<th>Average column amount (mg/m$^2$)</th>
<th>Mean SO$_2$ flux (kg/s)</th>
<th>Source references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yasur</td>
<td>Strombolian activity</td>
<td>02/04/04</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>00:35</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>700–900</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>4.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>Bani and Lardy (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03/04/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23:27</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>04/04/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>00:28</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05/04/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>01:32</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/04/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>00:30</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07/04/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>00:55</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>788</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21:40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>778</td>
<td>11.8 ± 3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22:43</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2213</td>
<td>13.9 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>00:42</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2301</td>
<td>7.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>00:40</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3598</td>
<td>9.3 ± 3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23:33</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2842</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/07/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23:49</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1791</td>
<td>4.8 ± 1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19/09/04</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23:40</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcano</td>
<td>Volcanic activity during traverses</td>
<td>Date of measurements</td>
<td>Traverse platform</td>
<td>Traverse number</td>
<td>Start time (UT)</td>
<td>Traverse distance from source (km)</td>
<td>Full plume width (km)</td>
<td>Plume altitude (m)</td>
<td>Average column amount (mg/m²)</td>
<td>Mean SO₂ flux (kg/s)</td>
<td>Source references</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29/10/04</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>00:12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>626</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/01/05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>02:54</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>708</td>
<td>11.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18/03/05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>03:48</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>761</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/07/05</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>03:02</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1092</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01/11/05</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>00:43</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>980</td>
<td>12.8 ± 4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/11/05</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>03:51</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>14.2 ± 4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16/08/07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>01:30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>560</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20/10/07</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>03:00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>11.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21/10/07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>01:31</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>773</td>
<td>10.6 ± 3.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28/10/07</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23:11</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1179</td>
<td>8.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29/10/07</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>6.7 ± 2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26/05/08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22:41</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2968</td>
<td>5.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27/05/08</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23:49</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2661</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27/11/08</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>02:24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>8.9 ± 2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28/11/08</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21:29</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>6.9 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29/11/08</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22:58</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>5.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30/11/08</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23:35</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>7.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01/12/08</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>01:02</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1891</td>
<td>7.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02/12/08</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>00:00</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1337</td>
<td>7.0 ± 2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03/12/08</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23:18</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yasur mean SO₂ emission rate (293 traverses 2004–2008) = 633 t/day

Lopevi Passive degassing 24/02/06 Boat 3 01:48 − 2.4 1.6 > 1300 238 1.8 ± 0.6 This work

Lopevi mean SO₂ emission rate (3 traverses) during passive degassing = 156 t/day

Lopevi Eruption 10/06/06 Aircraft 1 22:33 4 4.5 > 1300 435 11.3 ± 3.9 This work

Lopevi unique estimate for SO₂ emission rate during eruption = 976 t/day

Lopevi overall SO₂ mean estimate (including passive and eruptive discharge, 4 traverses) = 363 t/day

Ambrym Extreme passive degassing 12/01/05 Aircraft 5 05:00 15–40 11–21 − 2000 − 2091 218 ± 76 Bani et al. (2009a)

Ambrym mean SO₂ emission rate (6 traverses) during the extreme passive degassing = 21197 t/day
Vanuatu global emission budget estimate, including strong and passive degassing and eruptive emissions = 14700 t/day ~ 5.3 Tg

Vanuatu SO$_2$ mean SO$_2$ column amount (mg/m$^2$) = 1068

Vanualava mean SO$_2$ emission rate (5 traverses) during passive degassing = 243 ± 32 t/day

Vanua Lava mean SO$_2$ emission rate (7 traverses) during eruption = 170 ± 7 t/day

Gaua mean SO$_2$ emission rate (14 traverses) during passive degassing = 2393 t/day

Gaua overall SO$_2$ mean estimate (including eruptive and passive degassing, 19 traverses) = 2160 t/day

Ambae mean SO$_2$ emission rate (5 traverses) during early eruption phase = 1655 t/day

Ambae overall SO$_2$ mean estimate (including extreme and passive degassing, 33 traverses) = 8303 t/day

Ambae mean SO$_2$ emission rate (27 traverses) during passive degassing = 5440 t/day

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcano</th>
<th>Volcanic activity during traverses</th>
<th>Date of measurements</th>
<th>Traversal platform</th>
<th>Traversal number</th>
<th>Start time (UT)</th>
<th>UT = LT + 11</th>
<th>Traverse distance from source (km)</th>
<th>Full plume width (km)</th>
<th>Plume altitude (m)</th>
<th>Average column amount (mg/m$^2$)</th>
<th>Mean SO$_2$ flux (kg/s)</th>
<th>Source references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambrym</td>
<td>Passive degassing</td>
<td>11/07/05 Aircraft 1</td>
<td>03:34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>700–2000</td>
<td>23 ± 11</td>
<td>1210 ± 193</td>
<td>163 ± 29</td>
<td>25 ± 8</td>
<td>46.7 ± 16.3</td>
<td>Bani et al. (2009a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/11/05 Aircraft 4</td>
<td>23:43</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>20–31</td>
<td>1197 ± 16.3</td>
<td>90.7 ± 31.7</td>
<td>1428 ± 120</td>
<td>84 ± 29</td>
<td>32 ± 9</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/08/07 Aircraft 3</td>
<td>03:42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>980 ± 29</td>
<td>103 ± 36</td>
<td>1309 ± 33</td>
<td>22.4 ± 7.8</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/10/07 Aircraft 4</td>
<td>22:19</td>
<td>4–12</td>
<td>9–18</td>
<td>748 ± 8.5</td>
<td>24.2 ± 8.5</td>
<td>589 ± 13.4</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24/10/07 Aircraft 4</td>
<td>03:24</td>
<td>14–16</td>
<td>10–13</td>
<td>667 ± 9.5</td>
<td>27.0 ± 9.5</td>
<td>1384 ± 16.3</td>
<td>15.8 ± 5.5</td>
<td>Bani et al. (2009b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>08/10/08 Aircraft 6</td>
<td>03:30</td>
<td>16–24</td>
<td>11–13</td>
<td>1004 ± 15.0</td>
<td>42.8 ± 15.0</td>
<td>304 ± 7.3</td>
<td>20.8 ± 7.1</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28/04/09 Aircraft 5</td>
<td>00:41</td>
<td>11–12</td>
<td>10–13</td>
<td>271 ± 7.1</td>
<td>20.4 ± 7.1</td>
<td>304 ± 9.4</td>
<td>26.9 ± 9.4</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06/12/05 Aircraft 2</td>
<td>05:03</td>
<td>8–9</td>
<td>13–15</td>
<td>1500–2000</td>
<td>20.8 ± 15.0</td>
<td>675 ± 11.1</td>
<td>31.9 ± 11.1</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early eruption phase</td>
<td>03/12/05 Aircraft 3</td>
<td>21:58</td>
<td>3–6</td>
<td>3–8</td>
<td>1063 ± 12.3</td>
<td>35.0 ± 12.3</td>
<td>212 ± 19.5</td>
<td>35.7 ± 12.5</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26/02/06 Aircraft 3</td>
<td>22:47</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>18–20</td>
<td>14/10/09 Aircraft 2</td>
<td>01:49</td>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>6–8</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Passive degassing</td>
<td>10/06/06 Aircraft 3</td>
<td>23:39</td>
<td>10–11</td>
<td>10–14</td>
<td>17/12/09 Aircraft 1</td>
<td>04:33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12/08/07 Aircraft 5</td>
<td>22:31</td>
<td>8–13</td>
<td>8–11</td>
<td>03/10/09 Aircraft 1</td>
<td>00:25</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaua Eruption</td>
<td>03/10/09 Aircraft 4</td>
<td>02:29</td>
<td>17–18</td>
<td>5–13</td>
<td>160 t/day</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>204 ± 11.1</td>
<td>70 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fumarole</td>
<td>08/10/09 Walking 2</td>
<td>01:32</td>
<td>Traversing across the fumarole</td>
<td>1700–2000</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>204 ± 11.1</td>
<td>70 ± 3.7</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.0</td>
<td>This work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Footnotes:**

a Result obtained during the 2005 early eruptive phase, while the active vent was still submerged.

b Result only concerned 2009 eruptive period. Airborne measurements carried out in 2007 indicate no sustained plumes, whilst field observations indicate fumarole activity.

c SO$_2$ flux is considered minimum here since the traverses were across only one solfatara zone.

Ultraviolet (UV) spectra were collected with an Ocean Optics USB2000 UV spectrometer spanning the spectral range 280–400 nm with a spectral resolution of 0.5 nm FWHM. The spectrometer was coupled by a fiber-optic bundle to a telescope (field of view 8 mrad) pointed
to zenith. For airborne traverses, the telescope was fixed outside the aircraft. Real time retrieval of \( \text{SO}_2 \) column amounts, which is essential to track volcanic plumes from an aircraft, was made by means of DOASIS Jscripts ([Kraus, 2006] and [Tsanev, 2008]). Exposure time varied from 100 to 350 ms, depending on light intensity, and 4–8 spectra were co-added to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio. The position of each UV spectrum was determined from a continuously recording GPS unit. \( \text{SO}_2 \) column amounts were retrieved following standard DOAS calibration and analysis procedures ([Kraus, 2006] and [Platt and Stutz, 2008]). Retrieval scripts are available from the University of Cambridge (Tsanev, 2008). The reference spectra included in the non-linear fit were obtained by convolving high resolution \( \text{SO}_2 \) ([Bogumil et al., 2003]) and \( \text{O}_3 \) ([Voigt et al., 2001]) cross-sections with the instrument line shape. A Fraunhofer reference spectrum and Ring spectrum, calculated in DOASIS, were also included in the fit. The optimum fitting window of 310 nm to 336 nm was evaluated by obtaining a near random fit residual with minimum deviation. The same procedures were applied to ground-based measurements at Yasur, Lopevi and Vanua Lava. Plume speed is a key parameter for flux calculations. During airborne measurements it was obtained using the aircraft navigation system, from the difference in recorded ground speed when flying into and against the wind at the plume altitude (Bani et al., 2009a). For ground-based measurements, wind speed was measured with a hand held anemometer at plume altitude (e.g., the crater rim of Yasur). Although the anemometer accuracy is stated as \( \pm 0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), there remains uncertainty in how representative the measured windspeeds are of the plume transport velocity. Errors in plume speed often represent a large source of uncertainty in \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux calculations (Stoiber et al., 1983) but also significant are \( \text{SO}_2 \) retrieval errors arising from in plume and near-field light scattering (Kern et al., 2010). Taking account of these uncertainties, following Mather et al. (2006), leads to an overall relative error of 35% to 48% on individual \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux values (Table 1).

To gain longer temporal perspective of the Vanuatu arc emissions we have also made a preliminary investigation of observations from the spaceborne Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) acquired over the period of 2004–2011. OMI is carried aboard NASA’s polar-orbiting Aura satellite (Levelt et al., 2006). The operational algorithm used to retrieve \( \text{SO}_2 \) column amounts from OMI measurements of ultraviolet (UV) radiance is reported in Yang et al. (2007).

4. Results

Table 1 and Fig. 8 reveal substantial differences in \( \text{SO}_2 \) flux from the six studied volcanoes, which primarily reflect their levels of activity. The highest \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission rate (33 Gg day\(^{-1}\)) was recorded at Ambrym during a three-month pulse of strong lava lake degassing in 2005 (Bani et al., 2009a), while the smallest emission rate (9 Mg day\(^{-1}\)) is from fumarolic activity on Vanua Lava. Ambrym is a predominantly basaltic volcano, with persistent lava lake activity and a prodigious plume emission that makes it by far the strongest source of \( \text{SO}_2 \) in Vanuatu ([Allard et al., 2009] and [Bani et al., 2009a]). Its time-averaged output amounts to 5.4 Gg day\(^{-1}\) \( \text{SO}_2 \) (Table 1), excluding the extreme degassing of 2005 (Bani et al., 2009a), which led to crop damage and food shortages, and which contributed to dental fluorosis as a result of water contamination by wet deposition of the plume (Allibone et al., 2010). Note, however, that the exceptional degassing rates in 2005 were not associated with explosive eruptions, highlighting the capacity of open-vent magmatic degassing to sustain extremely large \( \text{SO}_2 \) owing to both magma convection in the conduit (e.g., Kazahaya et al., 1994) and differential gas transfer across low viscosity basaltic melt.
Significant variability in SO$_2$ flux, related to levels of volcanic activity, is further observed at Yasur (from < 200 to 1500 Mg day$^{-1}$ ([Bani and Lardy, 2007] and [Métrich et al., 2011])), Lopevi (from 156 to 980 Mg day$^{-1}$), and Ambae (between 1 and 4 Gg day$^{-1}$). Note that the SO$_2$ flux results obtained during an eruption phase of Ambae in early 2005 are significantly lower than the post-eruption emission rates due to effective gas scrubbing by the $40 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ hot and acid (pH 1–3) crater lake ([Bani et al., 2009b] and [Bani et al., 2009c]). The SO$_2$ flux progressively increased from 1 to 4 Gg day$^{-1}$ along with intrusion followed by extrusion of magma that formed a new islet (a tephra cone with a subaerial vent; ([Bani et al., 2009b] and [Nemeth et al., 2006]). Four years after the eruption, SO$_2$ was still being released at a high rate (~ 2 Gg day$^{-1}$) through the newly formed islet, indicating a sustained input of magmatic volatiles. Another significant eruption that occurred in our study period is that of Gaua in 2009 (10/2009 BGVN 34:10; 12/2009 BGVN 34:12). This eruption was underway by late September 2009, after 50 years of fumarolic activity, and forced the evacuation of half of the island's 3000 inhabitants. The SO$_2$ output rose from a very low level before the eruption (SO$_2$ was undetected by DOAS in 2007) up to 3 Gg day$^{-1}$ in October-December 2009 (Table 1) when an eruption column rose a few km above the volcano. A smaller eruption occurred in May–June 2006 on Lopevi (02/2007 BGVN 32:02). Measurements performed during this period indicate an SO$_2$ output of 1 Gg day$^{-1}$ contrasting with 0.2 Gg day$^{-1}$ before and after the eruptive episode.

Daily OMI SO$_2$ measurements (at ~ 13:45 h local time) provide unique constraints on the variability of Vanuatu-arc volcanic degassing, particularly in the data gaps between DOAS data acquisitions (Fig. 8). However, it is important to note that space-based sensors such as
OMI provide a measurement of integrated SO\(_2\) mass in a scene rather than emission rates from a volcanic source. Hence, whilst we do not typically expect OMI SO\(_2\) burdens to be commensurate with DOAS-derived SO\(_2\) emission rates, both techniques should track relative changes in degassing rates. Meteorological cloud is the main impediment to satellite detection of lower tropospheric SO\(_2\) plumes, and synoptic or orographic clouds can cover the tropical Vanuatu volcanoes at any time of year. However, we observe no strong seasonal dependence in the OMI SO\(_2\) data (Fig. 9), suggesting that the volcanic source strength is the principal modulating factor.

Fig. 9: Daily SO\(_2\) mass (kilotons) measured by OMI over the Vanuatu archipelago (latitude 10–22°S, longitude 156–178°E) from September 2004 to August 2011. The geographic domain covers emissions from all the active Vanuatu volcanoes discussed here. The operational OMI Linear Fit SO\(_2\) retrieval used here assumes a fixed SO\(_2\) plume altitude of ~3 km asl (Yang et al., 2007). According to plume altitudes given in Table 1 this could result in an underestimation of SO\(_2\) amounts of up to ~30%. Strongest source indicates the volcanoes (Gaua, Aoba, Ambrym or Yasur) located closest to the center of the OMI pixel containing the maximum SO\(_2\) column amount retrieved on each day (Carn et al., 2008). Volcanoes located >50 km (for Gaua, Aoba and Ambrym) or >100 km (for Yasur) from the SO\(_2\) maximum were excluded. Lopevi was also excluded as a potential source due to its proximity to Ambrym. Note that on many days SO\(_2\) plumes from multiple volcanoes are present and the reported SO\(_2\) mass then represents aggregated emissions from all sources. Inset shows a comparison between OMI SO\(_2\) burdens and DOAS SO\(_2\) emission rates for Ambrym (Table 1), measured on same days. The two datasets are well correlated, and based on the linear regression shown we obtain a scaling factor to estimate SO\(_2\) emission rates from OMI SO\(_2\) burdens.

A time-series of OMI SO\(_2\) data for Vanuatu shown in Fig. 9 comprises more than 2400 daily observations. Since measurements began in September 2004, OMI has detected SO\(_2\) emissions from all the active Vanuatu volcanoes with the exception of Vanua Lava. Fig. 9 suggests significant variability of SO\(_2\) emissions from the arc on monthly and annual timescales. The OMI data confirm the exceptional level of degassing from Ambrym in late 2004 and early 2005 that was also captured by DOAS measurements (Fig. 8); comparably high SO\(_2\) burdens were also measured by OMI in early 2010 but the latter can be attributed to simultaneous high levels of activity at Gaua, Ambrym and (to a lesser extent) Yasur rather than Ambrym alone. Fig. 9 also confirms Ambrym’s predominance as the major and most persistent SO\(_2\) source in the arc, with the notable exception of a period in 2006 when degassing from Aoba prevailed. Furthermore, the relative frequency of source attribution for
the four volcanoes specified in Fig. 9 is consistent with their respective average passive degassing rates reported in Table 1.

5. Discussion

Sporadic and episodic volcanic events, such as the extreme gas emissions from Ambrym in 2005 and the explosive eruptions (Ambae: 2005; Gaua: 2009; Lopevi: 2006), can be relatively ephemeral and may not be representative of time-averaged volcanic emissions. We therefore exclude these sporadic events, to estimate mean SO$_2$ outputs from Yasur, Lopevi, Ambrym, Ambae and Vanua Lava of 633, 156, 5440, 2393, and 9 Mg day$^{-1}$ respectively. Assuming that these figures are representative for time-averaged subaerial degassing of the volcanoes, then the total SO$_2$ emission rate for the whole arc during the period of 2004–2009 is 3.1 (± 0.8) Tg year$^{-1}$. This first Vanuatu island arc assessment is surely an approximation given the limited data coverage, and likely to be an underestimate given exclusion of the results for the most vigorous periods of activity on particular volcanoes. If we put the sporadic emissions back into the calculation, the inventory rises to 5.3 (± 1.1) Tg year$^{-1}$. Space-borne OMI coverage indicates a total cumulative SO$_2$ mass of ~ 4.3 Tg released by Vanuatu volcanoes in 2004–2011 (Fig. 9). However, if we compare DOAS and OMI measurements for Ambrym on same days, we find that OMI SO$_2$ burdens amount to only ~ 23% of the corresponding daily SO$_2$ emission rates measured with DOAS (Fig. 9). Such a discrepancy is most likely due to the combined effects of the constant SO$_2$ plume altitude assumption in the OMI SO$_2$ retrieval, meteorological clouds, rapid SO$_2$ loss rates (via chemical reactions and wet/dry deposition) in the tropical atmosphere of Vanuatu and OMI detection limit. Adopting this scaling factor for the entire OMI dataset, and considering that Ambrym is by far the dominant SO$_2$ source, yields a cumulative SO$_2$ emission of ~ 19 Tg in 2004–2011. This results in an average annual emission of ~ 2.7 Tg year$^{-1}$ over the 7 years, coherent with our estimate from DOAS sensing.

These first results thus suggest that the Vanuatu arc is one of the strongest sites of contemporary volcanic degassing on Earth. In comparison, estimates for regional SO$_2$ emission range from 1.6 Tg year$^{-1}$ for Central America (Mather et al., 2006), 1.2 Tg year$^{-1}$ for Papua-New Guinea (McGonigle et al., 2004), and 2.1–3.5 Tg year$^{-1}$ for Indonesia ([Nho et al., 1996] and [Halmer et al., 2002]), though this estimate is based more on extrapolation and inference rather than actual measured SO$_2$ emissions). Our estimate for Vanuatu's volcanoes, which have hitherto been almost absent from global inventories, is equivalent to ~ 20% of published estimates of the global volcanic SO$_2$ output ([Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998] and [Halmer et al., 2002]).

Our new dataset reported here confirms the very substantial and sustained degassing from Ambrym, whose mean SO$_2$ emission rate of 5.4 (± 1.6) Gg day$^{-1}$ represents ~ 63% of the arc's SO$_2$ output. Ambrym ranks alongside Etna as one of the largest persistent sources of volcanic degassing worldwide ([Allard et al., 1991] and [Andres and Kasgnoc, 1998]). Our new dataset also highlights intense SO$_2$ emission from Ambae with 2.4 (± 0.5) Gg day$^{-1}$, representing ~ 28% of the arc budget even though the sulphur budget at this volcano is probably underestimated due to SO$_2$ scrubbing by a vigorous hydrothermal system and associated large ($40 \times 10^6$ m$^3$) acid (pH < 3) crater lake (Bani et al., 2009b). Ambrym and Ambae basaltic volcanoes, located in the central part of the arc, thus emit more than 90% of the Vanuatu SO$_2$ budget. Further work is needed to understand the causes of such elevated degassing. It is worthy of note that the central arc segment is subjected to both a westward inflow of enriched, possibly sulphur-rich Indian-type MORB mantle ([Monzier et al., 1997], [Peate et al., 1997] and [Turner et al., 1999]) and to the collision with the d'Entrecasteaux
ridge since 2–3 Ma ([Collot et al., 1985], [Collot et al., 1992], [Burne et al., 1988], [Greene and Collot, 1994], [Taylor et al., 1994] and [Laporte et al., 1998]) that has led to back-arc shortening ([Collot et al., 1985] and [Louat and Pelletier, 1989]) and to the development of transverse fracture zones which may facilitate magma ascent beneath Ambae and Ambrym ([Pontoise et al., 1994], [Baker and Condliffe, 1996] and [Monzier et al., 1997]).

6. Conclusions

We provide the first volcanic SO$_2$ emission budget at the arc scale for the Vanuatu archipelago, based on repeated DOAS measurements in 2004–2009 and space-borne OMI survey in 2004–2011. This reveals the arc to emit around 3 Tg year$^{-1}$ of SO$_2$ into the atmosphere on average, without considering sporadic eruptions or extreme passive degassing events. Such a budget represents about one-fifth of currently estimated global volcanic SO$_2$ output and, therefore, highlights that the Vanuatu archipelago will have to be taken into account in updating global volcanic inventories in future.

Our results confirm the prodigious degassing of Ambrym volcano, with an average SO$_2$ output of 5 Gg day$^{-1}$, representing nearly two-thirds of the Vanuatu SO$_2$ budget. This places Ambrym in the top rank of persistent volcanic volatile sources worldwide, alongside Mount Etna in Sicily. Ambae volcano also emerges as a prominent SO$_2$ source, with an output of ~ 2 Gg day$^{-1}$ representing 28% at least of the arc budget. Further work will be needed to elucidate whether such elevated degassing at Ambrym and Ambae results from the inflow of S-rich Indian-type mantle beneath the central segment of Vanuatu arc or high magma production rates facilitated by the complex local tectonic structure.
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